

## Antioxidative Constituents from the Seeds of *Cuscuta chinensis*

Yong Soo Kwon, Bok Sim Chang and Chang Min Kim\*

College of Pharmacy, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon 200-701, Korea

**Abstract** – MeOH extract of *Cuscuta chinensis* seeds was fractionated with *n*-hexane, EtOAc and BuOH successively, and antioxidant activities were tested for all fractions using DPPH free radical scavenging method. In the tested fractions, EtOAc fraction showed high antioxidant activity (EC<sub>50</sub>, 50 µg). From the EtOAc fraction, five compounds have been isolated. On the basis of spectral data, these compounds were identified as β-sitosterol, methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxycinnamate, β-sitosterol-3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside, caffeic acid, quercetin, kaempferol and calycoperetin. Among these compounds, β-sitosterol and β-sitosterol-3-*O*-β-D-glucopyranoside showed no antioxidant activity. EC<sub>50</sub> values of methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxycinnamate, caffeic acid, quercetin, kaempferol and calycoperetin were 0.6, 8, 19, 17 and 12 µg, respectively.

**Key words** – *Cuscuta chinensis*; Convolvulaceae; sitosterols; phenyl propanoids; methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxycinnamate; flavonoids; calycoperetin; antioxidants; DPPH free radical scavenging method

### Introduction

*Cuscuta chinensis* has been used traditional chinese medicine as a tonic (Kim *et al.*, 1997). Studies on the chemical constituents of this plant have been carried out by some investigators, and various constituents have been found (Yahara, *et al.*, 1994; Du, *et al.*, 1998; Jin, *et al.*, 1992).

In the course of a screening program to evaluate antioxidative constituents from medicinal plants, we found that EtOAc soluble fraction from the seeds of *C. chinensis* exhibited a potent antioxidant activity in DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) free radical scavenging assay (Cho *et al.*, 1998). Repeated column chromatography of the EtOAc soluble fraction led to the isolation of seven compounds.

This paper deals with the structure elucidation of these compounds and their antioxidant activities.

### Experimental

**Plant materials** – DPPH, α-tocopherol and BHA (butylated hydroxyanisole) were of reagent grade, purchased from Sigma. The seeds of *C. chinensis* were purchased from Kyungdong market in March, 1999. The voucher specimen has been deposited in the herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, Kangwon National University.

**Reagents and Instruments** – For column packing materials Kieselgel 60(70-230 mesh ASTM, Merck, Art 7734), Kieselgel 63(230-400 mesh ASTM, Merck, Art 9385), and ODS(70-230 mesh, ODS-A, YMC-gel) were used. For TLC, Kieselgel 60F<sub>254</sub>(precoated, Merck, Art 5715) was employed.

The melting points were taken on a Fisher/Johns melting point apparatus (uncorrected). UV absorption spectra were measured by Hitachi U-2000 UV-VIS spectrophotometer. FT-IR spectra were taken on Bio-Rad FTS-7 spectrophotometer using KBr disc method. FAB-MS was taken with a Micromass units. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR were recorded with a Varian Gemini 200.

**Extraction, fractionation and isolation** – The air dried seeds of *C. chinensis* (3 kg), were extracted repeatedly 3 times refluxing with MeOH for 4 hours. The total filtrate was concentrated to dryness *in vacuo* at 40°C to obtain MeOH extract (330 g) which was partitioned with *n*-hexane (32 g), EtOAc (6 g) and BuOH (28 g), successively.

The EtOAc soluble fraction (6 g) was subjected to stepwise silica gel column chromatography by eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (9:1) → MeOH (100) to obtain 6 subfractions. Subsequently, the subfraction 1 was further chromatographed on silica gel eluting with benzene:EtOAc (4:1) to obtain compounds I (197 mg) and II (255 mg). The subfractions 3 and 4 were further chromatographed on silica gel and ODS column eluting with CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH (9:1) and MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (50:50) to obtain compound IV (34

\*Author for correspondence.

mg), compound V (17 mg), compound VI (111 mg) and compound VII (34 mg). The subfraction 6 was further chromatographed on ODS eluting with MeOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (40:60) to obtain compound III (127 mg).

**Measurement of antioxidant activity** – A 4 ml of MeOH solution of test extracts at various concentrations (2.5–120 µg/ml) was added to a solution of DPPH (1.5×10<sup>-4</sup>M) in MeOH (1 ml), and the reaction mixture was shaken vigorously. After storage at room temperature for 30 min. in air, remaining DPPH determined by spectrophotometry at 517 nm, and the radical scavenging activity of each sample was expressed by the ratio of lowering of the absorption of DPPH (%), relative to the absorption (100%) of DPPH solution in the absence of test sample (control). The mean values were obtained from triplicate experiments.

