# On Doubly Stochastically Perturbed Dynamical Systems<sup>1)</sup>

# Oesook Lee2)

# **Abstract**

We consider a doubly stochastically perturbed dynamical system  $\{X_n\}$  generated by  $X_n = \Gamma_n(X_{n-1}) + W_n$  where  $\Gamma_n$  is a Markov chain of random functions and  $W_n$  is i.i.d. random elements. Sufficient conditions for stationarity and geometric ergodicity of  $X_n$  are obtained by considering asymptotic behaviours of the associated Markov chain. Ergodic theorem and functional central limit theorem are proved.

#### 1. Introduction

Consider the process  $\{X_n\}$  given by

$$X_{n+1} = \Gamma_{n+1}(X_n) + W_{n+1} \qquad (n \ge 0), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is a Markov chain of nonlinear random functions,  $\{W_n\}$  is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables and  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  and  $\{W_n\}$  are independent.

An extensive discussion for the processes  $\{X_n\}$  under  $\Gamma_{n+1}(X_n) = A_{n+1} \cdot X_n$  and  $(A_n, W_n)$  are assumed to be i.i.d. is given in Vervaat(1979) and Feigin and Tweedie(1985). In Brandit(1986), i.i.d. assumption is dropped and existence of a stationary solution of (1.1) is proved under the condition that  $(A_n W_n)$  is a stationary ergodic process and some mild additional assumptions.

On the other hand, the case that  $\Gamma_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  are nonlinear random functions which is so called IFS(iterated random function systems) has been considered. Barnsley and Demko(1985), Bhattacharya and Lee(1988), and Letac(1986) investigated the ergodicity of the process and ergodic theorem when  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is i.i.d.. Elton(1990) studied the case of stationary sequence, Stenflo(1996) finite semi-Markov process with discrete time. Also a certain doubly stochastic time series model is considered by Meyn and Guo(1993).

In this paper, we consider the process  $\{X_n\}$  defined by (1.1) when  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is a homogeneous Markov chain. We find sufficient conditions, under which  $\{X_n\}$  is geometrically ergodic and

<sup>1)</sup> This Research was supported by the grants from Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997.

<sup>2)</sup> Professor, Department of Statistics, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, 120-750, Korea.

functional central limit theorem holds.

The terminologies and concepts mentioned in this paper such as aperiodic, irreducible, small set, stationarity, (geometric) ergodicity etc. can be found in books on Markov chains (e.g. Nummelin(1984), Meyn and Tweedie(1993)).

Following two propositions give useful tools to determine the geometric ergodicity of the processes.

**Proposition 1.1** (Tweedie(1983)) Let  $\{X_n\}$  be an aperiodic, irreducible Markov chain. Suppose that there exist a small set C, a nonnegative measurable function g positive constants  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  and  $\rho < 1$  such that

$$E\{g(X_{n+1})|X_n = x\} \le \rho g(x) - c_1, \quad x \in C^c, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$E\{g(X_{n+1})|X_n = x\} \le c_2, \qquad x \in C. \tag{1.3}$$

Then  $\{X_n\}$  is geometrically ergodic.

Above proposition is the so called Tweedie's drift criterion for the geometric ergodicity of Markov chains and the function g is called the (stochastic) Lyapunov function.

**Proposition 1.2** (Tjostheim(1990)) If there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  such that  $\{X_{nm_0}\}$  is geometrically ergodic, then  $\{X_n\}$  is geometrically ergodic.

Combining above two propositions produce the  $m_0$ -step criteria to determine the geometric ergodicity of Markov chains.

### 2. Main Results

Let  $C(R^n, R^n)$  be the space of all continuous functions endowed with the compact-open topology and let  $\Gamma$  be a compact subset of  $C(R^n, R^n)$ .  $\Gamma$  inherits its topology from  $C(R^n, R^n)$ . Let  $B(\Gamma)$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Gamma$  and let  $B(R^n)$  be the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $R^n$ . Note that  $C(R^n, R^n)$  is a complete separable metric space.

