# A NOTE ON CONVERTIBLE {0,1} MATRICES

## SI-JU KIM AND TAEG-YOUNG CHOI

ABSTRACT. A square matrix A with  $perA \neq 0$  is called convertible if there exists a  $\{1,-1\}$  matrix H such that  $perA = det(H \circ A)$  where  $H \circ A$  denote the Hadamard product of H and A. In this paper, ranks of convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrices are investigated and the existence of maximal convertible matrices with its rank r for each integer r with  $\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \leq r \leq n$  is proved.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be any real matrix of order n. The permanent of A is defined by

$$per A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)}$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the set of permutations of  $1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . An  $n \times n$  matrix A with  $per A \neq 0$  is called *convertible* if there exists a  $\{1, -1\}$  matrix H such that  $per A = det(H \circ A)$  where  $H \circ A$  denotes the Hadamard product of H and A. In this case,  $H \circ A$  is called a conversion of A. A square convertible  $\{0, 1\}$  matrix is called *maximal* if replacing any zero entry with a 1 results in a non-convertible matrix.

For matrices A, B of the same size, A is said to be *permutation* equivalent to B, denoted by  $A \sim B$ , if there are permutation matrices P, Q such that PAQ = B. If both A and B are real, we use  $A \leq B$  to denote that every entry of A is less than or equal to the corresponding entry of B. An  $n \times n$  matrix is called partly decomposable if it contains a  $t \times (n-t)$  zero submatrix for some t > 0. Square matrices which are not partly decomposable are called fully indecomposable.

Received February 28, 1997. Revised August 16, 1997.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25.

Key words and phrases: convertible, rank, permanents.

Research supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

Let  $T_n = [t_{ij}]$  denote the  $\{0,1\}$  matrix of size  $n \times n$  with  $t_{ij} = 0$  if and only if j > i + 1. For a matrix A, square or not, let  $\pi(A)$  denote the number of positive entries of A. In [2], it was shown that for any  $n \times n$  convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrix A with perA > 0,  $\pi(A) \leq \pi(T_n) = (n^2 + 3n - 2)/2$  with equality if and only if  $A \sim T_n$ . In [3,4,5 and 6], the authors investigated some properties of maximal convertible matrices. In this paper, ranks of convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrices are investigated and the existence of maximal convertible matrices with its rank r for each integer r with  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \leq r \leq n$  is proved. For an  $n \times n$  matrix A and for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \subset \{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ , let  $A(\alpha|\beta)$  denote the submatrix obtained from A by deleting rows  $\alpha$  and columns  $\beta$  and let  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  denote the matrix complementary to  $A(\alpha|\beta)$  in A. Let  $J_{n,m}$  denote the  $n \times m$  matrix all of whose entries are 1 and let  $E_{ij}$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrix all of whose entries are 0 except for the (i,j) entry which is 1.

## 2. Ranks of Convertible {0,1} Matrices

Let  $U_2 = T_2$  and let

$$U_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} & U_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $n \geq 3$  where

$$\mathbf{a} = (1, \frac{1 + (-1)^n}{2}, 0, \dots, 0), \ \mathbf{b} = (1, \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2}, 0, \dots, 0)^T.$$

Then  $U_n$  is convertible and it is easy to show that the rank of  $U_n$  is  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  where  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer not less than x. Hence the minimum rank of  $n \times n$  convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrices is not more than  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . If  $\{0,1\}$  matrix A of size  $n \times n$  with perA > 0 is of rank 1, then  $A = J_{n,n}$ . However  $J_{n,n}$  is not convertible for  $n \geq 3$ . Thus we ask a question about the minimum rank of square convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrices with positive permanents. Let r(A) denote the rank of a matrix A.

PROBLEM. If A is a  $n \times n$  convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrix with perA > 0, then  $r(A) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ ?

