

MODULES OVER ASSOCIATED GRADED RINGS

SHAHABADDIN EBRAHIMI ATANI

*Dept. of Mathematics,
University of Guilan, P.O. Box 1914 Rasht, Iran.*

1. Introduction

Put for simplicity $R = \mathbb{Z}[C(p)]$, $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}$, $R_2 = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, where θ is a primitive p th root of 1, $p_1 = p$, $p_2 = 1 - \theta$, $\bar{R} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Both R_1, R_2 are dedekind domains and project onto \bar{R} ; let v_1, v_2 denote the canonical homomorphism of R_1, R_2 respectively, onto \bar{R} , so $\text{Ker}v_i = P_i = R_i p_i$ for all $i = 1, 2$. Then R is (isomorphic to) the pullback

$$\{(r_1, r_2) \in R_1 \oplus R_2 : v_1(r_1) = v_2(r_2)\} \quad (1)$$

(denoted it by $(R_1 \xrightarrow{v_1} \bar{R} \xleftarrow{v_2} R_2)$) via $g \rightarrow (1, \theta)$ where g is a fixed generator of $C(p)$ (see [7]). Then $\text{Ker}(R \rightarrow \bar{R}) = P = P_1 \oplus P_2$, $R/P \cong R_1/P_1 \cong R_2/P_2 \cong \bar{R}$, and $P_1 P_2 = P_2 P_1 = 0$. Furthermore, for $i \neq j$, the sequence $0 \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow R \rightarrow R_j \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of R -modules (see [6]).

Let R be the pullback ring as described in (1). Assume furthermore that $G(R)$ is the associated graded ring formed with respect to P . Our aim here is to establish a bijection between isomorphism classes of indecomposable finitely generated R -modules, for the pullback ring R , and isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules over the associated graded ring $G(R)$ of R . Also we give a description of some indecomposable pure-injective modules over $G(R)$.

An R -module S is called separated if there exists an R_i -module S_i , $i = 1, 2$, such that S is a submodule of $S_1 \oplus S_2$ (made into an R -module by $(r_1, r_2)(s_1, s_2) = (r_1 s_1, r_2 s_2)$). Alternatively, S is separated if it is a pullback, in fact, $S = (S/P_2 S \rightarrow S/PS \leftarrow$

S/P_1S) [6, lemma 3.3]. Also S is separated if and only if $P_1S \cap P_2S = 0$ [6, lemma 2.9].

Let R be any ring, and let I be an R -ideal with $R \neq I$ and M an R -module. The ideals I^n (where we set $I^0 = R$) form a descending chain $I^0 \supset I \supset I^2 \supset \dots$ of ideals in R , and the modules $I^n M$ form a descending chain of submodules of M . Define the **associated graded ring** $G(R)$ to be the additive group $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ made into a ring by defining multiplication

$$(r_i + I^{i+1})(s_j + I^{j+1}) = r_i s_j + I^{i+j+1}, \quad \text{where } r_i \in I^i, s_j \in I^j.$$

Similarly, the **associated graded module** is $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n M/I^{n+1} M$, made into a module over $G(R)$ by means of scalar multiplication

$$(r_i + I^{i+1})(x_j + I^{j+1} M) = r_i x_j + I^{i+j+1} M \quad \text{where } r_i \in I^i, x_j \in I^j M.$$

Of course, there is a functor G sending the R -module M to the $G(R)$ -module $G(M)$. For if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism, then for each i , f induces canonical mappings $f_i : I^i M/I^{i+1} M \rightarrow I^i N/I^{i+1} N$, given by $f_i(x + I^{i+1} M) = f(x) + I^{i+1} N$ for $x \in I^i M$. Putting $G(f) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} f_i$ defines a morphism of $G(R)$ -modules.

A module I is pure-injective if and only if any system of equations in I which is finitely solvable in I , has a global solution in I [9, theorem 2.8], in fact, an R -module I is called pure-injective if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of the [5, theorem 7.1].

THEOREM 1.1. *Let R be the pullback ring as described in (1). Then the list of indecomposable pure-injective separated R -modules are:*

(1) $S = (E(R_1/P_1) \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow 0), (0 \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow E(R_2/P_2))$ where $E(R_i/P_i)$ is the injective hull of R_i/P_i for $i = 1, 2$;

(2) $S = (Q(R_1) \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow 0), (0 \rightarrow 0 \leftarrow Q(R_2))$ where $Q(R_i)$ is the field of fractions of R_i for $i = 1, 2$;

and, for all positive integers n, m ,

(3) $S = (R_1/P_1^n \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow R_2/P_2^m)$;

(4) $S = (\hat{R}_1 \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow R_2/P_2^m)$;

(5) $S = (R_1/P_1^n \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow \hat{R}_2)$;

(6) $S = (\hat{R}_1 \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow \hat{R}_2)$

(where, \hat{R}_i is the completion of R_i at P_i for $i = 1, 2$)

Proof. See [10, lemma 4, 5, 6].

