

## CONDITIONS IMPLYING NORMALITY

AN-HYUN KIM

**ABSTRACT** In this paper we find some classes of operators implying normality. The main result is as follows: If  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenvalues, which is a class including hyponormal operators, and if  $\sigma(T)$  is countable then  $T$  is diagonal and normal.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. Let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the set of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , write  $N(T)$  and  $R(T)$  for the null space and range of  $T$ ;  $\sigma(T)$  for the spectrum of  $T$ ;  $\pi_0(T)$  for the set of eigenvalues of  $T$ . Recall ([6],[9]) that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *regular* if there is an operator  $T' \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for which  $T = TT'T$ . It is familiar that if  $T$  is regular then  $T$  has closed range and that its converse is also true in the Hilbert space setting. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *Fredholm* if it has closed range  $R(T)$  with finite dimensional null space and with its range of finite co-dimension. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *Browder* if  $T$  is Fredholm and  $T - \lambda I$  is invertible for sufficiently small  $\lambda \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  ([6]). The *index* of a Fredholm operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$\text{ind}(T) = \dim N(T) - \dim \mathcal{H}/R(T).$$

---

Received November 25, 1999. Revised April 10, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A10, 47A53.

Key words and phrases: Fredholm, normal, restriction-convexoid, reduction- $(G_1)$  reguloid operators.

The *essential* spectrum  $\sigma_e(T)$  and the *Browder* spectrum  $\sigma_b(T)$  of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are defined by ([1],[2],[3],[7])

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_e(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Fredholm}\}, \\ \sigma_b(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Browder}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Evidently([6],[7],[10])

$$\sigma_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T) = \sigma_e(T) \cup \text{acc}\sigma(T),$$

where we write  $\text{acc}\mathbf{K}$  for the accumulation points of  $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . If we write

$$p_{00}(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_b(T)$$

for the *Riesz point* of  $T$  ([6],[9]), then

$$\text{iso}\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_e(T) = p_{00}(T).$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , write  $r(T)$  for the spectral radius of  $T$ . It is familiar that  $r(T) \leq \|T\|$ ;  $\lambda \in \pi_0(T)$  is called *normal* if also  $\bar{\lambda} \in \pi_0(T^*)$  and the corresponding eigenspaces are equal, i.e.,  $N(T - \lambda I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda} I)$ . Such a subspaces reduces  $T$ , and the restriction of  $T$  is trivially normal([3]). An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *normaloid* if  $r(T) = \|T\|$  and *isoloid* if  $\text{iso}\sigma(T) \subseteq \pi_0(T)$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to satisfy condition  $(G_1)$  if  $(T - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is normaloid for all  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , write  $W(T)$  for the numerical range of  $T$ . It is also familiar that  $W(T)$  is convex and  $\text{conv}\sigma(T) \subseteq \text{cl}W(T)$ . An operator  $T$  is *convexoid* if  $\text{conv}\sigma(T) = \text{cl}W(T)$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is called *reguloid* ([7]) if  $T - \lambda I$  is regular for each  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$  and will be called *closoid* if  $R(T - \lambda I)$  is closed for each  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$ . Let  $P$  be a property of operators. We say that an operator  $T$  is *restriction- $P$*  if the restriction of  $T$  to every invariant subspaces has property  $P$  and that  $T$  is *reduction- $P$*  if every direct summand of  $T$  has property  $P$ . Evidently, *restriction- $P$*   $\Rightarrow$  *reduction- $P$* . It is well known that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  then we have([2],[3]):

$$\begin{aligned}(G_1) &\Rightarrow \text{convexoid and isoloid;} \\ \text{seminormal} &\Rightarrow \text{reduction-}(G_1) \Rightarrow \text{reduction-isoloid;} \\ \text{hyponormal} &\Rightarrow \text{restriction-convexoid.}\end{aligned}$$

REMARK. The restriction of an operator with  $(G_1)$ -property fails to have the  $(G_1)$ -property on the invariant subspace: for example, let  $T_1$  be an operator on  $l_2$  with the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is nilpotent. Let  $T_2$  be the bounded operator defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  with a countable basis  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  by  $T_2 e_i = \alpha_i e_i$  and where  $\alpha_i$  are such that  $\min_i |\lambda - \alpha_i| < |\lambda|^2$  for all  $\lambda, |\lambda| < 1$ . Then the operator  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  has the  $(G_1)$ -property and clearly nonnormal. Note that seminormal operators are reduction-convexoid, but they may not be restriction-convexoid. for example consider the backward shift  $U^*$  on  $l_2$ , where  $U$  is the unilateral shift (cf [3]). Thus the replacement of "reduction-" by "restriction-" is very stringent. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  and we define  $P_F$  through Riesz functional calculus

$$P_F = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where  $F$  is that isolated part of  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\gamma$  is a Cauchy contour containing  $F$ . then  $P_F$  is a projection and we can decompose  $T$  into  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  such that  $\sigma(T_1) = F$  and  $\sigma(T_2) = \sigma(T) \setminus F$ . which is called the *spectral projection* ([6])

