

## NONWANDERING POINTS OF A MAP ON THE CIRCLE

JONG SOOK BAE<sup>1</sup>, SEONG HOON CHO<sup>2</sup>,  
KYUNG JIN MIN AND SEUNG KAB YANG

### § 1. Introduction

In study of the dynamics of a map  $f$  from a topological space  $X$  to itself, a central role is played by the various recursive properties of the points of  $X$ . One such property is periodicity. A weaker property is that of being nonwandering. Intermediate recursive properties include almost periodicity and recurrence.

Let  $C^0(X, X)$  denote the set of continuous maps from  $X$  into itself. And for any  $f \in C^0(X, X)$ , let  $P(f), R(f), \Lambda(f), \Gamma(f)$  and  $\Omega(f)$  denote the set of periodic points, recurrent points,  $\omega$ -limit points,  $\gamma$ -limit points and nonwandering points of  $f$ , respectively.

In 1988, J.C.Xiong [4] proved the following sequence of the sets and inclusion relation hold;

$$P(f) \subset R(f) \subset \Gamma(f) \subset \overline{P(f)} \subset \Lambda(f) \subset \Omega(f)$$

for any continuous map  $f$  of the interval  $I$ . But the equalities need not hold.

For a continuous map  $f$  of the circle  $S^1$ , J.S.Bae, S.H.Cho and S.K.Yang [2] obtained the similar result;

$$P(f) \subset R(f) \subset \Gamma(f) \subset \overline{R(f)} \subset \Lambda(f) \subset \Omega(f).$$

Also, in this case, the equalities need not hold.

---

Received May 1, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 58F.

Key words: recurrent points,  $\omega$ -limit points, nonwandering points,  $f$ -covering and circle map.

This research is supported by GARC.

On the other hand, in 1983, L. Block, E. Coven, I. Mulvey and Z. Nitecki [1] showed that for any continuous map  $f$  of the circle, if  $P(f)$  is closed and non-empty, then  $P(f) = \Omega(f)$ , and hence

$$(P(f) =)R(f) = \dots = \Omega(f).$$

In this paper, we will show that the above equalities hold unless  $P(f)$  is non-empty. Consequently, we obtain the following result.

**THEOREM A.** *For any  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ , if  $P(f)$  is empty, then*

$$R(f) = \Gamma(f) = \overline{R(f)} = \Lambda(f) = \Omega(f).$$

**§ 2. Preliminaries and definitions**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $f \in C^0(X, X)$ . And let  $f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$ , for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

A point  $x \in X$  is called a *recurrent point* of  $f$  if there exists a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x) \rightarrow x$ . We denote the set of recurrent points of  $f$  by  $R(f)$ .

A point  $x \in X$  is called a *nonwandering point* of  $f$  if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $f^m(U) \cap U \neq \phi$ . We denote the set of nonwandering points of  $f$  by  $\Omega(f)$ .

A point  $y \in X$  is called an  $\omega$ -*limit point* of  $x \in X$  if there exists a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x) \rightarrow y$ . We denote the set of  $\omega$ -limit points of  $x$  by  $\omega(x)$ . Define  $\Lambda(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \omega(x)$ .

A point  $y \in X$  is called an  $\alpha$ -*limit point* of  $x \in X$  if there exist a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  and a sequence  $\{y_i\}$  of points such that  $f^{n_i}(y_i) = x$  and  $y_i \rightarrow y$ . The symbol  $\alpha(x)$  denotes the set of  $\alpha$ -limit points of  $x \in X$ .

A point  $y \in X$  is called a  $\gamma$ -*limit point* of  $x$  if  $y \in \omega(x) \cap \alpha(x)$ . The symbol  $\gamma(x)$  denotes the set of  $\gamma$ -limit points of  $x$  and  $\Gamma(f) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \gamma(x)$ .

Let  $x \in S^1$  and  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$  be given. Then we will use the symbol  $\omega_+(x)$  ( resp.  $\omega_-(x)$  ) to denote the set of all points  $y \in S^1$

such that there exists a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers with  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $f^{n_i}(x) \rightarrow y$  and

$$y < \dots < f^{n_1}(x) < \dots < f^{n_2}(x) < f^{n_1}(x)$$

( resp.  $f^{n_1}(x) < f^{n_2}(x) < \dots < f^{n_i}(x) < \dots < y$  ).

