HARDY-LITTLEWOOD MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS IN ORLICZ SPACES

Yoon Jae Yoo

ABSTRACT. Let Mf(x) be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on \mathbb{R}^n . Let Φ and Ψ be functions satisfying $\Phi(t)=\int_0^t a(s)ds$ and $\Psi(t)=\int_0^t b(s)ds$, where a(s) and b(s) are positive continuous such that $\int_0^\infty \frac{a(s)}{s}ds=\infty$ and b(s) is quasi-increasing. We show that if there exists a constant c_1 so that $\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t}dt \leq c_1b(c_1s)$ for all $s\geq 0$, then there exists a constant c_1 such that

$$(0.1) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx \le c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2|f(x)|) dx$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, if there exists a constant c_2 satisfying the condition (0.1), then there exists a constant c_1 so that $\int_{\delta}^{s} \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \leq c_1 b(c_1 s)$ for all $\delta > 0$ and $s \geq \delta$.

1. Introduction

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function Mf(x) on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

(1.1)
$$Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f(y)| dy$$

where the supremum is taken over all open cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \in Q$.

The purpose of this paper is to give a necessary and sufficient conditions for Mf in terms of Orlicz space L^{Φ} . In [2], this problem is studied when f is given in unit circle.

Received February 4, 1998.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25, 42B30.

Key words and phrases: maximal function, Orlicz spaces.

Yoon Jae Yoo

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $\Psi(t)$ be a nondecreasing continuous function such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Psi(t) = \infty$. Put

$$L^{\Psi} = \left\{ f: \int_0^{\infty} \Psi(\epsilon|f(x)|) dx < \infty ext{ for some } \epsilon > 0
ight\}$$

Then the space L^{Ψ} is called an Orlicz space ([3] and [5]).

DEFINITION 1.2. Let a(s) and b(s) be positive continuous function defined on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\int_0^\infty \frac{a(s)}{s} ds = \infty$.
- (ii) b(s) is quasi-increasing, that is, if there exists a constant c_o so that

$$(1.2) b(s_1) \le c_o b(c_o s_2)$$

for all $0 \le s_1 \le s_2$. Define (iii) $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds$ and $\Psi(t) = \int_0^t b(s)ds$ for t > 0.

2. Main theorems

LEMMA 2.1. If Ψ satisfies (iii), then $L^{\Psi} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Since b(s) is quasi-increasing, the following inequalities

$$\Psi(t) \geq \int_{t/2}^t b(s) ds \geq rac{1}{c_o} \int_{t/2}^t b\left(rac{t}{2c_o}
ight) ds = rac{t}{2c_o} b\left(rac{t}{2c_o}
ight)$$

implies $L^{\Psi} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 2.1. Let a(s), b(s), $\Phi(t)$, and $\Psi(t)$ be functions satisfying the above properties (i)-(iii). If there exists a constant c_1 so that

(2.1)
$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \le c_1 b(c_1 s)$$

for all $s \geq 0$, then there exists a constant c_2 such that

(2.2)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx \le c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2|f(x)|) dx$$

Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Conversely, if there exists a constant c_1 satisfying the condition (2.2), then there exists a constant c_1 so that for any $\delta > 0$

(2.3)
$$\int_{\delta}^{s} \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \le c_1 b(c_1 s)$$

for all $s \geq \delta$.

Proof. To prove (2.2), observe that

(2.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \int_0^\infty |\{\Phi(Mf(x)) > \lambda\}| d\lambda$$
$$= \int_0^\infty |\{Mf(x) > \Phi^{-1}(\lambda)\}| d\lambda$$
$$= \int_0^\infty |\{Mf(x) > t\}| a(t) dt.$$

Since the maximal function M is simultaneously of weak type (1,1) and of type (∞,∞) it follows that the well known result (page 92, Torchinsky [4]) that there exist constants c_3, c_4 such that

$$|\{Mf(x)) > t\}| \le \frac{c_3}{t} \int_{t/c_4}^{\infty} |\{|f| > s\}| ds$$

for all t > 0. Hence it follows from Tonelli's theorem that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{Mf(x) > t\}| \Phi'(t) dt
= \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{Mf(x) > t\}| a(t) dt
= c_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a(t)}{t} \left(\int_{t/c_{4}}^{\infty} |\{|f| > s\}| ds \right) dt
= c_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{|f| > s\} \left(\int_{0}^{c_{4}s} \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \right) ds
\leq c_{1} c_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{|f| > s\}| b(c_{1}c_{4}s) ds$$

Yoon Jae Yoo

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{c_3}{c_4} \int_0^\infty \left| \left\{ |f| > \frac{t}{c_1 c_4} \right\} \right| b(t) dt \\ &= \frac{c_3}{c_4} \int_0^\infty |\{c_1 c_4 |f| > t\}| b(t) dt \\ &= \frac{c_3}{c_4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_1 c_4 |f(x)|) dx, \end{split}$$

which proves (2.2).