Let us, for a function  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , define a generalized norm

$$||f|| = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|}.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the usual metric on  $\mathbb{R}^k$   $(k \ge 1)$ .

Let  $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a homogeneous Markov chain with  $\Gamma$  as its state space and  $\{W_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be

a sequence of independent and identically distributed random elements with common distribution Q and  $E[W_1] \subset \infty$ . Assume  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  and  $\{W_n\}$  are independent.

In this paper, we consider the stochastic process  $\{X_n\}$  given by

$$X_{0}, X_{n+1} = \Gamma_{n+1}(X_n) + W_{n+1} (n \ge 0). (2.1)$$

 $X_n$  derived by (2.1) is not a Markov chain. In order to study the asymptotic properties of  $\{X_n\}$ , it is convenient to consider the associated Markov chain  $\Phi_n = (\Gamma_n, X_n)$ ,  $n \ge 0$  with state space  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and homogeneous transition probability function

$$\tilde{p}((\gamma, x), C) = \int \int I_C(g, g(x) + s) p(\gamma, dg) Q(ds),$$

where  $C \in B(\Gamma) \times B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and p is the transition probability function for  $\{\Gamma_n\}$ .

If  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is weak Feller, i.e. for any real-valued bounded uniformly continuous function f,  $\int f(g)p(\cdot,dg)$  is continuous, then so is  $\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_n\}$ .

Suppose  $H: \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded and uniformly continuous function and  $(\gamma_n, x_n) \rightarrow (\gamma, x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |\int \int H(g,g(x_n)+s)p(\gamma_n,dg)dQ(s) - \int \int H(g,g(x)+s)p(\gamma,dg)dQ(s)| \\ \leq \int \int |H(g,g(x_n)+s) - H(g,g(x)+s)|p(\gamma_n,dg)dQ(s)| \\ + \int |\int H(g,g(x)+s)p(\gamma_n,dg) - \int H(g,g(x)+s)p(\gamma,dg)|dQ(s)| \\ \to 0. \end{split}$$

as  $n \to \infty$  by uniform continuity of H and compactness of  $\Gamma$ .

Next lemma gives sufficient condition which guarantees that every compact set is a small set.

If a Markov chain  $\{\Phi_n\}$  is aperiodic,  $\varphi$ -irreducible Feller chain such that supp  $\varphi$  has nonempty interior, then every compact set is small.

Proof. See theorem 6.25(p.134) in Meyn and Tweedie(1993).

For simplicity of the notation, we define

$$F_n(x) = \Gamma_n(x) + W_n$$

We make the following assumptions:

- (A1)  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is aperiodic and  $\varphi$ -irreducible Feller chain such that supp  $\varphi$  has nonempty interior.
- (A2) The distribution of  $W_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  and has a density function q which is positive a.e.( $\lambda$ ).
- (A3) There exist  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , constants  $b < \infty$ ,  $\rho < 1$ , and positive integer  $m_0$  such that for each initial  $\phi_0 = (\gamma, x)$ ,

$$E_{\gamma} || F_{m_0} \cdots F_1 || \le \rho \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$E_{\gamma}|F_{m_0}\cdots F_1(x_0)| \le b.$$
 (2.3)

Theorem 2.1 Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). Then  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is geometrically ergodic with invariant probability, say  $\pi$  and the distribution of  $X_n$  converges in norm to the measure  $\pi_2$  exponentially fast, where

$$\pi_2(B) = \pi(\{(\gamma, x) | x \in B\}), \qquad B \in B(R^n). \tag{2.4}$$

**Proof.** Under (A1) and (A2), it can be easily seen that  $\Phi_n$  is a  $\varphi \times \lambda$ -irreducible.

Define a (stochastic) Lyapunov function  $v: \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\nu((\gamma, x)) = |x - x_0| + 1, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $x_0$  is given in (A3). Then for any n,

