Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour. 6 (1998), No. 2, pp. 243-257

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF CR-SUBMANIFOLDS WITH (n-1) CR-DIMENSION IN A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

KWAN-HO CHO AND JUNG-HWAN KWON*

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to give some characterizations of *n*-dimensional *CR*-submanifolds with (n-1) *CR*-dimension immersed in a complex projective space $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$, in terms of the Riemannian curvature tensor *R*.

1. Introduction

Let M be a connected real n-dimensional submanifold of real codimension p of a complex manifold \overline{M} with complex structure J. If the maximal J-invariant subspace $JT_xM \cap T_xM$ of T_xM has constant dimension for any x in M, then M is called a CR-submanifold and the constant is called the CR-dimension of M ([8,9]). Now let M be an ndimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension of \overline{M} . Then Madmits an induced almost contact structure ([11,15,16]). A typical example of an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension is a real hypersurface. When the ambient manifold \overline{M} is a complex projective space, real hypersurfaces are investigated by many authors ([2,4,5,6,7,10,12,13,14]) in connection with the shape operator and the induced almost contact structure.

Recently, from these results, the several authors ([8,11]) studied about an *n*-dimensional *CR*-submanifold of (n-1) *CR*-dimension in a complex projective space $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$. Especially, by using the Erbacher's

Received June 18, 1998.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C40, 53C55.

Key words and phrases: CR-submanifold, CR-dimension, Maximal J-invariant subspace, Shape operator, Complex projective space.

^{*}This research was supported by the Taegu University Research Grant, 1998

reduction theorem ([3]), Okumura and Vanhecke [11] proved the following theorem, which is focused on the induced almost contact metric structure F on M and A_1 a special kind of shape operators.

THEOREM A. Let M be an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension immersed in a complex projective space $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$. If the normal vector field $\xi_1 := \xi$ appeared in (2.1) is parallel with respect to the normal connection and if F and A_1 commute, then $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is locally a product of $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 belong to some odddimensional spheres (π is the Hopf-fibration $S^{n+p+1}(1) \to CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$).

The purpose of this paper is to give some characterizations of CRsub- manifolds of (n-1) CR-dimension in $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$, in terms of the Riemannian curvature tensor R. We first have a classification of CRsubmanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension in $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_{U_1}R = 0$, where \mathcal{L}_{U_1} denotes the Lie derivative in the direction of the structure vector field U_1 .

THEOREM 1. Let M be an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1)CR-dimension immersed in $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$ and let there exist an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,p}$ ($\xi_1 := \xi$) of normal vectors to M each of which is parallel with respect to the normal connection. If $\mathcal{L}_{U_1}R = 0$, then $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is locally a product of $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 belong to some odd-dimensional spheres.

Next, we also have a classification of CR-submanifold of (n-1)CR-dimension in $CP^{\frac{n+p}{2}}$ satisfying $\nabla_{U_1}R = 0$, where $\nabla_{U_1}R$ denotes the covariant derivative in the direction of the structure vector field U_1 . Namely, we prove the following theorem

THEOREM 2. Let M be as in Theorem 1 with $n \geq 3$. If $\nabla_{U_1} R = 0$ and $g(A_1U_1, U_1) \neq 0$, then $\pi^{-1}(M)$ is locally a product of $M_1 \times M_2$, where M_1 and M_2 belong to some odd-dimensional spheres.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a complex space form $\overline{M} = M^{\frac{n+p}{2}}(c)$ and denote by (J, \overline{g}) the Kähler structure on \overline{M} . For x of M we denote by $T_x M$ and $T_x M^{\perp}$

the tangent space and normal space of M at x, respectively. From now on we assume that M is an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1)CR-dimension, that is, $dim(JT_xM \cap T_xM) = n-1$. This implies that dimM is odd ([11]).

Note that the definition of CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension meets the definition of CR-submanifold in the sense of Bejancu [1].

