

STRUCTURE OF A HYPERSURFACE IMMERSED IN A PRODUCT OF TWO SPHERES

SHIN, YONG HO

0. Introduction

Submanifolds immersed in a sphere or a product of spheres have been objects of study in differential geometry. In particular, real hypersurfaces of a sphere could be found out their intrinsic character under some specific conditions. Recently, many authors ([7],[10],[11],[12],[16],[23]) have researched the so-called generic submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold which are general notions real hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold. Among them, the study on generic submanifolds of an odd-dimensional sphere or an even-dimensional Euclidean space was carried out successfully. But, the investigation about generic submanifolds of an even-dimensional sphere or a product of two spheres $S^n \times S^n$ has not been reported yet.

Of course, real hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$ a product of two spheres have not had nice results as those of a sphere even though several geometers examined real hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$ ([5],[13],[14]).

So, many geometers are desiring earnestly to suggest the epoch-making models of real hypersurfaces immersed in $S^n \times S^n$.

By the way, K.Yano and M.Okumura [20] defined the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced on submanifolds of codimension 2 of an almost Hermitian manifold or real hypersurfaces of an almost contact metric manifold, which is a very useful method in studying Riemannian manifolds admitting that structure. Also, Yano[18] studied the differential geometry of $S^n \times S^n$ and prove that the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure is naturally induced on $S^n \times S^n$ as a submanifold of codimension 2 of a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space or a real hypersurface of $(2n+1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$.

Received May 29, 1995

G.D. Ludden and Okumura[13] studied the so-called invariant hypersurface of $S^n \times S^n$, which is derived from the almost product structure defined by its projection operators on $S^n \times S^n$.

On the other hand, it is well-known that the so-called $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure is naturally induced on submanifolds of codimension 3 of an almost Hermitian manifold or real hypersurfaces of a manifold with (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure (cf.[8],[9],[22]). Therefore, real hypersurfaces immersed in $S^n \times S^n$ admit the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure deduced from the (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure defined on $S^n \times S^n$. From this point of view, S.-S.Eum, U.-H.Ki and Y.H.Kim [5] researched partially real hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$ by using the concept of k -invariance.

The purpose of the present paper is devoted to study some intrinsic characters of hypersurfaces immersed in $S^n \times S^n$, characterize global properties of them by using some integrable condition and prove that the generic submanifold of $S^n \times S^n$ with the almost contact metric structure is the real hypersurface.

In section 1, we recall the intrinsic properties of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ and have some algebraic relationships and structure equations of hypersurfaces of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$.

In section 2, we determine mainly a minimal hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$.

In section 3, we find the necessary and sufficient condition for a hypersurface of $S^n \times S^n$ being k -antiholomorphic and prove its global properties.

1. Structure equations of hypersurfaces of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$

Let E^{n+1} be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space and 0 the origin of the Cartesian coordinate system in E^{n+1} , and denote by X the position vector of point p in E^{n+1} relative to the origin 0.

We consider a hypersurface $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ in E^{n+1} with center at the origin 0 and radius $1/\sqrt{2}$. Suppose that $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; x^\alpha\}$, where here and in the sequel the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \dots$ run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $X \cdot X = 1/2$ for the position vector X of the point $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$, where the dot means the usual inner product of E^{n+1} .

Putting $X_\alpha = \partial_\alpha X$, $M_1 = -\sqrt{2}X$, $g_{\alpha\beta} = X_\alpha \cdot X_\beta$, where $\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial x^\alpha$, and denoted by ∇_α the operator of the covariant differentiation formed with the first fundamental form $g_{\alpha\beta}$, the equations of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively given by

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_\alpha X_\beta = \sqrt{2}g_{\alpha\beta}M_1, \quad \nabla_\alpha M_1 = -\sqrt{2}X_\alpha.$$

Similary, an n -dimensional sphere $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ is also assumed to be covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{V; y^\kappa\}$. Then the position vector Y of a point of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ satisfies $Y \cdot Y = 1/2$. Here and in the sequel, the indices κ, μ, ν, \dots run over the range $\{n+1, \dots, 2n\}$. Now, we put $Y_\kappa = \partial_\kappa Y$, $M_2 = -\sqrt{2}Y$, $g_{\kappa\mu} = Y_\kappa \cdot Y_\mu$ ($\partial_\kappa = \partial/\partial y^\kappa$) and denoted ∇_κ the operator of covariant differentiation formed with the first fundamental form $g_{\kappa\mu}$ of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$. Then the equations of Gauss and Weingarten are respectively given by

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_\kappa X_\mu = \sqrt{2}g_{\kappa\mu}M_2, \quad \nabla_\kappa M_2 = -\sqrt{2}Y_\kappa.$$

Thus we give the differential structure to $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ naturally as a product manifold which is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U \times V; (x^\alpha, y^\kappa)\}$.

Therefore as a submanifold of codimension 2 in a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n+2} , $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ has a position vector Z of a point in $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ such that

$$Z(z^h) = \begin{pmatrix} X(x^\alpha) \\ Y(y^\kappa) \end{pmatrix},$$

where, here and in the sequel, the indices h, i, j, k, \dots run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, \dots, 2n\}$. Then we have

$$Z \cdot Z = X \cdot X + Y \cdot Y = 1$$

and hence we see that $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ is a hypersurface of a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$ in E^{2n+2} .

