Simultaneous Observation of Fe-F and F-Fe-F Stretching Vibrations of Fluoride Anion Ligated Tetraphenylporphyrin Iron(III) by Resonance Raman Spectroscopy

Insook Rhee Paeng^{*†}, Ji Young Shin[†], Hakhyun Nam[‡], Do•Gyun Kim[†], and Ki-Jung Paeng[†]

¹Department of Chemistry, Seoul Women's University, Seoul 139-774, Korea ¹Department of Chemistry, Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-701, Korea ¹Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University, Wonju 220-710, Korea Received February 19, 1997

Monofluoroiron(III) tetraphenylporphyrin, Fe(TPP)F, and difluoroiron(III) tetraphenylporphyrin, $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^$ were generated in a various non-aqueous solvents by the reaction between Fe(TPP)Cl and tetrabutylammonium fluoride TBAF 3H₂O. Formation of the these complexes was detected by the appearance of the v(F-Fe) (v, stretching vibration) at 506 cm⁻¹ for Fe(TPP)F and the v(F-Fe-F) at 448 cm⁻¹ for $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$, simultaneously, with 441.6 nm excitation by Resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopy. These assignments were confirmed by observed frequency shifts due to 56 Fe/ 54 Fe and TPP/TPP-d₈/TPP-N₁₅ isotopic substitutions. Difluoroiron complex is an iron(III) high-spin complex with the oxidation sensitive band at 1347 cm⁻¹ for v₄ and core size/spin state sensitive band at 1541 cm⁻¹ for v₂.

Introduction

It is important to understand the structural characteristics of the dioxygen binding sites of heme proteins and their functions.¹ Studies on the ligand bound form of these heme proteins and model compounds are useful to elucidate the nature of them, especially anion bound forms are in interest. Fluoride ion binding to square-planar iron porphyrins through electrochemical measurements was detected by Kadish *et al.*² for the first time. And fluoride ion coordination appears to modulate iron porphyrin redox potentials and to provide a potential route for generation of non-radical high valent iron porphyrin complexes.³⁻⁵

A number of spectroscopic studies have provided evidence for anion coordination to iron porphyrins in nonaqueous solvent.⁴⁻⁶ Resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopy is very powerful tool for the study of fluoride binding to heme proteins and model compounds by tuning the laser frequency to their electronic transitions.^{7,8}

Solution preparation of difluoro iron porphyrin (tetraphenylporphyrin=TPP and octaethylporphyrin=OEP) complexes have been reported in earlier articles.^{6,9-11} It was known that two fluoride ions bind to iron(III) porphyrins in nonaqueous solvents to form a trans fluoride coordination and physicochemical studies have been done by NMR, ESR and electrochemical methods.⁴⁻⁶ However, their vibrational spectra have not been reported prior to our previous work,¹² in which we prepared the 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl) porphyrin iron(III) difluoride, [Fe(TPFPP)F₂]⁻ formed by the reaction between Fe(TPFPP)Cl and tetrabutyl ammonium fluoride, TBAF·3H₂O, in various nonaqueous solvents and conformed its structure by RR spectroscopy.

In this paper, we report a more complete result obtained for the analogous TPP complex by using various isotopes; *i. e.* ⁵⁶Fe(TPP)Cl, ⁵⁴Fe(TPP)Cl, Fe(TPP-d₈)Cl and Fe(TPP-N₁₅) Cl. Here, we report simultaneous observation of the RR spectra of two fluoride adducts, the mono- and di- fluoro complexes of Fe(III)(TPP), and the solvatochromic effects of the stretching vibration of F-Fe-F band on $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$ in various nonaqueous solvents. Especially discussed are the vibrational assignments of the high wavenumber region of their RR spectra.

