

## Evaluation of the Antioxidant Potential of Natural Products Mediated by Inhibition of Xanthine Oxidase Activity

Kyung Ae Nam and Sang Kook Lee\*

*The Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences and College of Pharmacy,  
Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea*

**Abstract** – Since reactive oxygen species (ROS) play an important role in carcinogenesis and other several human diseases, antioxidants present in consumable fruits, vegetables, and beverages have received considerable attention as cancer chemopreventive agents. Thus, in order to identify antioxidants in plant extracts, potential activity was assessed by determining with inhibition of a xanthine/xanthine oxidase assay system. Approximately 170 plant extracts of Korean herbal medicines were primarily evaluated for the potential of antioxidant activity. As a result, 13 plant extracts were found to be active ( $IC_{50} < 100 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Especially, *Juncus effusus*, *Selaginella tamariscina*, *Pueraria thunbergiana* and *Sedum albroseum* showed strong inhibitory activity in this process. Further studies for the identification of active principles from these active lead plant extracts might be warranted.

**Key words** – Antioxidants, Natural Products, Xanthine Oxidase

### Introduction

Xanthine oxidase (XO) catalyzes the conversion of hypoxanthine to xanthine and then uric acid as a final product in the presence of molecular oxygen to yield superoxide anion (McCord and Fridovich, 1968). It has been reported that xanthine oxidase serum levels are increased in acute liver injury or liver damage and also significantly increased in brain tumor tissues compared to normal brain tissues (Kokoglu *et al.*, 1990). Elevation of xanthine oxidase activity during carcinogenesis including the promotional phase was also reported (Pence and Reiners, 1987). The enzyme also induces gout through the formation of uric acid and causes oxidative damage of tissue in the living body through generation of the superoxide anion radical. Several natural products including phenolic compounds have been reported to inhibit this enzyme, and it is expected that xanthine oxidase inhibitors could prove useful for the treatment of hepatitis, edema, or brain tumor. Allopurinol, first synthesized as a potential antigout agent, is widely used in the treatment of gout which is caused by the deposition of uric acid in the joints. Quercetin, hesperidin, genistein and catechin have been known to act as xanthine oxidase inhibitors and are also reported to have cancer chemo-

preventive activity (Klaunig, 1992; Tanaka, 1994; Wei *et al.*, 1995). Therefore, the inhibition of superoxide anion production in the xanthine/xanthine oxidase system is due to both scavenger activity and inhibition of the enzymes. Thus, compounds capable of both inhibiting xanthine oxidase and of scavenging superoxide anion ( $O_2^-$ ) may be useful as antioxidant agents. Based on these considerations, for the procurement of antioxidants from natural products, approximately 170 methanolic extracts of Korean herbal medicines were primarily evaluated using xanthine oxidase inhibition assay.

### Experimental

**Chemicals** – Xanthine, xanthine oxidase, allopurinol, chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and were of the highest purity available.

**Plant materials** – Medicinal plants were purchased from herbal markets (Han-Yang Yutong) in Seoul, Korea. The botanical identification was performed by Drs. Ihn-Ran Lee and Jung-Ae Do at College of Pharmacy, Ewha Womans Univeristy. The voucher specimen has been deposited in the College of Pharmacy at Ewha Womans Univeristy, Seoul, Korea. Each of the dried herbs was sliced, and

\*Author for correspondence.

extracted with 100% methanol. The methanol extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure below 40°C.

