

ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF *CS*-MODULE AND ITS APPLICATIONS

SEOG-HOON RIM

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we try to apply the decomposition theorem of *CS*-module to reprove the direct sum of *CS*-modules be *CS* under certain condition.

Throughout this paper R will denote a ring with identity and all R -modules will be unital right R -modules. For two R -modules X and Y , we use $X \subseteq_e Y$ and $X \subset_{\oplus} Y$ to mean that X is an essential submodule of Y and X is isomorphic to a direct summand of Y .

An R -module M is called a *CS*-module (or an extending module) if it satisfies

(C_1) : For any submodule X of M , there exists a direct summand X^* of M such that $X \subseteq_e X^*$

M is called continuous if it satisfies (C_1) and

(C_2): Every submodule of M which is isomorphic to a direct summand of M is a direct summand.

M is called a quasi-continuous module if it satisfies (C_1) and

(C_3) : If X and Y are direct summands of M with $X \cap Y = 0$, then $X \oplus Y$ is a direct summand.

The following lemma was introduced to the author by Professor Os-
hiro in 1994. The usefulness is approved in various papers (cf. [4], [5]
and [6]).

Received January 22, 1996. Revised March 19, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D50, 16D60.

Key words and phrases: *CS*-module, decomposition, quasi-continuous and continuous module.

This paper was supported in part by NON DIRECTED RESEARCH FUND, Korea Research Foundation, 1994.

In this short note, we try to reprove some well-known results, i.e., when the direct sum of two *CS*-modules (quasi-continuous, continuous modules resp.) becomes *CS*-module (quasi-continuous, continuous module resp.)

LEMMA 1. (cf. [4], Lemma 2.1) *Let P be an R -module with a decomposition $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that each M_i is CS -module. For any submodule X of P , then there are submodules $T(i) \subseteq_e T(i)^* \subset_{\oplus} M_i$, decompositions $M_i = T(i)^* \oplus N_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and a submodule $X(1) \oplus X(2) \subseteq_e X$ for which the following properties hold:*

- 1) $X(1) = T(1) \subseteq_e T(1)^*$
- 2) $X(2) \subseteq T(2) \oplus N_1$
- 3) $\sigma(X(i)) = T(i) \subseteq_e T(i)^*$ for $i = 1, 2$
 $X(i) \simeq \sigma(X(i))$ (by $\sigma|X(i)$)
 where σ is the projection

$$\sigma : T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 \rightarrow T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$$

- 4) $X \simeq \sigma(X)$ (by $\sigma|X$)

We use the following well-known fact(cf. [5]) in the proof of propositions. We list this as lemma.

LEMMA 2. *For any module $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and for $X \subseteq P$, let $\sigma_X : P \rightarrow M_1$ be the projection map with $X \cong \sigma(X)$ such that $\beta_1 : \sigma(X) \oplus K$ for some K , then $M_1 = X \oplus K$ and $P = X \oplus K \oplus M_2$.*

PROOF. The proof can be found in [8]. □

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$ with each M_i is CS -module and if M_i is M_j -injective for $i \neq j$. Then P is a CS -module.*

PROOF. For any submodule X of P , by the Lemma 1, we have the following

$$X(1) \oplus X(2) \subseteq_e X$$

$$P = T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2.$$

Consider two projection homomorphism σ and τ

$$\sigma : P \rightarrow T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \text{ and } \tau : P \rightarrow N_1 \oplus N_2$$

Define a map $\varphi : \sigma(X) \rightarrow \tau(X)$ by $\sigma(x) \mapsto \tau(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We can check easily that φ is well-defined homomorphism.

From the hypothesis we know that $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is $T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$ -injective, thus we have an extension $\varphi^* : T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \rightarrow N_1 \oplus N_2$ such that $\varphi^*|_{\sigma(X)} = \varphi$. Put $X^* = \{\alpha + \varphi^*(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*\}$, then $X^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 = P$. And we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha + \varphi(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \sigma(X)\} &\subseteq_e X^* \\ &= \{\sigma(\alpha) + \tau(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in (X)\} \\ &= X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $X \subseteq_e X^* \subset_{\oplus} P$. So we can say that P is a CS -module. □

REMARK. Recently Professor Oshiro try to construct concrete form of X^* for the given $X \subset P = M_1 \oplus M_2$ situation. He presented some result in case each $M_i = Z$ and for arbitrary $X \subseteq Z \oplus Z$ (cf. [3]).

LEMMA 4. Let P be a CS -module with a decomposition $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$, if $X \subset_{\oplus} P$ then we have a decomposition $P = X \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2$ where N_1 and N_2 appeared in Lemma 1. (i.e. we can see what is the direct complement of X in P .)

PROOF. By Lemma 1, we have $P = T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2$ and $X(1) \oplus X(2) \subseteq_e X$. Since X is a direct summand of a CS -module P , X is CS -module. For each $X(i) \subseteq X$, by the definition of CS -module, there exist direct summands $X(i)^{\sharp}$ of X such that

$$X(i) \subseteq_e X(i)^{\sharp}.$$

Thus we have $X(1) \oplus X(2) \subseteq_e X(i)^{\sharp} \oplus X(2)^{\sharp} \subseteq_e X$.

