

UNIFORM L^p -APPROXIMATION FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF FUNCTIONAL STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

DO-WON HONG AND IN-SUK WEE

ABSTRACT. The aim of this work is to obtain uniform L^p -approximation for the solutions of functional stochastic differential equations driven by continuous semimartingale.

1. Introduction

We are given an one-dimensional continuous semimartingale $\{Z_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$ on a filtered, complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual hypotheses. For \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable ξ , we consider the following functional SDE driven by $\{Z_t\}$:

$$(1.1) \quad X_t = \xi + \int_0^t F(X)_s dZ_s.$$

Here F is a functional Lipschitz operator which is defined as follows. We denote C the class of adapted processes indexed by $[0, \infty)$ having continuous paths.

DEFINITION. An operator $F : C \rightarrow C$ is called functional Lipschitz if for any $X, Y \in C$ the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i) for any stopping time σ , $X^\sigma = Y^\sigma$ implies $F(X)^\sigma = F(Y)^\sigma$.
- (ii) there exists an increasing process $K = \{K_t, t \geq 0\}$ such that

$$|F(X)_t - F(Y)_t| \leq K_t \sup_{s \leq t} |X_s - Y_s| \quad \text{a.s., each } t \geq 0,$$

Received June 3, 1996. Revised March 18, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H10.

Key words and phrases: functional stochastic differential equations, uniform L^p -approximation.

This work is supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute program, Ministry of Education, BSRI 96-1407.

where for any $X \in C$, X^σ is defined to be $X_t^\sigma = X_{\sigma \wedge t}$.

Under this setting, it is well known that there exists a unique solution of equation (1.1) in C which is a semimartingale by using Picard iteration method. (e.g. Emery[1] or Protter[5], [6]) Although Picard iteration method is useful to prove the existence and uniqueness theorem, it is not efficient in numerical practice. For a classical Ito equation driven by Brownian motion, there are various numerical schemes including Euler-Maruyama method.([3], [4], [7]) In this work, we obtain uniform L^p -approximation to the solution of (1.1) with the order 1/2 of error by employing the analogue of Euler-Maruyama method. Moreover, this method seems to provide an alternative proof for existence and uniqueness theorem for (1.1).

To describe our main result, we assume that $Z_0 = 0$, and let $Z_t = M_t + B_t$, $M_0 = B_0 = 0$ be a decomposition of Z , where $\{M_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a continuous martingale and $\{B_t, \mathcal{F}_t\}$ is a continuous process of bounded variation with total variation $|B|_t$. Let

$$(1.2) \quad A_t = t + \langle M \rangle_t + |B|_t.$$

Assume that $F : C \rightarrow C$ is a functional Lipschitz satisfying the following conditions: there exists non-random constant β such that for any $X, Y \in C$ and $0 \leq s, t \leq T$,

$$(1.3) \quad A_T \leq \beta \text{ a.s.},$$

$$(1.4) \quad |F(X)_t - F(Y)_t| \leq \beta |X_t - Y_t| \text{ a.s.},$$

$$(1.5) \quad |F(X)_t - F(X)_s| \leq \beta \left(|t - s|^{1/2} + |X_t - X_s| \right) \text{ a.s.},$$

$$(1.6) \quad F(0) = 0.$$

Now for each n , and $0 \leq k \leq 2n$, we introduce stopping times $\sigma_k^{(n)}$, and define $\{\bar{X}_t^{(n)}\}$ as follows :

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_0^{(n)} &= 0, \\ \sigma_k^{(n)} &= \inf \{t > \sigma_{k-1}^{(n)} ; A_t - A_{\sigma_{k-1}^{(n)}} > \frac{\beta}{n}\} \wedge T, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{X}_0^{(n)} &= \xi, \\
 (1.8) \quad \bar{X}_t^{(n)} &= \bar{X}_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{(n)} + F(\bar{X}^{(n)\sigma_k^{(n)}})_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}(Z_t - Z_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{for } \sigma_k^{(n)} < t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Our main result is that if $p > 1$ and $E|\xi|^p < \infty$, then

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - \bar{X}_t^{(n)}|^p \right) = O \left((1/n)^{p/2} \right).$$

Finally we remark that generic constants throughout the work are denoted by the same letter C although they have different values from line to line.

2. Main result

We assume that (1.3)-(1.6) hold and $E|\xi|^p < \infty$ for a fixed $p > 1$. Recall that $\sigma_k^{(n)}$ and $\{\bar{X}_t^{(n)}\}$ are defined by (1.7) and (1.8). Before proving the main result, we need to prove preliminary lemmas. We first introduce stochastic integral inequality of Gronwall type.