**Assay for inhibition of xanthine/xanthine oxidase activity** – Xanthine solution (100  $\mu\text{M}$ ) (980  $\mu\text{l}$ ) in sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, with 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of xanthine oxidase solution (0.04 units) and 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of DMSO were incubated for 5 min at room temperature, and the formation of uric acid was measured at 295 nm against a blank sample which did not contain the enzyme, but 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.8) instead. Optical density (O.D.) was recorded for 5 min and the tests were performed in duplicate. Various concentrations of each test sample (10  $\mu\text{l}$  dissolved in DMSO) were added to xanthine buffer solution (980  $\mu\text{l}$ ) and phosphate buffer solution (10  $\mu\text{l}$ ) as blank tests. Enzyme solution (0.04 units) was added to each 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of various concentrations of test samples in 980  $\mu\text{l}$  of xanthine buffer solution and treated in the same manner as the control. Inhibitory effects on xanthine oxidase activity were measured by a decrease in uric acid formation. The  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were calculated from percent inhibition of enzyme activity (Lee *et al.*, 1998).

## Results and Discussion

Oxygen free radicals, or reactive oxygen species (ROS), are continuously generated by most cells and may be involved in several disease processes by damaging cellular molecules and structures (Fischer-Nielsen *et al.*, 1994). In addition, ROS are indeed a relevant class of carcinogens and can act at several stages in malignant transformation (Cerutti and Trump, 1991). ROS induce permanent DNA sequence changes in the form of point mutations, deletions, gene amplification and rearrangements which may result in the activation or protooncogenes or the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes (Hsieh *et al.*, 1986; Moraes *et al.*, 1990). Further, recent developments have stimulated a growing interest in the possible role of free oxygen radicals in tumor promotion (Crawford *et al.*, 1989; Trush and Kensler, 1991). Some evidence suggests that tumor promoters such as phorbol esters may enhance tumor formation by stimulating superoxide anion production and the degree of stimulation of ROS by various phorbol esters correlates with their promoting activity in mouse skin (Troll and Wiesner, 1985). In recent years, natural product antioxidants present in consumable fruits,

vegetables, and beverages have been shown to mediate cancer chemopreventive activity. Thus, as a part of search for novel cancer chemopreventive agents from natural products, we have initiated a program to discover and identify antioxidants from plants. Accordingly, the potential of antioxidant activity of plant extracts has been assessed based on inhibitory activity with a xanthine/xanthine oxidase assay. Using this assay system, superoxide anion ( $\text{O}_2^-$ )-scavenging capacity and inhibition of xanthine oxidase were studied by measuring the formation of uric acid at 295 nm (Chang *et al.*, 1994; Chan *et al.*, 1995; Cotellet *et al.*, 1996). As shown in Table 1, allopurinol, phenolic compounds such as caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid exhibited potent inhibitory effects on this enzyme-based antioxidant activity assay. Indeed, phenolic compounds such as caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid and ferulic acid, which were previously shown inhibitory effects on xanthine oxidase activity, have been reported to have an inhibitory effect on tumor formation in mouse skin caused by TPA with an increase in the release of ROS (Huang *et al.*, 1988). It has also been reported that ROS and other free radicals play an important role in tumor promotion (Slaga *et al.*, 1983). Thus, xanthine oxidase inhibitors should be considered as potential antioxidants and thus tumor promotion inhibitors. Based on the usefulness of this assay system, active principles have been monitored from plant extracts in this study. As a result, tested with approximately 170 methanolic plant extracts of Korean herbal medicines, thirteen extracts showed potent inhibition activity, judged by  $\text{IC}_{50} < 100 \mu\text{g/ml}$ . Especially, *Juncus effusus*, *Selaginella tamariscina*, *Pueraria thunbergiana* and *Sedum albroseum* showed strong inhibitory activity in this process ( $\text{IC}_{50} < 50 \mu\text{g/ml}$ ) (Table 1). Several reports have been shown potential antioxidant activity of plant extracts using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) free radical scavenging activity (Choi *et al.*, 1993, Cha *et al.*, 1997). In addition, the methanolic extract of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* and the isolated principles showed antioxidant activity with DPPH free radical scavenging potential. In this study, however, the extract of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* did not show potent activity (Kang *et al.*, 1997). It might be dependent on action mechanism of antioxidant effect within assay systems. Therefore, the present results will extend the procurement of antioxidants from Korean herbal medicines and further elucidation of active principles from these active leads might be warranted.

