

## ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY OF COMPETING SPECIES

JUNE GI KIM

ABSTRACT. Large-time asymptotic behavior of the solutions of interacting population reaction-diffusion systems are considered. Polynomial stability was proved.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a system of two competing species with Dirichlet boundary conditions. The system of equations are:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - \sigma_1 \Delta u_1 = u_1[a + \tilde{f}_1(t, u_1, u_2)], \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} - \sigma_2 \Delta u_2 = u_2[a + \tilde{f}_2(t, u_1, u_2)] \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t > 0$ . Here  $u_i(x, t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , represents the concentration of two species at position  $x$  and time  $t$ . The parameters  $a, b, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$  are positive constants, with  $a$  and  $b$  representing growth rates when no interaction occurs,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  representing diffusion rates. The functions  $\tilde{f}_i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  have Hölder continuous partial derivatives up to second order in compact sets. Further, we assume that

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{f}_1(t, 0, 0) = \tilde{f}_2(t, 0, 0) = 0.$$

For  $(u_1, u_2)$  in the first open quadrant, the first partial derivatives of  $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$  satisfy:

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_i}{\partial u_j} < 0 \quad \text{for each } i, j = 1, 2.$$

---

Received November 8, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35K57.

*Key words and phrases.* equilibrium, asymptotic stability, upper solution, lower solution.

We assume here that there are two functions  $f_1, f_2$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad |\tilde{f}_i(t, u_1, u_2) - f_i(u_1, u_2)| \leq K(1+t)^{-\gamma}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

for some positive constants  $K$  and  $\gamma$  and

$$(1.5) \quad 1 < \min_{x,t} \left| \frac{\bar{u}_i(x)}{\bar{u}_j(x)} \cdot \frac{(\partial \tilde{f}_i / \partial u_i)(t, \bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))}{(\partial \tilde{f}_i / \partial u_j)(t, \bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))} \right|$$

for each  $x \in \bar{\Omega}, t > 0, i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . Here  $\bar{u}_i, i = 1, 2$ , are the equilibrium solution of (1.1). Concerning the stability of the equilibrium solution of (1.1) when the reaction functions  $\tilde{f}_i(t, u_1, u_2), i = 1, 2$ , are independent of time we have the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1([L]).** *Let  $(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))$  be an equilibrium solution to (1.1) when*

*$\tilde{f}_i(t, u_1, u_2) \equiv f_i(u_1, u_2), i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that the conditions (1.2) and (1.3) hold and that*

$$(1.6) \quad \left| \frac{\bar{u}_i(x) (\partial f_j / \partial u_i)(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))}{\bar{u}_j(x) (\partial f_j / \partial u_j)(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))} \right| < \min \left| \frac{\bar{u}_i(x) (\partial f_i / \partial u_i)(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))}{\bar{u}_j(x) (\partial f_i / \partial u_j)(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))} \right|$$

*for each  $x \in \bar{\Omega}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2, i \neq j$ , then  $(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))$  is asymptotically stable.*

Hence, it is our purpose to study the stability of the equilibrium solution of (1.1) under the conditions stated above.

## 2. Main Result

We use the standard upper and lower solution methods([L], [P]) to prove the following stability result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(\bar{u}_1(x), \bar{u}_2(x))$  be an equilibrium solution to (1.1) under the following boundary conditions;*

$$\bar{u}_i(x, t) \equiv 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ for all } t > 0, x \in \partial\Omega.$$

*Suppose that  $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2$  satisfy the conditions (1.2)–(1.5). Then  $(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)$  is asymptotically stable.*

