# A REMARK ON p-ADIC q-BERNOULLI MEASURE

HAN SOO KIM, PIL-SANG LIM AND TAEKYUN KIM

#### I. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of p-adic rational integers, the field of p-adic rational numbers and the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , respectively. Let  $v_p$  be the normalized exponential valuation of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|p|_p = p^{-v_p(p)} = p^{-1}$ . We set  $p^* = p$  for any prime p > 2 and  $p^* = 4$  for p = 2.

Let  $\bar{f} = [f, p^*]$  be the least common multiple f and  $p^*$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}$  the rational integer ring.

We set

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}} = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}/\bar{f}p^n \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 0,$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^* = \bigcup_{\substack{0 < a < p\bar{f} \\ (a,p)=1}} a + \bar{f}p \mathbb{Z}_p,$$

$$a + \bar{f}p^n \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}} | x \equiv a \pmod{\bar{f}p^n}\},$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  lies in  $0 \le a < \bar{f}p^n$ .

When we talk q-extension, q is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex number  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , or a p-adic number  $q \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , we normally assume |q| < 1. If  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , we normally assume  $|q-1|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , so that  $q^x = e^{x \log q}$  for  $|x|_p < 1$ . Carlitz's q-Bernoulli numbers  $\beta_k = \beta_k(q)$  [1] can be determined inductively by

$$\beta_0 = 1$$
,  $q(q\beta + 1)^k - \beta^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$ 

Received June 2, 1994.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 10A40.

Key words: p-adic number, p-adic q-Bernoulli measure, Bernoulli number.

This paper was partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF and Jangjun Research Institute for Mathematical Science.

with the usual convention of replacing  $\beta^k$  by  $\beta_k$ .

Let [x] be denoted by  $[x] = [x:q] = \frac{1-q^x}{1-q}$ .

For any positive integer N,

$$\mu_q(a + \bar{f}p^N \mathbb{Z}_p) = \frac{q^a}{[\bar{f}p^N]}.$$

is known as a distribution on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{f}}$  [2].

In the p-adic case [2], Carlitz's q-Bernoulli numbers  $\beta_k = \beta_k(q)$  are represented by a q-analogue of Witt's formula and some properties are investigated.

In the complex case [1], the Carlitz's numbers  $B^k(q) = B_k(q)$  are determined by

$$B_0(q) = 1$$
,  $(qB(q) + 1)^k - B_k(q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$ 

with the usual convention of replacing  $B_k(q)$  by  $B^k(q)$  [1],[5]. These numbers  $B_k(q)$  induce Carlitz's numbers  $\beta_k(q) = \beta_k$ .

Note that  $B_k(q) \to B_k$  as  $q \to 1$ . In this paper, we assume that  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|1-q|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , so that  $q^x = e^{x \log q}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In [2], the p-adic q-Bernoulli polynomials  $B_k(x:q)$  are represented by

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x+t]^n q^{-t} d\mu_q(t) = B_n(x:q)$$

Let K be a field over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then we call a function  $\mu$  a K-measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$  if  $\mu$  is finitely additive function defined on open-closed subsets in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$ , whose values are in the field K. Any open-closed subset in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$  is disjoint union of some finite intervals  $I_{a,n} = a + p^n \bar{f} \mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  prime to  $\bar{f}$ , and therefore a K-measure  $\mu$  is determined by its values on all the intervals in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}^{(f)}$  denote the set of all rational numbers, whose denominator is a divisor of  $\bar{f}p^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .

In this paper, we shall prove a q-analogue of the Nasybullin's lemma and construct a p-adic q-Bernoulli measure to obtain a p-adic q-L-function.

### II. q-analogue of Nasybullin's lemma

First, we wil prove a q-analogue of Nasybullin's lemma.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a K-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{Q}^{(f)}$  with the property; there exist two constansts  $A,B \in K$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} R(\left[\frac{x+k}{p}:q^p\right]) = AR([x:q]) + BR([px:q^{\frac{1}{p}}]),$$

$$R([x+1:q]) = R([x:q])$$

for any number  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^{(f)}$ . And let  $\rho \neq 0$  be a root of the equation  $y^2 = Ay + Bp$ . Then there exists a  $K(\rho)$ -measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$  such that

$$\mu(I_{a,n}) = \rho^{-n} R(\left[\frac{a}{p^n \tilde{f}} : q^{p^n \tilde{f}}\right]) + B \rho^{-(n+1)} R(\left[\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \tilde{f}} : q^{p^{n-1} \tilde{f}}\right])$$

for any interval  $I_{a,n}$ .

Note that if  $q \to 1$ , then the above Theorem 1 is Nasybullin' lemma [4].