**Table 1.** Inhibitory activity of xanthine oxidase by natural products

Plant name and Authority	Family	Part used <sup>a</sup>	IC <sub>50</sub> (μg/ml) <sup>b</sup>
<i>Acanthopanax sessiliflorum</i> Seeman.	Araliaceae	BK	> 100
<i>Achyranthes japonica</i> Nakai.	Amaranthaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Aconitum koreanum</i> Raymond	Ranunculaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Aconitum pseudolaeve</i> var. <i>erectum</i> Nakai	Ranunculaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Adenophora remotiflora</i> Mig.	Campanulaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Agrimonia pilosa</i> Ledeb var. <i>japonica</i> Nakai..	Rosaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> (Mill) Swingle.	Simarubeae	SB	> 100
<i>Akebia quinata</i> Dence.	Lardizabalaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Albizia julibrissin</i> Durazz.	Leguminosae	CR	> 100
<i>Alpinia katsumadai</i> Hayata.	Zingiberaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Amomum cardamomum</i> L.	Zingiberaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Amomum xanthioides</i> Wall.	Zingiberaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Ampelopsis japonica</i> (Thunb.) Makino.	Vitaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Angelica gigas</i> Nakai.	Umbelliferae	RT	> 100
<i>Arctium lappa</i> L.	Compositae	FR	> 100
<i>Areca catechu</i> L.	Palmae	FR	> 100
<i>Aristolochia contorta</i> Bunge.	Aristolochiaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Artemisia apiacea</i> Hance.	Compositae	HR	> 100
<i>Artemisia capillaris</i> Thunb.	Compositae	HR	72.8
<i>Asparagus cochinchinensis</i> (Lour.) Merr.	Liliaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Aster tataricus</i> L. Fil.	Compositae	RT	> 100
<i>Astragalus membranaceus</i> (Fisch.) Bge.	Leguminosae	RT	> 100
<i>Atractylodes japonica</i> Koidz.	Compositae	ST	> 100
<i>Benincasa hispida</i> (Thunb.) Cogn.	Cucurbitaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Betula platyphylla</i> Suk. var. <i>japonica</i> Hara.	Betulaceae	CR	> 100
<i>Bletilla striata</i> (Thunb.)Reichb. Fil.	Orchidaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i> (L.)Vent.	Moraceae	FR	> 100
<i>Buddleia officinalis</i> Maxim.	Loganiaceae	FL	> 100
<i>Caesalpinia sappan</i> L.	Leguminosae	LG	> 100
<i>Caragana chamlagu</i> Lam.	Leguminosae	LF	> 100
<i>Carpesium abrotanoides</i> L.	Compositae	FR	> 100
<i>Carthamus tinctorius</i> L.	Compositae	SD	> 100
<i>Chaenomeles sinensis</i> (Thuin) Koehne.	Malaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Chelidonium majus</i> L.	Papaveraceae	HR	> 100
<i>Chrysanthemum zawadskii</i> var. <i>latilobum</i> Kitamura	Compositae	HR	> 100
<i>Cibotium barometz</i> (L.) J. Sm.	Cyatheaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Cichorium intybus</i> L.	Compositae	LF	> 100
<i>Cinnamomum cassia</i> Blume.	Lauraceae	TW	> 100
<i>Cinnamomum loureirii</i> Nees.	Lauraceae	BK	> 100
<i>Circium japonicum</i> DC	Compositae	RT	> 100
<i>Clematis mandshurica</i> Rupr.	Ranunculaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Codonopsis pilosula</i> (Franch) Nannf.	Campanulaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Corydalis ternata</i> Nakai.	Papaveraceae	TB	> 100
<i>Cremastra variabilis</i> Nakai.	Orchidaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Cucumis melo</i> L. var. <i>makuwa</i> Makino.	Cucurbitaceae	PF	> 100
<i>Curculigo orchioides</i> Gartrn.	Amoryllidaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Curcuma longa</i> L.	Zingiberaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Curcuma zedoaria</i> Roscoe.	Zingiberaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Cuscuta chinensis</i> Lam.	Convolvulaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Cynanchum atratum</i> Bunge.	Asclepiadaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Cynanchum wilfordii</i> (Max.) Hemsl.	Asclepiadaceae	TB	> 100

Table 1. Continued.