$$\begin{split} &E\left[ v(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{(n+1)m_0}) \mid \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{nm_0} = (\gamma, x) \right] \\ &= E\left[ |X_{(n+1)m_0} - x_0| + 1 \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{nm_0} = \gamma, X_{nm_0} = x \right] \\ &\leq E\left[ |F_{(n+1)m_0} \cdots F_{nm_0+1}(x) - F_{(n+1)m_0} \cdots F_{nm_0+1}(x_0)| \\ &\quad + |F_{(n+1)m_0} \cdots F_{nm_0+1}(x_0) - x_0| + 1 \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{nm_0} = \gamma, X_{nm_0} = x \right] \\ &\leq E_{\gamma} ||F_{(n+1)m_0} \cdots F_{nm_0+1}|| \quad |x - x_0| + E_{\gamma} |F_{(n+1)m_0} \cdots F_{nm_{0+1}}(x_0)| + |x_0| + 1 \\ &\leq \rho |x - x_0| + b + |x_0| + 1. \end{split}$$

Let for r > 0,  $K_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x - x_0| \le r\}$ . Then for some  $c_1 > 0$ , we may choose  $\rho'$ ,  $\rho < \rho' < 1$  and r > 00 such that

$$E\left[v\left(\Phi_{(n+1)m_0}\right)\middle|\Phi_{nm_0}=(\gamma,x)\right] \le \rho'v\left((\gamma,x)\right) - c_1, \quad (\gamma,x) \in \Gamma \times K_r^{c_1} \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly, we have

$$E\left[\left|v(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{(n+1)m_0})\right|\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{nm_0} = (\gamma, x)\right] \le \rho r + b + |x_0| + 1 < \infty, \quad (\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times K_r$$
 (2.7)

By lemma 2.1,  $\Phi_n$  is weak Feller and hence  $\Gamma \times K_r$  is a small set by lemma 2.2. Therefore, (2.7) together with (2.6), by applying the proposition 1.1, ensures the geometric ergodicity of  $\{\Phi_{nm_n}\}$ , and hence by the proposition 1.2, geometric ergodicity of  $\{\Phi_n\}$  follows.

Geometric ergodicity of  $\Phi_n$  implies that the existence of  $\pi$  which is the unique invariant probability and a constant  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$  such that

$$\sup_{C} \{\theta^{n} | \hat{p}^{n}((\gamma, x), C) \to \pi(C) | \} \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \quad (\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$

Therefore  $\pi_2$  defined by (2.4) is the stationary distribution for  $X_n$  of (1.1) and the distribution of  $X_n$  converges in norm to  $\pi_2$  exponentially fast.

Following is an additional assumption.

(A4) 
$$\sup_{1 \le k \le m} E_x ||\Gamma_k|| \cdots ||\Gamma_1|| \le d < \infty$$
, for any initial  $\gamma$ .

Before stating the next theorem, we give a lemma which is easy to check.

For each initial  $\gamma$ ,  $E_{\gamma}||F_{m_0}\cdots F_1|| \leq E_{\gamma}||\Gamma_{m_0}||\cdots||\Gamma_1||$ .

Let conditions (A1) and (A4) hold. Suppose  $E_{\gamma} || \Gamma_{m_0} || \cdots || \Gamma_1 || \le \rho$  for some  $m_0$  and  $\rho < 1$ . Then there exists a unique invariant probability  $\pi$  for  $\Phi_n$  such that

$$\tilde{p}^n(\mathbf{x}, d\mathbf{y}) \to \pi(d\mathbf{y})$$
, weakly  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. The proof follows essentially the same line of Meyn(1989). We give the sketch of the proof. For each  $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ , define a perturbed process  $\{X_n^{\varepsilon}, n \ge 0\}$ ,

$$X_0^{\varepsilon} = X_0$$
,  $X_{n+1}^{\varepsilon} = \Gamma_{n+1}(X_n^{\varepsilon}) + W_{n+1} + \varepsilon N_{n+1}$ 

where  $\{N_n\}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. N(0,1), and  $\{\Gamma_n\}$ ,  $\{W_n\}$  and  $\{N_n\}$  are independent.