A set  $E \subset X$  is said to be *invariant* under  $f$  if  $f(E) \subset E$ . It is clear that  $P(f)$ ,  $R(f)$ ,  $\Lambda(f)$ ,  $\Gamma(f)$  and  $\Omega(f)$  are invariant under  $f$ .

Let  $R$  be the set of reals and  $Z$  be the set of integers. Formally, we will think of the circle  $S^1$  as  $R/Z$  and use  $\pi : R \rightarrow R/Z$  to denote the canonical projection. In fact, the map  $\pi : R \rightarrow S^1$  is an example of a covering map, since it wraps  $R$  around  $S^1$  without doubling back (i.e., without critical points). To study the dynamics of the circle map, it is helpful to using a *lifting* .

Let  $f$  be a continuous map on the circle. We say that a continuous map  $F$  from  $R$  to itself is a *lifting* of  $f$  if  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ F$ .

We will use the following notations throughout this paper.

Let  $a, b \in S^1$  with  $a \neq b$ , and let  $A \in \pi^{-1}(a)$ ,  $B \in \pi^{-1}(b)$  with  $|A - B| < 1$  and  $A < B$ . Then we write  $\pi((A, B))$ ,  $\pi([A, B])$ ,  $\pi([A, B))$  and  $\pi((A, B])$  to denote the open, closed and half-open arcs from  $a$  counterclockwise to  $b$ , respectively, and we denote it by  $(a, b)$ ,  $[a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$  and  $(a, b]$ .

For  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with  $a \neq b$ , let  $X \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ ,  $Y \in \pi^{-1}(y)$  with  $X, Y \in [A, B]$ , then we define for  $x, y \in [a, b]$ ,  $x > y$  if and only if  $X > Y$ . Let  $C$  be a subset of a closed arc  $[a, b]$ , then we define  $\sup C = \pi(\sup(\pi^{-1}(C) \cap [A, B]))$  and  $\inf C = \pi(\inf(\pi^{-1}(C) \cap [A, B]))$ . In particular, for  $a, b, c \in S^1$ ,  $a < b < c$  means that  $b$  lies in the open arc  $(a, c)$ , that is,  $b \in (a, c)$ .

Now we consider the notation of an *f-covering*. The important property of an *f-covering* lies in the fact that if  $J$   $f^n$ -covers itself for some  $n$ , then  $f$  has a periodic point in  $J$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $X$  be  $I$  or  $S^1$  and  $f \in C^0(X, X)$ . Let  $J$  and  $K$  be two closed intervals in  $X$ . We say that  $J$  *f-covers*  $K$  if there is a closed subinterval  $L \subset J$  such that  $f(L) = K$ .

The following two lemmas appear in [3].

LEMMA 2.2. [3, Lemma 2] *Let  $X$  be  $S^1$  or  $I$  and  $f \in C^0(X, X)$ . Let  $J$  and  $K$  be proper closed intervals in  $X$  such that  $J$   $f$ -covers  $K$ . If  $L$  is a closed interval with  $L \subset K$ , then  $J$   $f$ -covers  $L$ .*

LEMMA 2.3. [3, Lemma 3] *Let  $f \in C^0(I, I)$ . Suppose that  $J$  is a proper closed interval in  $X$  such that  $J$   $f$ -covers  $J$  or  $f(J) \subset J$ . Then  $f$  has a fixed point in  $J$ .*

§ 3. Main Result

The following lemma appears in [2].

