

Smooth Nonparametric Estimation of Mean Residual Life*

Myung Hwan Na · Jae Joo Kim · Sung Hyun Park

Dept. of Statistics, Seoul National University

Abstract

In this paper we propose a smooth nonparametric estimator of mean residual life based on a complete sample. This estimator is constructed using the maximum likelihood estimate of cumulative failure rate in the class of distributions which have piecewise linear failure rate functions between each pair of observations. We derive the asymptotic properties of our estimator. Examples using simulated data are used to illustrate the performance of this estimation.

1. Introduction

Let F be a continuous life distribution (i.e., $F(x)=0$ for $x \leq 0$) with the finite first moment and let X be a nonnegative random variable with distribution F . The mean residual life (MRL) function $e(x)$ is defined as

$$e(x) = E(X - x \mid X > x), \quad (1.1)$$

The MRL is the expected remaining lifetime, $X - x$, given that the item has survived to time x . The MRL function $e(x)$ in (1.1) can also be written as

* This work was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1998, Project No. 1998-015-D00046.

$$e(x) = \frac{\int_x^{\infty} \bar{F}(u) du}{\bar{F}(x)},$$

where $\bar{F}(x) = 1 - F(x)$.

The MRL function plays a very important role in the area of engineering, medical science, survival studies, social sciences, and many other fields. The MRL is used by engineers in burn-in studies, setting maintenance policies, and comparison of life distributions of different systems. Social scientists use MRL, also called as inertia, in studies of lengths of wars, duration of strikes and job mobility etc. Medical researchers use MRL in lifetime experiments under various conditions. Actuaries apply MRL to setting rates and benefits for life insurance.

Hall and Wellner(1981) derive that all MRL functions associated with distributions having a finite mean must satisfy three conditions:

$$e(x) \geq 0, \quad e'(x) \geq -1, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{e(x)} dx = \infty.$$

See also Bhattacharjee(1982) for another characterization of MRL. Knowledge of the MRL function completely determines the reliability function, via the relation

$$\bar{F}(x) = \frac{e(0) \exp\left\{-\int_0^x [e(u)]^{-1} du\right\}}{e} (x), \quad x \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Kotz and Shanbhag(1980) derive a generalized inversion formula for distributions that are not necessarily life distributions. Hall and Wellner(1981) have an excellent discussion of (1.2).

We consider nonparametric estimation of mean residual life function $e(x)$. The estimation of $e(x)$ is very useful in practice and a few nonparametric estimation procedure have been suggested in the literature. The empirical MRL estimator $e_n(x)$, proposed by Yang(1978), can be obtained by replacing \bar{F} of equation (1.1) with the empirical distribution, as shown by the following equation

$$e_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=k+1}^n (X_{(i)} - x) & \text{for } X_{(k)} \leq x \leq X_{(k+1)} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \geq X_{(n)} \end{cases}$$

for $k=0, 1, \dots, n-1$ where $X_{(0)}=0$ and $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < \dots < X_{(n)}$ is the order statistics of a random sample X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . For case of ties, the estimator is lightly modified as given in Guess and Proschan(1988). Yang(1978) prove that $e_n(x)$ is asymptotically unbiased, uniformly strong consistent, and converges in distribution to a Gaussian process. Mi(1994) propose an estimator which has a mean residual life function satisfying the same requirement on the shape for any member of classes which have decreasing, increasing or upside-down shaped mean residual life.

In this paper we propose a nonparametric estimator of $e(x)$ based on a complete sample. This estimator is constructed using the estimator $\hat{\lambda}(x)$, where $\hat{\lambda}(x)$ is derived as the maximum likelihood estimate of cumulative failure rate $\Lambda(x)$ in the class of distributions which have piecewise linear failure rate functions between each pair of observations. The resulting estimator of $e(x)$ is smooth. We derive the asymptotic properties of our estimator. Monte Carlo simulations are conducted to investigate the performance of our new nonparametric estimator of mean residual life. Smooth nonparametric estimation procedure is discussed in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to proof of main theorems. Results of Monte Carlo simulations are presented in Section 4.

