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(S)-(-)-Frontalin 1 is known to be the aggregation pheromone of the southern pine beetle Dendroctonus frontalins. ${ }^{1}$ The biologically active form of this 1,5 -dimethyl-6,8-dioxabic$\mathrm{yclo}[3.2 .1]$ octane compound is the ( $1 S, 5 R$ )-enantiomer, 1 (Figure 1). ${ }^{2}$ Since its antipode has been reported to be inactive, enantioselective syntheses of frontalin are of great interest. A number of enantioselective syntheses of both (+). and ( - )-frontalin have been reported. ${ }^{3}$
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Figure 1.
Although frontalin contains two asymmetric centers, only the stereoselective formation of the ( $1 S$ ) center needs to be considered since the correct configuration at $\mathrm{C}-5$ is dictated by this carbon center during the formation of the bicyclic structure. We report here enantioselective synthesis based on asymmetric synthesis i.e. "self-reproduction of chirality" method. The retrosynthetic analysis is shown in Scheme 1. Since ( - -frontalin 1 can be viewed as being formed by internal acetalization of the dihydroxyketone, benzyl protected compound 2 can be the intermediate. Methyl ketone functionality in 2 can be synthesized from terminal olefin 3 by Wacker oxidation. The compound 3 can be obtained by che-lation-controlled addition of Grignard reagent to the keto acetonide 4 followed by deprotection, oxidative cleavage, and reduction. The compound 4 can be derived from D-tartrate (Scheme 1).
Chelation-controlled addition of pentenylmagnesium bromie to the keto acetonide $4^{4}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF afforded the alcohol $5^{5}$ in $92 \%$ yied. Protection of the alcohol 5 with benzyl bromide provided the benzyl ether 6 in $95 \%$ yield. Deprotection of the acetonide moiety with aquous HCl yielded the diol 7 in $75 \%$ yield. Oxidative cleavage of the diol with $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAC})_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by the $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction afforded the alcohol 3 in $70 \%$ overall yield. Palladium-cataly-
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Reagents and Conditions: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{MgBr}$, THF, -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4$ h. (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{TBAl}$ (cat.), 10 min . (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, THF, it, 24 h. (d) $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{OAC}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}\right.$. (e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}_{4}$ $\mathrm{rt}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$. (f) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$, benzoquinone, $5 \%$ aqueous THF. $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$. (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 2.
zed Wacker oxidation ${ }^{6}$ of 3 with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) with benzoquinone as oxidant afforded the penultimate product 2 in $89 \%$ yield. In our hands, Wacker oxidation ${ }^{7}$ of 3 with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (cat), $\mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (7:1) system did not work. Fi nally, debenzylation with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at atmosperic pressure afforded the target bicyclic compound $1,[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-44.2$ ( $c 0.25, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $\left[\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{34}[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{20}-45\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 2). The spectral and physical data of 1 thus synthesized were identical with the data reported in the literature. ${ }^{3}$

