

STRONG HOMOLOGY GROUPS W.R.T. $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$

HONG-JAE LEE AND DAE-WOONG LEE

*Dept. of Mathematics,
Chonbuk National University, Chonju 561-756, Korea.*

1. Introduction

Strong homology group, a new type of homology, of inverse systems (of pairs) was introduced by J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić ([2],[3]). This group also defined in the category *invTop* of inverse systems of topological spaces and the related category *proTop* and the coherent pro-homotopy category *cphTop*. Some desirable results are appeared in the previous papers([4],[5]).

In this paper, we define a strong evaluation map and strong cup product of strong cochain groups. As a consequence of these definitions and well known facts, we construct a strong cochain ring $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$ and a ring homomorphism.

2. Some Properties of (Relative) Strong Homology Groups

Let $\mathcal{X} = (X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ be an inverse system of topological spaces X_α and continuous maps $p_{\alpha\alpha'} : X_{\alpha'} \rightarrow X_\alpha$, $\alpha \leq \alpha'$, over a directed set D . Let $D^n, n \geq 0$, be the set of all $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$, $\alpha_i \in D$ and G be an abelian group. If $n \geq 1, 0 \leq j \leq n$ and $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n$, let $a_j \in D^{n-1}$ be obtained from $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ by deleting the j -th factor α_j . For an integer p , a *strong p -chain* [2] of \mathcal{X} with coefficients in G is defined by a function x which assigns

Received May 1, 1997.

The second author was supported by Korea Research Foundation, Ministry of Education, 1997.

to every $n \geq 0$ and every $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n$, a singular $(p+n)$ -chain $x_a \in C_{p+n}(X_a; G)$, where X_a is defined by X_{α_0} . The sum $x + y$ of two strong p -chains of \mathcal{X} is given by $(x + y)_a = x_a + y_a, a \in D^n$. Strong p -chains form an abelian group $\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ which is called *strong p -chain group* of \mathcal{X} with coefficients in G . That is to say,

$$\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{a \in D^n} C_{p+n}(X_a; G),$$

where $C_{p+n}(X_a; G)$ is a $(p+n)$ -chain group of $X_a = X_{\alpha_0}$. A boundary operator $d_p : \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ was defined by

$$\begin{cases} (d_p(x))_{(\alpha_0)} = \partial(x_{(\alpha_0)}) \text{ for } n = 0 \\ (-1)^n (d_p x)_a = \partial(x_a) - p_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(x_{a_0}) - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x_{a_j}, \text{ for } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $x \in \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X}; G)$. Note that $d_p \circ d_{p+1} = 0, p \geq -n$ so that (\bar{C}, d) is a chain complex. The *p -dimensional strong homology group* $\bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ of the inverse system $\mathcal{X} = (X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ with coefficients in G is defined by the homology group of this chain complex (\bar{C}, d) , i.e.,

$$\bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) = \ker(d_{p-1} : \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{p-1}(\mathcal{X}; G)) / \text{im}(d_p : \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G)).$$

DEFINITION 2.1. The *p -dimensional strong cochain group* $\bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ of the inverse system \mathcal{X} with coefficients in G is defined by

$$\bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; G) = \text{Hom}(\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}), G).$$

The coboundary operator $\delta : \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}^{p+1}(\mathcal{X}; G)$ is defined to be the dual homomorphism of the boundary operator $d : \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$.

We consider inverse system $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}) = ((X, A)_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ of pair of spaces and maps of pairs, where $(X, A)_\alpha = (X_\alpha, A_\alpha), A_\alpha \subset$

X_α . We also consider the inverse system $\mathcal{A} = (A_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{A_{\alpha'}}, D)$ of topological subspace A_α of X_α for each $\alpha \in D$ and continuous maps $p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{A_{\alpha'}}$ over the same directed set D . We can view the strong chain group $\bar{C}^p(\mathcal{A}; G)$ as a subgroup of $\bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ and the boundary operator $d_{\mathcal{A}} : \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{p-1}(\mathcal{A}; G)$ as a restriction map $d_{\mathcal{A}} = d|_{\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G)}$. The *relative strong chain group* $\bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G)$ of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ with coefficients in G is defined by

$$\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) = \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) / \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G).$$