Furthermore, our hypothesis implies that there exists a unit vector field ξ_1 normal to M such that $JTM \subset TM \oplus Span{\xi}$. Hence, for any tangent vector field X and for a local orthonormal basis ${\xi_{\alpha}}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,p}$ $(\xi_1 := \xi)$ of normal vectors to M, we have the following decomposition in tangential and normal components :

$$(2.1) JX = FX + u^1(X)\xi_1,$$

(2.2)
$$J\xi_{\alpha} = -U_{\alpha} + P\xi_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p.$$

It is easily seen that F and P are skew-symmetric linear endomorphisms acting on $T_x M$ and $T_x M^{\perp}$, respectively. Moreover, the Hermitian property of J implies

(2.3)
$$g(FU_{\alpha}, X) = -u^{1}(X)\overline{g}(\xi_{1}, P\xi_{\alpha}),$$

(2.4)
$$g(U_{\alpha}, U_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{g}(P\xi_{\alpha}, P\xi_{\beta}).$$

From $\overline{g}(JX,\xi_{\alpha}) = -\overline{g}(X,J\xi_{\alpha})$, we get $g(U_{\alpha},X) = u^{1}(X)\delta_{1\alpha}$ and hence

(2.5)
$$g(U_1, X) = u^1(X), \quad U_\alpha = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p.$$

Next, applying J to (2.1), using (2.2) and (2.5) we have

(2.6)
$$F^2 X = -X + u^1(X)U_1, \quad u^1(X)P\xi_1 = -u^1(FX)\xi_1.$$

Since P is skew-symmetric, (2.3) and the second equation of (2.6) give

(2.7)
$$u^1(FX) = 0, \quad P\xi_1 = 0, \quad FU_1 = 0.$$

So, (2.2) may be written in the form

(2.8)
$$J\xi_1 = -U_1, \quad J\xi_\alpha = P\xi_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p$$

and further, we may put

(2.9)
$$P\xi_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=2}^{p} P_{\alpha\beta}\xi_{\beta}, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p,$$

where $(P_{\alpha\beta})$ is a skew-symmetric matrix which satisfies

(2.10)
$$\sum_{\beta=2}^{p} P_{\alpha\beta} P_{\beta\gamma} = -\delta_{\alpha\gamma}, \quad \alpha, \gamma = 2, \dots, p.$$

These results imply that (F, U_1, u^1, g) defines an almost contact metric structure on (M, g) ([16]).

Now, let $\overline{\nabla}$ and $\overline{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection on \overline{M} and M, respectively and denote by D the normal connection induced from $\overline{\nabla}$ in the normal bundle TM^{\perp} of M. Then the Gauss and Weingarten equations are given by

(2.11)
$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

(2.12)
$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha = -A_\alpha X + D_X \xi_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, p$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y to M. Here h denotes the second fundamental form and A_{α} is the shape operator corresponding to ξ_{α} . They are related by $h(X,Y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} g(A_{\alpha}X,Y)\xi_{\alpha}$.

Furthermore, putting

(2.13)
$$D_X \xi_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=1}^p s_{\alpha\beta}(X) \xi_{\beta},$$

it follows that $(s_{\alpha\beta})$ is the skew-symmetric matrix of connection forms of D. Next, the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations are ([11]) :

$$(2.14) \quad \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X,Y)Z,W) = g(R(X,Y)Z,W) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \{g(A_{\alpha}X,Z)g(A_{\alpha}Y,W) - g(A_{\alpha}Y,Z)g(A_{\alpha}X,W)\}, (2.15) \quad \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X,Y)Z,\xi_{\alpha}) = g((\nabla_{X}A_{\alpha})Y - (\nabla_{Y}A_{\alpha})X,Z) + \sum_{\beta=1}^{p} \{g(A_{\beta}Y,Z)s_{\beta\alpha}(X) - g(A_{\beta}X,Z)s_{\beta\alpha}(Y),$$

(2.16)
$$\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X,Y)\xi_{\alpha},\xi_{\beta}) = \overline{g}(R^{\perp}(X,Y)\xi_{\alpha},\xi_{\beta}) + g([A_{\beta},A_{\alpha}]X,Y)$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y, Z and W to M. \overline{R} denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of \overline{M} and R that of M. R^{\perp} is the curvature tensor of the normal connection D.