Letting $Z_i = \partial_i Z$ and $g_{ji} = Z_j \cdot Z_i$, we get

$$Z_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_\alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y_\kappa \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.3) \quad g_{j_i} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\kappa\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{j_i} = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & g^{\kappa\mu} \end{pmatrix}.$$

g^{j_i} , $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $g^{\kappa\mu}$ are contravariant components of g_{j_i} , $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\kappa\mu}$ respectively.

Letting

$$(1.4) \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} -X(x^\alpha) \\ -Y(y^\kappa) \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} -X(x^\alpha) \\ Y(y^\kappa) \end{pmatrix},$$

we can easily see that

$$Z_i \cdot C = 0, Z_i \cdot D = 0, C \cdot D = 0, C \cdot C = 1, D \cdot D = 1$$

and hence C and D are mutually orthogonal normal vectors to $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ as a submanifold of codimension 2 in E^{2n+2} .

Let h_{j_i} and k_{j_i} be the components of the second fundamental tensors respectively relative to the unit normals C and D to $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$. Then the equations of Gauss for $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ can be given of the form

$$\nabla_j Z_i = h_{j_i} C + k_{j_i} D$$

From (1.1) and (1.2), h_{j_i} and k_{j_i} are of the form

$$(1.5) \quad (h_{j_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\kappa\mu} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (k_{j_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{\kappa\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$

and consequently we find

$$(1.6) \quad (h_j^i) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\kappa^\mu \end{pmatrix}, \quad (k_j^i) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_\kappa^\mu \end{pmatrix},$$

where $h_j^i = h_{j_h} g^{h_i}$ and $k_j^i = k_{j_h} g^{h_i}$.

It follows from the first equation of (1.5) and the second equation of (1.6) that

$$(1.7) \quad h_{j_i} = g_{j_i}, \quad k_i^t = 0, \quad k_j^t k_t^i = \delta_j^i.$$

Hence, we see that k_j^t determines an almost product structure on $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$.

On the other hand, as the first fundamental form g_{j_i} has the form (1.3), the Christoffel symbols $\{ \begin{smallmatrix} h \\ j & i \end{smallmatrix} \}$ form with g_{j_i} are all zero but $\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma & \beta \end{smallmatrix} \}$ and $\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \lambda \\ \mu & \nu \end{smallmatrix} \}$.

Using this fact and differentiating the second fundamental tensor k_j^i covariantly, we have

$$\nabla_j k_i^h = 0.$$

Denoting by l_j the third fundamental tensor relative to the normals C and D , we can write

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla_j C = -h_j^t Z_t + l_j D, \quad \nabla_j D = -k_j^t Z_t - l_j C.$$

From (1.4), (1.6) and (1.8) it follows that (cf. [3], [19])

$$l_j = 0.$$

Consequently, the equations of Gauss and Weingarten for $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ regarded as a submanifold of codimension 2 in E^{2n+2} become respectively

$$\nabla_j Z_i = h_{j_i} C + k_{j_i} D, \quad \nabla_j C = -Z_j D, \quad \nabla_j D = -k_j^t Z_t.$$

Thus we can deduce the so-called equations of Gauss

$$(1.9) \quad R_{k_{j_i}}^h = \delta_k^h g_{j_i} - \delta_j^h g_{k_i} + k_k^h k_{j_i} - k_j^h k_{k_i},$$

K_{kj}^h , being the components of the curvature tensor of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$.

But, a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n+2} admits a natural Kaehler structure

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_1 \\ I_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_1 denotes the identity matrix of degree $n+1$. It follows that $F^2 = -I$, $FU \cdot FV = U \cdot V$ for arbitrary vectors U and V in E^{2n+2} , I being the identity transformation in E^{2n+2} . Linear transformation of Z_j , C and D by f give respectively

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} FZ_j &= f_j^t Z_t + u_j C + v_j D, & FC &= -u^t Z_t + \lambda D, \\ FD &= -v^t Z_t - \lambda C, \end{aligned}$$

where f_i^h are components of a tensor field of type (1.1), u_i and v_i those of 1-forms and λ a function on $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$, and u^h and v^h are the associated vector fields with u_i and v_i respectively given by $u^h = u_i g^{ih}$ and $v^h = v_i g^{ih}$.

Applying F to (1.10) respectively, we get the so-called (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure given by ([1], [2], [6], [17], [18], [20],)

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} f_j^t f_i^s &= -\delta_j^s + u_j u^s + v_j v^s, \\ u_i f_j^t &= \lambda v_j, & f_i^h u_t &= -\lambda v^h, & v_t f_j^t &= -\lambda u_j, \\ f_i^h v^t &= \lambda u^h, & u_t u^t &= v_t v^t = 1 - \lambda^2, & u_t v^t &= 0, \\ f_j^t f_i^s g_{ts} &= g_{ji} - u_j u_i - v_j v_i. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that $f_{jt} = f_j^i g_{it}$ is skew-symmetric in j and t .