Similarly, the *relative strong homology group* $\bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G)$ of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ with coefficients in G is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) = \ker(d_{p-1} : \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{p-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G)) / \\ \text{im}(d_p : \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{Y} = (Y_\beta, q_{\beta\beta'}, E)$ be an inverse system of topological spaces Y_β and continuous maps $q_{\beta\beta'} : Y_{\beta'} \rightarrow Y_\beta, \beta \leq \beta'$ over a directed set E and let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a map of inverse systems given by an increasing function $\varphi : E \rightarrow D$ and continuous maps $f_\beta : X_{\varphi(\beta)} \rightarrow Y_\beta, \beta \in E$, and the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\varphi(\beta')} & \xrightarrow{p_{\varphi(\beta)\varphi(\beta')}} & X_{\varphi(\beta)} \\ f_{\beta'} \downarrow & & f_\beta \downarrow \\ Y_{\beta'} & \xrightarrow{q_{\beta\beta'}} & Y_\beta \end{array}$$

commutes for $\beta \leq \beta'$. f induces a chain map $f_\# : \bar{C}_\#(\mathcal{X}; G) \rightarrow \bar{C}_\#(\mathcal{Y}; G)$, given by the homomorphisms

$$(f_\#x)_{(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)} = f_{\beta_0\#}(x_{\varphi(\beta_0)\varphi(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi(\beta_n)}), (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in E^n$$

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = ((X, A, B)_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ be an inverse system of triads, where $(X, A, B)_\alpha = (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, B_\alpha), A_\alpha, B_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$. Let $\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) + \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{B}; G)$ denote the p -dimensional strong subchain group of $\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ generated by $\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) \cup \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{B}; G)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{A_{\alpha'}}, D)$ and $\mathcal{B} = (B_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{B_{\alpha'}}, D)$ be two inverse systems

DEFINITION 2.2. The pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of inverse systems is said to be *strong excisive couple* if the inclusion chain map

$$\bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A}; G) + \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{B}; G) \hookrightarrow \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; G)$$

induces an isomorphism of strong homology group.

PROPOSITION 2.3. $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a strong excisive couple if and only if the inclusion $j : (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B})$ induces an isomorphism of strong homology groups.

proof. Consider the commutative diagram of chain maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; G) & \xrightarrow{j_{\#}} & \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G) \\ i_{\#} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ [\bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A}; G) + \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{B}; G)]/\bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{B}; G) & \xrightarrow{j'_{\#}} & \bar{C}_{\#}(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G) \end{array}$$

induced by inclusions. By the Noether isomorphism theorem [6], $i_{\#}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $j_{\#} = j'_{\#} \circ i_{\#} : \bar{H}_{*}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_{*}(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $j'_{\#}$ is an isomorphism. If we use the following strong homology exact sequence of pair [3] and five lemma;

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \bar{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{B}; G) & \rightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

then we see that $j'_{\#} : \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}; G)$ is an isomorphism if and only if the inclusion $j'_{1\#} : \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) + \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{B}; G) \hookrightarrow \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; G)$ induces an isomorphism $j'_{1*} : \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}; G) \rightarrow \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}; G)$ of strong homology groups. The proof follows from this fact and the definition of strong excisive couple.

DEFINITION 2.4. An inverse system $\mathcal{A} = (A_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{A_{\alpha'}}, D)$ is called a *strong retract* of the inverse system $\mathcal{X} = (X_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ if A_{α} is a subspace of X_{α} and the inclusion map $s_{\alpha} : A_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X_{\alpha}$ has a left inverse $r_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow A_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in D$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. If $\mathcal{A} = (A_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}|_{\mathcal{A}_\alpha}, D)$ is a strong retract of \mathcal{X} , then we have the following;

$$\bar{H}_*(\mathcal{X}; G) \cong \bar{H}_*(\mathcal{A}; G) \oplus \bar{H}_*(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G).$$

Proof. We can consider the following strong homology long exact sequence ([1],[3],[6])

$$\dots \rightarrow \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) \xrightarrow{s_*} \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) \xrightarrow{t_*} \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bar{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{A}; G) \rightarrow \dots$$

induced by inclusions $s : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and $t : (\mathcal{X}, \phi) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$. Since $r_\alpha \circ s_\alpha = I_{A_\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in D$, that is to say $r \circ s = (\dots, r_\alpha, \dots) \circ (\dots, s_\alpha, \dots) = (\dots, I_{A_\alpha}, \dots) = I_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $r_* \circ s_* = I_{\bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A}; G)}$. This shows that s_* is a monomorphism and the boundary operator ∂ is trivial. Thus we easily obtain the following split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{A}; G) \xrightarrow{s_*} \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}; G) \xrightarrow{t_*} \bar{H}_p(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}; G) \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore, we complete the proof.

