

## ALMOST SURE CONVERGENCE FOR WEIGHTED SUMS OF I.I.D. RANDOM VARIABLES

SOO HAK SUNG

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed(i.i.d.) random variables. Let  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be a triangular array of constants. The purpose of this paper is to find sufficient conditions on  $\{a_{ni}\}$  and  $X$  such that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}(X_i - EX_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Some convergence theorems for these weighted sums have been obtained by Choi and Sung [2], Chow [4], Chow and Lai [5], Stout [8], Teicher [9], and Thrum [10]. For example, it has been shown in Choi and Sung[2] that conditions  $E|X| < \infty$  and  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}| = O(1/n)$  imply (1). The first major result of this paper is to generalize the result of Choi and Sung[2] for the case of random variables with finite  $p$ -th moment( $1 \leq p < 2$ ).

In the case of  $a_{ni} = a_i/b_n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $n \geq 1$ , considerations concerning (1) can be found in Adler and Rosalsky [1], Fernholz and Teicher [6], Jamisons, Orey, and Pruitt [7], and Teicher [9]. Adler and Rosalsky [1] have shown that if  $\{X, X_n\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$ , and  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are

---

Received June 29, 1995.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 60F15.

Key words: almost sure convergence, weighted sums, strong law of large numbers.

This paper was supported (in part) by NON-DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1994.

constants satisfying  $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ ,

$$(2) \quad \frac{a_n}{b_n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/p}}\right),$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = O(b_n),$$

then

$$(4) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_i - EX_i)}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Note that Adler and Rosalsky's theorem includes Kolmogorov strong law of large numbers(SLLN) as a special case, where  $a_n = 1$  and  $b_n = n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , but not Marcinkiewicz SLLN. The second major result is to improve Adler and Rosalsky's theorem by showing that (i) condition (3) is unnecessary when  $1 < p < 2$ , and (ii) condition (3) is essential when  $p = 1$ .

It proves convenient to define  $\lg x = \max\{1, \log x\}$ , where  $\log x$  denotes the natural logarithm. The symbol  $C$  denotes a constant which is not necessarily the same one in each appearance.

## 2. Main Results

The following two lemmas will be used in obtaining our first main result. The proof of Lemma 1 is similar to that of Lemma 2.2 of Choi and Sung [3].

LEMMA 1. *If  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $0 < p < 2$ , then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} EX^2 I(|X| \leq \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}) < \infty.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} EX^2 I(|X| \leq \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}) \\
 = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^n EX^2 I(\frac{(i-1)^{1/p}}{(\lg(i-1))^{1/p}} < |X| \leq \frac{i^{1/p}}{(\lg i)^{1/p}}) \quad (\lg 0 = 1) \\
 = & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} EX^2 I(\frac{(i-1)^{1/p}}{(\lg(i-1))^{1/p}} < |X| \leq \frac{i^{1/p}}{(\lg i)^{1/p}}) \\
 & \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} \\
 \leq & C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} EX^2 I(\frac{(i-1)^{1/p}}{(\lg(i-1))^{1/p}} < |X| \leq \frac{i^{1/p}}{(\lg i)^{1/p}}) \frac{i}{i^{2/p}(\lg i)^{1-2/p}} \\
 \leq & C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(\frac{(i-1)^{1/p}}{(\lg(i-1))^{1/p}} < |X| \leq \frac{i^{1/p}}{(\lg i)^{1/p}}) \frac{i}{\lg i} \\
 \leq & CE|X|^p < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

since the first inequality follows from the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} \\
 & \leq C \int_i^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2/p}(\log x)^{1-2/p}} dx \leq C \frac{i}{i^{2/p}(\lg i)^{1-2/p}}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. If  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $p > 1$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/p}(\lg n)^{1-1/p}} E|X| I(|X| > \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1 and omitted.  $\square$

The next theorem, our first main result, is an extension of Theorem 5 of Choi and Sung [2]. They have proved Theorem 1 when  $p = 1$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Let  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be a triangular array of constants satisfying*

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/p}(\lg n)^{1-1/p}}\right).$$

Then (1) holds, i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}(X_i - EX_i) \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

*Proof.* Define

$$X'_n = X_n I(|X_n| \leq \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}) - EX I(|X| \leq \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}),$$

$$X''_n = X_n I(|X_n| > \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}) - EX I(|X| > \frac{n^{1/p}}{(\lg n)^{1/p}}).$$

Then  $X'_n + X''_n = X_n - EX_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . To prove

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}(X_i - EX_i) \leq 0 \text{ a.s.},$$

it is enough to show that

$$(5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X'_i \leq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X''_i = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