By theorem 2.1 and lemma 2.3, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\Phi_n^{\varepsilon} = (\Gamma_n, X_n^{\varepsilon})$  is geometrically ergodic with invariant probability, say  $\pi^{\epsilon}$ , from which we have as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$E_{\phi_0}[h(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_n^{\varepsilon})] \to \int h \ d\pi^{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.8}$$

for every bounded uniformly continuous function h on  $\Gamma \times R^n$ . From  $E_{\gamma} || \Gamma_{m_0} || \cdots || \Gamma_1 || \le \rho$ , we have that

$$E_{\phi_0}[|X_n - X_n^{\epsilon}|] \le \frac{\varepsilon K m_0 d}{1 - \rho} \tag{2.9}$$

for every initial  $\phi_0 = (\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $K = E|N_1|$ , and hence we get

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{n \ge 0} E_{\phi_0} [|X_n - X_n^{\varepsilon}|] = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover for any bounded uniformly continuous function f on  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , by applying Chebyshev's inequality and (2.10), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{n \ge 0} E_{\phi_0} [f(X_n) - f(X_n^{\varepsilon})] = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $\pi^{\epsilon}$  is tight, there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$  and  $\pi$  such that

$$\pi^{\epsilon_n} \to \pi$$
 weakly as  $n \to \infty$ . (2.12)

Hence combining (2.8), and (2.10)–(2.12), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E_{\phi_0} [f(\mathcal{O}_n)] = \int f d\pi. \tag{2.13}$$

This implies  $\pi$  is an invariant probability for  $\Phi_n$  and also  $\pi$  is the unique limit point of the probabilities  $\{\pi^{\epsilon}, \epsilon > 0\}$  and hence  $\pi$  is the unique invariant probability for  $\Phi_n$ .

Corollary 2.1 Suppose that  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is weak Feller and that (A3) holds with  $m_0 = 1$ . Then there exists a stationary solution of the process generated by (2.1).

**Proof.** In the proof of theorem 2.1, we only use the condition (A3) to get the eqn.(2.5) and the eqn.(2.6). Therefore the conclusion follows from the compactness of  $\Gamma \times K_r$  and the weak Feller property of  $\{\Phi_n\}$  (see Tweedie(1988)).

**Theorem 2.3** Suppose that the assumptions of theorem 2.1 or theorem 2.2 hold and that  $\pi$  is the unique invariant probability for  $\Phi_n$ . If the distribution of  $\Phi_0$  is  $\pi$ , then for a measurable function  $f: R^n \to R$  with  $E|f(X_0)| < \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(X_n) \rightarrow E[f(X_0)].$$

**Proof.** If the distribution of  $\Phi_0$  is  $\pi$ , then  $\{\Phi_n\}$  is a stationary ergodic Markov chain and hence by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, for a measurable function f,  $E|f(\Phi_0)| < \infty$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_n) \rightarrow E[f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_0)]$$
 (2.14)

If we take  $f(\gamma, x) = f(x)$ , then from (2.14),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(X_n) \rightarrow E[f(X_0)], \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In the end of this section, we consider the functional central limit theorem for

$$Y_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} (f(\mathbf{\Phi}_k) - \int f d\pi), \quad t \ge 0$$
 (2.15)

which is essential in evaluating asymptotic efficiencies of estimators. Deriving functional central limit theorem for Markov processes has been discussed in many papers such as Glynn and Meyn(1996) and the references therein.

In order to state the next theorem, let for  $g \in L^2(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n, \pi)$ ,  $x, y \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$Pg(x) = \int g(y)p(x, dy), \quad \bar{f} = \int f d\pi, \quad ||g||_2^2 = \int g^2(y)d\pi(y)$$

and  $\pi$  denote the invariant initial probability.

Let the hypotheses of theorem 2.1 hold with  $m_0 = 1$  and let  $v((\gamma, x)) = |x - x_0| + K$  where K is any positive constant greater than 1. Then for any  $f^2 \le v$ ,  $Y_n(\cdot)$  converges in distribution to a Brownian motion with mean 0 and variance parameter  $||g||_2^2 - ||Pg||_2^2$ , where  $Pg - g = f - \overline{f}$ . In particular, the functional central limit theorem holds for every bounded measurable function f.