LEMMA 3.1. [2] *For any  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ ,  $x \in \Omega(f)$  if and only if  $x \in \alpha(x)$ .*

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$  and  $I = [a, b]$  be an arc for some  $a, b \in S^1$  with  $a \neq b$ , and let  $I \cap P(f) = \phi$ .*

- (a) *Suppose that there exists  $x \in I$  such that  $f(x) \in I$  and  $x < f(x)$ . Then*
  - (1) *if  $y \in I$ ,  $x < y$  and  $f(y) \notin [y, b]$ , then  $[x, y]$   $f$ -covers  $[f(x), b]$ ,*
  - (2) *if  $y \in I$ ,  $x > y$  and  $f(y) \notin [y, b]$ , then  $[y, x]$   $f$ -covers  $[f(x), b]$ .*
- (b) *Suppose that there exists  $x \in I$  such that  $f(x) \in I$  and  $x > f(x)$ . Then*
  - (1) *if  $y \in I$ ,  $x < y$  and  $f(y) \notin [a, y]$ , then  $[x, y]$   $f$ -covers  $[a, f(x)]$ ,*
  - (2) *if  $y \in I$ ,  $y < x$  and  $f(y) \notin [a, y]$ , then  $[y, x]$   $f$ -covers  $[a, f(x)]$ .*

*Proof.* We prove only part (a) because of the symmetry. Let  $A, B \in R$  with  $A < B$  such that  $\pi((A, B)) = (a, b)$ , and let  $X \in (A, B) \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$ . Then we can take a lifting  $F$  of  $f$  with  $F(X) \in (A, B)$ . By assumption, we know that  $A < X < F(X) < B$ .

(1) Let  $Y \in (A, B) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $F(Y) \notin (Y + N, B + N)$  for any integer  $N$ . If  $y > x$ , then  $Y > X$ , and hence  $F(Y) > Y$  because also  $F$  has no periodic points in  $[A, B]$ . Since  $F(Y) \notin (Y + N, B + N)$  for any integer  $N$ ,  $F(Y) > B > F(X)$ . Hence  $[X, Y]$   $F$ -covers  $[F(X), B]$ , so that  $[x, y]$   $f$ -covers  $[f(x), b]$ .

(2) Let  $Y \in (A, B) \cap \pi^{-1}(y)$ . Then  $F(Y) \notin (Y + N, B + N)$  for any integer  $N$ . If  $y < x$ , then  $Y < X$ , and hence  $F(Y) > Y$ . Therefore we have  $F(Y) > B > F(X)$ , so that  $[Y, X]$   $F$ -covers  $[F(X), B]$ , and hence  $[y, x]$   $f$ -covers  $[f(x), b]$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$  and  $P(f) = \phi$ . Then*

$$\overline{R(f)} \subset \Gamma(f).$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x \in \overline{R(f)} \setminus R(f)$ . Then there exists an open arc  $(a, b)$  in  $S^1$  containing  $x$  such that  $f^n(x) \notin (a, b)$  for any positive integer  $n$ , and hence we may assume that there exists a sequence  $\{x_i\}$  of points with  $x_i \in R(f)$  such that  $a < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_i < \dots < x < b$  and  $x_i \rightarrow x$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , there exist  $y_i, z_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$  and  $n_i, m_i$  with  $n_i < m_i$  such that

$$x_{i-1} < f^{n_i}(y_i) < y_i < x_{i+1} < x$$

and

$$x_{i-1} < z_i < f^{m_i}(z_i) < x_{i+1} < x.$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$[y_i, x] \text{ } f^{n_i}\text{-covers } [a, f^{n_i}(y_i)]$$

and

$$[z_i, x] \text{ } f^{m_i}\text{-covers } [f^{m_i}(z_i), b].$$

Consequently,

$$(*) \quad [x_{i-1}, x] \text{ } f^{n_i}\text{-covers } [x_1, x_{i-1}] \text{ for each } i,$$

and

$$(**) \quad [x_{i-1}, x] \text{ } f^{m_i}\text{-covers } [x_{i+1}, x] \text{ for each } i.$$

Now, let  $K_i = [x_i, x]$  for all positive integer  $i$ . Then  $K_{i-1}$   $f^{m_i}$ -covers  $K_{i+1}$ . Hence we may choose a closed arc  $L_1$  in  $K_1$  such that  $f^{m_1}(L_1) = K_3$ . Also, we can take a closed arc  $L_2$  in  $L_1$  such that  $f^{m_1+m_3}(L_2) = K_5$ . Continuing this process, we may take a closed arc

$L_i \subset K_1$  such that  $L_1 \supset L_2 \supset \dots$  and  $f^{\sum_{s=1}^k m_{2s-1}}(L_i) = K_{2k+1}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i$ . Then  $x \in \omega(y)$  and  $y \in [x_1, x]$ .

