# THE WEAK RADON-NIKODYM SETS IN C(K)

### CHUN KEE PARK

## 1. Introduction.

The space  $(X^*, \sigma(X^*, X))$  can be considered as a subspace of a  $(C(K), t_p(D))$  space endowed with the topology  $t_p(D)$  of pointwise convergence on a dense subset D of a compact Hausdorff space K. Riddle, Saab and Uhl have studied the weak Radom-Nikodym sets in dual Banach spaces ([3], [4], [5], [6]). In particular, Saab [6] showed that if a weak\*-compact convex subset E of the dual  $X^*$  of a Banach space X has the WRNP then for any bounded linear operator T from a Banach space Y into X,  $T^*(E)$  has the WRNP in  $Y^*$ .

In this paper, we investigate some properties of a P(D)-set in the C(K) space, which is a generalization of weak Random-Nikodym sets in dual Banach spaces, and we use these results to generalize the Saab's result [6].

#### 2. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper, K denotes a compact Hausdorff space and C(K) the Banach space of continuous real-valued functions on K endowed with the supremum norm. If F is a subset of K, we denote by  $t_p(F)$  the topology in C(K) of pointwise convergence on F. If D is a dense subset of K, then  $t_p(D)$  is a Hausdorff locally convex topology in C(K).

Note that if X is a Banach space and B is a norming subset of  $B_{X^*}$ , then the convex hull of B, D = co(B), is a dense subset of the compact space  $K = (B_{X^*}, weak^*)$ , and so considering X as a subspace of  $C(B_{X^*})$  the topology  $\sigma(X, B)$  is the one induced by  $t_p(D)$ . In particular, dealing with a dual Banach space  $X^*$  the  $weak^*$  topology is obtained when we take  $K = B_{X^{**}}$  and  $D = B = B_X$ .

Received June 30, 1995.

DEFINITION 2.1 [1]. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, D a dense subset of K, and H a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D)$ -compact subset of C(K). H is called a P(D)-set if every sequence  $\{d_n\}$  in D has a subsequence  $\{d_{n_j}\}$  such that  $\{h(d_{n_j})\}$  converges for each  $h \in H$ .

A closed bounded convex subset H of a Banach space X is said to have the weak Radon-Nikodym property (WRNP) if for every complete probability space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and every vector measure  $m: \Sigma \to X$  such that the average range of m

$$AR(m) = \left\{ \frac{m(E)}{\mu(E)}; \ E \in \Sigma, \ \mu(E) > 0 \right\}$$

is contained in H, there exists a Pettis integrable function  $f: \Omega \to X$  such that  $m(A) = \int_A f d\mu$  for every A in  $\Sigma$ . Some relevant definitions are given in [1], [2] and [7].

The following theorem is useful to obtaining our results.

THEOREM 2.2 [1]. Let H be a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D)$ -compact convex subset of C(K). Then H is a P(D)-set if and only if H has the WRNP.

#### 3. Main results.

Throughout this section,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  denote compact Hausdorff spaces and  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  denote dense subsets of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  respectively. For a continuous mapping  $T: K_1 \to K_2$ , define  $T^*: C(K_2) \to C(K_1)$  by  $T^*f(x) = f(Tx)$  for every  $f \in C(K_2)$  and  $x \in K_1$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  be a continuous mapping such that  $T(D_1) \subseteq D_2$ . If H is a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$  -compact convex subset of  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is also a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact convex subset of  $C(K_1)$ .

Proof. It is clear that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is uniformly bounded. Since  $T^{\wedge}$  is linear,  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is convex. To prove that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact, it suffices to prove that

 $T^*: C(K_2) \to C(K_1)$  is  $t_p(D_2) - t_p(D_1)$ -continuous. Let  $f \in C(K_2)$  be arbitrary and let  $\{f_{\alpha}\}$  be a net in  $C(K_2)$  which converges to f

in  $t_p(D_2)$ -topology. Then  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y) = f(y)$  for each  $y \in D_2$ . Since  $T(D_1) \subseteq D_2$ ,  $\lim_{\alpha} T^{\wedge} f_{\alpha}(x) = \lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(Tx) = f(Tx) = T^{\wedge} f(x)$  for each  $x \in D_1$ . Therefore  $\{T^{\wedge} f_{\alpha}\}$  converges to  $T^{\wedge} f$  in  $t_p(D_1)$ -topology. Hence  $T^{\wedge}: C(K_2) \to C(K_1)$  is  $t_p(D_2) - t_p(D_1)$ -continuous at f, and so  $T^{\wedge}$  is  $t_p(D_2) - t_p(D_1)$ -continuous.