Let  $A = [\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n]$  be a convertible  $\{0, 1\}$  matrix of order n. Then for  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mathbf{a}_k & \mathbf{a}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_{k-1} & \mathbf{a}_k & \mathbf{a}_{k+1} & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is a convertible matrix of order n+1. A convertible matrix C is called a column expansion of convertible matrix A if C=PBQ for some permutation matrices P, Q. A row expansion of a convertible matrix is similarly defined. A matrix is called an *expansion* of convertible matrix A if it is a row expansion or a column expansion of A. Let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrices A with the minimum rank and perA > 0.

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $B \in \mathcal{A}_{n+1}$ . Then r(B) = r(A) or r(B) = r(A) + 1.

PROOF. Since B is a  $\{0,1\}$  matrix with perB>0, we may assume that

$$B = egin{pmatrix} 1 & & * & \ & & & \ * & & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where perC > 0. Then C is a  $n \times n$  convertible matrix. Hence  $r(B) \ge r(C) \ge r(A)$ . Consider an expansion  $A^e$  of A. Then  $A^e$  is also  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  convertible matrix. Thus  $r(A^e) \ge r(B)$  but  $r(A^e) = r(A)$  or  $r(A^e) = r(A) + 1$ . Hence r(B) = r(A) or r(B) = r(A) + 1.

Two vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  are said to have *same zero patterns* if  $x_i = 0$  implies  $y_i = 0$ , and vice versa. Otherwise the two vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are said to have *different zero patterns*.

LEMMA 2.2. Let A be an  $n \times n$   $\{0,1\}$  matrix with perA > 0. If the number of rows of different zero patterns in A is less than  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ , then A is not convertible.

PROOF. Suppose that A is convertible. Let  $A^T = [\mathbf{a}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n^T]$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$  are the rows of different zero patterns in A. Then  $r(A) \leq r < \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . This implies that A has at least three identical rows, say,  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_s, \mathbf{a}_t$ . Since perA > 0,

there exists  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} > 0$  and the number of nonzero entries in  $\mathbf{a}_1$  is not less than 3. Since  $perA(1, s, t | \sigma(1), \sigma(s), \sigma(t)) > 0$ ,  $A[1, s, t | \sigma(1), \sigma(s), \sigma(t)] = J_3$  is convertible, which is impossible.  $\square$ 

Two vectors  $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,\cdots,y_n)$  are said to be disjoint if  $x_i\neq 0$  implies  $y_i=0$  and vice versa for all  $i=1,2,\cdots,n$ .

THEOREM 2.3. Let A be an  $n \times n$   $\{0,1\}$  matrix having identity matrix  $I_k$  of order k as a submatrix and perA > 0. If A is convertible with r(A) = k, then  $k \ge \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that A is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & B \\ C_1 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose that that  $k < \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . Since r(A) = k, any row of  $C = [C_1, C_2]$  is a linear combination of the first k rows of A. Since the first k rows of A contains  $I_k$ , any row of C is a linear combination of the first k rows of A such that each component scalar is 1. That is,  $\mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{a}_{i_1} + \mathbf{a}_{i_2} + \cdots + \mathbf{a}_{i_p}$  where  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p \le k$  for all  $i = k+1, \cdots, n$ . Since A is  $\{0,1\}$  matrix, the corresponding rows  $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{i_p}$  are disjoint. Let D be an  $n \times n$   $\{0,1\}$  matrix such that  $D[1,2,\cdots,k|1,2,\cdots,n] = A[1,2,\cdots,k|1,2,\cdots,n]$  and choose i-th row  $\mathbf{d}_i$  of D as one of  $\mathbf{a}_{i_1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{i_p}$  for all  $i = k+1, \cdots, n$  such that perD > 0. Then  $D \le A$  and the number of rows of different zero patterns in D is  $k < \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . By Lemma 2.2, D is not convertible. Hence A is not convertible.

Let  $P_n = [p_{ij}]$  be the permutation matrix of order n such that  $p_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n - 1, n), (n, 1)\}$ . Recall that an  $n \times n$  nonnegative matrix A is doubly indecomposable if perA(i, j|k, l) > 0 for all i, j, k and l.

