

## THE MAXIMUM DETERMINANT OF (0,1)-TRIDIAGONAL MATRICES

GEUM-SUG HWANG

ABSTRACT In this paper, we give the upper bound of determinants of (0,1)-tridiagonal matrices and we show that the (0,1)-tridiagonal matrices which have maximal determinant are sign-nonsingular

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In 1993, Li Chung[2] showed that the *Lower Hessenberg*  $n \times n$  (0,1)-matrix have maximal determinant  $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} [(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})^n - (\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2})^n]$ ,  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$ , where  $F_n$  is the  $n$ th Fibonacci number. He proved that the matrix  $H_n = [h_{ij}]$  of order  $n$  defined by

$$h_{i(i-k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \in \{-1, 0, 2, 4, \dots \mid i - k > 0\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

has maximal determinant  $F_n$ .

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $n \geq 2$ . An  $n \times n$  (0,1)-matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$  is said to be a tridiagonal matrix if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $|i - j| > 1$ . There are  $c_n$  (possibly nonzero) terms in the determinant of a tridiagonal matrix of order  $n$  where  $c_n = c_{n-1} + c_{n-2}$ ,  $c_2 = 2$ ,  $c_3 = 3$ , i.e.  $c_n = F_{n+1}$ . So this is a trivial upper bound for the determinant

The definition of a sign-nonsingular (0,1)-matrix is given in [1] and we now give a well known theorem about sign-nonsingular matrices.

---

Received April 11, 1999 Revised June 12, 1999.

**THEOREM 1**[1]. *Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be a matrix of order  $n$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- i)  $A_n$  is a sign-nonsingular matrix;
- ii)  $\text{Det } A_n \neq 0$  and  $A_n$  has signed determinant;
- iii) There is a nonzero term in the standard determinant expansion of  $A_n$  and every nonzero term has the same sign.

We found that the matrix  $H_n$  above has the property that  $\det H_n = \text{per } H_n$ , where  $\text{per } H_n$  is the permanent of  $H_n$ . This means that there is no cancellation in the nonzero terms in determinant expansion. Hence  $H_n$  is a sign-nonsingular matrix by Theorem 1.

In this paper we investigate the upper bound of absolute values of determinants of  $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrices and we want to show that  $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrices which have maximal determinant are the sign-nonsingular matrices.

For an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A_n$ , we define that  $A_n[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k]$  is the submatrix obtained from  $A_n$  by deleting all rows and columns not in  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$   $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det A_n &= a_{11} \cdot \det A_n[2, \dots, n] - a_{12}a_{21} \cdot \det A_n[3, \dots, n] \\ &= \det A_n[1, 2] \cdot \det A_n[3, \dots, n] - a_{11} \cdot a_{23}a_{32} \cdot \det A_n[4, \dots, n] \\ &= \det A_n[1, 2, 3] \cdot \det A_n[4, \dots, n] - \det A_n[1, 2] \cdot a_{34}a_{43} \\ &\quad \times \det A_n[5, \dots, n] \\ &= \dots \\ &= \det A_n[1, \dots, n-2] \cdot \det A_n[n-1, n] - \det A_n[1, \dots, n-3] \\ &\quad \times a_{n-2, n-1}a_{n-1, n-2}a_{nn}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(1) \quad \det A_n = \det A_n[1, \dots, i] \cdot \det A_n[i+1, \dots, n] \\ - \det A_n[1, \dots, i-1] \cdot a_{i+1, i} \cdot \det A_n[i+2, \dots, n]$$

for any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$  where  $\det A_n[1, k] = 1$  for  $k < 1$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  (0,1)-tridiagonal matrix such that  $a_{kk+1} = 0$  or  $a_{k+1k} = 0$  for some  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ . Then

$$\det A_n = \det A_n[1, \dots, k] \cdot \det A_n[k + 1, \dots, n]$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_{kk+1} = 0$ . Since  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i, j$  such that  $|i - j| > 1$ ,  $a_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $j$ ,  $k + 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Thus  $A_n$  has of the form  $A_n = \begin{bmatrix} A_n[1, \dots, k] & 0 \\ * & A_n[k + 1, \dots, n] \end{bmatrix}$  and so we get the lemma.