Experimental

Compound preparation. 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (H₂TPP), deuterated analogue of tetraphenylporphyrin (H₂TPP-d₈) and ¹⁵N labeled analogue of tetraphenylporphyrin (H₂TPP-N₁₅) were synthesized by literature methods.¹³ H₂TPP analogues were purified to remove tetraphenylchlorin contamination.¹⁴ The metal was incorporated into H₂TPP, H₂TPP-d₈ and H₂TPP-N₁₅ by refluxing the porphyrins in glacial acetic acid containing ferric chloride.¹⁵ ⁵⁴Fe metal (98.5%) was purchased from Cambridge isotope laboratories. ⁵⁴Fe(TPP)Cl was synthesized by using the ferrous acetate-acetic acid method.¹⁶ Tetrabutyl ammonium fluoride trihydrate (TBAF·3H₂O) and the solvents, benzene, toluene, acetone and dimethylformamide (DMF), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) and methylene chloride contained in sure seal bottles were obtained from Aldrich chemical.

Spectral Measurement. The mono and difluoro iron porphyrin complexes were generated by adding an aliquot amount of $TBAF \cdot 3H_2O$ solution to Fe(III)(TPP)Cl solution. Prior to each Raman measurement, the extent of the reaction between the porphyrin and fluoride was estimated by comparing the relative intensities of Soret bands around 400 nm region (see later).

Raman scattering of dilute solution (50 μ M) placed in a spinning (3,000 rpm) glass cell at room temperature was imaged onto a single monochromator (Ritsu Oyo Kogaku, DG-1000) equipped with a cooled (-20 °C) intensified photodiode array (Princeton Applied Research, 1421HQ). Excitation was made by using Kimmon Electrics model CD 1805B He-Cd (441.6 nm) laser. The laser power on the

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

sample point was kept to be 5-10 mW throughout this work. Raman shifts were calibrated with CCl₄ and indene. Estimated accuracy in wavenumber readings was ± 1.0 cm⁻¹.

Electronic spectra were measured in the region of 700-300 nm using a Shimadzu UV-200 spectrophotometer.

Results and Discussion

Fluorination of Fe(TPP)Cl with TBAF-3H₂O.

During RR experiment with reaction products of Fe(TPP)Cl and TBAF, intensity changes of RR bands were observed depending on the molar ratio, R (=[TBAF]/[(TPP)FeCl]), of two reactants. Figure 1 shows a series of RR spectra (441.6 nm excitation) which were obtained from the reaction products of Fe(TPP)Cl solution dissolved together with successive addition of TBAF in THF solvent. Figure 1(A) shows the RR spectrum obtained from Fe(TPP)Cl itself with 441.6 nm excitation. The Soret band of Fe(TPP)Cl lies at 418 nm. Hence all porphyrin modes are very weak except solvent band at 913 cm⁻¹ because the excitation wavelength is far from resonance. Figure 1(B) (R=0.3) shows two new additional bands at 448 cm⁻¹ and 506 cm⁻¹ compared with Figure 1(A). The band at 448 cm^{-1} increases in intensity, whereas the band at 506 cm⁻¹ disappears when R goes to 2.0 [Figure 1(C)]. The nature of these two bands at 448 and 506 cm⁻¹ will be explained later. The remaining bands at 640 and 890 cm⁻¹ are attributed to porphyrin modes that are characteristically strong in the difluoro complex.

Electronic spectra of these reaction products were reexamined. For Fe(TPP)Cl, the λ_{max} of the Soret band lies at 418 nm and the Q band appears at 506 nm. when the molar ratio, R is <0.3, the λ_{max} of the Soret band is blue shifted to 414 nm from that of the chloro complex and Q bands are found at 584 and 636 nm, which is attributable to Fe(TPP)F according to the previous report.¹¹ The absorbances at 444 nm (Soret band) and at 582 nm (Q band) increase with the molar ratio R to 1.5 and levels off at higher ratios. The similar pattern of absorption spectrum was previously reported by Nanthakumar and Goff⁵ for [Fe(TPP)F₂]⁻. Therefore, these molar ratios were kept for the RR measurements of each species above.

