A Short Synthesis of a Chiral Alcohol as a New Chiral Auxiliary for Asymmetric Reactions

B. Moon Kim* and Jin Kyoon Park

Department of Chemistry and Center for Molecular Catalysis, College of Natural Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea Received April 10, 1999

Asymmetric synthesis has emerged as one of the most rapidly developing research areas in organic chemistry.1 Among various strategies involved in the asymmetric synthesis, use of chiral auxiliaries and chiral ligands has been extensively studied and a number of new auxiliaries and ligands have been successfully utilized for efficient asymmetric synthesis.2 Most of such chiral auxiliaries and ligands have been derived from chiral pools of naturally occurring compounds such as amino acids,^{2,3} terpenes,² and carbohydrates,^{2,4} Thus design of such auxiliaries and ligands have been largely dependent upon the availability of the chiral starting materials from natural sources. In this connection, we have initiated a research effort towards the development of novel chiral auxiliaries and ligands based upon highly efficient and reliable asymmetric transformations such as asymmetric dihydroxylation.5

Chiral alcohols have been utilized as ligands for various metals for a number of asymmetric reactions.⁶ These alcohols have been derived from carbohydrates, amino acids, and other sources. An ether alcohol 1 (Figure 1) possesses a C_1 symmetry, however, it can be used to mimic a C_2 symmetry? considering that the oxygen atom of the ether can bind to a metal together with the alcohol oxygen rendering the ether alcohol act as a bidentate-type ligand. This extra chelation could be a critical element for the design of chiral ligand and auxiliary. Herein we report a short and efficient synthesis of the optically pure ether alcohol 1.

A synthetic scheme for the chiral ether-alcohol 1 is outlined in Scheme 1. Wittig olefination of commercially available 2-formylbenzoic acid (2) with a triphenylphosphonium ylide prepared from methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide provided 2-vinylbenzoic acid (3) in 90% yield. Coupling of the acid 3 with iodobenzene under the Heck conditions⁸ furnished 2'-carboxystilbene (4) in excellent yields (>90%). Conventional esterification of 4 with MeI in the presence of





Figure 1. The chiral ether alcohol 1 and its Chem3D model.

NaH followed by the reduction of subsequent ester 5 with LAH gave alcohol 6 in a straightforward manner in almost quantitative yield. Conversion of the alcohol 6 to the corresponding chloride was accomplished in 88% yield by treatment of 6 with methanesulfonyl chloride in the presence of LiCl via the formation of a methanesulfonate intermediate. Oxidation of the resulting compound 7 under Sharpless' buffered catalytic asymmetric dihydroxylation conditions9 provided diol 8 in 85% yield. The absolute stereochemistry of the compound 8 was assumed from the predictable facial selectivity rule suggested by Sharpless et al.10 Finally closure to the ether ring 1 was successfully accomplished through the use of NaH in ether as shown in Scheme 1. The enantiomeric purity of the other alcohol 1 was determined to bc >99% from HPLC analysis using a chiral column (Chiralcel ODH, hexane;i-PrOH = 70 ; 30, 0.2 mL/min) as shown in Figure 2.

In conclusion, we have devised a short and efficient synthesis of the chiral alcohol 1 from 2-formylbenzoic acid. The synthesis involved the Heck coupling reaction and Sharpless' AD as key steps. The predictive stereochemical outcome of diols should allow for an efficient synthesis of the antipode of the reagent (*ent*-1) using essentially the same synthetic sequence but the choice of ligand alkaloids in the AD step. Investigation of various asymmetric transformations such as aldol and Diels-Alder reactions using this chiral alcohol is in progress and the results will be reported in due course.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of chiral alcohol 1.

Notes




Figure 2. Determination of enantiomeric purities through HPLC analyses of (a) (+, -)-1 and (b) (R,R)-1.