From now on, we denote  $A_n = A_n[1, \dots, k] \oplus A_n[k + 1, \dots, n]$  if  $a_{kk+1} = 0$  or  $a_{k+1k} = 0$  and let  $A \oplus B = B \oplus A$  since the determinants of them are equal.

LEMMA 3. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  (0,1)-tridiagonal matrix such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  for  $|i - j| \leq 1$ . Then

$$\det A_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^k & \text{if } n = 3k \text{ or } n = 3k + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 3k + 2 \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be a (0,1)-tridiagonal matrix such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$  and let  $n = 3k + l$ ,  $l = 0, 1$  and  $2$ . Use induction on  $k$ . Since  $a_{ii} = 1$  for all  $i$ , from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \det A_n &= \det A_n[2, 3, \dots, n] - \det A_n[3, 4, \dots, n] \\ (2) \quad &= \det A_n[3, 4, \dots, n] - \det A_n[4, 5, \dots, n] - \det A_n[3, 4, \dots, n] \\ &= (-1) \cdot \det A_n[4, 5, \dots, n]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k = 1$ ,  $\det A_3 = \det A_3[2, 3] - 1 = 0 - 1 = -1$ ,  $\det A_4 = \det A_4[2, 3, 4] - \det A_4[3, 4] = -1 - 0 = -1$  And  $\det A_5 = (-1) \cdot \det A_5[4, 5] = 0$ . Assume that  $k \geq 2$ . From (2), we have  $\det A_n = (-1) \cdot \det A_n[4, 5, \dots, n]$ . Since  $A_n[4, 5, \dots, n]$  is an  $(n - 3) \times (n - 3)$  (0,1)-tridiagonal matrix, by inductive hypothesis,

$$\det A_n[4, 5, \dots, n] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 3k \text{ or } n = 3k + 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 3k + 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $\det A_n = -1 \cdot (-1)^{k-1} = (-1)^k$  for  $n = 3k$  or  $n = 3k + 1$  and  $\det A_n = 0$  for  $n = 3k + 2$ .

## 2. Main results

**THEOREM 4.** For  $n = 2k + 1$ , define a tridiagonal matrix  $D_n$  by

$$D_n = [d_{ij}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \text{ (} i = \text{even) or } |i - j| > 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\det D_n = (-1)^k \cdot (k + 1)$  and there are  $k + 1$  nonzero terms in the standard expansion of determinant such that every nonzero term has the same sign.

**PROOF.** Use induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ ,  $\det D_3 = -d_{11}d_{23}d_{32} - d_{12}d_{21}d_{33} = (-1) \cdot 2$ , and there are two nonzero terms which have the same sign. Assume that this result is true for all  $r < k$  and let  $n = 2k + 1$ . Then by (1), since  $a_{22} = a_{44} = \dots = a_{n-1n-1} = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \det D_n &= \det D_n[2, \dots, n] - \det D_n[3, \dots, n] \\ &= (-1)\det D_n[4, \dots, n] - \det D_{n-2} \\ &= \dots = (-1)^{k-1}\det D_n[n-1, n] - \det D_{n-2} \\ &= (-1)^k - \det D_{n-2} \end{aligned}$$

since  $D_n[3, \dots, n] = D_{n-2}$  and  $\det D_n[n-1, n] = -1$ . By inductive hypothesis, there are  $k$  nonzero terms in the determinant expansion of  $D_{n-2}$  and  $\det D_{n-2} = (-1)^{k-1} \cdot k$ . Hence  $\det D_n = (-1)^k - (-1)^{k-1} \cdot k = (-1)^k \cdot (k + 1)$  and this implies that there are  $k + 1$  nonzero terms and so every nonzero term has the same sign