Assignments of v(F-Fe) and v(F-Fe-F). The band characteristics of the Fe-F group are readily assignable based on ⁵⁶Fe/⁵⁴Fe isotope shifts. As stated previously,¹² the band in the 440-460 cm⁻¹ region in various nonaqueous solvents has been assigned to the $v_r(F-Fe-F)$ on [Fe(TPFPP)F₂] [TPFPP=5,10,15,20-tetrakis (pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin].

The nature of two new bands at 448 and 506 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1) are of particular interest. Thus, in order to observe these two bands concurrently, RR spectrum was examined when R equals to 0.3 (λ_{max} =414 nm). Parts (A)-(C) of Figure 2 show the RR spectra of reaction products of TBAF and Fe(TPP)Cl containing ⁵⁶Fe, ⁵⁴Fe and (TPP-N₁₅), respectively [Figures 1(B) and 2(A) are same RR spectra]. As can be seen from Figure 2, newly observed band at 448 cm^{-1} is insensitive to ⁵⁶Fe/⁵⁴Fe substitution [Figure 2(A)/ 2(B)] and to (TPP)/(TPP-N₁₅) substitution [Figure 2(A)/ 2(C)]. Thus, these results provide definitive evidence for our assignment of this band to the symmetric F-Fe-F stretch. v_{i} (F-Fe-F), of [Fe(TPP)F₂]⁻. The upward shift in going from 506 cm⁻¹ [Figure 2(A)] to 510 cm⁻¹ [Figure 2(B)] and the no shift of the band at 506 cm⁻¹ [Figure 2(A) vs. 2(C)] indicates that the 506 cm⁻¹ vibration involves the mo-



Figure 1. RR spectra (low-wavenumber region) of reaction products of Fe(III)(TPP)Cl and various amounts of TBAF in THF. R=[TBAF]/[Fe(TPP)Cl]. (A) R=0.0. (B) R=0.3. (C) R=2.0



Figure 2. Low – wavenumber RR spectra of $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$ in THF. Excitation at 441.6 nm using He-Cd laser, R=[TBAF]/[Fe (TPP)Cl]=0.3 ($\lambda_{max}\approx$ 414 nm). (A) ⁵⁶Fe. (B) ⁵⁴Fe. (C) ⁵⁶Fe(TPP-N₁₅)

tion of the Fe atom. The observed shifts $(+4 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ by } {}^{56}\text{Fe})$ ${}^{54}\text{Fe}$ substitution) are in perfect agreement with theoretical values expected for a Fe-F diatomic harmonic oscillator. Thus, the bands at 506 and 510 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the (F-Fe) of Fe(TPP)F and its ${}^{54}\text{Fe}$ analog, respectively.

It is interesting to note that the (F-Fe) (506 cm⁻¹) and (F-Fe-F) (448 cm⁻¹) of Fe(TPP)F and [Fe(TPP)F₂]⁻, respectively, are lower than those of mono- [(F-Fe)=593 cm⁻¹) and difluoro- [v(F-Fe-F)=456 cm⁻¹] Fe(TPFPP) complexes reported previously;¹² the former is 87 cm⁻¹ lower and the latter is 8 cm⁻¹ lower. In general, the more acidic porphyrinato ligand, the less electron density on the metal iron and the more strongly σ -bonding from the ligand to the metal ion, resulting in a stronger metal-ligand bond. TPFPP is highly acidic since the electron density on the porphyrin ring is reduced markedly due to strong electron-withdrawing property of the fluorine atoms substituted on the phenyl rings. This trend will be reversed in TMP (TMP= tetramesitylporphyrin) since the methyl groups are weakly electron-donating.

Solvent effect of v_{\epsilon}(F-Fe-F) on [Fe(TPP)F_2]^{-} Figure 3 shows the RR spectra of $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^{-}$ measured in six solvents. The band at 640 cm⁻¹ is due to the porphyrin skeletal mode of Fe(TPP) and the other bands except the v_{ϵ} (F-Fe-F) band are due to each solvent. As can be seen, it clearly illustrates that a large solvent-effect influences the F-Fe-F vibration of the diffuoro complex. The binding strength of the ligand F⁻ ion to metal ion is very strong compared with those of other anions.⁶ Therefore, in order to inquire the effect of solvent coordination on these wavenumbers, a correlation was attempted against the sol-