Moreover, if the ambient space \overline{M} is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, since

$$\overline{R}(\overline{X},\overline{Y})\overline{Z} = \frac{c}{4} \{ \overline{g}(\overline{Y},\overline{Z})\overline{X} - \overline{g}(\overline{X},\overline{Z})\overline{Y} + \overline{g}(J\overline{Y},\overline{Z})J\overline{X} - \overline{g}(J\overline{X},\overline{Z})J\overline{Y} - 2\overline{g}(J\overline{X},\overline{Y})J\overline{Z} \}$$

for any tangent vector fields \overline{X} , \overline{Y} and \overline{Z} to \overline{M} , the Riemannian curvature tensor R of M given by

$$(2.17) \quad R(X,Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(FY,Z)FX - g(FX,Z)FY - 2g(FX,Y)FZ \} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p} \{g(A_{\alpha}Y,Z)A_{\alpha}X - g(A_{\alpha}X,Z)A_{\alpha}Y \}$$

for any tangent vector fields X, Y and Z to M.

In the sequel, we consider the case of a complex space form $\overline{M} = M^{\frac{n+p}{2}}(c)$ and $\overline{\nabla}J = 0$. Then by differentiating (2.1) and (2.2) covariantly and by comparing the tangential and normal parts, we have

(2.18)
$$(\nabla_Y F)X = u^1(X)A_1Y - g(A_1X,Y)U_1,$$

(2.19)
$$(\nabla_Y u^1) X = g(FA_1 Y, X),$$

(2.20)
$$\nabla_X U_1 = F A_1 X,$$

(2.21)
$$g(A_{\alpha}U_1, X) = -\sum_{\beta=2}^{p} s_{1\beta}(X) P_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y to M.

In the rest of this paper we suppose that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,p}$ of normal vectors to M each of which is parallel with respect to the normal connection D. Then from (2.13) we have

$$(2.22) s_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Hence, from (2.21) and (2.22) we obtain

(2.23)
$$A_{\alpha}U_1 = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, ..., p.$$

Moreover, from (2.22) and (2.23), the Codazzi equation (2.15) becomes

(2.24)
$$(\nabla_X A_1)Y - (\nabla_Y A_1)X = \frac{c}{4} \{g(X, U_1)FY - g(Y, U_1)FX - 2g(FX, Y)U_1\},$$

(2.25)
$$(\nabla_X A_\alpha) Y - (\nabla_Y A_\alpha) X = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p$$

for any tangent vector fields X and Y to M. Also, by differentiating (2.23) covariantly and using (2.25) we get

(2.26)
$$(\nabla_{U_1} A_{\alpha}) U_1 = 0, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p.$$

Especially, recently Kwon and Pak [8] proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let M be an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1)CR-dimension immersed in a complex space form $M^{\frac{n+p}{2}}(c), c \neq 0$ and let there exist an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,p}$ of normal vectors to M each of which is parallel with respect to the normal connection. If $A_1U_1 = \lambda U_1$ for some function λ , then λ is locally constant.

Finally, we suppose that U_1 is principal with corresponding principal curvature λ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, λ is constant on M and it satisfies

(2.27)
$$(\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1 = 0,$$

(2.28)
$$A_1FA_1 = \frac{c}{4}F + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(A_1F + FA_1)$$

by virtue of (2.20) and (2.24). Hence from (2.24) and (2.28), we get

(2.29)
$$\nabla_{U_1} A_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda (A_1 F - F A_1).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we are concerned with the proof of Theorem 1. Let M be an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension immersed in a complex space form $M^{\frac{n+p}{2}}(c)$. Then M admits an almost contact metric structure (F, U_1, u^1, g) . The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{U_1}R$ of R with respect to the structure vector field U_1 satisfies