2. pure-injective modules over $G(R)$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let R be a complete local ring with maximal ideal P . Suppose that M is an R -module. Assume furthermore that $G(R)$ is the associated graded ring formed with respect to P . Then if M is a pure-injective R -module, then $G(M)$ is pure-injective as a $G(R)$ -module.*

Proof. If $M = PM$ then $G(M) = 0$, so it is pure-injective since every finite module is pure-injective. Suppose that $M \neq PM$. First, we prove that if I is an arbitrary index set then $G(M^{[I]})$ is canonically isomorphic to a submodule B of $G(M^I)$ and $G(M^I/M^{[I]}) \cong G(M^I)/B$. In order to prove this statement, it is enough to show that for each n ,

$$G(M^I/M^{[I]})_n \cong (P^n M^I/P^{n+1} M^I)/((P^{n+1} M^I + P^n M^{[I]})/P^{n+1} M^I).$$

We have $P^n(M^I/M^{[I]}) = (P^n M^I + M^{[I]})/M^{[I]}$. Therefore

$$G(M^I/M^{[I]})_n = P^n(M^I/M^{[I]})/P^{n+1}(M^I/M^{[I]})$$

is canonically isomorphic to

$$(P^n M^I/P^{n+1} M^I)/(P^n M^I \cap (P^{n+1} M^I + M^{[I]})/P^{n+1} M^I).$$

Thus $G(M^I/M^{[I]})_n \cong (P^n M^I/P^{n+1} M^I)/((P^{n+1} M^I + (P^n M^I \cap M^{[I]}))/P^{n+1} M^I)$. Since for each n , $P^n M^I \cap M^{[I]} = P^n M^{[I]}$, we obtain $G(M^I/M^{[I]})_n$ is isomorphic to $(P^n M^I/P^{n+1} M^I)/((P^{n+1} M^I + P^n M^{[I]})/P^{n+1} M^I)$. Moreover we have $(P^{n+1} M^I + P^n M^{[I]})/P^{n+1} M^I \cong P^n M^{[I]}/(P^{n+1} M^I \cap P^n M^{[I]} = G(M^{[I]})_n$. Since $P^{n+1} M^I \cap P^n M^{[I]} = P^{n+1} M^{[I]}$, as required.

Next, suppose that M is a pure-injective R -module. Since M is pure-injective, by [5, theorem 7.1 (vii)], the diagonal embedding $\Delta : M \rightarrow M^I/M^{[I]}$ is split: that is, there is a mapping $h :$

$M^I/M^{[I]} \rightarrow M$ such that $h\Delta = 1_M$. Since G is a functor and by above statement, there are the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta) : G(M) &\rightarrow G(M^I/M^{[I]}) = G(M^I)/G(M^{[I]}) \\ &= G(M)^I/G(M)^{[I]} \end{aligned}$$

and $G(h) : G(M)^I/G(M)^{[I]} \rightarrow M$ such that $G(h)G(\Delta) = 1_{G(\Delta)}$, as required by [5, theorem 7.1 (vii)].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let R be a complete local ring with maximal ideal P . Suppose that $G(R)$ is the associated graded ring formed with respect to P . Assume furthermore that the R -module M is a Hausdorff space for its P -topology. If M is a non-zero indecomposable R -module with $\dim_{R/P}(M/PM) < \infty$, $M \neq PM$ then $G(M)$ is non-zero and indecomposable as a $G(R)$ -module. Conversely, if $G(M)$ is indecomposable with $G(M) \neq 0$, then M is indecomposable.*

Proof. By assumption and [13, ch VIII theorem 7, lemma 2], M is finitely generated over R . Let M be an indecomposable R -module, and let $G(M) = N_1 \oplus N_2$. Then by [1, theorem 5.1], $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ for some R -modules M_1 and M_2 with $N_1 = G(M_1)$ and $N_2 = G(M_2)$. Thus either $M_1 = 0$ or $M_2 = 0$. It follows that either $N_1 = 0$ or $N_2 = 0$. Similarly, if $0 \neq G(M)$ is indecomposable, then M is indecomposable since M is a Hausdorff space.