By letting $j = \alpha$ and $j = \kappa$ in (1.10), we find respectively

$$(1.12) \quad f_\alpha^\beta = 0, \quad u_\alpha + v_\alpha = 0, \quad X_\alpha = f_\alpha^\kappa Y_\kappa - 2u_\alpha Y$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad f_\kappa^\mu = 0, \quad u_\kappa = v_\kappa, \quad Y_\kappa = -f_\kappa^\alpha X_\alpha - 2u_\kappa X.$$

Consequently, f_i^h, u_i, u^h, v_i and v^h are respectively of the form

$$(1.14) \quad (f_i^h) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_\kappa^\alpha \\ f_\alpha^\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1.15) \quad u_i = (u_\alpha, u_\kappa), \quad u^h = \begin{pmatrix} u^\alpha \\ u^\kappa \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_i = (u_\alpha, u_\kappa), \quad v^h = \begin{pmatrix} -u^\alpha \\ u^\kappa \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u^\alpha = u_\beta g^{\alpha\beta}, u^\kappa = u_\mu g^{\kappa\mu}$.

Then, (1.6) and (1.14) imply that

$$(1.16) \quad k_t^h f_j^t + f_t^h k_j^t = 0,$$

that is, K_j^h and f_j^h anticommute each other.

We also find from (1.6) and (1.15)

$$(1.17) \quad k_j^h u^j = -v^h, \quad k_j^h v^j = -u^h.$$

If we differentiate (1.10) covariantly and make use of $\nabla F = 0$, then we have ([2], [21])

$$(1.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_j f_i^h &= -g_{ji} u^h + \delta_j^h u_i - k_{ji} v^h + k_j^h v_i, \\ \nabla_j u_i &= f_{ji} - \lambda k_{ji}, \quad \nabla_j v_i = -k_{jt} f_i^t + \lambda g_{ji}, \\ \nabla_j \lambda &= -2v_j. \end{aligned}$$

Let M be a hypersurface immersed isometrically in $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ and suppose that M is covered by the system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{\bar{V}; \bar{x}^a\}$, where here and in the sequel, the indices a, b, c, d, \dots run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}$.

We put $B_c^h = \partial_c x^h (\partial / \partial \bar{x}^c)$. Then B_c^h are $2n - 1$ linearly independent vectors of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ tangent to M and consequently B_c^h span the tangent space at each point in M . Denote by N^h the unit normal vector field to M and hence $\{B_c^h, N^h\}$ generates the tangent space at each point in $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$.

Since the immersion $i : M \rightarrow S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ is isometric, the induced metric g_{cb} on M is given by

$$g_{cb} = g_{j_i} B_c^j B_b^i.$$

Next transforming B_c^j and N^j by f_j^h , we can express them respectively as follows

$$(1.19) \quad f_j^h B_c^j = f_c^a B_a^h + w_c N^h, \quad f_j^h N^j = -w^a B_a^h,$$

where f_c^a denote the components of tensor field of type (1.1). w_c 1-form and w^a vector field associated with w^a given by $w^a = w_c g^{ca}$, g^{ca} being the contravariant components of the induced metric tensor g^{ca} . We also express the vector field u^h and v^h respectively as follows

$$(1.20) \quad u^h = u^a B_a^h + \mu N^h, \quad v^h = v^a B_a^h + \nu N^h,$$

where u^a and v^a are vector fields, μ and ν functions on M .

Applying the operator f_h^k to (1.19) and (1.20) respectively, and making use of (1.10), we obtain the so-called $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure given by

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} f_b^e f_e^a &= -\delta_b^a + u_b u^a + v_b v^a + w_b w^a, \\ f_e^a u^e &= -\lambda v^a + \mu w^a, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} f_e^a v^e &= \lambda u^a + \nu w^a, \\ f_e^a w^e &= -\mu u^a - \nu v^a \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.23) \quad & u_e f_a^e = \lambda v_a - \mu w_a, \quad v_e f_a^e = -\lambda u_a - \nu w_a, \quad w_e f_a^e = \mu u_a + \nu v_a, \\
 & u_e u^e = 1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2, \quad u_e v^e = -\mu\nu, \quad u_e w^e = -\lambda\nu, \\
 & v_e v^e = 1 - \lambda^2 - \nu^2, \quad v_e w^e = \lambda\mu, \\
 & w_e w^e = 1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2
 \end{aligned}$$

where u_a, v_a and w_a are 1-forms associated with u^a, v^a and w^a respectively given by $u_a = u^b g_{ba}, v_a = v^b g_{ba}$ and $w_a = w^b g_{ba}$. By putting $f_{ba} = f_b^c g_{ca}, f_{cb}$ is skew-symmetric because f_{j_1} is skew-symmetric.

Transvecting the last equation of (1.1) with $B_c^j B_b^i$ and substituting (1.20), we get

$$f_c^e f_b^d g_{ed} = g_{cb} - u_c u_b - v_c v_b - w_c w_b.$$

We now put

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.24) \quad & k_j^h B_b^j = k_b^a B_a^h + k_b N^h, \\
 & k_j^h N^j = k^a B_a^h + \alpha N^h,
 \end{aligned}$$

where k_b^a are components of a tensor field of type (1,1), k_b 1-form, k^a a vector field associated with k_b and α some function on M .