Plant name and Authority	Family	Part used <sup>a</sup>	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) <sup>b</sup>
<i>Cynomorium songaricum</i> Rupr.	Cynomoriaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Cynthus officinalis</i>	Compositae	RT	> 100
<i>Daphne genkwa</i> Sieb. et Zucc	Thymelaeaceae	FL	> 100
<i>Davallia mariesii</i> Moore.	Davalliaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Dendrobium nobile</i> Lindl.	Orchidaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Dictamnus albus</i> L.	Rutaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Dioscorea japonica</i> Thunb.	Dioscoreaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Dioscorea tokoro</i> Makino.	Dioscoreaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Diospyros kaki</i> Thunb.	Ebenaceae	LF	> 100
<i>Dipsacus japonicus</i> Mig.	Dipsacaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Draba nemorosa</i> L.	Cruciferae	SD	> 100
<i>Eclipta prostrata</i> L.	Compositae	HR	> 100
<i>Ephedra sinica</i> Stapf.	Ephedraceae	RT	> 100
<i>Equisetum hiemale</i> L.	Equisetaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb) Lindl.	Malaceae	LF	> 100
<i>Eriocaulon sietoldianun</i> Sieb. et Zucc.	Eriocaulaceae	FT	> 100
<i>Erycibe obtusifolia</i> B.	Convolvulaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Eucommia ulmoides</i> Oliv.	Eucommiaceae	TW	> 100
<i>Eucommia ulmoides</i> Oliv.	Eucommiaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Eugenia caryophyllata</i> Tnunb.	Myrtaceae	SB	93.8
<i>Euphorbia lathyris</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Euphoria longana</i> Steud.	Sapindaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Gallus domesticus</i> Blume.	Phasianidae	ST	> 100
<i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> Karst.	Polyporaceae	WP	> 100
<i>Gardenia jasminoides</i> Ellis.	Rubiaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Gastrodia elata</i> Blume.	Orchidaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.	Ginkgoaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Glechoma longituba</i> (Nakai) Kupr.	Labiatae	HR	> 100
<i>Gleditsia japonica</i> var. <i>koraiensis</i> Nakai.	Leguminosae	TN	> 100
<i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr.	Leguminosae	SD	> 100
<i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fischer	Leguminosae	RT	> 100
<i>Hemerocallis flava</i> L.	Liliaceae	LF	> 100
<i>Hordeum vulgale</i> L.	Graminae	FR	> 100
<i>Houttuynia cordata</i> Thunb.	Saururaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Ipomoea hederacea</i> Jacq.	Convolvulaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Juncus effusus</i> L. var. <i>decipiens</i> Buchen.	Juncaceae	HR	29.4
<i>Kalopanax pictus</i> (Thunb.) Nakai.	Araliaceae	CR	> 100
<i>Kochia scoparia</i> (L.) Schrad.	Chenopodiaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Leonurus sibiricus</i> L.	Labiatae	SD	> 100
<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Ait.	Oleaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Litchi chinensis</i> Sonn.	Sapindaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Lycium chinensis</i> Mill.	Solanaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Lycopus lucidus</i> Turcz.	Labiatae	HR	> 100
<i>Magnolia denudata</i> Desr.	Magnoliaceae	FL	> 100
<i>Magnolia officinalis</i> Rehd. et Wils.	Magnoliaceae	CR	> 100
<i>Malva verticillata</i> L.	Malvaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Melandrium firmum</i> Rohrb.	Caryophyllaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Melia azedarach</i> L. var. <i>japonica</i> Makino.	Meliaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Momordica cochinchinensis</i> Spr.	Cucurbitaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Morinda officinalis</i> How.	Rubiaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Morus alba</i> L.	Moraceae	FR	> 100

Table 1. Continued.