**COROLLARY 5.** The matrices  $D_n$  defined above are sign-nonsingular if  $n = 2k + 1$ .

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$   $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrix. Then

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } n = 3, 4 \\ 3 & \text{for } n = 5. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the equality holds if and only if

$$A_n = \begin{cases} D_3 & \text{for } n = 3 \\ D_3 \oplus I_1 & \text{for } n = 4 \\ D_5 & \text{for } n = 5. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. For  $n = 3$ ,  $\det A_3 = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33}$ . So  $|\det A_3| \leq 2$  and equality holds if and only if  $a_{22} = 0$  and  $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{21} = a_{23} = a_{32} = a_{33} = 1$ . Therefore the equality holds if and only if  $A_3 = D_3$ .

For  $n = 4$ ,  $\det A_4 = \det A_4[1, 2] \cdot \det A_4[3, 4] - a_{11}a_{23}a_{32}a_{44}$  from (1). Since for any  $2 \times 2$  (0, 1)-matrix  $A_2$ ,  $|\det A_2| = 1$  or  $0$ ,  $|\det A_4| \leq 2$ . And the equality holds if and only if  $a_{11} = a_{23} = a_{32} = a_{44} = 1$  and  $\det A_4[1, 2] \cdot \det A_4[3, 4] = -1$ . Therefore  $|\det A_4| = 2$  if and only if  $A_4 = D_3 \oplus I_1$  where  $I_1$  is identity matrix of order 1.

For  $n = 5$ ,  $\det A_5 = \det A_5[1, 2, 3] \cdot \det A_5[4, 5] - \det A_5[1, 2] \cdot a_{34}a_{43} \cdot a_{55}$  by (1). If  $A_5[1, 2, 3] \neq D_3$  or one of  $a_{34}, a_{43}, a_{55}$  equals to 0, then  $|\det A_5| \leq 2$ . Assume that  $A_5[1, 2, 3] = D_3$  and  $a_{34} = a_{43} = a_{55} = 1$ . Then  $|\det A_5| = |-2 \cdot (a_{44} - a_{45}a_{54}) + 1| \leq 3$  and the equality holds if and only if  $a_{44} = 0$  and  $a_{45} = a_{54} = 1$ . Thus  $\det A_5 = 3$  if and only if  $A_5 = D_5$ .

REMARK. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  (0, 1)-tridiagonal matrix such that  $a_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i, j$  with  $|i - j| = 1$ . Let  $s$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $a_{ss} = 0$ . Then  $\det A_n = -\det A_n[1, \dots, s - 2] \cdot \det A_n[s + 1, \dots, n] - \det A_n[1, \dots, s - 1] \cdot \det A_n[s + 2, \dots, n]$  by determinant cofactor expansion along the  $s$ th row. Therefore, for some  $l$ ,

$$(3) \quad \det A_n = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l-1} \cdot \det A_n[s + 1, \dots, n] & \text{if } s = 3l \\ (-1)^{l-1} \cdot \det A_n[s + 2, \dots, n] & \text{if } s = 3l + 1 \\ (-1)^l \cdot [\det A_n[s + 1, \dots, n] \\ \quad + \det A_n[s + 2, \dots, n]] & \text{if } s = 3l + 2 \end{cases}$$

since  $\det A_n[1, \dots, s - 2] = 0$  or  $\det A_n[1, \dots, s - 1] = 0$  for  $s \neq 3l + 2$  by Lemma 3.