## 2. Conditions implying normality

We begin with:

LEMMA 2.1 *If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is restriction-convexoid then  $T$  is isoloid.*

PROOF. Let  $\lambda_0$  be an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  and let  $D_\epsilon$  be a circle with the center at  $\lambda_0$  and radius  $\epsilon$  so that  $\{z \cdot |\lambda - \lambda_0| \leq \epsilon\} \cap \sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}$ . We define now the projection  $P_{\lambda_0}$  (the spectral projection at  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ) by the formula

$$P_{\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{D_\epsilon} (\lambda - T)^{-1} d\lambda$$

Then  $P_{\lambda_0} \mathcal{H}$  is an invariant subspace of  $T$ . Since the spectrum of the restriction of  $T$  to this subspace is  $\{\lambda_0\}$  and the restriction is convexoid  $\text{conv} \sigma(T) = \text{cl} W(T) = \{\lambda_0\}$ ; thus it is of the form  $\lambda_0 I|_{P_{\lambda_0}}$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_0 \in \pi_0(T)$ . This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  then*

(2.2.1)

*$T$  satisfies  $(G_1) \implies T$  is reguloid  $\implies T$  is closoid  $\implies T$  is isoloid.*

and

(2.2.2) *restriction-convexoid  $\implies$  restriction-reguloid.*

PROOF. The first implication of (2.2.1) is known from [8, Theorem 14]. For the second implication, suppose  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is reguloid and  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . Then  $T - \lambda I$  is regular; thus  $R(T - \lambda I)$  is complemented; thus it is closed. For the third implication of (2.2.1), suppose  $T$  is closoid and  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . Then we claim that  $\lambda \in \pi_0(T)$ . Assume to the contrary that  $T - \lambda I$  is one-one. Then since by assumption  $T - \lambda I$  is left invertible (cf. [6. (3.8.3.12)]), so that  $\lambda$  cannot lie on the boundary of  $\sigma(T)$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$ . For (2.2.2), suppose  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and  $\mathfrak{M}$  is an invariant subspace of  $T$ . Write  $S := T|_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Then  $S$  is also restriction-convexoid. Suppose  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(S)$ . Observe that if  $T$  is convexoid then so is  $aT + bI$  for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus we may write  $S$  in place of  $S - \lambda I$  and assume  $\lambda = 0$ . Using the spectral projection at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}$  we can write  $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\sigma(S_1) = \{0\}$  and  $\sigma(S_2) = \sigma(S) \setminus \{0\}$ . Since by assumption,  $S_1$  is convexoid it follows that  $W(S_1) = \text{conv } \sigma(S_1) = \{0\}$ , and hence  $S_1 = 0$ . Thus we have

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2 \end{pmatrix} = SS'S \quad \text{with} \quad S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & S_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

which says that  $S$  is regular, and therefore  $T$  is restriction-reguloid (thus we recapture Lemma 2.1).

In 1970, S.Berberian raised the following question: if  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and  $\sigma(T)$  is countable, is  $T$  normal? We now give a partial answer.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenvalues and  $\sigma(T)$  is countable then  $T$  is diagonal and normal*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenvalues and  $\sigma(T)$  is countable. Let  $\delta$  be the set of all normal eigenvalues of  $T$ , i.e .

$$\delta = \{\lambda \in \pi_0(T) : N(T - \lambda I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)\}$$

We first claim that  $\delta \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\sigma(T)$  is countable there exists a point  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$ , so that  $\lambda \in \pi_0(T)$  because by Lemma 2.2 (in general, restriction- $P \Rightarrow P$ ).  $T$  is isoloid. Using the spectral projection at  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  we can represent  $T$  as the direct sum

$$T = R \oplus S, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma(R) = \pi_0(R) = \{\lambda\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(S) = \sigma(T) \setminus \{\lambda\}$$

Since by assumption  $R$  is convexoid we have that  $W(R) = \text{conv}\{\lambda\} = \{\lambda\}$  and thus  $\lambda \in \pi_0(R) \cap \partial W(R)$ . Then an argument of Bouldin [4, Lemma 1] shows that  $\lambda$  is a normal eigenvalue of  $R$ . Since  $T$  is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenvalues, we can write  $T^* = R^* \oplus S^*$ . But since  $S^* - \bar{\lambda}I$  is invertible, it follows

$$N(T - \lambda I) = N(R - \lambda I) = N(R^* - \bar{\lambda}I) = N(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)$$

which implies that  $\delta \neq \emptyset$ . Now if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the closed linear span of the eigenspaces  $N(T - \lambda I) (\lambda \in \delta)$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}$  reduces  $T$ . Write

$$T_1 := T|_{\mathfrak{M}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 := T|_{\mathfrak{M}^\perp}.$$

Then we have ([2], [9])

- (i)  $T_1$  is normal and diagonal;
- (ii)  $\pi_0(T_1) = \delta$ ;
- (iii)  $\sigma(T_1) = \text{cl } \delta$ ;
- (iv)  $\pi_0(T_2) = \pi_0(T) \setminus \delta$ .