Lemma 2.4. For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $W_n = \begin{pmatrix} J_{n-1,1} & I_{n-1} + P_{n-1} \\ 0 & J_{1,n-1} \end{pmatrix}$  is a maximal convertible matrix and  $perW_n = (n-1)^2$ .

PROOF. Let

$$H = \begin{cases} J_{n,n} - 2(\sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} E_{2k,1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n/2} E_{n,2k} + E_{1,2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \\ J_{n,n} - 2(\sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} E_{2k,1} + \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} E_{n,2k}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that  $perW_n = det(H \circ W_n) = (n-1)^2$ . Maximality of  $W_n$  comes from the fact that a doubly indecomposable convertible  $\{0,1\}$  matrix doesn't have a  $J_{2,3}$  or  $J_{3,2}$  as its submatrix.

Notice that in Lemma 2.4,

$$det(A) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} n-1 & ext{if $n$ is even} \\ 0 & ext{if $n$ is odd.} \end{array} \right.$$

For  $\{0,1\}$  matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

let

$$A \star B = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & 1 & \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 & B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2.5. Let A and B be maximal convertible matrices. Then  $A \star B$  is a maximal convertible matrix.

PROOF. Let the sizes of A and B be  $k \times k$  and  $l \times l$  respectively.

Expanding the permanent of  $A \star B = C = [c_{ij}]$  by k-th row, we have

$$\begin{split} perC &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+l-1} c_{kj} perC(k|j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{kj} perC(k|j) \\ &+ \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l-1} c_{kj} perC(k|j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{kj} perA(k|j) perB(1|1) \\ &+ perA \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l-1} c_{kj} \sum_{p=k+1}^{k+l-1} c_{pk} perB(1,p-k+1|1,j-k+1) \\ &= perA\{perB(1|1) + \sum_{j=2}^{l} b_{1j} \sum_{p=2}^{l} b_{p1} perB(1,p|1,j)\} \\ &= perA\{perB(1|1) + \sum_{j=2}^{l} b_{1j} perB(1|j)\} \\ &= perA \cdot perB. \end{split}$$

Let  $H = [h_{ij}]$  and  $K = [k_{ij}]$  be converters of A and B with  $h_{kk} = k_{11} = k_{21} = \cdots = k_{l1} = 1$  respectively and let  $L = H \star K$ . We write  $A^* = [a_{ij}^*] = H \circ A$ ,  $B^* = [b_{ij}^*] = K \circ B$  and  $C^* = [c_{ij}^*] = L \circ C$ .

For j with  $k+1 \le j \le k+l-1$ , expanding  $detC^*(k|j)$  by the first k columns, we have

$$\begin{split} det C^*(k|j) &= det A^* \sum_{p=k+1}^{k+l-1} (-1)^{k+p} c_{pk}^* det B^*(1, p-k+1|1, j-k+1) \\ &= det A^* \sum_{s=2}^{l} (-1)^{s+1} b_{s1}^* det B^*(1, s|1, j-k+1) \\ &= det A^* \cdot det B^*(1|j-k+1). \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \det C^* &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+l} (-1)^{k+j} c_{kj}^* \det C^*(k|j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k+j} c_{kj}^* \det C^*(k|j) \\ &+ \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l-1} (-1)^{k+j} c_{kj}^* \det C^*(k|j) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj}^* \det A^*(k|j) \det B^*(1|1) \\ &+ \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l-1} (-1)^{k+j} c_{kj}^* (\det A^* \cdot \det B^*(1|j-k+1)) \\ &= \det A^* (\det B^*(1|1) + \sum_{t=2}^{l} (-1)^{t+1} b_{1t}^* \det B^*(1|t)) \\ &= \det A^* \cdot \det B^* = \operatorname{per} A \cdot \operatorname{per} B = \operatorname{per} C. \end{split}$$