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  be a continuous mapping such that  $T(D_1) \subseteq D_2$  and H a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$  -compact subset of  $C(K_2)$ . If H is a  $P(D_2)$ -set in  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is a  $P(D_1)$ -set in  $C(K_1)$ .

Proof. Suppose that H is a  $P(D_2)$ -set in  $C(K_2)$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact subset of  $C(K_1)$ . Let  $\{d_n\}$  be a sequence in  $D_1$ . Then  $\{Td_n\}$  is a sequence in  $D_2$  because  $T(D_1) \subseteq D_2$ . Since H is a  $P(D_2)$ -set in  $C(K_2)$ ,  $\{Td_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{Td_{n_j}\}$  such that  $\{h(Td_{n_j})\}$  converges for each  $h \in H$ . Hence  $\{T^{\wedge}h(d_{n_j})\}$  converges for each  $h \in H$ . This implies that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is a  $P(D_1)$ -set in  $C(K_1)$ .

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.2 we obtain:

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  be a continuous mapping such that  $T(D_1) \subseteq D_2$  and H a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$ -compact convex subset of  $C(K_2)$ . If H has the WRNP in  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  has the WRNP in  $C(K_1)$ .

LEMMA 3.4. Suppose that  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  is continuous and  $C(K_2)$  is equicontinuous. If H is a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$ -compact convex subset of  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is also a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact convex subset of  $C(K_1)$ .

Proof. It is clear that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is uniformly bounded and convex. To prove that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact, it suffices to prove that  $T^{\wedge}\colon C(K_2)\to C(K_1)$  is  $t_p(D_2)$ -  $t_p(D_1)$ -continuous. Let  $f\in C(K_2)$  be arbitrary and let  $\{f_{\alpha}\}$  be a net in  $C(K_2)$  which converges to f in  $t_p(D_2)$ -topology. Then  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y) = f(y)$  for each  $y \in D_2$ . Since  $D_2$  is dense in  $K_2$ , for each  $x \in D_1$  there exists a net  $\{y_{\beta}\}$  in  $D_2$  such that  $\lim_{\beta} y_{\beta} = Tx$ . Therefore  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta}) = f(y_{\beta})$  for each  $\beta$  and  $\lim_{\beta} f(y_{\beta}) = f(Tx)$ . We

will show that  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(Tx) = f(Tx)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\lim_{\beta} f(y_{\beta}) = f(Tx)$ , there exists a  $\beta_1$  such that  $\beta \geq \beta_1$  implies  $|f(y_{\beta}) - f(Tx)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Since  $\{f_{\alpha}\}$  is equicontinuous, there exists an open neighborhood U of Tx such that  $|f_{\alpha}(y) - f_{\alpha}(Tx)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for each  $\alpha$  and  $y \in U$ . Since  $\lim_{\beta} y_{\beta} = Tx$ , there exists a  $\beta_2$  such that  $\beta \geq \beta_2$  implies  $y_{\beta} \in U$ . Hence  $\beta \geq \beta_2$  implies  $|f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta}) - f_{\alpha}(Tx)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for all  $\alpha$ . Choose a  $\beta_0$  such that  $\beta_1 \leq \beta_0$  and  $\beta_2 \leq \beta_0$ . Since  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta_0}) = f(y_{\beta_0})$ , there exists an  $\alpha_0$  such that  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$  implies  $|f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta_0}) - f(y_{\beta_0})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Therefore

$$\alpha \ge \alpha_0 \Rightarrow |f_{\alpha}(Tx) - f(Tx)|$$

$$\le |f_{\alpha}(Tx) - f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta_0})| + |f_{\alpha}(y_{\beta_0}) - f(y_{\beta_0})| + |f(y_{\beta_0}) - f(Tx)|$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