(3.1)
$$(\mathcal{L}_{U_1}R)(X,Y,Z) = \mathcal{L}_{U_1}(R(X,Y)Z) - R(\mathcal{L}_{U_1}X,Y)Z - R(X,\mathcal{L}_{U_1}Y)Z - R(X,Y)\mathcal{L}_{U_1}Z$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M. From now on we shall prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let M be as in Lemma 2.1. If $\mathcal{L}_{U_1}R = 0$, then $A_1F = FA_1$.

Proof. Let T_0 be a distribution defined by the subspace $T_0(x) = \{u \in T_x M : g(u, U_1(x)) = 0\}$ of the tangent space $T_x M$ of M at any point x, which is called the *holomorphic distribution*. Suppose that the structure vector field U_1 is not necessarily principal. Then we can put $A_1U_1 = \lambda U_1 + \mu V$, where V is a unit vector field in T_0 , λ and μ are smooth functions on M. From (2.17), (2.18), (2.20), (3.1) and our assumption, we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \frac{c}{4} \mu [\{u^1(Y)g(Z,V) - u^1(Z)g(Y,V)\}FX \\ &- \{u^1(X)g(Z,V) - u^1(Z)g(X,V)\}FY \\ &- 2\{u^1(X)g(Y,V) - u^1(Y)g(X,V)\}FZ \\ &+ g(FY,Z)\{u^1(X)V - g(X,V)U_1\} \\ &- g(FX,Z)\{u^1(Y)V - g(Y,V)U_1\} \\ &- 2g(FX,Y)\{u^1(Z)V - g(Z,V)U_1\}] \\ &- \frac{c}{4}\{g(FY,Z)F(A_1F - FA_1)X - g(FX,Z)F(A_1F - FA_1)Y \\ &- 2g(FX,Y)F(A_1F - FA_1)Z + g((A_1F - FA_1)Y,Z)X \\ &- g((A_1F - FA_1)X,Z)Y + g((A_1F^2 - F^2A_1)Y,Z)FX \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &-g((A_{1}F^{2}-F^{2}A_{1})X,Z)FY-2g((A_{1}F^{2}-F^{2}A_{1})X,Y)FZ\}\\ &+g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y,Z)A_{1}X-g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X,Z)A_{1}Y\\ &+g(A_{1}Y,Z)\{(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X+(A_{1}F-FA_{1})A_{1}X\}\\ &-g(A_{1}X,Z)\{(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y+(A_{1}F-FA_{1})A_{1}Y\}\\ &-\sum_{\alpha=2}^{p}[\{g(A_{1}FA_{\alpha}Y,Z)-g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y,Z)-g(A_{\alpha}FA_{1}Y,Z)\}A_{\alpha}X\\ &-g(A_{\alpha}Y,Z)\{(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X-FA_{1}A_{\alpha}X+A_{\alpha}FA_{1}X\}]\\ &+\sum_{\alpha=2}^{p}[\{g(A_{1}FA_{\alpha}X,Z)-g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X,Z)-g(A_{\alpha}FA_{1}X,Z)\}A_{\alpha}Y\\ &-g(A_{\alpha}X,Z)\{(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y-FA_{1}A_{\alpha}Y+A_{\alpha}FA_{1}Y\}] \end{split}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on T_xM . Putting $Z = U_1$ and taking X and Y in the holomorphic distribution T_0 in (3.2) and using (2.23) and (2.26), we have

$$(3.3) \ 0 = \frac{c}{4} \mu \{ g(Y, FV) X - g(X, FV) Y \} + g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, Y) A_1 X - g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, X) A_1 Y + \mu [g(Y, V) \{ (\nabla_{U_1} A_1) X + (A_1 F - FA_1) A_1 X \} - g(X, V) \{ (\nabla_{U_1} A_1) Y + (A_1 F - FA_1) A_1 Y \}] + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{p} \mu \{ g(A_{\alpha} Y, FV) A_{\alpha} X - g(A_{\alpha} X, FV) A_{\alpha} Y \}.$$