For given  $v((\gamma,x))$ , by the same arguments as those in the proof of Proof. theorem 2.1, there exist constants r,  $M \le \infty$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$  such that

$$Pv((\gamma, x)) \leq \lambda v((\gamma, x)) + MI_{\{(\gamma, x): (\gamma, x) \in \Gamma \times K_{\epsilon}\}}.$$

Then theorem 4.1 in Glynn and Meyn(1996) ensures that if  $f^2 \le v$ , then  $f \in L^2(\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^n, \pi)$ is in the range of P-I and hence the functional central limit theorem holds for f with mean 0 and variance parameter  $||g||_2^2 - ||Pg||_2^2$ . Suppose f is bounded measurable  $|f| \le K_0$  for some  $K_0 < \infty$ . Then by taking  $K = K_0^2$  in  $v((\gamma, x)) = |x - x_0| + K$ , we have  $f^2 \le v$  and therefore f holds the functional central limit theorem.

#### References

- [1] Bhattacharya, R.N. and Lee, O.(1988). Asymptotics of a class of Markov processes which are not in general irreducible, Annals of Probability, Vol. 16, No. 3, 1333-1347.
- [2] Bougerol, P. and Picard, N. (1992). Strict stationarity of generalized autoregressive processes, Annals of Probability, Vol. 20, No. 4, 1714-1730.
- [3] Barnsley, M.F. and Demko, S. (1985). Iterated function systems and the global Proceedings of Royal Society London Series A 399, construction of fractals, 243-275.
- [4] Brandit, A (1986). The stochastic equation  $Y_{n+1} = A_n Y_n + B_n$  with stationary

- coefficients, Advances in Applied Probability Vol. 18, 211-220.
- [5] Elton, J.H. (1990). Multiplicative ergodic theorem for Lipschitz maps, *Stochastic Processes* and *Their Applications* Vol. 34, 39-47.
- [6] Feigin,P.D. and Tweedie, R.L. (1985). Random coefficient autoregressive processes: A Markov chain analysis of stationarity and finiteness of moments, *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1-14.
- [7] Glynn, P. and Meyn, S. (1996). A Lyapounov Bound for solution of the Poisson equation, *Annals of Probability*, Vol. 24, No. 2, 916-931.
- [8] Letac, G. (1986). A contraction principle for certain Markov chains and its application, Contemporary Mathematics Vol. 50, 263-273.
- [9] Meyn, S.P. (1989). Ergodic theorems for discrete time stochastic systems using a stochastic Lyapunov function, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, Vol. 27, No. 6, 1409-1439.
- [10] Meyn, S.P. and Guo, L. (1993). Geometric ergodicity of a doubly stochastic time series model, *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 93-108.
- [11] Meyn, S.P. and Tweedie, R.L. (1993). *Markov chains and stochastic stability*. Springer-Verlag, London.
- [12] Nummelin, E. (1984). General irreducible Markov Chains and Non-negative Operators. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [13] Stenflo, O. (1996). Iterated function systems controlled by a semi-Markov chain. *The. Stochastic Processes*. Vol. 18, 305-313.
- [14] Tjostheim,D. (1990). Nonlinear time series and Markov chains, *Advances in Applied Probability* Vol. 8, 587-611.
- [15] Tong, H. (1990). Nonlinear Time Series: A Dynamical System Aproach Oxford University Press, New York.
- [16] Tweedie, R.L. (1983). Rates of convergence of Markov chains with application to queueing theory in J.F.C. Kingman and G.E.H.Reuter eds. *Papers in probability, Statistics and Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [17] Tweedie, R.L. (1988). Invariant Measures for Markov chains with no irreducibility assumptions. *Journal of Applied Probability* 25A ( A celebration of Applied probability ) 275–285.
- [18] Vervaat, W. (1979). On a stochastic difference equation and a representation nonnegative infinitely divisible random variables. *Advances in Applied Probability* Vol. 11, 750-783.