3. A Ring $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} C^p(\mathcal{X}, R)$ with Unity $e_{\mathcal{X}}$

DEFINITION 3.1. Define a strong evaluation map

$$\langle, \rangle : Hom(\bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}), G) \times \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow G$$

by $\langle c^p, c_p \rangle_{(a,n)} = \langle c_a^{p+n}, c_{a,p+n} \rangle$ for each $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where c_a^{p+n} (resp. $c_{a,p+n}$) is a $(p+n)$ -cochain (resp. $(p+n)$ -chain) on X_a .

It is easy to see that the strong evaluation map \langle, \rangle is bilinear. If $c^p \in \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; G)$ and $c_{p+1} \in \bar{C}_{p+1}(\mathcal{X})$, then

$$\langle \delta c^p, c_{p+1} \rangle = \langle c^p, dc_{p+1} \rangle$$

and if $c_p \in \bar{C}_p(\mathcal{X})$ and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = (Y_\alpha, q_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ is a map of inverse systems, then we have

$$\langle f^\#(c^p), c_p \rangle = \langle c^p, f_\#(c_p) \rangle.$$

Let R be a commutative ring with unity 1.

DEFINITION 3.2. Define a map

$$\bar{\cup} : \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R) \times \bar{C}^q(\mathcal{X}; R) \rightarrow \bar{C}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}; R)$$

by

$$\langle c^p \bar{\cup} c^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)} = \langle c^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}$$

for each $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n$, $i, j, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $i + j = n$, where T is a strong $(p + q)$ -simplex and T_p (resp. T_q) denotes the strong p (resp. q)-simplex of the inverse system \mathcal{X} . The strong cochain $c^p \bar{\cup} c^q$ is called the *strong cup product* of the strong cochains c^p and c^q .

LEMMA 3.3. The map $\bar{\cup}$ is bilinear.

Proof. Let $c_1^p, c_2^p \in \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$ and $c_1^q, c_2^q \in \bar{C}^q(\mathcal{X}; R)$. If T is a strong $(p + q)$ -simplex, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle c_1^p \bar{\cup} (c_1^q + c_2^q), T \rangle_{(a,n)} \\ &= \langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle (c_1^q + c_2^q), T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} \\ &= \langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot [\langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} + \langle c_2^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}] \\ &= [\langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}] + [\langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c_2^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}] \\ &= [\langle c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)}] + [\langle c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_2^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (c_1^p + c_2^p) \bar{\cup} c_1^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)} \\ &= \langle (c_1^p + c_2^p), T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} \\ &= [\langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} + \langle c_2^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)}] \cdot \langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} \\ &= [\langle c_1^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}] + [\langle c_2^p, T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c_1^q, T_q \rangle_{(a,j)}] \\ &= \langle c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)} + \langle c_2^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q, T \rangle_{(a,n)} \end{aligned}$$

for each $a \in D^n$, $i + j = n$ and $i, j, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. That is to say,

$$c_1^p \bar{\cup} (c_1^q + c_2^q) = (c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q) + (c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_2^q)$$

and

$$(c_1^p + c_2^p) \bar{\cup} c_1^q = (c_1^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q) + (c_2^p \bar{\cup} c_1^q).$$

These complete the proof of the lemma.

THEOREM 3.4. $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$ is a ring with unity $e_{\mathcal{X}}$ under the strong cup product.