Again, putting $Y = Z = U_1$ and taking X in the holomorphic distribution T_0 in (3.2) and using (2.23), we have

(3.4)
$$\lambda(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)X = \mu g(X,V)(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1 - d\lambda(U_1)A_1X + g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,X)A_1U_1 + \frac{c}{4}\mu g(X,FV)U_1 + \mu^2 g(X,V)(A_1F - FA_1)V - \lambda\mu^2 g(X,V)FV - \lambda(A_1F - FA_1)A_1X.$$

Eliminating $(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)X$ and $(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)Y$ in (3.3) and (3.4) and using

(2.26), we obtain

3.5)

$$0 = \frac{c}{4} \mu [\lambda \{g(Y, FV)X - g(X, FV)Y\} + \mu \{g(X, FV)g(Y, V) - g(X, V)g(Y, FV)\}U_1] + \lambda \{g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, Y)A_1X - g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)A_1Y\} + \mu [g(Y, V)\{g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)A_1U_1 - d\lambda(U_1)A_1X\}$$

$$-g(X,V)\{g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,Y)A_1U_1 - d\lambda(U_1)A_1Y\}]$$

+
$$\sum_{\alpha=2}^{p} \mu\{g(A_{\alpha}Y,FV)A_{\alpha}X - g(A_{\alpha}X,FV)A_{\alpha}Y\}$$

for any vector fields X and Y in T_0 . Now, putting X = V and Y = FV in (3.5) and using (2.26), we get

$$(3.6) \quad 0 = \frac{c}{4} \mu (\lambda V - \mu U_1) + \lambda \{g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, FV) A_1 V \\ - g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, V) A_1 FV \} \\ - \mu \{g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, FV) A_1 U_1 - d\lambda(U_1) A_1 FV \} \\ + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{p} \mu \{g(A_{\alpha} FV, FV) A_{\alpha} V - g(A_{\alpha} V, FV) A_{\alpha} FV \}.$$

Taking the inner product of (3.6) with U_1 and using (2.23), we obtain $\mu = 0$, that is, the structure vector field U_1 is principal. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, λ is constant. If $\lambda = 0$, then putting $X = U_1$ in (3.2) and using (2.23), (2.26) and $c \neq 0$, we get $A_1F - FA_1 = 0$. Next, suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$. Then from (3.4) and (2.27) we have

(3.7)
$$(\nabla_{U_1} A_1) X + A_1 F A_1 X - F A_1^2 X = 0$$

for any vector field X in T_0 .

Furthermore, putting $Y = Z = U_1$ in (3.2) and using (2.23) and (2.27), we see that (3.7) holds for any vector field X. This implies that

(3.8)
$$F(A_1^2 - \lambda A_1 - \frac{c}{4}I)X = 0$$

for any vector field X, where I denotes the identity transformation and we have used (2.28) and (2.29). (3.8) is equivalent to

$$A_1^2 - \lambda A_1 - \frac{c}{4}(I - u^1 \otimes U_1) = 0,$$

from which it follows that A_1 satisfies $(A_1F - FA_1)^2 = 0$, where we have used that (2.28) and $A_1F^2 = F^2A_1 = -A_1 + \lambda u^1 \otimes U_1$. Hence, we have $A_1F - FA_1 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Combining Lemma 3.1 and Theorem A, we have Theorem 1. $\hfill \Box$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we are concerned with the proof of Theorem 2. Let M be an n-dimensional CR-submanifold of (n-1) CR-dimension immersed in a complex space form $M^{\frac{n+p}{2}}(c)$. Then M admits an almost contact metric structure (F, U_1, u^1, g) . The covariant derivative $\nabla_{U_1}R$ of R with respect to the structure vector field U_1 satisfies

(4.1)
$$(\nabla_{U_1} R)(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_{U_1} (R(X, Y)Z) - R(\nabla_{U_1} X, Y)Z - R(X, \nabla_{U_1} Y)Z - R(X, Y)\nabla_{U_1} Z$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on M. From now on we shall prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. Let M be as in Lemma 2.1 with $n \ge 3$. If $\nabla_{U_1} R = 0$, then $\nabla_{U_1} A_1 = 0$.