For the projection $\varphi : P \rightarrow T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$, let $\varphi_i : P \rightarrow T(i)^*$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $K \subseteq T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$ such that

$$\varphi_i : X(i)^{\sharp} \cong K(i) \subseteq T(i)^* \text{ and } T(i) \subseteq_e K, K(i) \cong T(i)^*.$$

Thus we have $X(i)^{\sharp} \cong T(i)^*$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} X &\cong \varphi(X) \subseteq_e T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \\ &\subseteq_e X(1)^{\sharp} \oplus X(2)^{\sharp} \\ &\subseteq_e X \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi(X) = T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$ so $X \cong T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} P &= T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 1}) \\ &= X \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \end{aligned}$$

□

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$, each M_i is quasi-continuous and M_i is M_j -injective for $i \neq j$. Then P is a quasi-continuous module.*

PROOF. Let $A, B \subset_{\oplus} P$ and $A \cap B = 0$. Since $A \subset_{\oplus} P$, we can write $P = A \oplus Q$. Also by the Lemma 4, we can rewrite $P = A \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2$. Consider two projections $\sigma_A : P \rightarrow A$ and $\sigma_Q : P \rightarrow Q$. We can check that $\sigma_Q(B) \cong B$ (since $A \cap B = 0$). Thus $A \oplus B \cong A \oplus \sigma_Q(B)$. $\sigma_Q(B)$ is a submodule of Q , which is a CS -module. There exists a direct summand $[\sigma_Q(B)]^*$ such that

$$\sigma_Q(B) \subseteq_e [\sigma_Q(B)]^* \oplus Q.$$

Consider a homomorphism $\varphi : \sigma_Q(B) \rightarrow \sigma_A(B)$ which is well-defined by $\sigma_Q(b) \mapsto \sigma_A(b)$. Since $[\sigma_Q(B)]^*$ is A -injective. We have an extension $\varphi^* : [\sigma_Q(B)]^* \rightarrow A$.

Note that

$$A \oplus [\sigma_Q(B)]^* \subset_{\oplus} P \text{ and } [\sigma_Q(B)]^* \subset_{\oplus} Q.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma_Q(B)]^* &= \{\alpha + \varphi^*(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in [\sigma_Q(B)]^*\} \\ &{}_e \supseteq \{\alpha + \varphi(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \sigma_Q(B)\} \\ &= B \end{aligned}$$

Since $B \subset_{\oplus} P$ and $B \subseteq_e [\sigma_Q(B)]^*$, we have $B = [\sigma_Q(B)]^*$. Thus we have

$$A \oplus [\sigma_Q(B)]^* = A \oplus \sigma_Q(B) = A \oplus B.$$

Thus $A \oplus B \subset_{\oplus} P$, which means that P satisfies (C_3) condition.

Thus combining with Proposition 3, we have the result. □

Quasi-continuous module P has the following characterization: If $P \subseteq_e E(P) = \sum_I \oplus E_{\alpha}$, then $P = \sum_I \oplus (E_{\alpha} \cap P)$. (cf. [1], Theorem 2.8.)

Oshiro and Rizvi generalized the above result as follows:

LEMMA 6. ([4], Prop 1.2) P is a quasi-continuous module if and only if $P \subseteq_e F$ and $F = \sum_I \oplus F_\alpha$ then $P = \sum_I \oplus (F_\alpha \cap P)$.

PROPOSITION 7. Let $P = M_1 \oplus M_2$, each M_i is continuous module and if M_i is M_j -injective for $i \neq j$. Then P is a continuous module.

PROOF. We need to show the condition $(C_2) : X \subseteq P$ and $X \cong T \subset_{\oplus} P$ claim that $X \subset_{\oplus} P$. For any submodules $X \subseteq P$, by the Lemma 1 we have a decomposition $P = T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2$ and $X_e \supseteq X(1) \oplus X(2)$. For a map $\sigma : P \rightarrow T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \quad \sigma(X) \simeq X$ and $\sigma(X) \subseteq_e T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^* \quad X(1) \oplus X(2) \simeq T(1) \oplus T(2) \subseteq_e \sigma(X)$.

The summand Y of quasi-continuous module P is quasi-continuous in X . Note that $\sigma(X) \subseteq_e T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$ and apply lemma 5 we have $\sigma(X) = T(1)^* \cap \sigma(X) \oplus T(2)^* \cap \sigma(X)$. Put $T(i)^* \cap \sigma(X) = T(i)^\circ$, then we have $T(i) \subseteq_e T(i)^\circ$. Noting that $X \simeq \sigma(X)$ by σ we write $\sigma^{-1}(T(i)^\circ) = X(i)^\sharp$. So we have $X = X(1)^\sharp \oplus X(2)^\sharp$. Since $X \simeq Y$, we write isomorphic image of $X(i)^\sharp$; $X(1)^\sharp \simeq \overline{Y(1)}$ and $X(2)^\sharp \simeq \overline{Y(2)}$. Note that $\overline{Y(1)} \subset_{\oplus} M_1$, and $X(1)^\sharp \cong T(1)^\circ \subseteq T(1)^* \subset_{\oplus} M_1$. We have $T(i)^\circ = T(i)^\sharp$ (using $M_i = M_j$ -injective). Thus $T(1)^\circ \oplus T(2)^\circ = T(1)^\sharp \oplus T(2)^\sharp$. $\sigma(X) = T(1)^* \oplus T(2)^*$. Thus $X \oplus N_1 \oplus N_2 = P$. \square

References

- [1] S. H. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Continuous and discrete modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [2] K. Oshiro, *Continuous modules and quasi-continuous modules*, Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 681-694.
- [3] K. Oshiro, *On the exchange property of CS-modules*, Proc. Japan Ring Theory Symposium, 1995.
- [4] K. Oshiro and S. T. Rizvi, *The exchange property of quasi-continuous modules with the finite exchange property*.

Department of Mathematical Education
 Kyungpook National University
 Taegu 702-701, Korea