Hence C is a convertible matrix. To prove the maximality of C, it is sufficient to show that  $C+E_{ij}$  is not convertible for  $1 \leq i < k$ ,  $k < j \leq n$  since A and B are maximal convertible matrices. Suppose that  $C+E_{ij}$  is convertible for some i, j with  $1 \leq i < k$ ,  $k < j \leq n$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that i=1 and j=n. Since C is fully indecomposable, perC(1|n)>0. Hence there exists  $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$  such that  $c_{2\sigma(2)}c_{3\sigma(3)}\cdots c_{n\sigma(n)}=1$  and  $C[i,j|\sigma(i),\sigma(j)]=J_2$  for some i,j with  $k \leq i,j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq \sigma(i),\sigma(j) \leq k$ . Also we have a converter L' of  $C+E_{1n}$  satisfying  $L'[i,j|\sigma(i),\sigma(j)]=J_2$ . This means  $L'[i,j|\sigma(i),\sigma(j)]=J_2$  is a converter of the convertible matrix  $C[i,j|\sigma(i),\sigma(j)]=J_2$ , which is impossible. Hence  $C+E_{1n}$  is not convertible.

THEOREM 2.6. There exists a maximal convertible matrix A such that r(A) = n if  $n \ge 4(n \ne 5)$ .

PROOF. If n is even, take the matrix  $W_n$  in Lemma 2.4. Then  $r(W_n) = n$ . If n is odd, let  $A = W_{n-3} * (J_{4,4} - \sum_{i=1}^4 E_{i,5-i})$ . Then A is a maximal convertible matrix by Lemma 2.5 and

$$det(A) = det(W_{n-3})det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$= (n-4) \cdot (-3) \neq 0.$$

Hence r(A) = n.

It is easy to show that every  $3 \times 3$  maximal convertible matrix is permutation equivalent to  $T_3$  whose rank is 2. By using the well-known fact([1]) that  $3n \le \pi(A) \le (n^2 + 3n - 2)/2$  for any maximal convertible  $n \times n$  matrix A, we can show that every  $5 \times 5$  maximal convertible matrix which has no two identical rows (or columns) is permutation equivalent to  $W_5$  in Lemma 2.4. In fact  $r(W_5) \ne 5$ . Hence there are no maximal convertible  $n \times n$  matrices A with r(A) = n for n = 3, 5.

THEOREM 2.7. For any integer s with  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \le s \le n$ , there exists an  $n \times n$  maximal convertible matrix A such that r(A) = s,  $n \ge 4(n \ne 5)$ .

PROOF. Let  $T_k = [t_{ij}]$  be the lower Hessenberg matrix of order k, i.e.,  $t_{ij} = 0$  if and only if  $i + j \ge k$ . Then  $r(T_k) = k - 1$ . Inductively define a sequence of maximal convertible matrices  $M_k, \dots, M_n$  as follows: Let  $M_k = T_k$  and

$$M_{k+t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b} & M_{k+t-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{a}=(1,\frac{1-(-1)^t}{2},0,\cdots,0)$  and  $\mathbf{b}=(1,\frac{1+(-1)^t}{2},0,\cdots,0)$ . Notice that  $M_n$  is an  $n\times n$  maximal convertible matrix such that  $r(M_n)=k-1+\lfloor\frac{n-k}{2}\rfloor$ . For any integer s with  $\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil\leq s< n$ , let k=2s-n+2 or k=2s-n+3. Then  $r(M_n)=s$ . Hence the result comes from this fact and Theorem 2.6.

## References

- [1] R. A. Brualdi and B. L. Shader, On sign-nonsingular matrices and the conversion of the permanent into the determinant, Applied Geometry and Discrete Mathematics 4 (1991), 117-134.
- [2] P. M. Gibson, Conversion of the permanent into the determinant, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 471-476.
- [3] S. G Hwang and S. J. Kim, On convertible nonnegative matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 32 (1992), 311-318.
- [4] S. G Hwang, S. J. Kim and S. Z. Song, On maximal convertible matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg. 38 (1995), 171-176.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, On convertible complex matrices, Lin. Alg. Appl. 233 (1996), 167-173.

[6] S. J. Kim, Some remarks on extremal convertible matrices, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (1992), 315-323.

Department of mathematic education Andong university Andong 760-749, korea