Now, take  $N$  such that  $x_{N-1} > y$ . By  $(*)$ , for all  $i \geq N$ , there exists  $y_i \in [x_{i-1}, x]$  such that  $f^{n_i}(y_i) = y$ . Since  $x_i \rightarrow x$ , we have  $y_i \rightarrow x$ , and hence  $x \in \alpha(y)$ . Thus  $x \in \omega(y) \cap \alpha(y) \subset \Gamma(f)$ .

The following lemma appears in [2].

LEMMA 3.4. [2] *Let  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ . Then we have*

$$\Gamma(f) \subset R(f) \cup \overline{P(f)}.$$

By using Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$  and  $P(f) = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $R(f) = \Gamma(f) = \overline{R(f)}$ , and hence  $R(f)$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $P(f) = \emptyset$ . Then by Lemma 3.3, we have  $\overline{R(f)} \subset \Gamma(f)$ , and by Lemma 3.4, we know that  $\Gamma(f) \subset R(f)$ . Therefore, we conclude  $R(f) = \Gamma(f) = \overline{R(f)}$ .

THEOREM A. *For any  $f \in C^0(S^1, S^1)$ , if  $P(f)$  is empty, then*

$$R(f) = \Gamma(f) = \overline{R(f)} = \Lambda(f) = \Omega(f).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Omega(f) \setminus R(f)$  and  $D$  be a connected component of  $S^1 \setminus R(f)$  containing  $x$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $R(f)$  is closed, and hence  $D = (a, b)$  for some  $a, b \in S^1$  with  $a \neq b$ . Then we know that  $a, b \in R(f)$ . Since  $R(f)$  is invariant under  $f$ ,  $a \in \omega_-(a)$  and  $b \in \omega_+(b)$ . And since  $x \in \Omega(f) \cap D$ , there exists  $k > 0$  such that  $f^k(D) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, there exists a point  $y \in D$  with  $f^k(y) \in D$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a < y < f^k(y) < b$ . Then we know that  $[y, b]$   $f^k$ -covers  $[f^k(y), f^k(b)]$  by Lemma 3.2, and  $b \in (f^k(y), f^k(b))$  since  $f^k(b) \in R(f) \subset [b, a]$  and  $f^k(b) \neq b$ . Since  $b \in \omega_+(b)$ , there exist positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $b < f^{m+n}(b) < f^m(b) < f^k(b)$ . Especially,

$$[y, b] \text{ } f^k\text{-covers } [b, f^m(b)].$$

On the other hand, since  $f^n(b) \notin (a, b) = D$ , by Lemma 3.2,

$$[b, f^m(b)] \text{ } f^n\text{-covers } [a, f^{m+n}(b)].$$

In particular,

$$[b, f^m(b)] \text{ } f^n\text{-covers } [y, b]$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $[y, b]$   $f^{n+k}$ -covers itself, and hence  $f$  has a periodic point in  $[a, b]$  by Lemma 2.3, which is a contradiction.

The proof of Theorem A is complete.

## References

1. L. Block, E. Convent, I. Murvey and Z. Nitecki, *Homoclinic and nonwandering points for maps of the circle*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **3** (1983), 521-532.
2. J. S. Bae, S. H. Cho and S. K. Yang, *Relations between nonwandering points and turning points on the circle*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **32** (1995), 57-66.
3. L. Block, *Periodic orbits of continuous mappings of the circle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), 553-562.
4. J. C. Xiong, *The attracting centre of a continuous self-map of the interval*, Ergodic Theory & Dynamical Systems **8** (1988), 203-213.

Jong Sook Bae, Kyung Jin Min and Seung Kab Yang

Department of Mathematics

MyongJi University

Yongin, 449-728, Korea

*E-mail:* <sup>1</sup> jsbae@wh.myongji.ac.kr.

Seong Hoon Cho

Department of Mathematics

Hanseu University

Chungnam 356-820, Korea