2. The Proposed Estimator

Let K denote a positive integer and let ξ_1, \dots, ξ_K be a (simple) knot sequence in $[0, \infty)$ where $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_K < \infty$. Let S denote the collection of piecewise continuous linear functions s on $[0, \xi_K]$ such that the restriction of s to each of the intervals $[0, \xi_1], [\xi_1, \xi_2], \dots, [\xi_{K-1}, \xi_K]$ is a linear function. Then S is the $(K+1)$ -dimensional vector space and has a basis B_0, B_1, \dots, B_K . (See de Boor, 1978)

Let Θ denote the collection of all column-vector $\theta = (\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K)^t \in R^{K+1}$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^K \theta_j B_j(x) > 0$. Given $\theta \in \Theta$, we approximate the failure rate function by

$$\lambda(x; \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^K \theta_j B_j(x) \tag{2.1}$$

over the interval $0 \leq x \leq \xi_K$. For the approximation (2.1), the corresponding cumulative failure rate and reliability function are given by

$$\Lambda(x; \theta) = \sum_{j=0}^K \theta_j \int_0^x B_j(u) du,$$

$$\bar{F}(x; \theta) = \exp\left(-\sum_{j=0}^K \theta_j \int_0^x B_j(u) du\right).$$

We determine the coefficients of the linear combination by maximizing the likelihood function. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a life distribution F with a density function f . Then the log-likelihood function corresponding to the approximation (2.1) is determined by

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln \lambda(x_i; \theta) - \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{x_i} \lambda(u; \theta) du.$$

We place the knots $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots \leq \xi_K$ by distinct failure time $X'_{(1)} < X'_{(2)} < \dots < X'_{(K)}$ which are different sorted values of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Using $B_j(\xi_j) = 1$, and 0 at other knots gives the minimizing solution $\hat{\theta}_0 = 0$ and

$$\hat{\theta}_j = \frac{m_j}{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{x_i} B_j(u) du}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, K,$$

where m_j is the number of failure times equal $X'_{(j)}$. Then the estimator of the cumulative failure rate is

$$\hat{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{m_j \int_0^x B_j(u) du}{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{x_i} B_j(u) du}. \quad (2.2)$$

The estimator (2.2) is a non-negative differentiable monotone increasing function of x on the interval $[0, \xi_K]$ and thus the estimator of the reliability,

$$\widehat{F}(x) = \exp \left(- \frac{\sum_{j=1}^K m_j \int_0^x B_j(u) du}{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{x_i} B_j(u) du} \right)$$

is a differentiable monotone decreasing function on this interval. With this estimator of \overline{F} , we define the estimator $\widehat{e}(x)$ of $e(x)$ as

$$\widehat{e}(x) = \frac{\overline{X} - \int_0^x \widehat{F}(u) du}{\widehat{F}(x)} \tag{2.3}$$

where \overline{X} is the sample mean. By the definition, this is a continuous estimator of $e(x)$. The asymptotic properties of this estimator are obtained under the following assumptions.

- A1. F is continuous.
- A2. $T_F \leq \infty$, where $T_F = \sup\{x: \overline{F}(x) > 0\}$.
- A3. $\mu_F = e(0) < \infty$.

Now we have the following results. We present the results in this section and provide proofs in Section 3.

THEOREM 2.1 Let $x \in [0, T]$, $0 < T < T_F$ and let assumptions A1-A3 be satisfied. Then the estimator $\widehat{e}(x)$ is consistent for $e(x)$.