Plant name and Authority	Family	Part used <sup>a</sup>	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) <sup>b</sup>
<i>Morus alba</i> L.	Moraceae	LF	> 100
<i>Morus alba</i> L.	Moraceae	TW	> 100
<i>Mucuna birdwoodiana</i> Tutcher.	Leguminosae	ST	> 100
<i>Nepeta japonica</i> Max.	Labiatae	HR	> 100
<i>Oldenlandia diffusa</i> (Willd.) Roxb.	Rubiaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Orostachys japonicus</i> A. Berger.	Crassulaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Paeonia moutan</i> Sims.	Paeoniaceae	SB	68.8
<i>Panax notoginseng</i> F. H. Chen.	Araliaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Panax quinquefolium</i> L.	Araliaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Paonia lactiflora</i> Pall.	Paeoniaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Persicaria tinctoria</i> H.Gross.	Polygonaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Phaenosperma globosa</i> M.	Gramineae	HR	> 100
<i>Pharbitis nil</i> Choisy.	Convolvulaceae	SD	86.7
<i>Phragmites communis</i> Trin.	Graminae	RT	78.9
<i>Phyllostachys nigra</i> M. var. <i>henonis</i> S.	Bambusaceae	CR	> 100
<i>Phytolacca esculentum</i> Var Hoult.	Phytolaccaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Pinus densiflora</i> Sieb et. Zucc.	Pinaceae	TW	> 100
<i>Piper longum</i> L.	Piperaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Polygala japonica</i> Hoult.	Polygalaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Polygala tenuifolia</i> Willd.	Polygalaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L.	Polygonaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Polygonum multiflorum</i> Thunberg	Polygonaceae	TB	> 100
<i>Poncirus trifoliata</i> Ratin.	Rutaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Poria cocos</i> (S.) Wolf	Polyporaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Poria cocos</i> (S.) Wolf	Polyporaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Poria cocos</i> (S.) Wolf	Polyporaceae	SC	> 100
<i>Portulaca oleracea</i> L.	Portulacaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Prunella vulgaris</i> L. var. <i>lilacina</i> Nakai.	Labiatae	HR	> 100
<i>Prunus japonica</i> var. <i>nakaii</i> Rhed.	Amygdalaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Pterocarpus santalinus</i> Lf.	Leguminosae	LG	65.9
<i>Pueraria thunbergiana</i> Bentham	Leguminosae	RT	> 100
<i>Pueraria thunbergiana</i> Bentham	Leguminosae	FL	42.5
<i>Pyrrosia lingua</i> (Thunb) Far W.	Polypodiaceae	LF	> 100
<i>Rehmania glutinosa</i> Liboschitz.	Scrophulariaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Rehmannia glutinosa</i> Liboschitz var. <i>purpurea</i> Making	Scrophulariaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Rheum coreanum</i> Nakai	Polygonaceae	ST	50.0
<i>Rhus verniciflua</i> Stokes.	Anacardiaceae	FD	69.2
<i>Rosa laevigata</i> Michx	Rosaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Rosa rugosa</i> Thunb.	Rosaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Rubia akane</i> Nakai	Rubiaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Rubus coreanus</i> Mig.	Rosaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Salvia multiorrhiza</i> Bunge.	Labiatae	RT	> 100
<i>Sargassum fusiforme</i> (Harv.) Setch.	Sargassaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Saussurea lappa</i> Clarke.	Compositae	RT	> 100
<i>Schizandra chinensis</i> Baill.	Schizandraceae	FR	> 100
<i>Scirpus yagara</i> Ohwi	Cyperaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Scutellaria baicalensis</i> Georgi.	Labiatae	RT	> 100
<i>Sedum albroseum</i> Bak.	Crassulaceae	HR	31.1
<i>Selaginella tamariscina</i> Spring.	Selaginellaceae	HR	33.7
<i>Sesamum indicum</i> DC.	Pedaliaceae	SD	> 100
<i>Siegesbeckia orientalis</i> L. var. <i>pubescens</i> Mak.	Compositae	HR	> 100
<i>Sinomenium acutum</i> Rehder et Wilson	Menispermaceae	ST	> 100

Table 1. Continued.