## Experimental

(6S,7S,8R)-9-Benzyloxy-7,8-isopropylidenedioxy-6-methyl-1-nonene-6-ol (5). To a stirred solution of acetonide ketone $4(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 5 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added pentenylmagnesium bromide ( 1.2 mL , 2.4 mmol, 2 M solution in THF) and stirred for 4 h at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4}$ CI solution ( 1 mL ). THF was evaporated and the residue was extracted with diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes $1: 3 R_{f}=0.57$ ) to afforded $5(368 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $1: 3, R_{f}=0.57$. $[a]_{D}{ }^{25}=+2.4$ (c $1.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.20 (bs, 1H), 3.50 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.75 (d, 1 H , $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 7.32 (m, 5H). IR (neat) $3550,3080,2910,1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (m/e) 334 (M'). $^{-}$) $243,113,107,91$ (base peak), 72.
(6S,7S,8R)-9,6-Dibenzyloxy-7,8-isopropylidene-dioxy-6-methyl-1-noneme (6). To a stirred solution of $5(280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ were added $\mathrm{NaH}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 3.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium iodine (cat.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min . To this reaction mixture was added benzylbromide ( $574 \mathrm{mg}, 3.36$ mmol) and then stirred at reflux for 30 min. The solution
was cooled and then extracted with diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (EtOAc./hexanes $1: 3$ $R_{i}=0.75$ ) to afforded 6 ( $338 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{he}-$ xanes $\left.1: 3, R=0.75 .[a]_{i}\right)^{2}=+10.8\left(c 0.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .1 .42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ). 2.04 (m, 2H), 3.52 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). 3.60 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.1,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (m, 10H). IR (neat) $3090,2905,1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{1} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}) 424$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 221,203,107,91$ (base peak).
(6S,7S,8R)-9,6-Dibenzyloxy-6-methyi-1-nonene-7,8diol (7). To a stirred solution of 6 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then stired at room temperature for 24 h . To the reaction mixture was added saturated sodium bicarbonate solution ( 1 mL ) and stirred for 20 min , and then extracted with diethyl ether ( 40 mL ). The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes $1: 3 R_{f}=0.30$ ) to afforded $7(201 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%)$. TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, EtOAc/hexanes $1: 3, R_{f}=0.30 .[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-7.6(c$ $\left.0.41, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MH} 2, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. IR (neat) $3500,3050,2930,1640$ $\mathrm{cm}{ }^{\prime}$. MS (m/e) $384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 181,203,107,91$ (base peak), 79.
(6S)-6-Benzyloxy-6-methyl-1-heptene-7-ol (3). To a stirred solution of $7(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(922 \mathrm{mg}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 30 min , the reaction mixture was filtered through celite pad and evaporated in vacuo to afforded the crude aldehyde. To a solution of sodium borohydride (118 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added the crude aldehyde ( $243 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min. After quenching with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the reaction mixture was extracted with diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). The ether layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography ( $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes 1 : $3 R_{f}=0.33$ ) to afforded $3(170 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$. TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $1: 3, R_{f}=0.33 .[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-3.6\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$ (m, 1H), 7.37 (m, 5H). IR (neat) $3520,3060,2980,1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (m/e) $234\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 203,143,107,91$ (base peak). 79, 55.
(6S)-7-Hydroxy-6-benzyloxy-6-methyl-2-heptanone (2). To a stirred solution of 3 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $5 \%$ aqueous THF ( 2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzoquinone ( $69.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring for $2 h$ at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite pad and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was separated by $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (EtOAc /hexanes $1: 1 R_{f}=0.28$ ) to afforded $2(142 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%)$. TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $1: 1, R_{f}=0.28 .[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-20$ (c 0.25 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7$ Hz ), 3.58 (s, 2H), $4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. IR (neat) 3510 , $3065,2985,1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS (m/e) $250\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 159,107,91$
(base peak), 77, 71.
(S)-Frontalin (1) : (S)-(-)-1,5-Dimethyl-6,8-dioxabicyclo $3,2,1]$ octane (1). To a stirred solution of $2(100 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.40 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and stirred for 3 h . The catalyst was removed by filtration and solvent was distilled through a short vigreux column at atmospheric pressure. The crude material was purified by distillation using Kugelrohr apparatus to yield ( $\$$ )-frontalin 1 ( $45.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ). TLC; $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, EtOAc/hexanes $1: 2, R_{f}=0.50 .[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{25}=-44.2$ (c $\left.0.25, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.32$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.43 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.15-$ $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
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In the course of the reaction between trans, cis, cis- $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{II})$, A , and the primary amines in tetrahydrofuran (THF) under argon at room temperature, the continuous color change of $\mathbf{A}$ was observed. Compound $\mathbf{A}$ changed its color much more rapidly in air in various solvents even in the absence of the amines. This kind of air-sensitivity appeared to be both solvent- and temperature-dependent. We decided to investigate how the product was formed and to determine its molecular structure. Herein we report the preparation and structure of cis, cis, trans- $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}$ (VI), $\mathbf{B}$, which was formed by oxidation of $\mathbf{A}$.