**Compound I** – mp 137–139; IR,  $\nu_{\max}$ (KBr) 3400 (-OH), 1540, 1450(C=C) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR(200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.68(3H, s, 18-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.01(3H, s, 19-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.52(1H, m, H-3), 5.36(1H, br s, H-6); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 140.77(C-5), 121.7(C-6), 71.82(C-3), 56.78(C-14), 56.07(C-17), 50.15(C-9), 45.85(C-24), 42.34(C-4), 42.31(C-13), 39.79(C-12), 37.27(C-1), 36.52(C-10), 36.16(C-20), 33.96(C-22), 31.92(C-2), 31.67(C-7), 31.67(C-8), 29.16(C-25), 28.26(C-16), 26.09(C-23), 24.32(C-15), 23.08(C-28), 21.10(C-11), 19.83(C-26), 19.41(C-19), 19.05(C-27), 18.79(C-21), 11.87(C-18), 12.00(C-29)

**Compound II** – mp 84–85(lit. 91–92, Fujita *et al.*, 1984); IR,  $\nu_{\max}$ (KBr) 3400(-OH), 1720(C=O), 1540, 1450(C=C)cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV:  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH), 252, 369 nm; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.61(1H, d, *J*=15.8 Hz, H-7), 6.77(2H, s, H-2 and H-6), 6.31(1H, d, *J*=15.8 Hz, H-8), 3.92(6H, s, -OCH<sub>3</sub>×2), 3.80(3H, s, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 167.07(C=O), 147.31(C-3 and C-5), 145.29(C-7), 137.20(C-4), 125.97(C-1), 115.64(C-8), 105.09(C-2 and C-6), 56.40(OCH<sub>3</sub>×2), 51.75(COOCH<sub>3</sub>)

**Compound III** – mp 283–284°C; IR,  $\nu_{\max}$ (KBr) 3400 (OH), 2958(CH<sub>2</sub>), 1271(C-O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>)  $\delta$ : 4.98(1H, d, *J*=7.4Hz, Glc anomeric H), 0.90(3H, d, *J*=6 Hz, H-21), 0.85(3H, s, H-19), 0.79(3H, d, *J*=6.4 Hz, H-26), 0.78(6H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz, H-27 and H-28), 0.57(3H, s, H-18); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR(50 MHz, pyridine-*d*<sub>5</sub>)  $\delta$ : 140.83(C-5), 121.84(C-6), 102.46 (C-1'), 78.48(C-5') 78.36(C-3'), 78.01(C-3), 75.21(C-2'), 71.56(C-4'), 62.69(C-6'), 56.71(C-14), 56.12(C-17), 50.21(C-9), 45.90(C-24), 42.34(C-13), 39.81(C-4), 39.20(C-12) 37.34(C-1), 36.79(C-

10), 36.26(C-20), 34.07(C-22) 32.04(C-7), 31.92(C-8), 29.83(C-2), 29.31(C-25), 28.40(C-16), 26.23(C-23), 24.37(C-15), 23.24(C-28) 21.14(C-11), 19.85(C-27), 19.28(C-19), 19.06(C-26), 18.87(C-21), 12.02(C-29), 11.83 (C-18); positive FAB-MS, *m/z* 599 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**Compound IV** – mp 223–224°C; UV,  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) 213, 253, 369 nm; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.42(1H, d, *J*=15.8 Hz, H-7), 7.03(1H, d, *J*=1.6 Hz, H-2), 6.98(1H, dd, *J*=1.6, 8.2 Hz, H-6), 6.76(1H, d, *J*=8.2 Hz, H-5), 6.18(1H, d, *J*=15.8 Hz, H-8); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.68(C=O), 148.92(C-4), 146.34(C-7), 145.34(C-3), 126.48(C-1), 121.89(C-6), 116.52(C-5), 115.91(C-2), 115.34(C-8).

**Compound V** – mp >133–134°C; UV,  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) 259, 379 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOH) 274, 420 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOAc) 274, 431 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>) 230, 440 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>+HCl) 230, 430 nm; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR(200 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.68(1H, d, *J*=2.2 Hz, H-2'), 7.57(1H, dd, *J*=8.6, 2.2 Hz, H-6'), 6.89(1H, d, *J*=8.6 Hz, H-5'), 6.41(1H, d, *J*=1.6 Hz, H-6), 6.19(1H, d, *J*=1.6 Hz, H-8); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 176.60(C-4), 164.61(C-7), 161.48(C-5), 156.89(C-9), 148.40(C-4'), 147.53(C-2), 145.77(C-3'), 136.49(C-3), 122.69(C-1'), 120.73(C-6'), 116.34(C-5'), 115.76(C-2'), 103.75(C-10), 98.89(C-6), 94.08(C-8).