Figure 3. RR spectra of $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$ measured in six different solvents. R=[TBAF]/[Fe(TPP)Cl]=2.0 (λ_{max} =414 nm) Excitation at 441.6 nm, in (A) tetrahydrofuran (THF), (B) Acetone, (C) benzene, (D) dimethylformamide (DMF), (E) toluene, and (F) CH₂Cl₂

vent acceptor number¹⁷ [Figure 4(B)]. A linear relationship is observed for all solvents and the F-Fe-F wavenumbers decrease linearly with increasing acceptor number of the solvents employed; [solvent(acceptor number); THF(8.0), Acetone(12.5), Benzene(8.2), DMF(16.0) and CH₂Cl₂(20.4)]. The larger the acceptor number of a solvent is, the more strongly the solvent molecules interact with the electron pairs at the F end of the polar Fe-F bond in $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^$ to decrease the $F \rightarrow Fe$ donation. Figure 4(A) was previously reported by Paeng et al.¹² Herein is reported the comparison of $[Fe(TPFPP)F_2]^-$ [Figure 4(A)] with $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$ [Figure 4(B)]. The intercepts (acceptor number is zero) of Figure 4(A) and 4(B) means that the F end of the polar F-Fe-F bond has no interaction with the solvent molecule. Thus, the difference ($\Delta v=10$ cm⁻¹) between 463 cm⁻¹ [intercept of Figure 4(A)] and 453 cm⁻¹ [one of Figure 4(B)] can be count on the difference between porphyrinato ligands as explained; i.e. TPFPP is more acidic than TPP.

Structure-Sensitive Vibrations. Structural information about $[Fe(TPP)F_2]^-$ can be obtained by evaluating RR frequencies of several TPP vibrations that are sensitive to the changes in the oxidation and/or spin state.⁷ RR spectra of TPP complexes exhibit three bands in the regions 1370-1340 (v₄), 1460-1440 (v₃) and 1570-1540 cm⁻¹ (v₂) which are known to be sensitive to the changes in the oxidation and/or spin state.^{7,18-21}

RR spectra (Figure 5) were obtained in the high wavenumber region at 1200-1600 cm⁻¹ with 441.6 nm excitation (He-Cd laser). The RR spectrum of Fe(III)(TPP)Cl [Figure 5(A)] shows bands at 1553, 1448 and 1360 cm⁻¹, which were assigned to v_2 , v_3 and v_4 , respectively. These values are typical of high-spin iron +3 valent TPPs. The Fe(TPP)F was generated in Figure 5(B) (R=0.3) and it shows a similar pattern with Fe(TPP)Cl. The vibrational modes of the final product, [Fe(TPP)F₂], are in interest.



Figure 4. Plot of F-Fe-F stretching wavenumber as a function of the solvent acceptor number. Excitation at 441.6 nm.



Figure 5. RR spectra (high-wavenumber region) of reaction products of Fe(III)(TPP)Cl and various amounts of TBAF in THF. R=[TBAF]/[Fe(TPP)Cl]. (A) R=0.0. (B) R=0.3. (C) R=2.0

The v_4 band originates from $v(C_{\alpha}-N)+\delta(C_{\beta}-H)$ (where C_{α} and C_{β} refer to those at the α and β positions of the pyrrol ring, respectively), and its wavenumber is known to depend upon the electron density on the $\pi^*(e_g)$ orbital of the porphyrin core.^{18,19} The v_4 was down-shifted from at 1360 cm⁻¹ [Figure 5(A) and 5(B)] to at 1347 cm⁻¹ [Figure 5(C)]. Hence, this phenomena can be explained that electron density at the central iron was increased by coordination of two basic fluoride anion. When TPP-N₁₅ was employed, the band at 1347 cm⁻¹ shifted to 1338 cm⁻¹, however, the band at 1355 cm⁻¹ showed no-shift. Thus, we could rule out to assign the band at 1355 cm⁻¹ to v_4 .