This implies that  $\lim_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(Tx) = f(Tx)$ . Thus  $\lim_{\alpha} T^{\wedge} f_{\alpha}(x) = T^{\wedge} f(x)$  for each  $x \in D_1$ , and so  $\{T^{\wedge} f_{\alpha}\}$  converges to  $T^{\wedge} f$  in  $t_p(D_1)$ -topology. Therefore  $T^{\wedge} \colon C(K_2) \to C(K_1)$  is  $t_p(D_2) - t_p(D_1)$ -continuous at  $f \in C(K_2)$ , and so  $T^{\wedge}$  is  $t_p(D_2) - t_p(D_1)$ -continuous.

THEOREM 3.5. Suppose that  $(K_2, \rho)$  is a compact metric space,  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  is a continuous mapping and  $C(K_2)$  is equicontinuous. If a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$ -compact subset H of  $C(K_2)$  is a  $P(D_2)$ -set in  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is a  $P(D_1)$ -set in  $C(K_1)$ .

Proof. By Lemma 3.4,  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_1)$ -compact in  $C(K_1)$ . Let  $\{d_n\}$  be a sequence in  $D_1$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since H is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, H is relatively compact in norm topology by Arzela-Ascoli theorem. Hence there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\rho(s,t) < \delta$  implies  $\sup_{h \in H} |h(s) - h(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $D_2$  is dense in  $K_2$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $e_n \in D_2$  such that  $\rho(Td_n, e_n) < \delta$ . Since H is a  $P(D_2)$ -set in  $C(K_2)$ ,  $\{e_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{e_{n_j}\}$  such that  $\{h(e_{n_j})\}$  converges for each  $h \in H$ . Let  $\lim_j h(e_{n_j}) = a_h$  for each  $h \in H$ . Then for each  $h \in H$  there exists  $N_h \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $j \geq N_h$  implies  $|h(e_{n_j}) - a_h| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Therefore

$$j \ge N_h \Rightarrow \left| h(Td_{n_j}) - a_h \right|$$

$$\le \left| h(Td_{n_j}) - h(e_{n_j}) \right| + \left| h(e_{n_j}) - a_h \right|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\lim_{j} h(Td_{n_j}) = a_h$  for each  $h \in H$ . That is,  $\lim_{j} T^{\wedge} h(d_{n_j}) = a_h$  for each  $h \in H$ . Therefore  $\{T^{\wedge} h(d_{n_j})\}$  converges for each  $h \in H$ . This implies that  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  is a  $P(D_1)$ -set in  $C(K_1)$ .

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.5 we obtain:

COROLLARY 3.6. Suppose that  $(K_2, \rho)$  is a compact metric space,  $T: K_1 \to K_2$  is a continuous mapping and  $C(K_2)$  is equicontinuous. If a uniformly bounded  $t_p(D_2)$ -compact convex subset H of  $C(K_2)$  has the WRNP in  $C(K_2)$  then  $T^{\wedge}(H)$  has the WRNP in  $C(K_1)$ .

#### References

- [1]. B. Cascales and G. Vera, Topologies weaker than the weak topology of a Banach space, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 182 (1994), 41-68.
- [2]. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr., Vector measures, Math. Surveys., Vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [3]. L. H. Riddle, The geometry of weak Radon-Nikodym sets in dual Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 86, No. 3 (1982), 433-438.
- [4]. ———, Dunford-Pettis operators and weak Radon-Nikodym sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 91, No. 2 (1984), 254-256.
- [5]. L. H. Riddle, E. Saab and J. J. Uhl Jr., Sets with the weak Radon-Nikodym property in dual Banach spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., Vol. 32, No. 4 (1983), 527-541.
- [6] E. Saab, Some characterizations of weak Radon-Nikodym sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 86, No. 2 (1982), 307-311.
- [7]. M. Talagrand, Pettis integral and measure theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 307, 1984.

Department of Mathematics Kangwon National University Chuncheon, 200-701, Korea