If we put

$$k_{ba} = k_b^c g_{ca},$$

then k_{ba} is symmetric because k_{j_1} is symmetric, also, we see easily verify that k_{ba} is a second fundamental tensor of M with respect to the unit normal D if M is regarded as a submanifold of codimension 3 in E^{2n+2} .

When the action of the tangent space is invariant under the tensor field k_j^i at every point of M , that is, k_b vanishes identically along M , we call M to be *k-invariant*. We will see (1.28) which is equivalent to $\alpha^2 = 1$.

When the action of the normal space is antiholomorphic under k_j^i at every point of M , that is, α vanishes identically along M , we call M to be *k-antiholomorphic*.

From the first equation of (1.24) we can see that

$$(1.25) \quad k_e^e = -\alpha.$$

Applying k_j^h to (1.24) respectively and making use of (1.7) and these equations, we obtain

$$(1.26) \quad k_c^c k_e^a = \delta_c^a - k_c k^a,$$

$$(1.27) \quad k_c^e k_e = -\alpha k_c,$$

$$(1.28) \quad k_e k^e = 1 - \alpha^2.$$

Transvecting (1.24) with f_h^k and taking account of (1.16), (1.19) and (1.24) itself, we find

$$(1.29) \quad k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = k_c w^a - w_c k^a,$$

$$(1.30) \quad k_c^e w_e + f_c^e k_e = -\alpha w_c.$$

From (1.17), (1.20) and (1.24), it follows that

$$(1.31) \quad k_c^e u_e = -v_c - \mu k_c, \quad k_c^e v_e = -u_c - \nu k_c.$$

$$(1.32) \quad k_e u^e = -\nu - \alpha \mu, \quad k_e v^e = -\mu - \alpha \nu.$$

Denoting by ∇_c the operator of the van der Waerden-Bortolotti covariant differentiation, we can write the equations of Gauss and Weingarten respectively

$$(1.33) \quad \nabla_c B_b^h = l_{cb} N^h, \quad \nabla_c N^h = -l_c^a B_a^h,$$

where l_c^b denote the components of the second fundamental tensor with respect to the unit normal vector N^h and $l_c^a = l_{cb} g^{ba}$. Then, from (1.9)

and the above equations the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are given by respectively

$$(1.34) \quad K_{dcb}^a = \delta_d^a g_{cb} - \delta_c^a g_{db} + k_d^a k_{cb} - k_c^a k_{db} + l_d^a l_{cb} - l_c^a l_{db},$$

$$(1.35) \quad \nabla_d l_{cb} - \nabla_c l_{db} = k_d k_{cb} - k_c k_{db}.$$

By differentiating (1.19), (1.20) and (1.24) covariantly along M and taking account of (1.18), (1.33) and the fact that $\nabla_j k_i^h = 0$, we obtain the structure equations on M as follows

$$(1.36) \quad \nabla_c f_b^a = -g_{cb} u^a + \delta_c^a u_b - k_{cb} v^a + k_c^a v_b - l_{cb} w^a + l_c^a w_b,$$

$$(1.37) \quad \nabla_c u_b = \mu l_{cb} - \lambda k_{cb} + f_{cb},$$

$$(1.38) \quad \nabla_c v_b = k_c^e f_{eb} - k_c w_b + \nu l_{cb} + \lambda g_{cb},$$

$$(1.39) \quad \nabla_c w_b = -m \mu g_{cb} - \nu k_{cb} + k_c v_b - l_{ce} f_b^e,$$

$$(1.40) \quad \nabla_c \lambda = -2v_c, \nabla_c \mu = w_c - \lambda k_c - l_{ce} u^e, \nabla_c \nu = k_{ce} w^e - l_{ce} v^e,$$

$$(1.41) \quad \nabla_c k_b^a = l_{cb} k^a + l_c^a k_b,$$

$$(1.42) \quad \nabla_c k_b = -k_{ba} l_c^a + \alpha l_{cb},$$

$$(1.43) \quad \nabla_c \alpha = -2l_{ce} k^e.$$

From these structure equations, we can easily see that the 1-form k_c is the third fundamental tensor when M is considered as a submanifold of codimension 2 immersed in $S^{2n+1}(1)$.

Finally, we introduce the following theorems for later use.

THEOREM A [5]. *Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$) with $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. If we take v^h as the unit normal vector, M as a submanifold of codimension 3 of a Euclidean space E^{2n+2} is an intersection of a complex cone with generator C and a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$.*

THEOREM B [5], [13]. *Let M be a compact orientable totally geodesic invariant hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$. Then M is $S^{n-1} \times S^n$.*

THEOREM C [5]. *Let M be a compact orientable hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$). If $k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = 0$, $l_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e l_e^a = 0$ and $\mu(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2)$ does not vanish almost everywhere, then M is $S^{n-1} \times S^n$.*

2. Hypersurface with $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$

In this section we assume that the $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure induced on M satisfies $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ and $n > 1$.