THEOREM 7. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  (0, 1)-tridiagonal matrix. Then

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2^2 & \text{for } n = 6, 7 \\ 3 \cdot 2 & \text{for } n = 8. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the equality holds if and only if

$$\begin{cases} A_6 = D_3 \oplus D_3 \\ A_7 = D_3 \oplus D_3 \oplus I_1 \text{ or } A_7 = D_7 \\ A_8 = D_5 \oplus D_3. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Case 1: Assume that  $a_{i+1} = a_{i+1} = 1$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . From (1),

$$\det A_n = \det A_n[1, 2, 3] \cdot \det A_n[4, \dots, n] - \det A_n[1, 2] \cdot \det A_n[5, \dots, n].$$

For  $n = 6$  and  $7$ , if  $A_n[1, 2, 3] \neq D_3$  or  $A_n[n-2, n-1, n] \neq D_3$  then  $|\det A_n| \leq 3 < 4$ . Assume that  $A_n[1, 2, 3] = A_n[n-2, n-1, n] = D_3$ . Then  $\det A_6 = (-2)(-2) - (-1)(-1) = 3 < 4$  and  $\det A_7 = -2\{a_{44} \cdot (-2) + 1\} - 2 = 4 \cdot a_{44} - 4$ . So  $|\det A_7| \leq 4$  and the equality holds if and only if  $A_7 = D_7$ .

For  $n = 8$ , if  $A_8[1, 2, 3] \neq D_3$  (this implies  $|\det A_8[1, 2, 3]| \leq 1$ ) or if  $A_8[4, \dots, 8] \neq D_5$  (this implies  $|\det A_8[4, \dots, 8]| \leq 2$ ), then  $|\det A_8| \leq 5$  since  $A_8[5, 6, 7, 8] \neq D_3 \oplus I_1$ . Assume that  $A_8[1, 2, 3] = D_3$  and  $A_8[4, \dots, 8] = D_5$ . Then  $|\det A_8| = |(-2) \cdot 3 + 1| = 5 < 6$ .

Case 2: Assume that  $a_{i+1} = 0$  or  $a_{i+1} = 0$  for some  $i$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $1 \leq i \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ . By Lemma 2,  $\det A_n = \det A_n[1, \dots, i] \cdot \det A_n[i+1, \dots, n]$ . Then  $|\det A_6| \leq 3, 2, 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  respectively and  $|\det A_7| \leq 4, 3, 4, 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  respectively. So  $|\det A_n| \leq 2^2$  for  $n = 6, 7$  and the equality holds if and only if  $A_6 = D_3 \oplus D_3$  and  $A_7 = I_1 \oplus (D_3 \oplus D_3)$  for  $i = 1$ ,  $D_3 \oplus (D_3 \oplus I_1)$  for  $i = 3$  and  $(D_3 \oplus I_1) \oplus D_3$  for  $i = 4$ . Thus  $|\det A_7| = 4$  if  $A_7 = D_3 \oplus D_3 \oplus I_1$ . For  $n \cong 8$ ,  $|\det A_8| \leq 4, 4, 2 \cdot 3, 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  respectively. Thus  $|\det A_8| \leq 2 \cdot 3$  and the equality holds if and only if  $A_8 = D_3 \oplus D_5 = D_5 \oplus D_3$ .

THEOREM 8. Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$   $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrix. Then

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2^3 & \text{for } n = 9 \\ 3^2 & \text{for } n = 10 \\ 3 \cdot 2^2 & \text{for } n = 11. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the equality holds if and only if 
$$\begin{cases} A_9 = D_3 \oplus D_3 \oplus D_3 \\ A_{10} = D_5 \oplus D_5 \\ A_{11} = D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus D_3. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Case 1: Suppose that  $a_{i+1} = a_{i+1} = 1$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . If  $a_{11} = 0$  or  $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$  then

$$| \det A_n | \leq \begin{cases} 4 & \text{for } n = 9 \\ 6 & \text{for } n = 10 \end{cases}$$

by (1). Assume that  $a_{11} = 1$  and  $a_{22} = 0$ . Then

$$\det A_n = -\{ \det A_n[3, \dots, n] + \det A_n[4, \dots, n] \}.$$

For  $n = 9$ ,  $| \det A_9[3, \dots, 9] | \leq 4$  and  $| \det A_9[4, \dots, 9] | \leq 3$  by case 1 in Theorem 7. Thus  $| \det A_9 | \leq 7 < 8$ . For  $n = 10$ ,  $| \det A_{10}[3, \dots, 10] | \leq 5$ ,  $| \det A_{10}[4, \dots, 10] | \leq 4$ .