LEMMA 1. (Theorem 2.6.1 of Mao[2])

Let $\{N_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a nondecreasing continuous adapted process such that $N_0 = 0$ and $N_T \leq K$ a.s., and let $\{Y_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be a nondecreasing progressively measurable process where K is a positive constant. If for any stopping time $\tau \leq T$,

$$EY_\tau \leq c + E \int_0^\tau Y_s dN_s$$

then $EY_T \leq ce^K$.

LEMMA 2. There exists a positive constant C such that the following hold :

- (a) $E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t|^p \right) \leq C\beta^{p-1} E|\xi|^p e^{C\beta}$.
- (b) $\sup_{0 \leq k \leq 2n-1} E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} \leq s \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |X_s - X_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}| \right)^p \leq CE|\xi|^p (1/n)^{p/2}$.

PROOF. (a) Note that for any stopping τ with $0 \leq \tau \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} |X_s|^p \right) &= E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \xi + \int_0^s F(X)_u dZ_u \right|^p \right) \\
 (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq 2^{p-1} E |\xi|^p + 2^{p-1} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^s F(X)_u dZ_u \right|^p \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder inequality and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality with (1.3)-(1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^s F(X)_u dZ_u \right|^p \right) \\
 &\leq 2^{p-1} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^s F(X)_u dM_u \right|^p \right) \\
 (2.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ 2^{p-1} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \tau} \left| \int_0^s F(X)_u dB_u \right|^p \right) \\
 &\leq CE \left| \int_0^\tau |F(X)_s|^2 dA_s \right|^{p/2} + CE \left| \int_0^\tau |F(X)_s| dA_s \right|^p \\
 &\leq C\beta^{p-1} E \int_0^\tau |X_s|^p dA_s
 \end{aligned}$$

for any stopping time τ with $0 \leq \tau \leq T$. Using Lemma 1 with (2.1) and (2.2), the assertion follows.

(b) As in the proof of (a), we get, for each $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} \leq s \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |X_s - X_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}|^p \right) &= E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} \leq s \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} \left| \int_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^s F(X)_u dZ_u \right|^p \right) \\
 &\leq C(1/n)^{(p-2)/2} E \int_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |X_s|^p dA_s \\
 &\leq C(1/n)^{p/2} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t|^p \right) \\
 &\leq C(1/n)^{p/2} E |\xi|^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3. $\sup_{0 \leq k \leq 2n} E|X_{\sigma_k^{(n)}} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}|^p = O((1/n)^{p/2})$.

PROOF. To simplify the notation, we fix n and denote $\sigma_k^{(n)}$ and $\bar{X}_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}$ by σ_k and \bar{X}_{σ_k} respectively. We first consider the case when p is an even integer. Observe that for $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$,

$$|X_{\sigma_{k+1}} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_{k+1}}|^p = \sum_{m=0}^p \binom{p}{m} (X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k})^{p-m} \left(\int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} (F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}) dZ_s \right)^m.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2-(a), we get the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} & |E(X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k})^{p-1} \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} (F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}) dZ_s| \\ (2.3) \quad & \leq C(1/n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \cdot \left(E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq m \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^{p-m} \left| \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} (F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}) dZ_s \right|^m \\ (2.4) \quad & \leq C(1/n)^{\frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{p}} (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-\frac{m}{p}} \\ & \cdot \left(E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \right)^{m/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E|X_{\sigma_{k+1}} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_{k+1}}|^p \leq E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p + C(1/n)^{1-1/p} (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-1/p} \\ & \cdot \left(E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \right)^{1/p} \\ (2.5) \quad & + C \sum_{m=2}^p (1/n)^{\frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{p}} (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-m/p} \\ & \cdot \left(E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \right)^{m/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3)-(1.5) and Lemma 2-(b), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \\
 & \leq 2^{p-1} E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_{\sigma_k} - F(\bar{X}^{\sigma_k})_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \\
 (2.6) \quad & + 2^{p-1} E \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |F(X)_s - F(X)_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \\
 & \leq CE \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} |X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p dA_s \\
 & + CE \int_{\sigma_k}^{\sigma_{k+1}} \left(|s - \sigma_k|^{1/2} + |X_s - X_{\sigma_k}| \right)^p dA_s \\
 & \leq C(1/n)E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p + C(1/n)^{1+p/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting (2.6) into (2.5), we obtain that for $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E|X_{\sigma_{k+1}} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_{k+1}}|^p & \leq E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p + C(1/n)E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p \\
 & + C(1/n)^{1+1/2} (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-1/p} \\
 & + C \sum_{m=2}^p (1/n)^m (E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p)^{1-m/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon_k = E|X_{\sigma_k} - \bar{X}_{\sigma_k}|^p$. Then

$$\epsilon_{k+1} \leq \epsilon_k + C\epsilon_k(1/n) + C\epsilon_k^{1-1/p}(1/n)^{1+1/2} + C \sum_{m=2}^p \epsilon_k^{1-m/p}(1/n)^m.$$