Using (1.23) and the fact of $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$, we can easily verify that

$$(2.1) \quad \mu u_b + \nu v_b = 0, \lambda u^a + \nu w^a = 0, -\lambda v^a + \mu w^a = 0$$

and hence $w_e f_b^e, u_e f_b^e$ and $v_e f_b^e$ vanish identically on M .

The function ν is a nonzero constant along M . In fact, if ν vanishes on some open set on M , we have $u_b = 0$ because of the first equation of (1.23) and $1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 = 0$. Differentiating this covariantly and using (1.37) we find

$$\mu l_{cb} - \lambda k_{cb} + f_{cb} = 0,$$

which implies that $f_{cb} = 0$ because k_{cb} are symmetric and f_{cb} is skew-symmetric with respect to b and c . Contracting (1.21) with respect to a and b , we obtain $n = 1$ with the aid of (1.23).

It contradicts $n > 1$. Therefore, the function ν takes nonzero value at some point of M .

If we differentiate the first equation of (2.1) covariantly and take the skew-symmetric part, then we obtain

$$(\nabla_c \mu)u_b - (\nabla_b \mu)u_c + \mu(f_{cb} - f_{bc}) + (\nabla_c \nu)u_b - (\nabla_b \nu)u_c + \nu(f_{eb}k_c^e - k_c w_b - f_{ec}k_b^e + k_b w_c) = 0.$$

This equation together with (1.29) becomes

$$(\nabla_c \mu)u_b - (\nabla_b \mu)u_c + 2\mu f_{cb} + (\nabla_c \nu)v_b - (\nabla_b \nu)v_c = 0,$$

from which, transvection f^{cb} gives

$$\mu f_{cb} f^{cb} = 0$$

with the aid of $f_e^a u^e = f_e^a v^e = 0$. Thus, we see that the function

$$(2.2) \quad \mu = 0$$

on M . From (1.23), (2.2) and the assumption $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad v_b = 0,$$

which also show that the function λ is a constant because of the first equation of (1.40).

Hence

$$\nu = \pm \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2} = \pm \sqrt{1 - \lambda^2} = \text{constant}.$$

Since ν takes nonzero value at some point of M , we conclude

$$(2.4) \quad \nu = \text{constant}(\neq 0)$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$) with $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. Then M is minimal if and only if $\lambda = 0$ on M .*

Proof. From (1.32), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha = 0,$$

that is, M is k -antiholomorphic, which together with (1.28) implies

$$(2.6) \quad k_e k^e = 1.$$

So k^a is a unit vector. Moreover, using (1.31), we find

$$(2.7) \quad u_c = -\nu k_c$$

because of (2.3).

On the other hand, the second equation of (2.1) together with (2.4) and (2.7) yields

$$(2.8) \quad w_c = \lambda k_c.$$

Transvecting g^{cb} to (1.38) and taking account of (2.3), (2.6) and (2.8), we find

$$(2.9) \quad l = -2\lambda(n-1)/\nu$$

because of (2.4), where we have put

$$l = g^{cb} l_{cb}.$$

Therefore, we have the lemma.

REMARK 1. If $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ on the hypersurface M , we see that

$$\mu = 0, \nu = \text{constant}(\neq 0), v_c = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 0.$$

And if the function λ vanishes on some open set, then we have $v_c = 0$ and $\mu = 0$. Moreover, if the 1-form u_b is zero on an open set in M , then (1.37) implies $f_{cb} = 0$, which contradicts $n > 1$ as is shown above.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ with $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. If M is a minimal hypersurface of $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure, then M is Sasakian C -Einstein manifold.*

Proof. Since M is minimal, by lemma 2.1, we find

$$\nu^2 = 1$$

with the aid of (2.3), or equivalently

$$(2.10) \quad \nu = \pm 1.$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$(2.11) \quad u_b = \pm k_b, \quad w_b = 0.$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.11) into (1.21), we find

$$f_b^c f_e^a = -\delta_b^a + k_b k^a,$$

or, equivalently

$$f_c^d f_b^e g_{de} = g_{cb} - k_c k_b,$$

so that these together with (2.6) and the fact of $f_e^a u^e = 0$ imply that the aggregate (f_c^a, g_{cb}, k_a) defines an almost contact metric structure.

But, from (2.3) and (2.10), the second equation of (1.20) means the vector fields v^h is a unit normal vector to M in the direction of N^h or the opposite direction of N^h .

We will show that M is a Sasakian C -Einstein manifold in case of $\nu = -1$. Then (2.11) is

$$(2.12) \quad u_b = k_b, \quad w_b = 0.$$

Differentiating (2.12) covariantly and taking account of (1.37), (1.39), (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$(2.13) \quad f_{cb} = -l_{ce}k_b^e,$$

$$(2.14) \quad k_{cb} = l_{ce}f_b^e.$$

Also, we have

$$(2.15) \quad \nabla_c k_b = f_{cb}$$

Substituting (2.3) and (2.12) into (1.36), we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \nabla_c f_b^a = -g_{cb}k^a + \delta_c^a k_b$$

Thus, the aggregate (f_c^a, g_{cb}, k_a) defines a Sasakian structure.