From the inequality  $e^x \leq 1 + x + x^2 e^{|x|}/2$  for all  $x \in R$ , we have for  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(ta_{ni}X'_i)] &\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}t^2 a_{ni}^2 E[X_i'^2 \exp(t|a_{ni}X'_i|)] \\ &\leq 1 + C \frac{t^2}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{2-2/p}} \exp(C \frac{t}{\lg n}) EX_i'^2 \\ &\leq \exp\{C \frac{t^2}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{2-2/p}} \exp(C \frac{t}{\lg n}) EX_i'^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the independence of  $\{X'_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(t \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}X'_i)] &= \prod_{i=1}^n E[\exp(ta_{ni}X'_i)] \\ &\leq \exp\{C \frac{t^2}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{2-2/p}} \exp(C \frac{t}{\lg n}) \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i'^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. By putting  $t = 2 \lg n/\epsilon$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad P(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}X'_i > \epsilon) &\leq e^{-t\epsilon} E[\exp(t \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}X'_i)] \\ &\leq e^{-t\epsilon} \exp\{C \frac{t^2}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{2-2/p}} \exp(C \frac{t}{\lg n}) \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i'^2\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\{C \frac{\lg n}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i'^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 1 and Kronecker lemma entail that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/p}(\lg n)^{1-2/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n EX_i'^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, the power of exp in the last expression of (7) is bounded by  $\alpha \lg n (\alpha > 0)$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ . Thus, choosing  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}X'_i > \epsilon) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2-\alpha}} < \infty,$$

which implies (5) by Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Now we show that (6) holds. For the case  $p = 1$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i'' \right| \leq C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i''| \\
 & \leq C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| I(|X_i| > \frac{i}{\lg i}) + C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X| I(|X| > \frac{i}{\lg i}) \\
 & \leq C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| I(|X_i| > N) \\
 & \quad + C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| I(\frac{i}{\lg i} < |X_i| \leq N) + C \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X| I(|X| > \frac{i}{\lg i}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the last expression converges to  $CE|X|I(|X| > N)$  a.s. by Kolmogorov SLLN. The second term clearly converges to 0. The third term converges to 0 since  $E|X|I(|X| > i/\lg i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i'' \right| \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} CE|X|I(|X| > N) = 0 \text{ a.s.},$$

and so (6) holds when  $p = 1$ . Next we assume that  $1 < p < 2$ . By observing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni} X_i'' \right| & \leq C \max_{2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}} \frac{1}{n^{1/p} (\lg n)^{1-1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i''| \\
 & \leq C \frac{1}{(2^{k+1})^{1/p} (\lg 2^{k+1})^{1-1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k+1}} |X_i''|,
 \end{aligned}$$

we will obtain (6) if we show that

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{(2^k)^{1/p} (\lg 2^k)^{1-1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} |X_i''| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

By Lemma 2, we have for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{1}{(2^k)^{1/p}(\lg 2^k)^{1-1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} |X_i''| > \epsilon\right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2^k)^{1/p}(\lg 2^k)^{1-1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} E|X_i''| \\
 & = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X_i''| \sum_{k:2^k \geq i} \frac{1}{(2^k)^{1/p}(\lg 2^k)^{1-1/p}} \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X_i''| \frac{1}{(\lg i)^{1-1/p}} \sum_{k:2^k \geq i} \frac{1}{(2^k)^{1/p}} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E|X_i''|}{i^{1/p}(\lg i)^{1-1/p}} < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{k:2^k \geq i}$  means that the summation is taken over all  $k$  such that  $2^k \geq i$ . Hence (8) follows by Borel-Cantelli lemma.

By replacing  $X_i$  by  $-X_i$  in the above argument we obtain that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}(X_i - EX_i) \geq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus the conclusion follows. □

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 2 of Adler and Rosalsky [1]. It has less stringent condition than Theorem 1 when  $a_{ni} = a_i/b_n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 < p < 2$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be constants satisfying  $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ . Assume that condition (2) holds. Then*

- (i)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_i - EX_i)/b_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s. if  $1 < p < 2$ .
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i(X_i - EX_i)/b_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s. if  $p = 1$  and condition (3) holds.