Let $\eta_{k+1} = \eta_k + C\eta_k(1/n) + C\eta_k^{1-1/p}(1/n)^{1+1/2} + C \sum_{m=2}^p \eta_k^{1-m/p}(1/n)^m$, $\eta_0 = 0$. Since $\epsilon_k \leq \eta_k$, it suffices to show that $\eta_k \leq O(1/n)^{p/2}$. Since η_k is monotonically increasing, there exists a k_0 such that $\eta_{k_0} \leq (1/n)^{p/2}$, and $\eta_k > (1/n)^{p/2}$ for $k > k_0$. Then for $k > k_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta_{k+1} & = \eta_k + C\eta_k(1/n) + C\eta_k^{1-1/p}(1/n)^{1+1/2} + C \sum_{m=2}^p \eta_k^{1-m/p}(1/n)^m \\
 & \leq \eta_k + C\eta_k(1/n) + C \sum_{m=2}^p \eta_k(1/n)^{m/2} \\
 & \leq \eta_k(1 + C/n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any even integer $p > 1$,

$$\eta_k \leq \eta_{k_0} (1 + C/n)^n \leq C\eta_{k_0} \leq C(1/n)^{p/2}.$$

The proof is completed for general $p > 1$, if we write $p = k + \alpha$ where k is an even integer and $0 < \alpha < 2$ and use Hölder inequality. \square

Now we are ready to present the proof of the main result.

THEOREM. *If $p > 1$ and $E|\xi|^p < \infty$, then*

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - \bar{X}_t^{(n)}|^p \right) = O \left((1/n)^{p/2} \right).$$

PROOF. Let $\phi(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} F(\bar{X}_t^{(n)})_{\sigma_k^{(n)}} \chi_{\{\sigma_k^{(n)} < s \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}\}}$ and $\phi(0) = 0$. Note that for $\sigma_k^{(n)} \leq t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}$,

$$\bar{X}_t^{(n)} = \xi + \int_0^t \phi(s) dZ_s.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2-(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\bar{X}_t^{(n)} - X_t|^p &= E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\phi(s) - F(X)_s) dZ_s \right|^p \\ &\leq CE \int_0^T |\phi(t) - F(X)_t|^p dA_t \\ &= CE \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \int_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{\sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |\phi(t) - F(X)_t|^p dA_t \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} (1/n) E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} < t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |\phi(t) - F(X)_t|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3)-(1.5), Lemma 3 and Lemma 2-(b), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} < t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |\phi(t) - F(X)_t|^p \right) &= E \left(\sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} < t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} |F(\bar{X}^{(n)})_{\sigma_k^{(n)}} - F(X)_t|^p \right) \\
 &\leq 2^{p-1} \beta^p E |\bar{X}_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{(n)} - X_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}|^p \\
 &\quad + 2^{p-1} \beta^p E \sup_{\sigma_k^{(n)} \leq t \leq \sigma_{k+1}^{(n)}} \left(|t - \sigma_k^{(n)}|^{1/2} + |X_t - X_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}| \right)^p \\
 &\leq C(1/n)^{p/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M. Emery, *Equations différentielles lipschitziennes: la stabilité*, lect. notes in Math. **721** (1979), 281-293.
- [2] X. Mao, *Stability of stochastic differential equations with respect to semimartingales*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [3] G. N. Milshtein, *Approximate integration of stochastic differential equation*, Theory Prob. Appl. **19** (1974), 557-562.
- [4] E. Pardoux, D. Talay, *Discretization and simulation of stochastic differential equations*, Acta Appl. Math. **3** (1985), 23-47.
- [5] P. Protter, *Approximations of solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by semimartingales*, Ann. Prob. **13** (1985), 716-743.
- [6] ———, *Stochastic integration and differential equations*, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1990.
- [7] D. Talay, *How to discretize stochastic differential equations*, Lect. notes in Math. **972** (1983), 276-292.

Department of Mathematics
 Korea University
 Seoul 136-701, Korea