On the other hand, if we transvect (2.13) with k_d^b , then we get

$$(2.17) \quad l_{cb} = -f_{ce}k_b^e.$$

Transvecting this with l_d^c and making use of (2.14), we have

$$(2.18) \quad l_{de}l_b^e = k_{de}k_b^e = g_{db} - k_d k_b$$

with the aid of (1.26).

Contracting (1.34) with respect to the indices d and a , using (1.25), (1.26), (2.5), (2.18) and the minimality of M , we have the Ricc tensor of the form

$$K_{cb} = 2(n - 2)g_{cb} + 2k_c k_b,$$

that is, M is C -Einstein.

In case of $\nu = 1$, putting $'k_a = -k_a$, we can easily verify that $(f_c^a, g_{cb}, 'k_a)$ defines a Sasakian C -Einstein structure on M by the same described above. Therefore Theorem 2.2 is completely proved.

Now, let's consider the following imbeddings :

$$M \xrightarrow{i} S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} E^{2n+2}$$

that is, M is regarded as a submanifold of codimension 3 in E^{2n+2} by the imbedding $\tilde{i} \circ i$. Putting $Z_a = B_a^j Z_j$, and $N = N^j Z_j$, we see that Z_a is vector field on M and N a unit vector field normal to M with respect to the ambient space E^{2n+2} . Denoting by $h_{cb} = h_{j_i} B_c^j B_b^i$, we see that l_{cb}, h_{cb} and k_{cb} are the second fundamental tensors with respect to the normals N, C , and D respectively. But, as h_{j_i} is of the form $h_{j_i} = g_{j_i}$, we have

$$(2.19) \quad h_{cb} = g_{cb}.$$

Suppose that M is minimal, we can see that M is a pseudo-umbilical manifold by considering (1.25), (2.5) and (2.19) ([3], [4]). Hence, by making use of Theorem A in section 1, we have :

THEOREM 2.3. *Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$) with $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. If M is minimal, then M as a submanifold of codimension 3 of a $(2n+2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n+2} is an intersection of a complex cone with generator C and a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$.*

(1.38) together with (2.3) implies

$$(2.20) \quad \nu l_{cb} = k_{ce} b_b^e + \lambda(k_c k_b - g_{cb})$$

with the aid of (2.8). From (1.43) with (2.5), it follows that

$$(2.21) \quad l_{ce}k^e = 0.$$

Also, making use of (1.30), the first equation of (1.21), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we find

$$(2.22) \quad k_{ce}w^e = 0,$$

$$(2.23) \quad k_{ce}u^e = c.$$

Transvecting (2.20) with f_a^b and making use of (2.22) and (2.23), we have

$$(2.24) \quad \nu l_{ce}f_b^e = -k_{cb} + \lambda f_{cb}.$$

Therefore, from (2.20), it follows that

$$(2.25) \quad l_{ce}l_b^a = -2\frac{\lambda}{\nu}l_{cb} + (g_{cb} - k_c k_b)$$

with the aid of (1.26), (2.4), (2.21) and (2.24), which implies

$$l_{cb}l^{cb} = 2(n-1) - 2\frac{\lambda}{\nu}l,$$

or, using (2.9)

$$(2.26) \quad l_{cb}l^{cb} = 2(n-1) + 4\frac{\lambda^2}{\nu^2}(n-1).$$

Assuming $\|l_{cb}\|^2 - 2(n-1) \leq 0$ at every point of M , we then have $\lambda = 0$. By Lemma 2.1, M is minimal.

Thus we have :

or, using (2.28)

$$(2.29) \quad (1 - \lambda)m - (1 + \lambda)s = -2(n - 1)\lambda.$$

Also, making use of (2.26), we have

$$(2.30) \quad (1 - \lambda/\nu)^2 m + (1 + \lambda/\nu)^2 s = 2(n - 1) + 4\lambda^2(n - 1)/\nu^2.$$

Thus, from (2.29) and (2.30), it follows that

$$(2.31) \quad m = s = n - 1.$$

If $x_1 = 0$, that is, $\lambda = 1$, then the first equation of (1.23) implies

$$u_e = 0$$

because of $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. But it is impossible by the Remark 1. Thus, we have $x_1 \neq 0$. Similarly, we can see that $x_2 \neq 0$. And x_1 and x_2 are distinct by their own properties.

Hence we have ;

THEOREM 2.5. *Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$) with $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. Then we have 3 distinct constant principal curvature with multiplicities 1, $n - 1, n - 1$ respectively.*

3. Antiholomorphic hypersurfaces satisfying $k \circ f + f \circ k = 0$

Let M be a hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ such that $k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = 0$ holds every point of M or, equivalently

$$(3.1) \quad k_{ce} f_b^e = k_{be} f_c^e.$$

Then (1.29) reduces to

$$(3.2) \quad k_c w_b - k_b w_c = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.1) with f^{cb} and taking account of (1.21), (1.22), (1.23) and (1.30) –(1.32), we find

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha(\mu^2 + \nu^2) + 2\mu\nu = 0$$

because the tensor $k_{ce} f_b^e$ is symmetric

If we transvect (3.1) with k_d^c and use (1.26), we have

$$f_{bd} - k_d(f_{be} k^e) = k_{be} k_d^c f_c^e,$$

as taking the symmetric part with respect to indices b and d ,

$$k_d(f_{be} k^e) + k_b(f_{de} k^e) = 0$$

because of skew-symmetric tensor f_{cb} .