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu(I_{a+p^n \bar{f}k,n+1}) = \mu(I_{a,n}).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \mu(I_{a+p^n \bar{f}k,n+1}) \\ &= \rho^{-(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} R([\frac{a+p^n \bar{f}k}{p^{n+1} \bar{f}} : q^{p^{n+1} \bar{f}}]) \\ &+ B \rho^{-(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} R([\frac{a+p^n \bar{f}k}{p^n \bar{f}} : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) \end{split}$$

Han Soo Kim, Pil-Sang Lim and Taekyun Kim

$$\begin{split} &= \rho^{-(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} R([\frac{k + \frac{a}{p^n f}}{p} : (q^{p^n f})^p]) \\ &+ B \rho^{-(n+2)} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} R([\frac{a}{p^n \bar{f}} + k : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) \\ &= \rho^{-(n+1)} A R([\frac{a}{p^n \bar{f}} : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) + \rho^{-(n+1)} B R([\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}} : q^{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}]) \\ &+ B \rho^{-(n+2)} p R([\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}} : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) \\ &= \rho^{-(n+2)} (\rho A + p B) R([\frac{a}{p^n \bar{f}} : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) + \rho^{-(n+1)} B R([\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}} : q^{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}]) \\ &= \rho^{-n} R([\frac{a}{p^n \bar{f}} : q^{p^n \bar{f}}]) + \rho^{-(n+1)} B R([\frac{a}{p^{n-1} \bar{f}} : q^{p^{n-1} \bar{f}}]) \\ &= \mu(I_{a,n}). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\mu(I_{a,n}) = \sum_{\substack{b \pmod{p^{n+1}\bar{f}}\\b \equiv a \pmod{p^n\bar{f}}}} \mu(I_{b,n+1}).$$

This proves our assertion because any open-closed subset is a disjoint union of some finite intervals, as already remarked above.

## III. On q-Bernoulli functions

Let  $B_m(x:q)$  be the *m*th *q*-Bernoulli polynomials and let  $P_m([x])$  be the *m*th *q*-Bernoulli functions, that is,

$$P_m([x]) = B_m(x:q)$$
 for  $0 \le x < 1$ .

For any real x, we easily see that  $P_m([x+1]) = P_m([x])$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \to 1} P_m([x]) = P_m(x),$$

where  $P_m(x)$  is the usual Bernouli functions.

As is known [2], for any real number x

$$[p]^{m-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} P_m([\frac{x+i}{p}:q^p]) = p_m([x:q]).$$

Thus the function  $P_m([x])$  satisfied the property of q-Nasybullin's lemma with constants  $A = [p]^{1-m}$ , B = 0. Then  $\rho \neq 0$  is equal to  $[p]^{1-m}$ , as  $\rho^2 = A\rho + Bp$  reduces simply to  $\rho^2 = [p]^{1-m}\rho$ .

Therefore we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 2. Let the function  $\mu_m = \mu_{m:q}$  be defined on  $I_{a,n}$  by

$$\mu_m(I_{a,n}) = [\bar{f}p^n]^{m-1}P_m([\frac{a}{\bar{f}p^n}:q^{\bar{f}p^n}]).$$

Then  $\mu_m$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p(q)$ -measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*$ .

Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $\bar{f}$ . Then the generalized q-Bernoulli number is defined in [2] by

$$\begin{split} B_{k,\chi}(q) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_f} \chi(x) q^{-x} [x]^k d\mu_q(x) \\ &= [\bar{f}]^{k-1} \sum_{q=0}^{\bar{f}-1} \chi(a) B_k(\frac{a}{\bar{f}} : q^{\bar{f}}). \end{split}$$

We can computer a q-analogue of the p-adic L-function of Kubota-Leopoldt by the following p-adic q-Mellin-Mazur tranform [2] with respect to  $\mu_m$ .

Let

$$L(\mu_m, \chi) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{\bar{f}}^*} \chi(a) d\mu_m(a)$$

$$= \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{a \pmod{p^{\rho} \bar{f}} \\ a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, \bar{f}) = 1}} \chi(a) \mu_m(I_{a, \rho}).$$

Han Soo Kim, Pil-Sang Lim and Taekyun Kim

Since the character  $\chi$  is constant on the interval  $I_{a,0}$ ,

$$\begin{split} L(\mu_m, \chi) &= \sum_{a \pmod{\bar{f}}} \chi(a) \mu_m(I_{a,0}) \\ &= \sum_{a \pmod{\bar{f}}} \chi(a) [\hat{f}]^{m-1} P_m([\frac{o}{\bar{f}}:q^{\bar{f}}]) \\ &= B_{m,\chi}(q) - \chi(p) [p]^{m-1} B_{m,\chi}(q^p), \end{split}$$

where  $B_{m,\chi}(q)$  denotes the *m*th *q*-Bernoulli number containing  $\chi$ . Therefore we obtain the following result. For  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$\frac{-1}{m}L(\mu_m, \chi\omega^{-m}) = \frac{-1}{m}(B_{m,\chi\omega^{-m}}(q) - \chi\omega^{-m}(p)[p]^{m-1}B_{m,\chi\omega^{-m}}(q^p))$$
$$= L_{p,q}(1-m,\chi).$$

### References

- L. Carlitz, q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, Duke Math.J. 15 (1948), 987-1000.
- T. Kim, On explicit formulas of p-adic q-L-functions, Kyushu. J. Math. 48 (1994), 73-86.
- H. S. Kim, P. S. Lim and T. Kim, On p-adic differentiable functions, Pusan. Kyoungnam Math. J. 10 (1994), 329-337.
- Ju. I. Manin, Periods of cusp forms and p-adic Heck series, Math. USSR. 21 (1973), 371-398.
- H. Tsumura, A note on q-analogue of the Dirichlet series and q-Bernoulli numbers, J.Number Theory. 39 (1991), 251-256.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, KOREA