Experimental Section

Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under positive pressure of dry Nusing standard syringe technique. Solvents were dried according to normal procedure unless otherwise noted. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl immediately prior to use. Methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂) was distilled from calcium hydride immediately prior to use. Reagents were used directly from the commercial sources unless otherwise noted. TLC's were performed by silica gel 60 F254 precoated on aluminum sheet (E. Merck. Art.5554). Chromatogram was visualized by UVlamp (254 nm and 365 nm) and/or charring with ethanol/sulfuric acid/p-anisaldehyde/acetic acid (90:4:4:2) or phosphomolybdic acid or ninhydrin in ethanol or iodine. As one of the purification method, column chromatography was performed with silica gel 60 (E. Merck. Art. 7734, 70-230 mesh). Infrared spectra were obtained using Bruker IR-IFS 45 FT-IR and their peaks were assigned in cm $^{+}$. ¹H and ^{+3}C NMR-spectra were measured with Bruker AC-80 (80 MHz), Varian 200 (200 MHz) or AMX-300 (300 MHz). Proton $(\pm 0.1 \text{ ppm})$ chemical shifts were measured from internal TMS at probe temperature in CDCl₃ or corresponding deuterated solvents for neutral compounds.

Preparation of 2-vinylbenzoic acid (3). A 25 mL threenecked flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, a nitrogen inlet and a thermometer was charged with 1.60 g of sodium hydride (60% suspension in mineral oil. 39.9 mmol) in 17 mL of anhydrous THF at 0 °C. To the suspension was added 5.70 g (16.0 mmol) of PPh₃CH₃Br slowly. Then the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h and 2.00 g (13.3 mmol) of 2-formylbenzoic acid was added at once. After the mixture was stirred for 3 h at 40 °C, the reaction was quenched by addition of H₂O and the mixture extracted with Et₂O (30 mL×3). The aqueous phase was acidified (pH=2) and extracted with EtOAc (30 mL×3). Combined ethyl acetate layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to give 1.77 g (12.0 mmol, 90% yield) of the desired product. ¹H NMR (80 MHz) δ 8.1 (d. 1H, J = 9 Hz) 7.7-7.1 (m, 4H) 5.5 (dd. 1H, J = 1, 18 Hz) 5.2 (dd, 1H, J = 1, 12 Hz). R_f=0.8 (hexane : EtOAc = 1 : 4); EI Mass m/z 148 (M⁺): HRMS: observed 148.0528, calculated 148.0524.

Preparation of 2-(trans-2'-phenylethenyl)benzoic acid (4). A 50 mL two-necked round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser, was charged with palladium acetate (45 mg, 0.02 mmol), iodobenzene (2.00 g, 9.8 mmol). compound 3 (1.60 g, 10.8 mmol), and triethylamine (1.37 mL, 9.8 mmol) in 30 mL of acetonitrile. The reaction vessel was heated to reflux for 12 h. After cooling, it was diluted with 30 mL of water and K₂CO₃ powder was added to maintain pH=11. The mixture was extracted with Et_2O (60 mL×3). The aqueous phase was acidified to pH=2 with KHSO₄ and extracted with EtOAc (60 mL×3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine (60 mL×2), dried with anhyd MgSO₄, and evaporated. The resulting crude product was purified on a chromatographic column (silica gel. hexane : EtOAc=1 : 1 to 1 : 2) to give 1.99 g (8.9 mmol, 91% vield) of compound 4. $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane : EtOAc = 1 : 2, acetic acid 1-2 drops): IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 2970.5, 2815.2, 2639.7, 1679.6; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.2-7.9 (m, 10H): ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 127.39, 127.65, 127.72, 127.78, 127.95, 128.40, 129.15, 132.12, 132.12, 132.26, 133.61, 137.73, 140.63, 173.70, EI Mass m/z 224 (M⁺): HRMS: observed 224.0843, calculated 224.0837.