Transvecting this with w^d and making use of (1.22) and (1.32), we obtain

$$\theta f_{be} k^e + \{\alpha(\mu^2 + \nu^2) + 2\mu\nu\} = 0,$$

which together with (3.3) gives

$$(3.4) \quad \theta f_{be} k^e = 0$$

where, here and in the sequel we have put

$$(3.5) \quad \theta = k_e w^e.$$

On the other hand, we easily verify from (3.2) that

$$(3.6) \quad (1 - \mu^2 - \nu^2)k_c = \theta w_c, (1 - \alpha^2)w_c = \theta k_c,$$

where we have used (1.23) and (1.28).

If the function $\|k_{be}f^e\|^2$ does not vanish at some point p of M , then we see from (3.4) that $\theta(p) = 0$ and hence $(1 - \alpha^2)w_c = 0$ at the point. So we have $w_c = 0$ at $p \in M$. Thus (1.30) leads to $f_{ce}k^e = 0$, which is contradictory. Consequently we have

$$f_{be}k^e = 0$$

on M . hence (1.30) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad k_{ce}w^e = -\alpha w_c.$$

Applying the expression $f_{be}k^e = 0$ with f_a^b and using (1.21) and (1.32), we find

$$k_a = \theta w_a - (\mu + \alpha\nu)v_a - (\nu + \alpha\mu)u^a,$$

which together with (3.6) gives

$$(3.8) \quad (\mu^2 + \nu^2)k_c + (\mu + \alpha\nu)v_c + (\nu + \alpha\mu)u_c = 0.$$

If we transvect (3.8) with u^c and v^c successively and consider (1.23), (1.32) and (3.3), we get

$$(3.9) \quad (\nu + \alpha\mu)(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = 0, (\mu + \alpha\nu)(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = 0.$$

Therefore, (3.8) implies

$$(3.10) \quad (\mu^2 + \nu^2)(1 - \alpha^2)(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = 0$$

because of (1.28).

Since we have from (3.3)

$$(3.11) \quad (\nu + \alpha\mu)^2 + (\mu + \alpha\nu)^2 = \mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu,$$

(3.9) is turned out to be

$$(3.12) \quad (\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu)(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = 0.$$

Differentiating (3.12) covariantly and considering the original expression, we find

$$(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2)\nabla_c(\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu) = 0.$$

If we suppose that the function $\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu$ is not constant at some point of M , then it means

$$\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$$

at this point. Hence, due to Remark 1 in section 1, we see that $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = \text{constant}$ at the point. It contradicts the fact that the function $\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu$ is not constant at the point.

Developed above, we have

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be a hypersurface satisfying (3.1) of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$. Then we have*

$$\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu^2 + \nu^2 + \alpha\mu\nu = 0$$

on M .

We now prove

LEMMA 3.2. *Under the same assumptions as those stated in Lemma 3.1, M is k -antiholomorphic if and only if $\lambda^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ holds at every point of M .*

Proof. If M is k -antiholomorphic, that is, α vanishes identically, then (3.12) yields

$$(3.13) \quad (\mu^2 + \nu^2)(1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2) = 0.$$

Also we have

$$(3.14) \quad k_e k^e = 1, \quad l_{ce} k^e = 0$$

because of (1.28) and (1.43).

We now suppose that the function μ and ν vanish at some point p of M , then (3.6) leads to

$$(3.15) \quad k_c = \theta w_c, \quad w_c = \theta k_c.$$

Thus, it follows that $\theta^2 = 1$ because k^a is a unit vector. Since $\mu(p) = 0$, the second equation of (1.40) means

$$l_{ce} u^e = (1 - \theta\lambda)w_c$$

with the aid of (3.15).

Transvecting this with w^c and taking account of (3.14) and (3.15) and the fact that w^a is a unit vector, we find $\theta\lambda = 1$ and consequently $\theta = \lambda = \text{constant}$ on the set of such points. Hence, the first equation of (1.40) means $v_c = 0$ at the point of M . Therefore, the fact

$$v_c v^c = 1 - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 - \nu^2$$

implies that $1 - \lambda^2 = 0$ at the point. So, using $\mu(p) = 0$, we see that $u_c = 0$ at $p \in M$. Due to Remark 1, it is contradictory. Thereby (3.13) reduces to $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ on M . So using Remark 1 again, it means $\lambda^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ on M .

Conversely, if $\lambda^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ holds on M , then we have $v_c = 0$. Thus the first expression of (1.32) gives

$$(3.16) \quad \mu + \alpha\nu = 0.$$

If $\mu^2 + \nu^2 + 2\alpha\mu\nu = 0$ holds on M , then (3.16) yields $\mu^2 = \nu^2$. So we have $\lambda^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. Hence, the first relationship of (1.23) means $u_c = 0$, which is contradictory.