THEOREM 2.2 Let $T < \infty$ satisfying $\overline{F}(T) > 0$ and let assumptions A1-A3 hold. Then the process $\{\sqrt{n}(\widehat{e}(x) - e(x)) : x \in [0, T]\}$ converges weakly to a mean zero Gaussian process with covariance structure

$$\Gamma(x, y) = \frac{\overline{F}(x)\overline{F}(y)\sigma^2(x, 1) - F(y)\overline{F}(x)\theta^2(x, 1)}{(\overline{F}(x)\overline{F}(y))^2}$$

where $\theta(s, t) = E\{XI(s < F(x) \leq t)\}$ and $\sigma^2(s, t) = Var\{XI(s < F(x) \leq t)\}$. Here $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function.

3. Proof of Theorems

Let $\Lambda_n(x)$ denote the empirical cumulative failure rate function. Then we can obtain the following Lemma 3.1 applying the technique in proof of Theorem 1 of Klotz(1982).

LEMMA 3.1 Let $x \in [0, T]$, $0 < T < T_F$ and let assumptions A1-A2 be satisfied. Then

$$\sup_{\{0 \leq x \leq T\}} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\Lambda}(x) - \Lambda_n(x)) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To obtain the asymptotic properties of our estimator, the proofs utilize the following Lemma 3.2.

LEMMA 3.2 Let $x \in [0, T]$, $0 < T < T_F$ and let assumptions A1-A3 be satisfied. Then

$$\sup_{\{0 \leq x \leq T\}} \sqrt{n}(\hat{F}(x) - \bar{F}_n(x)) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\bar{F}_n(x)$ is the empirical reliability function.

PROOF Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{F}(x) - \bar{F}_n(x)) &= \sqrt{n}(\exp(-\hat{\Lambda}(x)) - \exp(-\Lambda_n(x))) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{n}(\exp(-\Lambda_n(x)) - \bar{F}_n(x)) \\ &= -\sqrt{n}(\hat{\Lambda}(x) - \Lambda_n(x)) \exp(-\Lambda_n^*(x)) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{n}(\exp(-\Lambda_n(x)) - \bar{F}_n(x)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_n^*(x) = a_n(x)\hat{\Lambda}(x) + (1 - a_n(x))\Lambda_n(x)$ with $0 \leq a_n(x) \leq 1$, for $x \geq 0$. Note that a_n exists by the mean value theorem. The required result follows from Lemma 3.1 and the similar technique in proof of theorem 5 of Breslow and Crowley (1974). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1 We can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\hat{e}(x) - e(x)| \leq & \left| \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_F}{\widehat{F}(x)} \right| + \left| \frac{\mu_F(\bar{F}(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\widehat{F}(x)\bar{F}(x)} \right| \\
 & + \left| \frac{\int_0^t (\widehat{F}(u) - \bar{F}(u)) du}{\widehat{F}(x)} \right| + \left| \frac{\int_0^t \bar{F}(u) du (\bar{F}(x) - \widehat{F}(x))}{\bar{F}(x)} \right|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Thus the right side of the above inequality (3.1) converges in probability 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 5.1 of Billingsley(1968). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2 Using (1.1) and (2.3), write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sqrt{n}(\hat{e}(x) - e(x)) &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{e}(x) - e_n(x)) + \sqrt{n}(e_n(x) - e(x)) \\
 &= \sqrt{n}(e_n(x) - e(x)) + R_{1n}(x) + R_{2n}(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{1n}(x) = -\sqrt{n}(\widehat{F}(x)\bar{F}_n(x))^{-1}(\widehat{F}(x) - \bar{F}_n(x)) \int_x^\infty \bar{F}_n(u) du$$

and

$$R_{2n}(x) = -\sqrt{n}(\widehat{F}(x)\bar{F}_n(x))^{-1}\bar{F}_n(x) \int_0^x (\widehat{F}(u) - \bar{F}_n(u)) du.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 and the classical weak convergence of the empirical process that $\sup R_{1n}(x)$ and $\sup R_{2n}(x)$ converge in probability 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the required result follows Theorem 1 of Yang(1978) and Theorem 4.1 of Billingsley(1968). \square

4. Simulation Study

In this section, we perform Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the performance of our new nonparametric estimator of mean residual life. Simulations are performed on a super computer SP2 at Seoul National University using the

programming language FORTRAN.