The v_2 was assigned to $v(C_\beta-C_\beta)+v(C_\beta-H)$ by Burke *et al.*¹⁸ It has been well established¹⁸⁻²⁰ that this band serves as a spin state marker: 1542-1554 cm⁻¹ range for high-spin complexes and 1553-1572 cm⁻¹ for low-spin complexes regardless of the oxidation state of iron. The v_2 band was also down-shifted from 1553 cm⁻¹ (monofluoro complex) to 1541 cm⁻¹ (difluoro complex). This assignment was confirmed by using TPP-d₈ (1520 cm⁻¹). Hexacoordinated iron porphyrins have larger cores than pentacoordinated species and hence lower skeletal-mode wavenumbers. Especially iron metal should fit the central core of the porphyrin with a hexacoordinated species with two identical ligands. This species would have a relatively larger core than a pentacoordinated high-spin species.

Thus, the final product, diffuoro complex is a six-coordinate iron(III) high-spin complex with the oxidation sensitive band at 1347 cm⁻¹ for v_4 and core size/spin state sensitive band at 1541 cm⁻¹ for v_2 .

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor T. Kitagawa of the Institute for Molecular Science (Okazaki, Japan) for kindly allowing us to use his Raman system. This work was partially supported by Seoul women's university research fund (1996).

References

- Hewson, W. D.; Hager, L. P. In *The Porphyrins*; Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1979; Vol. 6, p 295.
- Kadish, K. M.; Rhodes, R. K. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 1090.
- Hickman, D. L.; Nanthakumar, A.; Goff, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6384.
- 4. Hickman, D. L.; Goff, H. M. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 2787.
- Nanthakumar, A.; Goff, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4047.
- Bottomley, L. A.; Kadish, K. M. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 1348.
- Spiro, T. G. In *Iron Porphyrins*; Lever, A. B. P.; Gray, H. B., Eds.; Addison-Wesley Reading, MA; 1983; Part II, p 89.
- Yu, N. T.; Kerr, E. A. In *Biological Application of Raman Spectroscopy*; Spiro, T. G., Ed.; Wiely-Interscience: New York, 1988; Vol. 3, p 39.
- 9. Momenteau, J.; Mispelter, J.; Lexa, D. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1973, 320, 652.
- Gans, P.; Marchon, J. C.; Moulis, J. M. Polyhedron 1982, 1, 737.
- Anzai, K.; Hatano, K.; Lee, Y. J.; Scheidt, W. R. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 2337.
- (a) Paeng, I. R.; Nam, H.; Lee, S. W.; Paeng, K.-J. J. Raman Spec. 1997, 28, 229 (b) Paeng, I. R.; Paeng, K.-J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1995, 16, 997. (c) Paeng, I. R.; Nakamoto, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3289.
- (a) Longo, F. R.; Finarelli, M. G.; Kim, J. B. Synthesis 1969, 6, 927. (b) Smith, K. M. In Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins; Smith, K. M. Ed.; Elsevier: New York, 1976; Chapter 2, p 29.
- 14. Rousseau, K.; Dolphin, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 48, 4251.
- Chang, C. K.; Di Nello, R. R.; Dolphin, D. In *Inor-ganic Synthesis*; Busch, D. H., Ed.; Wiely: New York, 1981; Vol. 20, p 157.
- Buchler, J. W. In *Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins* Smith, K. M. Ed. 1976, Elsevier, New York, Chapter 5, p 157.
- Gutman, V. In The Donor-Acceptor Approach to Molecular Interactions; Plenum Press: New York, 1978.
- Bruke, J. M.; Kincaid, J. R.; Peters, S.; Gange, R. R.; Collman, J. P.; Spiro, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 6083.
- Chottard, G.; Battioni, P.; Battioni, J. P.; Lange, M.; Mansuy, D. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 1718.
- Oshio, H.; Ama, T.; Watanabe, T.; Kincaid, J. R.; Nakamoto, K. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 1984, 40, 863.
- 21. Stein, P.; Ulman, A.; Spiro, T. G. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 369.