PROOF. Let

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= (1 + p(t))\bar{u}_2(x), \\ v_1 &= (1 - p(t))\bar{u}_1(x) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} - \sigma_2 \Delta w_2 - w_2 [b + \tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2)] \\ &= p' \bar{u}_2 \\ & \quad - (1 + p(t)) \sigma_2 \Delta \bar{u}_2 - (1 + p(t)) [b + \tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2)] \\ &= p' \bar{u}_2 + \\ & \quad (1 + p(t)) (-\sigma_2 \Delta \bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_2 \tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2)) \\ &= p' \bar{u}_2 + (1 + p(t)) (-\sigma_2 \Delta \bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_2 f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)) - \\ & \quad (1 + p(t)) \bar{u}_2 [\tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2) - f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] \\ &= p' \bar{u}_2 + \\ & \quad (1 + p(t)) \bar{u}_2 [\tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2) - f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] \\ &= p' \bar{u}_2 + \\ & \quad (1 + p(t)) \bar{u}_2 [\tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2) - \tilde{f}_2(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] \\ & \quad - (1 + p(t)) \bar{u}_2 [\tilde{f}_2(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) - f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)] \\ &\geq \bar{u}_2 \times (p' - (1 + p(t)) p(t) \bar{u}_1 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| + \\ & \quad (1 + p(t)) p(t) \bar{u}_2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \\ & \quad - (1 + p(t)) [\tilde{f}_2(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) - f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)]) \end{aligned}$$

At this point we choose  $p(t)$  to be

$$p(t) := (1 + (1 + t)^{-\gamma}) \quad \gamma < c.$$

Then, since

$$|\tilde{f}_2(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) - f_2(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2)| \leq | \leq K(1 + t)^{-c},$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} - \sigma_2 \Delta w_2 - w_2 [b + \tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2)] \geq \\ (1+t)^{-\gamma} \bar{u}_2 \times (-p(1+t)^{-1} - [1 + (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \bar{u}_1) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| + \\ + [1 + (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \bar{u}_2 \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| - c[1 + (1+t)^{-\gamma}](1+t)^{-c+\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial w_2}{\partial t} - \sigma_2 \Delta w_2 - w_2 [b + \tilde{f}_2(t, v_1, w_2)] \geq 0$$

if

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \geq \frac{p}{(1+t)[1 + (1+t)^{-\gamma}]} + \frac{K}{(1+t)^{c-\gamma}} + \\ \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} - \sigma_1 \Delta v_1 - v_1 [a + \tilde{f}_1(t, v_1, w_2)] \\ = -\gamma(1+t)^{-\gamma-1} \bar{u}_1 \\ - \sigma_1 [1 - (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \Delta \bar{u}_1 \\ - [1 - (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \bar{u}_1 [a + \tilde{f}_1(t, v_1, w_2)] \\ = (1+t)^{-\gamma} \bar{u}_1(x) \times (-\gamma(1+t)^{-1} \\ - [1 - (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \\ + [1 - (1+t)^{-\gamma}] \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \\ - K[1 + (1+t)^{-\gamma}](1+t)^{-c+\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial t} - \sigma_1 \Delta v_1 - v_1 [a + \tilde{f}_1(t, v_1, w_2)] \geq 0$$

if

$$\bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{(1+t)[1-(1+t)^{-\gamma}]} + \frac{K}{(1+t)^{c-\gamma}} + \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial u_2} \right|.$$

Hence it suffices to find constant  $\gamma$  satisfying the following two inequalities;

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \geq \gamma + c + \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right|, \\ \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_1}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right| \geq \gamma + c + \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_2}(t, \eta_1, \eta_2) \right|, \end{cases}$$

which is possible if we can choose positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \gamma + c \leq \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_2}(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \right| - \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_2}{\partial u_1}(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \right|, \\ \gamma + c \leq \bar{u}_1(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_1}(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \right| - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \bar{u}_2(x) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_1}{\partial u_2}(t, \bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) \right| \end{cases}$$

Hence we can choose a small positive number  $\gamma$  provided we have the inequalities (2.4). This completes the proof.  $\square$

### References

- [L] A.W.Leung, *Systems of Nonlinear partial differential equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1989.
- [P] C.V.Pao, *Nonlinear parabolic and elliptic equations*, Plenum Press, New York/London, 1992.

Kangwon National University  
Department of Mathematics  
200-701, Chuncheon, South Korea