Preparation of methyl 2-(trans-2'-phenylethenyl)benzoate (5). To a suspension of sodium hydride (60% in mineral oil. 0.21 g. 5.2 mmol) in 20 mL of anhydrous DMF in 100 mL round-bottomed flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar was slowly added 0.97 g (4.3 mmol) of 4. To the mixture was then added 0.35 mL (5.6 mmol) of CH₃I. The mixture was warmed to 40 °C while stirring for 6 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of water and the mixture extracted with Et₂O (50 mL×3). The organic layer was washed with brine (30 mL×2), dried over anhyd MgSO₄, and evaporated to give 0.83 g (3.5 mmol. 81%) of the desired product after chromatographic purification on silica gel column (hexane : EtOAc = 10 : 1). $R_f = 0.6$ (hexane : EtOAc =3:1); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3066.3, 3026.6, 2995.5, 2951.3, 1721.3; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.92 (s, 3H), 7.01 (d, 1H, J = 16.2 Hz), 7.25-7.39 (m, 4H), 7.49-7.57 (m, 3H), 7.72 (d. 1H. J = 7.9 Hz), 7.93 (d. 1H, J = 7.9 Hz), 8.0 (d. 1H. J =16.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 52.56, 127.30. 127.41, 127.57, 127.85, 128.29, 128.91, 129.10, 131.11, 131.85, 132.61, 137.82, 139.67, 168.28; EI Mass m/z 238 (M⁻); HRMS: observed 238.0997, calculated 238.0994.

Preparation of 1-hydroxymethyl-2-(*trans*-2'-phenylethenyl)benzene (6). To a solution of 220 mg (0.92 mmol) of 5 in 6 mL THF was added 70 mg (1.84 mmol) of LiAlH₄. After 1 h the reaction was quenched by adding 70 μ L of H₂O, 70 μ L of 15% NaOH, and then 210 μ L of H₂O. The mixture was diluted with 10 mL EtOAc and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish 193 mg (0.91 mmol. 99% yield) of the desired product. $R_f = 0.35$ (hexane : EtOAc = 3 : 1); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3329.8, 3246.4, 3026.5, 2922.8, 2856.1, 1485.3, 1449.5; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.80 (br s, 1H), 4.81 (s, 2H), 7.05 (d, 1H, J = 16.2 Hz), 7.45 (d, 1H, J = 16.2 Hz), 7.23-7.42 (m, 7H), 7.53 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.66 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz); E1 Mass m/z 210 (M⁺): HRMS: observed 210.1043, calculated 210.1045.

Preparation of 1-chloromethyl-2-(trans-2'-phenylethenyl)benzene (7). To a solution of 35 mg (0.17 mmol) of 6 in 1 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 0 °C were added 24 µL (0.20 mmol) of methanesulfonvl chloride, 36 µL (0.26 mmol) of Et₃N and 8 mg (0.20 mmol) of LiCl. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. It was diluted with 2 mL of H₂O and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (2 $mL\times3$). Combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 mL), dried over anhyd MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product was purified on a chromatographic column (silica gel, hexane) to give 34 mg (0.15 mmol. 88%) of the desired product. $R_f = 0.3$ (hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.73 (s. 2) H), 7.09 (d, 1H, J = 16.1 Hz), 7.25-7.42 (m, 6H), 7.48 (d, 1 H. J = 16.1 Hz), 7.55-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.68 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz. CDCl₃) δ 45.05, 125.24, 126.74, 127.21. 128.28. 128.45, 129.18. 129.67. 130.75, 132.20, 134.96, 137.41, 137.59; El Mass m/z 228 (M): HRMS observed 228.0709, calculated 228.0706.

Preparation of (1R,2R)-1,2-dihydroxy-1-(2'-chloromethyl)phenyl-2-phenylethane (8). To a solution of 95 mg (0.42 mmol) of 7 in 2 mL of tert-butyl alcohol and 2 mL of water, were added 415 mg (1.26 mmol) of K₃Fe(CN)₆, 174 mg (1.26 mmol) of K_2CO_3 . 10 mg (1.26×10⁴ mmol) of $(DHQD)_2PHAL$ and 1.6 mg $(6.30 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mmol})$ of OsO₄. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at 0 °C. To this solution was added 230 mg of Na₂SO₃, and stirring was continued for additional several hours. The pale blue solution obtained was diluted with 5 mL of H2O. And the residue was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL \times 3). The combined extracts were dried over anhyd MgSO₄ and the filtrate evaporated. The crude product was purified on a silica gel column chromatography. (Hexane : EtOAc = 5 : 1) to provide 96 mg (0.36 mmol. 85% yield) of the desired product. $R_f = 0.2$ (hexane : EtOAc = 5 : 1); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +2.30 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); IR (KBr. cm⁻¹) 3461.5, 3374.6, 3062.0, 3028.6, 2937.1, 2889.9; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.98 (d. 1H, J = 11.7 Hz), 4.05 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz), 4.28 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.99 (d, 1 H. J = 7.8 Hz). 7.05-7.08 (m. 2H). 7.16-7.20 (m. 5H). 7.25 (t, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.48 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 43.72, 75.58, 78.87, 127.15, 128.45, 128.56, 128.71, 129.34, 130.48, 135.80, 139.09, 139.83; CI Mass m/ z 245 (M+1-H₂O)⁻.