Let R be the pullback ring as described in (1). By [10, lemma 2], If \hat{R}_i are the completion of R_i at P_i , $i = 1, 2$, and S is a pure-injective separated R -module then S is a module over the pullback ring

$$\hat{R} = (\hat{R}_1 \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow \hat{R}_2). \quad (2)$$

Therefore we can now assume that R is the pullback of two complete local rings R_1, R_2 with maximal ideals P_1, P_2 respectively and $R/P \cong R_1/P_1 \cong R_2/P_2 \cong \bar{R}$ a field where $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$. Assume furthermore that $G(R)$ is the associated graded ring formed with respect to P . Then by [1, proposition 5.2], $G(R) = (G(R_1) \rightarrow \bar{R} \leftarrow G(R_2))$, the jacobson radical $J(G(R))$ is equal to $G(P)$, and $G(R)/G(P) \cong \bar{R}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let R be the pullback ring as described in (2). If S is a separated R -module with $S \neq PS$, then $G(S)$ is separated as an $G(R)$ -module.*

Proof. Let $S = (S/P_2S = S_1 \rightarrow \bar{S} = S/PS \leftarrow S_2 = S/P_1S)$ be a separated R -module. We show that $G(S) = (G(S_1) \rightarrow \bar{S} \leftarrow G(S_2))$ is a separated $G(R)$ -module. To see this, it is enough to show that $G(S/P_iS) = G(S)/G(P_iS) = G(S)/G(P_i)G(S)$ since G is a functor (note that $P\bar{S} = P_i\bar{S} = 0$, $G(\bar{S}) = \bar{S}$). By definition of the functor G we see that $G(P_iS) = G(P_i)G(S)$. Thus it suffices to prove that for each n ,

$$L = G(S/P_1S)_n \cong (P^nS/P^{n+1}S)/(P^nP_1S/P^{n+1}P_1S)$$

We have $P^n(S/P_1S) = (P^nS + P_1S)/P_1S$. Therefore L is canonically isomorphic to

$$(P^nS/P^{n+1}S)/(P^nS \cap (P^{n+1}S + P_1S)/P^{n+1}S).$$

Thus $L \cong (P^nS/P^{n+1}S)/(P^{n+1}S + (P^nS \cap P_1S))/P^{n+1}S$. Since $P^nS \cap P_1S = P^nP_1S$, $L \cong (P^nS/P^{n+1}S)/(P^{n+1}S + P^nP_1S)/P^{n+1}S$. So

$$L \cong (P^nS/P^{n+1}S)/P^nP_1S/(P^{n+1}S \cap P^nP_1S) \cong G(S)_n/G(P_1S)_n$$

since $P^{n+1}S \cap P^nP_1S = P^{n+1}P_1S$. Similarly, $G(S/P_2S) = G(S)/G(P_2S)$, as required.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let R be the pullback ring as described in (2).*

(i) *Let S be one of the separated modules as described in 1) - 2) of the theorem 1.1. Then $G(S) = 0$ which is pure-injective as an $G(R)$ -module.*

(ii) *Let S be one of the separated R -modules as described in 3) - 6) of the theorem 1.1. Then $G(S)$ is an indecomposable pure-injective and separated as an $G(R)$ -module.*

Proof. (i) This follows from 2.1.

(ii) Let S be one of the separated R -modules as described in 3) - 6) of the theorem 1.1. Then by 2.2, 2.1, and 2.3, $G(S)$ is indecomposable pure-injective and separated as an $G(R)$ -module.

References

1. D. Arnold, R. Laubenbacher, *Finitely generated modules over pullback rings*, J. Algebra **184** (1996), 304-332.
2. S. Baratella M. Prest, *Pure-injective modules over the dihedral algebras*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 11-31.
3. S. Ebrahimi Atani, *On indecomposable pure-injective modules over pullback rings*, *Doctoral Thesis*, University of Manchester (1996).
4. L. Salce, *Modules over Valuation Domains*, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 97, Marcel-Dekker, 1985.
5. C. U. Jensen, H. Lenzing, *Model Theoretic Algebra*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1989.
6. L. Levy, *Modules over pullbacks and subdirect sums*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 50-61.
7. L. Levy, *Mixed modules over $\mathbb{Z}G$, G cyclic of prime order, and over related Dedekind pullbacks*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 62-114.
8. L. Levy, *Modules over Dedekind-like rings*, J. Algebra **93** (1985), 1-116.
9. M. Prest, *Model Theory and Modules*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series*, Vol. 130, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
10. C. Toffalori, *Decidability for $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules when G is cyclic of prime order*, Math. Log. Quart. **42** (1996), 369-378.
11. O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra, Vol II*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1958.