To investigate the performance of our new estimator, we generate random numbers from weibull and gamma distribution using the IMSL subroutines. The density functions are respectively given by;

$$f(t) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^{\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\beta}\right)^\alpha\right),$$

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\beta^\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\beta}\right).$$

From each of a number of specified distributions, chosen so as to have a variety of shapes, we generate 1000 samples of given size. For each sample we estimate $e(x)$ according to our new procedure in Section 2. In addition, we also estimate $e(x)$ using the Yang's(1978) estimator. The Bias, Variance(VAR), and Mean Squared Error(MSE) of our estimator are compared with those of the Yang's (1978) estimator given distributions at each deciles of distribution F .

Table 4.1-4.4 indicate the result of simulations with varying shape parameter, and sample size $n=100$. In tables the ratio of MSE is defined as follows;

$$\text{Ratio of MSE} = \frac{\text{MSE of the empirical estimator}}{\text{MSE of the proposed estimator}}$$

From Table 4.1-4.4 we notice that our new estimator seems to produce less MSE than the MSE of Yang's (1978) empirical estimator.

< Table 4.1 > Results of simulation from weibull distribution with parameter $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=1$.

| $F(x)$ | Empirical | | | Proposed | | | Ratio of MSE |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
| | BIAS | VAR | MSE | BIAS | VAR | MSE | |
| .1 | -.0013 | .0115 | .0115 | -.0015 | .0115 | .0115 | 1.0000 |
| .2 | .0000 | .0123 | .0123 | .0000 | .0122 | .0122 | 1.0082 |
| .3 | .0009 | .0142 | .0142 | .0008 | .0140 | .0140 | 1.0143 |
| .4 | -.0007 | .0163 | .0163 | -.0010 | .0162 | .0162 | 1.0062 |
| .5 | .0002 | .0201 | .0201 | -.0001 | .0199 | .0199 | 1.0101 |
| .6 | -.0004 | .0240 | .0240 | -.0005 | .0235 | .0235 | 1.0213 |
| .7 | -.0041 | .0335 | .0335 | -.0062 | .0328 | .0329 | 1.0182 |
| .8 | -.0140 | .0469 | .0471 | -.0161 | .0458 | .0460 | 1.0239 |
| .9 | -.0189 | .0973 | .0977 | -.0266 | .0906 | .0914 | 1.0689 |

< Table 4.2 > Results of simulation from weibull distribution with parameter $\alpha=2$ and $\beta=1$.

| $F(x)$ | Empirical | | | Proposed | | | Ratio of MSE |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
| | BIAS | VAR | MSE | BIAS | VAR | MSE | |
| .1 | -.0007 | .0019 | .0019 | -.0003 | .0018 | .0018 | 1.0556 |
| .2 | -.0017 | .0020 | .0020 | -.0012 | .0019 | .0019 | 1.0526 |
| .3 | -.0016 | .0020 | .0020 | -.0010 | .0019 | .0019 | 1.0526 |
| .4 | -.0005 | .0020 | .0020 | .0003 | .0020 | .0020 | 1.0000 |
| .5 | -.0010 | .0021 | .0021 | -.0001 | .0021 | .0021 | 1.0000 |
| .6 | -.0008 | .0024 | .0024 | .0001 | .0023 | .0023 | 1.0435 |
| .7 | -.0018 | .0029 | .0029 | -.0007 | .0028 | .0028 | 1.0357 |
| .8 | -.0008 | .0040 | .0040 | .0007 | .0039 | .0039 | 1.0256 |
| .9 | -.0010 | .0076 | .0076 | .0016 | .0066 | .0066 | 1.1515 |

< Table 4.3 > Results of simulation from gamma distribution with parameter $\alpha=2$ and $\beta=1$.