Preparation of (2*R***)-(1'***R***-hydroxyphenylmethyl)dihydrobenzo[***c***]furan (1). To a solution of 54 mg (0.21 mmol) of 8 in 1 mL of Et₂O at 0 °C was added 20 mg (0.50 mmol) of NaH (60% suspension in mineral oil) at room temperature. After 1 h, the reaction was quenched with water and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (1 mL×3) and** washed with brine (2 mL). Combined organic layers were dried over anhyd MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Compound 1 was obtained in a quantitative yield. $R_f = 0.5$ (hexane : EtOAc = 5 : 1): $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 9.25$ (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3430.0, 3037.8, 2933.0, 2868.2, 1458.4; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.20-3.10 (br s. 1H). 4.66 (d, 1H. *J* = 6.8 Hz), 5.05 (d, 1H. *J* = 12.8 Hz). 5.18 (dd, 1H. *J* = 2.5, 12.8 Hz). 5.33 (d. 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz). 6.46 (d. 1H, *J* = 7.5 Hz): ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 73.14, 77.36. 88.45, 121.30, 123.16, 127.37, 128.20, 128.41, 128.75, 128.78, 137.97, 139.64, 140.11: C1 Mass m/z 209 (M+1-H₂O)¹.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank the Ministry of Education. Republic of Korea (BSRI) and Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (97-0501-0201-3) for generous financial supports.

References and Notes

- (a) Ager, D. J.; East, M. B. Asymmetric Synthetic Methodology, CRC Press: New York, 1996. (b) Nogradi, M. Stereoselective Synthesis: VCH: Weinheim, 1995. (c) Aitken, R. A., Kilenyi, S. N., Eds.: Asymmetric Synthesis: Chapman & Hall: Cambridge, 1992. (d) Proeter, G. Asymmetric Synthesis: Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1996. (e) Ojima, I., Ed.: Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis; VCH: New York, 1993. (f) Noyori, R. Asymmetric Catalysis in Organic Synthesis: Wiley: New York, 1994. (g) Atkinson, R. S. Stereoselective Synthesis: Wiley: New York, 1995. (h) Sheldon, R. A. Chirotechnology, Marcel Dekker Inc.: New York, 1993.
- Seyden-Penne, J. Chiral Auxiliaries and Ligands in Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1995.
- Coppola, G. M.; Schuster, H. F. Asymmetric Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1987.
- (a) Bols, M. Carbohydrate Building Blocks; Wiley: New York, 1996. (b) Coppola. G. M.: Schuster, H. F. α-Hydroxy Acids in Enantioselective Synthesis; Wiley: New York, 1998.
- Kolb, H. C.; Van Nieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2482.
- (a) Devant, R.: Mahler, U.: Braun, M. Chem. Ber. 1988. 121, 397. (b) Ishihara, K.: Nakamura, S.: Kanceda, M.: Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12854. (c) Yamada, Y. M. A.: Shibasaki, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998. 39, 5561. (d) Schmidt, B.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 99.
- C₂ symmetry has long been utilized as an excellent control element for the design principle of chiral reagents. For a review of C₂-symmetry used in chiral ligand and auxiliary design, see: Whitesell, J. K. Chem. Rev. **1989**, *89*, 1581.
- 8. Heek, R. F. Org. React. 1982, 27, 345.
- Vanhessehe, K. P. M.: Wang, Z. M.: Sharpless, K. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 3469.
- (a) Kolb, H. C.; Anderson, P. G.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994. 116, 1278. (b) Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Beller, M.; Chen, H.; Hartung, J.; Kawanami, Y.; Lubben, D.; Manoury, E.; Ogino, Y.; Shibata, T.; Ukita, T.J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4585.