Proof. From (2.17), (2.18), (4.1) and our assumption, we have

$$(4.2) \qquad 0 = \frac{c}{4} [\{u^{1}(Y)g(A_{1}U_{1}, Z) - u^{1}(Z)g(A_{1}U_{1}, Y)\}FX - \{u^{1}(X)g(A_{1}U_{1}, Z) - u^{1}(Z)g(A_{1}U_{1}, X)\}FY - 2\{u^{1}(X)g(A_{1}U_{1}, Y) - u^{1}(Y)g(A_{1}U_{1}, X)\}FZ + g(FY, Z)\{u^{1}(X)A_{1}U_{1} - g(A_{1}U_{1}, X)U_{1}\} - g(FX, Z)\{u^{1}(Y)A_{1}U_{1} - g(A_{1}U_{1}, Y)U_{1}\}$$

Some characterizations of CR-submanifolds

$$-2g(FX,Y)\{u^{1}(Z)A_{1}U_{1} - g(A_{1}U_{1},Z)U_{1}\}] +g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y,Z)A_{1}X - g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X,Z)A_{1}Y +g(A_{1}Y,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X - g(A_{1}X,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y +\sum_{\alpha=2}^{p}\{g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y,Z)A_{\alpha}X - g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X,Z)A_{\alpha}Y +g(A_{\alpha}Y,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X - g(A_{\alpha}X,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y\}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on $T_x M$. Suppose that the structure vector field U_1 is not necessarily principal. Then we can put $A_1U_1 = \lambda U_1 + \mu V$, where V is a unit vector field in T_0 , and λ and μ are smooth functions on M. Let M_0 be the non-empty open subset of M consisting of points x at which $\mu(x) \neq 0$. Hereafter unless otherwise stated, we shall discuss on the subset M_0 of M. By the form $A_1U_1 = \lambda U_1 + \mu V$, (4.2) is reformed as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & 0 = \frac{c}{4} \mu [\{u^{1}(Y)g(Z,V) - u^{1}(Z)g(Y,V)\}FX \\ & - \{u^{1}(X)g(Z,V) - u^{1}(Z)g(X,V)\}FY \\ & - 2\{u^{1}(X)g(Y,V) - u^{1}(Y)g(X,V)\}FZ \\ & + g(FY,Z)\{u^{1}(X)V - g(X,V)U_{1}\} \\ & - g(FX,Z)\{u^{1}(Y)V - g(Y,V)U_{1}\} \\ & - 2g(FX,Y)\{u^{1}(Z)V - g(Z,V)U_{1}\}] \\ & + g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y,Z)A_{1}X - g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X,Z)A_{1}Y \\ & + g(A_{1}Y,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})X - g(A_{1}X,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{1})Y \\ & + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{p} \{g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y,Z)A_{\alpha}X - g((\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X,Z)A_{\alpha}Y \\ & + g(A_{\alpha}Y,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})X - g(A_{\alpha}X,Z)(\nabla_{U_{1}}A_{\alpha})Y\} \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X, Y and Z on $T_x M$. Putting $Z = U_1$ and taking X and Y in the holomorphic distribution T_0 in (4.3) and using (2.23) and (2.26), we have

$$(4.4) \quad 0 = \frac{c}{4} \mu \{-g(Y,V)FX + g(X,V)FY - 2g(FX,Y)V\} \\ + g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,Y)A_1X - g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,X)A_1Y \\ + \mu \{g(Y,V)(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)X - g(X,V)(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)Y\}.$$