Proof. we now consider the associative law and unity. If $c^p \in \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$, $c^q \in \bar{C}^q(\mathcal{X}; R)$, $c^k \in \bar{C}^k(\mathcal{X}; R)$ and if σ is a strong $(p + q + k)$ -simplex, then we see that

$$\langle c^p \bar{\cup} (c^q \bar{\cup} c^k), \sigma \rangle_{(a,n)} = \langle c^p, \sigma_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle (c^q \bar{\cup} c^k), \sigma_{(q+k)} \rangle_{(a,j)}$$

and

$$\langle (c^q \bar{\cup} c^k), \sigma_{(q+k)} \rangle_{(a,j)} = \langle c^q, \sigma_q \rangle_{(a,l)} \cdot \langle c^k, \sigma_k \rangle_{(a,m)},$$

where σ_q (resp. σ_k) denotes the strong q (resp. k)-simplex of \mathcal{X} , $l + m = j$ and $l, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c^p \bar{\cup} (c^q \bar{\cup} c^k), \sigma \rangle_{(a,n)} &= \langle c^p, \sigma_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot [\langle c^q, \sigma_q \rangle_{(a,l)} \cdot \langle c^k, \sigma_k \rangle_{(a,m)}] \\ &= [\langle c^p, \sigma_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle c^q, \sigma_q \rangle_{(a,l)}] \cdot \langle c^k, \sigma_k \rangle_{(a,m)} \\ &= \langle c^p \bar{\cup} c^q, \sigma_{p+q} \rangle_{(a,i+l)} \cdot \langle c^k, \sigma_k \rangle_{(a,m)} \\ &= \langle (c^p \bar{\cup} c^q) \bar{\cup} c^k, \sigma \rangle_{(a,i+l+m)} \\ &= \langle (c^p \bar{\cup} c^q) \bar{\cup} c^k, \sigma \rangle_{(a,n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the associative law is completed. Define $e_{\mathcal{X}} \in \bar{C}^0(\mathcal{X}; R)$ by

$$\langle e_{\mathcal{X}}, T \rangle_{(a,n)} = 1$$

for all strong 0-simplex T . Then we easily see that $e_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a unity on $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$. The lemma 3.3 shows that the condition of the distributive law is satisfied.

THEOREM 3.5. The map $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of inverse systems induces a ring homomorphism $f^{\#} : \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{Y}; R) \rightarrow \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$.

Proof. Let $e^p \in \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{Y}; R)$, $e^q \in \bar{C}^q(\mathcal{Y}; R)$ and T be a strong $(p + q)$ -simplex of the inverse system \mathcal{X} . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f^{\#}(e^p \bar{\cup} e^q), T \rangle_{(a,n)} &= \langle e^p \bar{\cup} e^q, f_{\#}(T) \rangle_{(a,n)} \\ &= \langle e^p, f_{\#}T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle e^q, f_{\#}T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} \\ &= \langle f^{\#}(e^p), T_p \rangle_{(a,i)} \cdot \langle f^{\#}(e^q), T_q \rangle_{(a,j)} \\ &= \langle f^{\#}(e^p) \bar{\cup} f^{\#}(e^q), T \rangle_{(a,n)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f^\sharp(e_1^p + e_2^p), T \rangle_{(a,n)} &= \langle e_1^p + e_2^p, f_\sharp(T) \rangle_{(a,n)} \\
 &= \langle e_1^p, f_\sharp T \rangle_{(a,n)} + \langle e_2^p, f_\sharp T \rangle_{(a,n)} \\
 &= \langle f^\sharp(e_1^p), T \rangle_{(a,n)} + \langle f^\sharp(e_2^p), T \rangle_{(a,n)} \\
 &= \langle f^\sharp(e_1^p) + f^\sharp(e_2^p), T \rangle_{(a,n)}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n$, $i, j, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $i + j = n$. If e_X and e_Y are unities of $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{X}; R)$ and $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{C}^p(\mathcal{Y}; R)$ respectively, then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f^\sharp(e_Y), T \rangle_{(a,n)} &= \langle e_Y, f_\sharp(T) \rangle_{(a,n)} \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

for all strong 0-simplex T of the inverse system \mathcal{X} . Thus $f^\sharp(e_Y) = e_X$. Therefore, the map $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ induces a ring homomorphism.

References

1. K. A. Lee, *Foundation of Topology (Vol. 2)*, Hakmoonsa, Seoul, 1984.
2. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Strong Homology of Inverse System of Spaces I, II*, *Topology and its Appl.* **19** (1985), 29-64.
3. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Strong Homology of Inverse System of Spaces III*, *Topology and its Appl.* **20** (1985), 29-37.
4. S. Mardešić and A. V. Parasolov, *Strong Homology is not Additive*, *Trans. of the A.M.S.* **307(2)** (1988), 725-744.
5. S. Mardešić and T. Watanabe, *Strong Homology and Dimension*, *Topology and its Appl.* **29** (1988), 185-205.
6. E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.