Thus it will suffice to show that  $\mathfrak{M}^\perp = \{0\}$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\mathfrak{M}^\perp \neq \{0\}$ . Then since  $\sigma(T_2)$  is also countable there exists a point  $\mu \in \text{iso}\sigma(T_2)$ . Since by assumption  $T_2$  is restriction-convexoid and hence by Lemma 2.2 isoloid, it follows that  $\mu \in \pi_0(T_2)$  and  $\mu \notin \delta$ . Again using the spectral projection at  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  we can decompose  $T_2$  as the direct sum

$$T_2 = T_3 \oplus T_4,$$

where  $\sigma(T_3) = \pi_0(T_3) = \{\mu\}$  and  $\sigma(T_4) = \sigma(T_2) \setminus \{\mu\}$ . Since again  $T_3$  is convexoid, the same argument as the above gives that  $\mu$  is an isolated normal eigenvalue of  $T_3$  and further by assumption  $T_2^* = T_3^* \oplus T_4^*$ . But since  $T_1 - \mu I$  and  $T_4 - \mu I$  are both one-one we have

$$N(T - \mu I) = N(T_3 - \mu I) = N(T_3^* - \bar{\mu} I)$$

Further since  $\pi_0(T_1^*) = \bar{\delta}$  and  $\bar{\mu} \notin \sigma(T_4^*)$ , it follows that  $N(T^* - \bar{\mu} I) = N(T_3^* - \bar{\mu} I)$ , and therefore  $N(T - \mu I) = N(T^* - \bar{\mu} I) = N(T_3^* - \bar{\mu} I)$ , which implies that  $\mu \in \delta$ , giving a contradiction. This completes the proof.

We have been unable to answer if restriction-convexoid operators are reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenvalues. If the answer were affirmative then we would answer Berberian question affirmatively.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *If  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenspaces and  $\sigma_e(T) = \{0\}$  then  $T$  is compact and normal.*

**PROOF.** Since  $\sigma_e(T) = \{0\}$  and  $\sigma(T) \subset \{0\} \cup p_{00}(T)$ ,  $\sigma(T)$  is countable. By Theorem 2.3,  $T$  is normal. By the argument of Theorem 2.3,  $T = T_1 \oplus \{0\}$ ; thus  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_1) \cup \sigma\{0\} = \pi_0(T)$ , thus  $\pi_0(T) \setminus \{0\} = \text{iso}\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_e(T) = p_{00}(T)$ . Therefore the nonzero eigenvalues are Riesz points, so that they are either finite or form a null sequence, which implies  $T$  is compact.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *If  $T$  is restriction-convexoid and is reduced by each of its eigenspaces corresponding to isolated eigenspaces and all but a finite number of elements of  $\sigma(T)$  are real, then  $T$  is normal.*

**PROOF.** We can decompose  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  as in Theorem 2.3, where  $T_1$  is normal. Since  $\sigma(T_2)$  is real and  $T_2$  is convexoid by hypotheses,  $T_2$  is hermitian; thus  $T$  is normal.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.K Berberian, *An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessarily normal operators*, Michigan Math J **16** (1969), 273-279
- [2] S.K Berberian, *The Weyl spectrum of an operator*, Indiana Univ Math. J **20** (1970), 529-544
- [3] S.K Berberian, *Some conditions on an operator implying normality* Math. Ann **184** (1970), 188-192
- [4] R. Boudin, *Numerical range for certain classes of operators*, Proc Amer Math Soc **34** (1972), 203-206
- [5] R.E Harte, *Fredholm, Weyl and Browder theory*, Proc. Royal Irish Acad **85A(2)** (1985), 151-176
- [6] R.E Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, Dekker, New York, 1988
- [7] R.E Harte and W Y Lee, *Another note on Weyl's theorem*, Trans Amer. Math Soc. **349** (1997), 2115-2124.
- [8] V Istratescu, *Weyl's theorem for a class of operators* Rev. Roum Math Pures Appl. **13** (1968), 1103-1105
- [9] A H. Kim and S U Yoo, *Weyl's theorem for isoloid and reguloid operators*, Comm Korean Math Soc **14** (1999), 179-188.
- [10] W Y. Lee and H Y. Lee, *On Weyl's theorem*, Math Japon **39** (1994) 545-548

Department of Mathematics  
 Changwon National University  
 Changwon 641-773, Korea  
*E-mail:* ahkim@sarim.changwon.ac.kr