Plant name and Authority	Family	Part used <sup>a</sup>	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) <sup>b</sup>
<i>Solanum nigrum</i> L.	Solanaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Sophora japonica</i> L.	Leguminosae	RT	> 100
<i>Sophora subprostrata</i> Chun. et. T. Chen.	Leguminosae	RT	> 100
<i>Spirodela polyrrhiza</i> (L.) Schleid.	Lemnaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Strychnos ignatii</i> Berg.	Loganiaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Thuja orientalis</i> L.	Cupressaceae	FT	> 100
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i> L.	Zygophyllaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Trichosanthes kirilowii</i> Max	Cucurbitaceae	RT	> 100
<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i> L.	Leguminosae	SD	> 100
<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.	Graminae	SD	> 100
<i>Typha orientalis</i> Schum. et Thonn.	Typhaceae	PL	> 100
<i>Ulmus macrocarpa</i> Hance.	Ulmaceae	FR	> 100
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> Jacq.	Ulmaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Ulmus pumila</i> L.	Ulmaceae	SB	> 100
<i>Uncaria sinensis</i> Havil.	Rubiaceae	TW	> 100
<i>Undaria pinnatifida</i> Sur.	Lamirariaceae	HR	> 100
<i>Xanthium strumarium</i> L.	Compositae	FR	> 100
<i>Zea mays</i> L.	Graminae	CS	> 100
<i>Zingiber officinale</i> Roscoe	Zingiberaceae	ST	> 100
<i>Zizyphus jujuba</i> Mill.	Rhamnaceae	FS	> 100
<i>Zizyphus jujuba</i> Mill. var. <i>inermis</i> Rehol.	Rhamnaceae	FR	> 100
Allopurinol			3.5
Caffeic acid			20.6
Chlorogenic acid			83.2
Caffeine			14.6
Butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA)			>100
Gallic acid			>100
Esculetin			20.5

<sup>a</sup>Part used: HR (herb), SB (stem bark), RT (root), ST (stem), LF (leaf), TW (twig), FL (flower), FT (flower + twig), FR (fruit), SD (seed), SC (sclerotium), TB (tuber), PF (penduncle of fruit), PL (pollen), CR (cortex), FD (fluid), CS (corn silk), FS (seed in fruit), WP (whole plant), BK (bark), TN (thorn).

<sup>b</sup>IC<sub>50</sub>: Inhibition of xanthine oxidase as an IC<sub>50</sub> (µg/ml).

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the grant for the National R&D Program for Enhancing R&D Infrastructure of Womans University from the Ministry of Science and Technology.

## References

- Cerutti, P. A., and Trump, B. F., Inflammation and oxidative stress in carcinogenesis. *Cancer Cells*, **3**, 1-7 (1991).
- Cha, B. C., Lee, S. K., Lee, H. W., Lee, E., Choi, M. Y., Rhim, T. J., and Park, H. J., Antioxidant effect of domestic plants. *Kor. J. Pharmacogn.*, **28**, 15-20 (1997).
- Chan, W.-S., Wen, P.-C., and Chiang, H.-C., Structure-activity relationship of caffeic acid analogues on xanthine oxidase inhibition. *Anticancer Res.*, **15**, 703-708 (1995).
- Chang, W.-S., Chang, Y.-H., Lu, F.-J., and Chiang, H.-C., Inhibitory effects of phenolics on xanthine oxidase. *Anticancer Res.*, **14**, 501-506 (1994).
- Choi, J.-S., Lee, J. H., Park, H.-J., Kim, H.-G., Young, H.-S., and Mun, S.-I., Screening for antioxidant activity of plants and marine algae and its active principles from *Prunus davidiana*. *Kor. J. Pharmacogn.*, **24**, 299-303 (1993).
- Cotelle, N., Bernier, J.-L., Catteau, J.-P., Pommery, J., Wallet, J.-C., and Gaydon, E.M., Antioxidant properties of hydroxy-flavones. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **20**, 35-43 (1996).
- Crawford, D., Amstad, D., Foo, Y., and Cerutti, P. A., Constitutive and phorbol-myristate acetate regulated antioxidant defense of mouse epidermal JB6 cells.