But if  $| \det A_{10}[4, \dots, 10] | = 4$  then  $A_{10}[4, \dots, 10] = D_7$  and so  $| \det A_{10}[3, \dots, 10] | \leq 3$ . Thus  $| \det A_{10} | \leq 8 < 9$ . For  $n = 11$ , if  $a_{11} = 0$  or  $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$  then  $| \det A_{11} | \leq 7 < 12$  and so assume that  $a_{11} = 1$ ,  $a_{22} = 0$ . If  $a_{33} = 0$  or  $a_{33} = a_{44} = 1$  then  $| \det A_{11} | \leq 9 < 12$ . Assume that  $a_{33} = 1$ ,  $a_{44} = 0$ . Then  $| \det A_{11} | = | \det A_{11}[5, \dots, 11] + \det A_{11}[6, \dots, 11] | \leq 10 < 12$ .

Case 2: Suppose that  $a_{i+1} = 0$  or  $a_{i+1} = 0$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Then  $\det A_n = \det A_n[1, \dots, i] \cdot \det A_n[i + 1, \dots, n]$  by Lemma 2. By Theorem 6 and 7,

$$| \det A_n | \leq \begin{cases} 2^3 & \text{for } n = 9 \\ 3^2 & \text{for } n = 10 \\ 3 \cdot 2^2 & \text{for } n = 11. \end{cases}$$

The equality holds if and only if 
$$A_n = \begin{cases} D_3 \oplus D_3 \oplus D_3 & \text{for } n = 9 \\ D_5 \oplus D_5 & \text{for } n = 10 \\ D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus D_3 & \text{for } n = 11. \end{cases}$$

We now give the main result about the maximal determinant of (0, 1)-tridiagonal matrices.

**THEOREM 9.** Let  $A_n = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$   $(0, 1)$ -tridiagonal matrix for  $n \geq 9$ . Then

$$| \det A_n | \leq \begin{cases} 2^k & \text{if } n = 3k \\ 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} & \text{if } n = 3k + 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{if } n = 3k + 2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the equality holds if and only if

$$A_n = \begin{cases} D_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_3 & \text{if } n = 3k \\ D_5 \oplus D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_3 & \text{if } n = 3k + 1 \\ D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_3 & \text{if } n = 3k + 2 \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** We use induction on  $k$  where  $n = 3k + l$ ,  $l = 0, 1$  or  $2$  ( $k \geq 3$ ). We proved this for  $k = 3$  in Theorem 8. Assume that  $k > 3$  and the theorem is true for  $n \leq 3(k - 1) + l$ ,  $l = 0, 1$  or  $2$ .

Case 1: Suppose that  $a_{i+1} = a_{i+1i} = 1$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Since  $a_{23} = a_{32} = 1$ ,  $\det A_n = \det A_n[1, 2, 3] \cdot \det A_n[4, \dots, n] - \det A_n[1, 2] \cdot \det A_n[5, \dots, n]$  by (1). If  $A_n[1, 2, 3] \neq D_3$  then  $| \det A_n[1, 2, 3] | \leq 1$  and  $| \det A_n[1, 2] | \leq 1$ . So we can say  $| \det A_n | \leq | \det A_n[4, \dots, n] | + | \det A_n[5, \dots, n] |$ . Thus, by inductive hypothesis,

$$| \det A_n | \leq \begin{cases} 2^{k-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{k-3} < 2^k & \text{for } l = 0 \\ 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-4} + 2^{k-1} < 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} & \text{for } l = 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-4} < 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } l = 2. \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $A_n[1, 2, 3] = D_3$ . If  $a_{4,4} = 1$ , then

$$\det A_n = -\det A_n[4, \dots, n] + \det A_n[6, \dots, n].$$

Hence,

$$| \det A_n | \leq \begin{cases} 2^{k-1} + 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-5} < 2^k & \text{for } l = 0 \\ 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-4} + 3 \cdot 2^{k-3} < 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} & \text{for } l = 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-1} < 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } l = 2. \end{cases}$$