Next, putting $Y = Z = U_1$ and taking X in the holomorphic distribution T_0 in (4.3) and using (2.20), (2.23) and (2.26) we have

(4.5)
$$\lambda(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)X = g(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)A_1U_1 + \mu g(X, V)(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1 - d\lambda(U_1)A_1X.$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5) and using (2.26), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad 0 = \frac{c}{4} \lambda \mu \{-g(Y,V)FX + g(X,V)FY - 2g(FX,Y)V\} \\ + \mu \{g(Y,V)g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,X) \\ - g(X,V)g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,Y)\}A_1U_1 \\ + \{\lambda g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,Y) - \mu d\lambda(U_1)g(Y,V)\}A_1X \\ - \{\lambda g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1,X) - \mu d\lambda(U_1)g(X,V)\}A_1Y \end{cases}$$

for any vector fields X and Y in T_0 . Let $L(U_1, V, FV)$ be a distribution defined by the subspace $L_x(U_1, V, FV)$ in the tangent space T_xM spanned by the vectors $U_1(x)$, V(x) and FV(x) at any point x in M, and let T_1 be the orthogonal complement in the tangent bundle TM of the distribution $L(U_1, V, FV)$. Then T_1 is not empty because of $n \ge 3$. For any unit vector field X in T_1 , putting Y = FX in (4.6) and using (2.26), we have

(4.7)
$$\frac{c}{2}\mu V = g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, FX)A_1X - g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)A_1FX,$$

provided $\lambda \neq 0$. Suppose that there is a unit vector field X_0 in T_1 at which $g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X_0) = 0$. Then from (4.7) we obtain

(4.8)
$$\frac{c}{2}\mu V = g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, FX_0)A_1X_0 \neq 0.$$

Accordingly we can put $A_1X_0 = \omega(X_0)V$, where ω is a 1-form on M_0 . Putting $X = X_0$, Y = V in (4.6), we have

(4.9)
$$\frac{c}{4}\lambda\mu F X_0 - \omega(X_0)\{\lambda g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, V) - \mu d\lambda(U_1)\}V = 0.$$

Thus, since FX_0 and V are orthonormal vector fields and $\lambda \neq 0$, (4.9) implies $\mu = 0$, a contradiction. Accordingly we get

(4.10)
$$g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1) U_1, X) \neq 0$$

for any non-zero vector field X in T_1 . On the other hand, putting Y = FV in (4.6) and using (2.26), we have

(4.11)
$$g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, FV)A_1X - g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)A_1FV = 0$$

for any X in T_1 under the assumption $\lambda \neq 0$. If $g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1)U_1, FV) = 0$, then from (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain $A_1FV = 0$. Now, we suppose that $g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1)U_1, FV) \neq 0$. From (4.10) and (4.11) we have

(4.12)
$$A_1 X = \theta(X) A_1 FV, \quad \theta(X) \neq 0$$

for any non-zero vector field X in T_1 , where θ is a 1-form on M_0 . Hence, for any non-zero vector fields X and Y in T_1 , we obtain

(4.13)
$$A_1\{\theta(Y)X - \theta(X)Y\} = 0, \quad \theta(X) \neq 0, \quad \theta(Y) \neq 0.$$

If we put $Z_1 = \theta(Y_1)X_1 - \theta(X_1)Y_1$ for given linearly independent vector fields X_1 and Y_1 in T_1 , then from (4.13) $A_1Z_1 = 0$ and hence $A_1FV = 0$ by virtue of (4.12).