Conversely, suppose that (2.2). If (2.3) does not hold, then there exist a sequence $\{s_n\}$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $s_k \geq 0$ for $k \geq 1$ and

(2.6)
$$\int_{s}^{s_k} \frac{a(t)}{t} > 2^k b(k 2^k s_k)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Choose a collection of disjoint open cubes $\{Q_k\}$ so that

$$|Q_k| = \frac{1}{2^k \Psi(2^k s_k)}$$

and

$$(2.8) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |Q_k| < \infty.$$

Put

(2.9)
$$f(x) = \frac{\epsilon_o}{c_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k s_k \chi_{Q_k},$$

where χ_{Q_k} is the characteristic function of Q_k and ϵ_o will be chosen in a moment. Then by (2.6) and (2.7) we have

(2.10)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi(c_{2}|f(x)|)dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_{k}} \Psi(c_{2}|f(x)|)dx$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi(\epsilon_{o}2^{k}s_{k})|Q_{k}|$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Psi(2^{k}s_{k}) \frac{1}{2^{k}\Psi(2^{k}s_{k})}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < \infty.$$

Since $L^{\Psi} \subset L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ by lemma 2.1, it follows that $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ and so $0 < ||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \infty$. Hence choose ϵ_{o} so that $||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = 1$.

Now we will show that

(2.11)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \infty.$$

But this leads to a contradiction, which will finish the proof. To show (2.11), put $g = \delta f$, where δ is given in (2.6). There exists a constant c so that

$$|\{Mg > \lambda\}| \ge \frac{c}{\lambda} \int_{|g| > \lambda} |g(x)| dx$$

for all $\lambda > ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \delta$. (For this inequality, see Torchinsky [4], p. 93.) Hence by (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(\delta M(f(x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(M(g(x)) dx
= \int_{0}^{\infty} |\{Mg > \lambda\}| \Phi'(\lambda) d\lambda
\geq c \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\{|g| > \lambda\}} |g(x)| dx \right) \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda
= c \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(x)| \chi_{\{|g| > \lambda\}}(x) dx \right) \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(x)| \left(\int_{\|g\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}}^{\|g(x)\|} \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(x)| \left(\int_{\delta}^{\|g(x)\|} \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) dx.$$

If $x \in I_k$, then $g(x) = \frac{\delta \epsilon_o}{c_2} 2^k s_k$. Thus from (2.6) it follows that

$$(2.14) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(M(g(x))dx \geq c_{5} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{Q_{k}} |g(x)| \left(\int_{\delta}^{|g(x)|} \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) dx$$

$$= \frac{c_{5}\epsilon\epsilon_{o}}{c_{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k} s_{k} \left(\int_{\delta}^{\frac{\delta\epsilon_{o} 2^{k} s_{k}}{c_{2}}} \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) |Q_{k}|$$

Yoon Jae Yoo

$$(2.14) \geq \frac{c_5\delta\epsilon_o}{c_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^k s_k \left(\int_{\delta}^{s_k} \frac{a(\lambda)}{\lambda} d\lambda \right) \frac{1}{2^k \Psi(2^k s_k)}$$
$$\geq \frac{c_5\delta\epsilon_o}{c_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{2k} s_k b(k2^k s_k) \frac{1}{2^k \Psi(2^k s_k)}.$$

Since b(s) is quasi-increasing, we have

$$\Psi(2^{k}s_{k}) = \int_{0}^{2^{k}s_{k}} b(s)ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{2^{k}s_{k}} c_{o}b(c_{o}2^{k}s_{k})ds$$

$$= c_{o}2^{k}s_{k}b(c_{o}2^{k}s_{k})$$

and so from (2.15) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\delta M(f(x)) dx \ge \frac{c_5 \delta \epsilon_o}{c_2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b(k 2^k s_k)}{b(c_o 2^k s_k)}$$

$$\to \infty$$

as $k \to \infty$. Since Φ is increasing, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx = \infty$. This is what we needed.

If we define

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t} dt = \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_\delta^\infty \frac{a(t)}{t} dt$$

then the following holds:

COROLLARY 1. Let a(s), b(s), $\Phi(t)$, and $\Psi(t)$ be functions satisfying the above properties (i)-(iii) of Definition 1.2. Then following statements (a) and (b) are equivalent:

(a) There exists a constant c_1 so that

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \le c_1 b(c_1 s)$$

Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in Orlicz spaces

for all s > 0.

(b) There exists a constant c_2 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx \le c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi(c_2|f(x)|) dx$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

COROLLARY 2. Let a(s) and $\Phi(t)$ be functions satisfying (i-iii) of Definition 1.2. Then following statements (a) and (b) are equivalent:

(a) There exists a constant c_1 so that

$$\int_0^s \frac{a(t)}{t} dt \le c_1 a(c_1 s)$$

for all $s \geq 0$.

(b) There exists a constant c_2 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf(x)) dx \le c_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(c_2|f(x)|) dx$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

References

- [1] S. Bloom and R. Kerman, Weighted Orlicz space integral inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, Studia Math. 110 (1994), no. 2.
- [2] Hiro-O Kita, On maximal functions in Orlicz spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996).
- [3] V. Kokilashivili and M. Krbec, Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz spaces, World Scientific, 1991.
- [4] A. Torchinsky, Real variable methods in harmonic analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1986.
- [5] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, KOREA

E-mail: yjyoo@kyungpook.ac.kr