Let  $a_{44} = 0$ . Then  $\det A_n = \det A_n[5, \dots, n] + 2 \det A_n[6, \dots, n]$ . For  $l = 0$ ,  $|\det A_n| \leq 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-5} + 3 \cdot 2^{k-3} < 2^k$ . For  $l = 1, 2$ , if  $a_{55} = 0$  or  $a_{55} = a_{66} = 1$  then  $|\det A_n| \leq 2 \cdot |\det A_n[6, \dots, n]| + |\det A_n[7, \dots, n]|$ . So

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{k-3} + 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-5} < 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} & \text{for } l = 1 \\ 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{k-3} < 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } l = 2. \end{cases}$$

If  $a_{55} = 1$  and  $a_{66} = 0$  then  $\det A_n = \det A_n[5, \dots, n] - 2 \cdot \det A_n[8, \dots, n]$ . Thus

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2^{k-1} + 2 \cdot 2^{k-2} < 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} & \text{for } l = 1 \\ 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-4} + 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-5} < 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } l = 2. \end{cases}$$

Case 2: Suppose that  $a_{i+1} = 0$  or  $a_{i+1i} = 0$  for some  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . By Lemma 2,  $\det A_n = \det A_n[1, \dots, i] \cdot \det A_n[i+1, \dots, n]$ . Let  $i = 3s + t$  for some  $s$ ,  $t = 0, 1$  or  $2$  and we now apply inductive hypothesis to  $A_n[1, \dots, i]$  and  $A_n[i+1, \dots, n]$ . For  $l = 0$ ,

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 2^s \cdot 2^{k-s} \leq 2^k & \text{for } t = 0 \\ 3^3 \cdot 2^{k-5} < 2^k & \text{for } t = 1 \text{ or } 2. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if  $A_n = (D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3) \cdot (D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3)$  For  $l = 1$ ,

$$|\det A_n| \leq 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3} \text{ for } t = 0, 1 \text{ or } 2$$

And the equality holds if and only if  $A_n = (D_5 \oplus D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3) \cdot (D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3)$  or  $A_n = (D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3) \cdot (D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3)$ . Hence  $|\det A_n| = 3^2 \cdot 2^{k-3}$  if and only if  $A_n = D_5 \oplus D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3$ . For  $l = 2$ ,

$$|\det A_n| \leq \begin{cases} 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } t = 0 \text{ or } 2 \\ 3^4 \cdot 2^{k-6} < 3 \cdot 2^{k-1} & \text{for } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore the equality holds if and only if  $A_n = (D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3) \cdot (D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3)$  or  $A_n = (D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3) \cdot (D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3)$ . Hence  $|\det A_n| = 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}$  if and only if  $A_n = D_5 \oplus D_3 \oplus \dots \oplus D_3$ .

COROLLARY 10. *The  $(0,1)$ -tridiagonal matrices  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$  which has maximum determinant are sign-nonsingular.*

PROOF. The  $(0,1)$ -tridiagonal matrices  $A_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $n \neq 4, 7$  which has maximal determinant can be expressed as direct product of sign-nonsingular matrices  $D_3$  and  $D_5$  by theorem 6,7 and 9. The direct product of sign-nonsingular matrices is also sign-nonsingular. For  $n = 4$  and 7, it is easy to check that  $D_3 \oplus I_1$  and  $D_3 \oplus D_3 \oplus I_1$  are sign-nonsingular.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Brualdi and B. L. Shader, *The Matrices of Sign-Solvable Linear Systems*, 1996
- [2] Li Ching, *The Maximum Determinant of an  $n \times n$  Lower Hessenberg  $(0,1)$ -matrix*, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **183** (1993), 147-153.

Division of Applied Mathematics and Statistics  
Pusan University of Foreign Studies  
Pusan 608-701, Korea  
*E-mail*: gshwang@taejo.pufs.ac.kr