| $F(x)$ | Empirical | | | Proposed | | | Ratio of MSE |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
| | BIAS | VAR | MSE | BIAS | VAR | MSE | |
| .1 | .0034 | .0194 | .0194 | .0042 | .0193 | .0193 | 1.0029 |
| .2 | .0037 | .0209 | .0209 | .0039 | .0208 | .0208 | 1.0060 |
| .3 | .0040 | .0235 | .0235 | .0045 | .0234 | .0234 | 1.0051 |
| .4 | -.0012 | .0267 | .0267 | -.0007 | .0263 | .0263 | 1.0140 |
| .5 | .0029 | .0307 | .0308 | .0037 | .0304 | .0304 | 1.0128 |
| .6 | .0038 | .0386 | .0386 | .0058 | .0378 | .0378 | 1.0218 |
| .7 | .0047 | .0521 | .0521 | .0056 | .0507 | .0507 | 1.0274 |
| .8 | .0121 | .0759 | .0760 | .0133 | .0747 | .0749 | 1.0151 |
| .9 | .0313 | .1713 | .1723 | .0245 | .1543 | .1549 | 1.1123 |

< Table 4.4 > Results of simulation from gamma distribution with parameter $\alpha=3$ and $\beta=1$.

| $F(x)$ | Empirical | | | Proposed | | | Ratio of MSE |
|--------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|
| | BIAS | VAR | MSE | BIAS | VAR | MSE | |
| .1 | .0015 | .0298 | .0298 | .0035 | .0295 | .0295 | 1.0090 |
| .2 | .0011 | .0310 | .0310 | .0033 | .0306 | .0306 | 1.0124 |
| .3 | .0005 | .0333 | .0333 | .0026 | .0330 | .0330 | 1.0115 |
| .4 | -.0016 | .0371 | .0371 | .0013 | .0366 | .0366 | 1.0129 |
| .5 | .0015 | .0426 | .0426 | .0046 | .0420 | .0421 | 1.0130 |
| .6 | .0012 | .0509 | .0509 | .0055 | .0499 | .0500 | 1.0188 |
| .7 | .0036 | .0659 | .0659 | .0086 | .0642 | .0642 | 1.0265 |
| .8 | -.0020 | .0935 | .0935 | .0059 | .0904 | .0904 | 1.0338 |
| .9 | -.0128 | .1905 | .1906 | -.0023 | .1755 | .1755 | 1.0863 |

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhattacharjee, M.C.(1982), "The Class of Mean Residual Lives and Some Consequences," *SIAM Journal of Algebraic Discrete Methods*, Vol. 3, pp. 56-65.
- [2] Billingsley, P.(1968), *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York.
- [3] de Boor, C.(1978), *A Practical Guide to Splines*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] Breslow, N. and Crowley, J.(1974), "A Large Sample Study of the Life Table and Product Limit Estimates Under Random Censorship," *The Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 2, pp. 437-453.
- [5] Guess, F., and Proschan, F.(1988), "Mean Residual Life: Theory and Application," *Handbook of Statistics: Quality Control and Reliability 7*, pp. 215-224, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [6] Hall, W. and Wellner, J.A.(1981), "Mean Residual Life," *Statistics and Related Topics* pp. 169-184, North-Holland Amsterdam.
- [7] Klotz, J.H.(1982): "Spline Smoothing Estimates of Survival," *Survival Analysis*, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series Vol. 2, J. Crowley and R.A. Johnson (eds.), pp 14-25. Hayward, California, Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
- [8] Kotz, S. and Shanbhag, D.N.(1980), "Some new Approaches to Probability Distributions," *Advance in Applied Probability*, Vol. 12, pp. 903-921.
- [9] Mi, J.(1994), "Estimation Related to Mean Residual Life," *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, Vol. 4, pp. 179-190.
- [10] Yang, G.L.(1978), "Estimation of a Biometric Function," *The Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 6, pp. 112-116.