**Compound VI** – mp >300°C; UV,  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) 266, 324, 362.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOH) 275, 319, 401.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOAc) 272.5, 305.5, 379.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOAc+H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) 267, 364 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>) 256, 285, 345, 420.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>+HCl) 256, 286, 347, 420.5 nm; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.18(2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, H-2'and H-6'), 7.04(2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, H-3' and H-5'), 6.55(1H, d, *J*=2.2Hz, H-6), 6.29(1H, d, *J*=2.2 Hz, H-8); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 174.97(C-4), 163.31(C-7), 160.69(C-5), 158.52(C-4'), 156.13(C-5), 145.37(C-2), 135.02(C-3), 128.84(C-2' and C-6'), 121.69(C-1'), 114.67(C-3' and C-5'), 102.51(C-10), 97.49(C-6), 92.84(C-8).

**Compound VII** – mp 276–278°C; UV,  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH) 248, 281, 363.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOH) 261, 290, 404.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOAc) 255.5, 282.5, 379.5 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+NaOAc+H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) 257, 282.5, 365 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>) 267, 343, 422 nm;  $\lambda_{\max}$ (MeOH+AlCl<sub>3</sub>+HCl) 261, 345.5, 422.5 nm; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.95(2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, H-2' and H-6'), 6.95(2H, d, *J*=8.8 Hz, H-3' and H-5'); <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, Acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub>): 174.97(C-4), 165.95

(C-7), 163.30(C-5), 160.96(C-4'), 158.52(C-5), 145.84 (C-2), 135.06(C-3), 132.51(C-6), 131.11(C-2' and C-6'), 127.00(C-8), 120.97(C-1'), 114.32(C-3' and C-5'), 102.51(C-10).

## Results and Discussion

Repeated column chromatography of the EtOAc soluble fractions from the seeds of *C. chinensis* led to the isolation of seven compounds.

Compounds I, III, IV, V and VI were identified as  $\beta$ -sitosterol (Kim and Kang, 1986),  $\beta$ -sitosterol-3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (Iribarren and Pomolio, 1983), caffeic acid (Kim *et al.*, 1999), quercetin (Wenkert and Gottlieb, 1977), kaempferol (Okuyama *et al.*, 1978) and calycoperetin (Fang *et al.*, 1986), respectively, by comparison of their physical and spectroscopic data in the literature (IR, UV, FAB-MS,  $^1\text{H}$ - and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR).

Compound II displayed hydroxyl ( $3400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), ester ( $1720\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ), aromatic ( $1540, 1450\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) absorptions in its IR spectrum. Its  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR spectrum showed signals due to two aromatic protons at 6.77 (2H, s), two olefinic protons at 7.61(1H, d,  $J=15.8\text{ Hz}$ ), 6.31 (1H, d,  $J=15.8\text{ Hz}$ ), and three methoxyl groups at 3.92(6H, s) and 3.80(3H, s). This means that compound II should be a cinnamic acid derivative. In the  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum (Table 1), twelve carbon signals observed due to three oxygenated carbons, one quaternary carbon, two carbons bearing an aromatic proton and one carbonyl carbon along with three methoxyl groups. From the evidence of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum, compound II was deduced to be a

**Table 1.**  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR chemical shifts of compound II and related compounds

Carbon No.	Compound II <sup>a)</sup>	3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamic acid <sup>b)</sup>	methyl 3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamate <sup>b)</sup>
1	125.97	130.2	129.7
2	105.09	106.0	105.9
3	147.31	153.4	153.2
4	137.20	139.8	139.7
5	147.31	153.4	153.2
6	105.09	106.0	105.9
7	145.29	144.5	144.8
8	115.64	118.7	117.0
C=O	167.07	168.2	166.9
OMe	56.40	56.1, 60.4	56.0, 60.1
COOMe	51.75		51.3

<sup>a)</sup>50 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$

<sup>b)</sup>25.05 MHz,  $\text{DMSO-d}_6$  (Fujita *et al.*, 1984)

**Table 2.** DPPH free radical scavenging activities of n-hexane fraction, EtOAc fraction, BuOH fraction,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, methyl 4-hydroxy-3, 5-dimethoxycinnamate,  $\beta$ -sitosterol-3-*O*- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, caffeic acid, quercetin, kaempferol and calycoperetin from the seeds of *Cuscuta chinensis*