## Experimental

Unless otherwise stated, all the reactions have been performed with standard Schlenk line and cannula techniques under an argon atmosphere. Air-sensitive solids were manipulated in a glove box filled with an argon gas. Glassware was either flame-dried or oven-dried. Benzene, diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and hydrocarbon solvents were stirred over sodium metal and distilled under vacuum. NMR solvents $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ were freeze-pump-thaw degassed before use and stored over zeolite 4A under argon. Triphenylphosphine $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} ; \mathrm{Ph}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ was purchased from Aldrich Co. and used as received. $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}$ (II), A, was prepared by the literature method. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded with a Hitach $110060-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer and a Varian $200-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrometer with reference to tetramethylsilane and $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, respectively. IR spectra were recorded with a Nicolet 205 FTIR spectrophotometer. Melting points were measured with a Thomas Hoover capillary melting point apparatus without calibration.

Preparation of cis, cis, trans- $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}$ (VI), B. A blue slurry of A $(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of THF was stirred for 4 h at room temperature or refluxed

[^0]Table 1. Crystallographic Data and Summary of Data Collection and Structure Refinement

| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | F(000) | 1680 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fow | 844.30 | no. of | 2907 |
| crystal system | monoclinic | unique data |  |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | no. of rellns | 2708 |
| a, $\AA$ | 19.097(3) | used, $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |  |
| b, $\AA$ | 9.973(3) | no. of params | 340 |
| c, $\AA$ | 19.201(6) | Z | 4 |
| $\beta$, deg | 111.32(2) | scan range | $3<2 \theta<50^{\circ}$ |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 3407(2) | scan type | $\omega$-20 |
| $d_{\text {atak }} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.646 | GOF | 1.124 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.863 | R | 0.0536 |
| Max. in $\Delta \rho$ ( $\mathrm{e}^{3-}$ ) 0.61 |  | $w \mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.1226 |

${ }^{a} w \mathrm{R}_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{0}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /\left.\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{a}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right|^{1 / 2}\right.$
for 2 h in air to form a dark brown solution. The solution was filtered, concentrated, and layered by hexanes to give orange crystalline cis, cis, trans- $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{VI}), \mathbf{B}$, ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 8.0-6.7(\mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{\mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 58.35 \mathrm{Mp}$ (decomp): $271-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}$ (Nujol): 1155 $(\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}), 1115,1065,1052,972$ ( $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$, sym.), 894 ( $\mathrm{Mo}=\mathrm{O}$, asym., sh), $853,725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
X-ray Structure Determination. All X-ray data were collected with use of an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 automated diffractometer equipped with a Mo X-ray tube and a graphite crystal monochromator. Details on crystal and intensity data are given in Table 1. The orientation matrix and unit cell parameters were determined from 25 machine-centered reflections with $16<2 \theta<24^{\circ}$. Axial photographs were used to verify the unit cell choice. Intensities of three check reflections were monitored after every 1 h during data collection. Data were corrected for Lorenti and polarization effects. The intensity data were empirically corrected with $\psi$-scan data. All calculations were carried out on the personal computer with use of the SHELXS-86, ${ }^{2}$ SHELXL- $93^{3}$ programs.

An orange crystal, shaped as a block, of approximate dimensions $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for crystal and intensity data collection. The unit cell parameters and systematic absences, $0 k 0(k=2 n+1), 00(l=2 n+1)$, and $h 0(l=2 n+1)$, unambiguously indicated $P 2_{1} / c$ as the space group. The structure was solved by direct methods. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the phenyl rings were treated as rigid groups. All hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model. The selected bond distances and bond angles are shown in Table 2; final atomic positional parameters for non-hydrogen atoms, anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms, hydrogen atom coordinates, full bond distances and bond angles, and tables of observed and calculated structure factors are available as supplementary materials.

## Results and Discussion

Formation of B. A blue complex, trans, cis, cis- $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}$ (II), A, was gradually air-oxidized to form a known orange complex cis, cis, trans- $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}$ (VI), B, in THF for 4 h at room temperature (Eq. 1). This
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