- Mol. Carcinogenesis*, **2**, 136-143 (1989).
- Fischer-Nielsen, A., Jeding, I. B., and Loft, S., Radiation-induced formation of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine and its prevention by scavengers. *Carcinogenesis*, **15**, 1609-1612 (1994).
- Hsie, A., Recio, I., Katz, D., Lee, C., Wagner, M., and Schenley, R., Evidence for reactive oxygen species inducing mutations in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **83**, 9610-9620 (1986).
- Huang, M.-T., Smart, R. C., Wong, C. Q., and Conney, A.H., Inhibitory effects of curcumin, chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid on tumor promotion in mouse skin by 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate. *Cancer Res.*, **48**, 5941-5946 (1988).
- Kang, H. S., Chung, H. Y., Jung, J. H., Kang, S. S., and Choi, J.S., Antioxidant effect of *Salvia miltiorriza*. *Arch. Pharm. Res.*, **20**, 496-500 (1997).
- Klaunig, J. E., Chemopreventive effects of green tea components on hepatic carcinogenesis. *Prev. Med.*, **21**, 510-519 (1992).
- Kokoglu, E., Belce, A., Ozyurt, E., and Tepeler, Z., Xanthine oxidase levels in human brain tumors. *Cancer Lett.*, **50**, 179-181 (1990).
- Lee, S. K., Mbwambo, Z. H., Chung, H., Luyengi, L., Gamez, E. J. C., Mehta, R. G., Kinghorn, A. D., and Pezzuto, J. M., Evaluation of the antioxidant potential of natural products. *Combinatorial Chem. & High Through. Screening*, **1**, 35-46 (1998).
- McCord, J. M., and Fridovich, I., The reduction of cytochrome c by milk xanthine oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **243**, 5753-5760 (1968).
- Moraes, E. C., Keyse, S. M., and Tyrrell, R. M., Mutagenesis by hydrogen peroxide treatment of mammalian cells: a molecular basis. *Carcinogenesis*, **11**, 283-293 (1990).
- Pence, B. C., and Reiners, Jr, J. J., Murine epidermal xanthine oxidase activity: correlation with degree of hyperplasia induced by tumor promoters. *Cancer Res.*, **47**, 6388-6392 (1987).
- Slaga, T. J., Solanki, W., and Logani, M., Studies on the mechanism of action of antitumor promoting agents: Suggestive evidence for the involvement of free radicals in promotion. In: *Radioprotectors and Anticarcinogens*, Nagarrd, O.F., and Simic, M.G. (eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1983, pp. 417-485.
- Tanaka, T., Cancer chemoprevention by natural products (Review). *Oncol. Reports*, **1**, 1139-1155 (1994).
- Troll, W., and Wiesner, R., The role of oxygen radicals as a possible mechanism of tumor promotion. *Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, **25**, 509-528 (1985).
- Trush, M. A., and Kensler, T. W., Role of free radicals in carcinogen activation. In: *Oxidative Stress. Oxidants and antioxidants*. Sies, H. (ed.), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1991, pp. 277-318.
- Wei, H., Bowen, R., Cai, Q., Barnes, S., and Wang, Y., Antioxidant and antipromotional effects of the soybean isoflavone genistein. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.*, **208**, 124-130 (1995).

(Accepted September 16, 1999)