Test samples	$\text{EC}_{50}$ ( $\mu\text{g}$ ) <sup>a</sup>
MeOH ex. of the seeds of	
<i>Cuscuta chinensis</i>	170
n-hexane fraction	150
EtOAc fraction	50
BuOH fraction	100
$\beta$ -sitosterol	—
methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-cinnamate	0.6
$\beta$ -sitosterol-3- <i>O</i> - $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside	—
caffeic acid	8
quercetin	19
kaempferol	17
calycoperetin	12
BHA	14
$\alpha$ -tocopherol	12

\* '—' means that substance has no activity.

<sup>a)</sup>The values indicate of 50% decrease of DPPH radical and are means of triplicate data.

3,4,5-trisubstituted cinnamic acid derivative. A singlet of  $^1\text{H}$ -NMR spectrum at  $\delta 3.80$  and a signal of  $^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR spectrum at  $\delta 51.75$  suggested that compound II has a methyl ester. Therefore, compound II was identified as methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxycinnamate.

All of the isolated compounds were examined the antioxidant activities using DPPH scavenging activity (Table 2). In the tested samples, methyl 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxycinnamate was showed significantly high antioxidant activity ( $\text{EC}_{50}$ , 0.6  $\mu\text{g}$ ) compared with BHA ( $\text{EC}_{50}$ , 14  $\mu\text{g}$ ) and  $\alpha$ -tocopherol ( $\text{EC}_{50}$ , 12  $\mu\text{g}$ ).

## References

- Cho, I.W., Noh, J.H., Kim, K.O., Hwang, M.H., Kwon, Y.S., Kim, M.J. and Kim, C.M., The 47th Annual Convention of the Pharmaceutical Society of Korea. p. 412 (1998).
- Choi, J.S., Lee, J.H., Park, H.J., Kim, H.G., Young, H.S. and Mun, S.I., Screening for antioxidant activity of plants and marine algae and its active principles from *Prunus davidiana*. *Kor. J. Pharmacogn.* **24**, 299-303 (1993).
- Du, X.M., Kohinata, K., Kawasaki, T., Guo, Y.T. and Miyahara, K., Components of the ether-insoluble resin glycoside like fraction from *Cuscuta chinensis*. *Phytochemistry* **48**, 843-850 (1998).
- Fang, N., Leidig, M. and Mabry, J.T., Fifty-one flavonoids from *Gutierrezia microcephala*. *Phytochem-*

- istry*, **25**, 927-934 (1986).
- Fujita, M., Yamada, M., Nakajima, S., Kawai, K.I. and Nagai, M., *O*-Methylation effect on the carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance signals of ortho-disubstituted phenols and its application to structure determination of new phthalides from *Aspergillus silvaticus*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **32**, 2622-2672 (1984).
- Iribarren, A.M. and Pomilio, A.B. Components of *Bauhinia candicans*. *J. Nat. Prod.* **46**, 752-753 (1983).
- Jin, X., Li, J. and Yan, M., Flavonoids in the seed of *Cuscuta chinensis*, *Chung Kuo Chung Hsi I Chieh Ho Tsa Chih*, **13**, 675(1992); CA(1992), **117**: 128168n.
- Kim, C.M. and Kang, S.S., Studies on the constituents of the stems of *Echinosophora koreesis*. *Yakhak Hoeji*, **30**, 139-142(1986).
- Kim, C.M., Sin, M.K., Ahn, D.K. and Lee, K.S., The Dictionary of Chinese Medicines, Jungdam, Seoul, p. 5713 (1997).
- Kim, S.Y., Kwon, Y.S. and Kim, C.M., Chemical constituents from *Dipsacus asper*. *Kor. J. Pharmacogn.* **30**, 420-422 (1999).
- Okuyama, T., Hosoyama, K., Hiragam Y., Kurono, G. and Takemoto, T., The constituents of *Osmunda* spp.. A new flavonol glycoside of *Osmunda asiatica*. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **26**, 3071-3074 (1978).
- Wenkert, E. and Gottlieb, H.E., Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of flavonoid and isoflavonoid compounds. *Phytochemistry*, **16**, 1811-1816 (1977).
- Yahara, S., Domoto, H., Sugimura, C., Nohara, T., Niiho, Y., Nakajima, Y. and Ito, H., An alkaloid and two lignans from *Cuscuta chinensis*. *Phytochemistry* **37**, 1755-1757(1994).

(Accepted September 8, 2000)