Next, putting X = V and Y = FV in (4.6), and using $A_1FV = 0$, we have

(4.14)
$$\frac{3c}{4}\lambda\mu V - g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, FV)(\lambda A_1V - \mu A_1U_1) = 0.$$

Consequently, we get $g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, FV) \neq 0$ which together with (4.11) we have $A_1X = 0$ for any vector field X in T_1 . Hence, putting $X = U_1$ and taking $Y, Z \in T_1$ in (4.3), and using (2.23) and (2.26), we obtain

(4.15)
$$\lambda g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1)Y, Z)U_1 + \mu\{\frac{c}{4}g(FY, Z) + g((\nabla_{U_1} A_1)Y, Z)\}V = 0.$$

Accordingly it turns out to be $\mu = 0$ on M_0 provided $\lambda \neq 0$, a contradiction. This means that U_1 is principal on M', where M' denotes the open subset of M consisting of points x at which $\lambda(x) \neq 0$. Thus, putting $Y = Z = U_1$ in (4.3) and using (2.23), (2.26) and (2.27), we have $\nabla_{U_1} A_1 = 0$.

Now, let us denote by Int(M - M') the interior of the subset (M - M'). Then $\lambda = 0$ on Int(M - M'). Suppose that U_1 is not principal

on Int(M - M'). Then the subset M_1 of Int(M - M') consisting of points x at which $\mu(x) \neq 0$ is non-empty open set. Hence, from (4.5) we have

(4.16)
$$g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)V + g(X, V)(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1 = 0$$

on M_1 for any vector field X in T_0 . Accordingly $g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, Y) = 0$ for any vector field Y in T_0 orthogonal to V. Taking the inner product of (4.16) with X in T_0 , we have $g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, X)g(X, V) = 0$. Putting X = V in this equation, we get $g((\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1, V) = 0$. Hence, putting X = V in (4.16), we obtain $(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1 = 0$ on M_1 . Taking X and Y in T_0 orthogonal to V in (4.4) and using $(\nabla_{U_1}A_1)U_1 = 0$, we obtain g(FX, Y) = 0 on M_1 , a contradiction. This means that U_1 is principal with corresponding principal curvature $\lambda = 0$. Accordingly we have $\nabla_{U_1}A_1 = 0$ on Int(M - M') by virtue of (2.29). This completes the proof by the continuity of $\nabla_{U_1}A_1 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Combining (2.29), Lemma 4.1 and Theorem A, we have Theorem 2. $\hfill \Box$

References

- A. Bejancu, CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 135-142.
- T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481-499.
- J. Erbacher, Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion, J. Differential Geom. 5 (1971), 333-340.
- H. B. Lawson, Jr., Rigidity theorems in rank-1 symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geom. 4 (1970), 349-357.
- U-H. Ki and Y. J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, J. Okayama Univ. 32 (1990), 207-221.
- J.-H. Kwon and H. Nakagawa, Real hypersurfaces with cyclic-parallel Ricci tensor of a complex projective space, Hokkaido Math. J. 17-3 (1988), 355-371.
- J.-H. Kwon and H. Nakagawa, A note on real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, J. Austral. Math. Soc.(A) 47 (1989), 108-113.
- J.-H. Kwon and J. S. Pak, CR-submanifolds of (n 1) CR-dimension in a complex projective space, Saitama Math. J. 15 (1997), 55-65.
- R. Nirenberg and R. O. Wells Jr., Approximation theorems on differential submanifolds of a complex manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1965), 15-35.

- 10. M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 355-364.
- 11. M. Okumura and L. Vanhecke, *n*-dimensional real submanifolds with (n-1)-dimensional maximal holomorphic tangent subspace in complex projective spaces, Rendiconti del Circolo Mat. di. Palermo **XLIII** (1994), 233-249.
- Y.-S. Pyo and Y. J. Suh, Characterizations of real hypersurfaces in complex space forms in terms of curvature tensors, Tsukuba J. Math. 19 (1995), 163-172.
- R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495-506.
- 14. R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), 43-53 and 507-516.
- Y. Tashiro, On contact structure of hypersurfaces in complex manifolds I, Tôhoku Math. J. 15 (1963), 62-78.
- Y. Tashiro, Relations between almost complex spaces and almost contact spaces (in Japanese), Sûgaku 16 (1964), 34-61.

Department of Mathematics Education Taegu University Taegu 705-714, Korea *E-mail*: jhkwon@biho.taegu.ac.kr