*Proof.* We need only to prove (i), since (ii) follows from Theorem 2 of Adler and Rosalsky [1]. Assume that  $1 < p < 2$ . Define  $Y_n = X_n I(|X_n| \leq n^{1/p})$  for  $n \geq 1$ . From the proof of Theorem 2 of Adler and Rosalsky [1],

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i - EY_i)}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

The proof will be completed by showing that

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i - Y_i)}{b_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

By Kronecker lemma, it is enough to show that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n E(X_n - Y_n)}{b_n} \text{ converges.}$$

It follows from condition (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{a_n E(X_n - Y_n)}{b_n} \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|b_n|} E|X| I(|X| > n^{1/p}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{|b_n|} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} E|X| I(i^{1/p} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{1/p}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X| I(i^{1/p} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{1/p}) \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{|a_n|}{|b_n|} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X| I(i^{1/p} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{1/p}) \sum_{n=1}^i \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{(p-1)/p} E|X| I(i^{1/p} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E|X|^p I(i^{1/p} < |X| \leq (i+1)^{1/p}) \\ &\leq CE|X|^p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (9) and the proof is complete.  $\square$



**COROLLARY 1.** (Marcinkiewicz SLLN). *Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$ . Then*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - EX_i)}{n^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_n = 1$  and  $b_n = n^{1/p}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then condition (2) holds true. So Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 2. □

The following corollary has been proved by Teicher [9].

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with  $E|X|^p < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq p < 2$ . If  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are positive constants satisfying  $0 < v_n \uparrow, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p \rightarrow \infty$  and*

$$(10) \quad \frac{a_n}{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p)^{1/p}} = O\left(\frac{v_n}{n^{1/p}}\right),$$

then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i - EX_i)}{v_n (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p)^{1/p}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

*Proof.* Let  $b_n = v_n (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p)^{1/p}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Under condition (10), (2) holds since

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{a_n}{v_n (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p)^{1/p}} = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/p}}\right).$$

Also, condition (3) holds when  $p = 1$  since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = \frac{b_n}{v_n} \leq \frac{b_n}{v_1} = O(b_n).$$

Thus, the conclusion follows from Theorem 2. □

The next example shows that Theorem 2(ii) can fail if condition (3) is not assumed.

EXAMPLE. Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with probability density function

$$f(x) = \frac{c}{x^2(\log x)^2} I_{[2, \infty)}(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,$$

where  $c$  is taken such that

$$\int_2^\infty \frac{c}{x^2(\log x)^2} dx = 1.$$

Then  $E[X] = c/\log 2$ .

Let  $a_n = 1/n$ ,  $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$  and  $b_n = o(\log(\log n))$  for all  $n \geq 1$  (for example,  $b_n = \log(\log(\log n))$ ). Let  $Y_n$  be as in the proof of Theorem 2, i.e.,  $Y_n = X_n I(|X_n| \leq n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $0 < b_n \uparrow \infty$ , we have

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} = \frac{1}{nb_n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

hence (2) holds with  $p = 1$  and it follows from the proof of Theorem 2 of Adler and Rosalsky [1] that

$$(11) \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i - EY_i)}{b_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

But,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \sim \log n,$$

which shows that condition (3) fails. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i - Y_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E X I(|X| > i) \sim \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \int_i^\infty x f(x) dx \\ & \sim \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i} \int_i^\infty \frac{c}{x(\log x)^2} dx \\ &= \frac{c}{b_n} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i \log i} \sim \frac{c}{b_n} \int_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{x \log x} dx \sim \frac{c \log(\log n)}{b_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, noting that  $b_n = o(\log(\log n))$ , it follows that

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i - Y_i) \rightarrow \infty$$

Combining (11) and (12), we have

$$\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (X_i - EX_i) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ a.s.}$$

### References

1. A. Adler and A. Rosalsky, *On the strong law of large numbers for normed weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **5** (1987), 467-483.
2. B. D. Choi and S. H. Sung, *Almost sure convergence theorems of weighted sums of random variables*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **5** (1987), 365-377.
3. ———, *On moment conditions for the supremum of normed sums*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **26** (1987), 99-106.
4. Y. S. Chow, *Some convergence theorems for independent random variables*, Ann. Math. Statistics **37** (1966), 1482-1493.
5. Y. S. Chow and T. L. Lai, *Limiting behavior of weighted sums of independent random variables*, Ann. Probability **1** (1973), 810-824.
6. L. T. Fernholz and H. Teicher, *Stability of random variables and iterated logarithm laws for martingales and quadratic forms*, Ann. Probability **8** (1980), 765-774.
7. B. Jamison, S. Orey, and W. Pruitt, *Convergence of weighted averages of independent random variables*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **4** (1965), 40-44.
8. W. F. Stout, *Some results on the complete and almost sure convergence of linear combinations of independent random variables and martingale differences*, Ann. Math. Statistics **39** (1968), 1549-1562.
9. H. Teicher, *Almost certain convergence in double arrays*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **69** (1985), 331-345.
10. R. Thrum, *A remark on almost sure convergence of weighted sums*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields **75** (1987), 425-430.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
 Pai Chai University  
 Taejon 302-735, Korea