Therefore, owing to Lemma 3.1, we see that

$$\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$$

holds on M . From Remark 1 in section 2, it follows that

$$\mu = 0, \quad \nu = \text{constant}(\neq 0).$$

Thus (3.16) implies that the function α vanishes identically. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

According to Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.4 of [5] and Lemma 3.2, we have

THEOREM 3.3. *Let M be a k -antiholomorphic hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})(n > 1)$ satisfying*

$$k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = 0.$$

If we take v^h as the unit normal vector, then M is a minimal Sasakian C -Einstein manifold.

THEOREM 3.4. *Under the same assumptions as those stated in Theorem 3.3, M as a submanifold of codimension 3 of a Euclidean $(2n + 2)$ -space, is an intersection of a complex cone with generator C and a $(2n + 1)$ -sphere $S^{2n+1}(1)$.*

Combining Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2, we conclude

THEOREM 3.5. *Let M be a k -antiholomorphic hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ ($n > 1$) satisfying $k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = 0$. If M is minimal (or the square of length of the second fundamental tensor of M is not greater than $2(n - 1)$ at every point of M), then M is the same type of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.*

Combining Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3.2, we have

THEOREM 3.6. *Let M be a k -antiholomorphic hypersurface of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})(n > 1)$ satisfying $k_c^e f_e^a + f_c^e k_e^a = 0$. Then the second fundamental tensor of M has three distinct constant principal curvatures $0, (1 - \lambda)/\nu, -(1 + \lambda)\nu$ with multiplicities $1, n - 1, n - 1$ respectively.*

References

1. Blair, D E, G D. Ludden and K Yano, *Introduced structure on submanifolds*, Kodai Math Sem Rep **22** (1970), 188-198
2. Blair, D E, G D. Ludden and K. Yano, *Hypersurface of odd-dimensional spheres*, J Diff Geo **5** (1971), 479-486.
3. Chen, B Y., *Pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature II*, J Math. Soc Japan **25** (1973), 106-114.
4. Chen, B Y. and K. Yano, *Pseudo-umbilical submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature*, Differential Geometry, in honor of K Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, pp 61-71
5. Eun, S-S, U-H. Ki and Y.H Kim, *On hypersurfaces of $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$* , J Korean Math Soc. **18** (1982), 109-122.
6. Ki, U-H, *A certain submanifold of codimension 2 of a Kahlerian manifold*, J Korean Math Soc **8** (1971), 31-37.
7. Ki, U-H, *On generic submanifolds with antinormal structure of an odd-dimensional sphere*, Kyungpook Math. J **20** (1980), 217-229.
8. Ki, U-H., J.S. Pak and H.B. Suh, *On $(f, g, u_{(k)}, \alpha_{(k)})$ -structures*, Kodai Math Sem. Rep **26** (1975), 160-175
9. Ki, U-H and H.B Suh, *On hypersurfaces with normal $(f, g, u_{(k)}, \alpha_{(k)})$ -structure in an even-dimensional sphere*, Kodai Math. Sem Rep **26** (1975), 424-437
10. Ki, U-H. and J S Pak, *Generic submanifolds of an even-dimensional Euclidean space*, J Diff. Geo **16** (1981), 293-303
11. Ki, U-H, J S Pak and Y H Kim, *Generic submanifolds of complex projective spaces with parallel mean curvature vector*, Kodai Math. J **1** (1981), 137-151
12. Ki, U-H. and Y.H. Kim, *Generic submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector of an odd-dimensional sphere*, Kodai Math. J. **4** (1981), 353-370.
13. Ludden, G D. and M Okumura, *Some integral formulas and their applications to hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$* , J. Diff. Geo **9** (1974), 617-631.
14. Matsuyama, Y. *Invariant hypersurfaces of $S^n \times S^n$ with constant mean curvature*, Hokkaido Math. **5** (1976), 210-217
15. Obata, M, *Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere*, J Math. Soc Japan **(3)14** (1962), 333-340.
16. Pak, E. U-H Ki, J S Pak and Y.H Kim, *Generic submanifolds with normal structure of an odd-dimensional sphere (I), (II)*, J of Korean Math Soc. (1983)
17. Yano K., *On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure induced on a hypersurfaces of an odd-dimensional sphere*, Tôhoku Math. J **23** (1971), 671-679
18. Yano, K, *Differential geometry of $S^n \times S^n$* , J. Diff Geo **8** (1973), 181-206.
19. Yano, K and S Ishihara, *Pseudo-umbilical submanifolds of codimension 2*, Kodai Math Sem Rep **21** (1969), 365-382.
20. Yano. K and M Okumura, *On (f, g, u, v, λ) -structure*, Kodai Math Sem Rep **22** (1970), 401-423
21. Yano. K and M Okumura, *On normal $S^n(1/\sqrt{2}) \times S^n(1/\sqrt{2})$ on submanifolds of codimension 2 in an even-dimensional Euclidean space*, Kodai Math Sem.

- Rep. **23** (1971), 172–197.
22. Yano, K. and U-H. Ki, *On $(f, g, u, v, w, \lambda, \mu, \nu)$ -structure satisfying $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$* , Kodai Math Sem. Rep **29** (1978), 285–307
- 23 Yano, K and M Kon, *Generic submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds*, Kodai Math J. **3** (1980), 163–196

Department of Mathematics
University of Ulsan
Ulsan 680–749, Korea