

REPRESENTATION OF OPERATOR SEMI-STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

GYEONG SUK CHOI

ABSTRACT. For a linear operator Q from R^d into R^d , $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < b < 1$, the (Q, b, α) -semi-stability and the operator semi-stability of probability measures on R^d are defined. Characterization of (Q, b, α) -semi-stable Gaussian distribution is obtained and the relationship between the class of (Q, b, α) -semi-stable non-Gaussian distributions and that of operator semi-stable distributions is discussed.

1. Introduction

Let R^d be the d -dimensional Euclidean space. In the paper [2], we studied operator semi-stable processes on R^d , which are Lévy processes associated with operator semi-stable distributions. Under the condition of fullness, descriptions of operator semi-stable distributions on R^d were obtained by R. Jajte [4,5], W. Krakowiak [6], A. Łuczak [7,8], V. Chorny [3] and others. Here fullness means that the support of the distribution is not contained in any $(d - 1)$ -dimensional hyperplane in R^d .

Let $Aut(R^d)$ be the set of invertible linear operators from R^d onto R^d . Let $\{Y_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. (=independent identically distributed) random variables on R^d . In [4], R. Jajte investigated the weak limit of distributions of

$$(1.1) \quad A_n(Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_{k_n}) + b_n,$$

where $A_n \in Aut(R^d)$, $b_n \in R^d$ and $\frac{k_{n+1}}{k_n} \rightarrow r$ with some $r \in [1, \infty)$. The limit distribution μ of (1.1) is called an *operator semi-stable* distribution. When the convergence of (1.1) holds with $b_n = 0$, we call μ

Received June 28, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 60E07.

Key words and phrases: infinite divisibility, operator semi-stability, semi-stability operator stability.

a *strictly operator semi-stable* distribution. In this paper, we consider all operator semi-stable distributions on R^d without the assumption of fullness. Let $End(R^d)$ be the set of linear operators from R^d into R^d . The identity operator is denoted by I . For $B \in End(R^d)$ and $r > 0$, we define $r^B = \exp\{B \log r\} = \sum (B \log r)^n / n!$. For $T \in End(R^d)$, we write $(T\mu)(E) = \mu(T^{-1}(E))$. We denote the b -th convolution power of μ by μ^b . Let $M_+(R^d)$ be the class of linear operators on R^d all of whose eigenvalues have positive real parts.

Fix $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. An infinitely divisible distribution μ on R^d is called operator semi-stable with exponent (Q, α) if there are a number $b \in (0, 1)$ and a vector $c(b) \in R^d$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \mu^{b^\alpha} = b^Q \mu * \delta_{c(b)}.$$

Here $\delta_{c(b)}$ is the delta distribution at $c(b)$. When (1.2) is satisfied, we call μ (Q, b, α) -*semi-stable*. It is called strictly operator semi-stable with exponent (Q, α) if there is $b \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \mu^{b^\alpha} = b^Q \mu.$$

When (1.3) is satisfied, we call μ *strictly* (Q, b, α) -*semi-stable*. The above definition of (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution is described without the assumption that μ is full. If μ is a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution on R^d , then μ is an operator semi-stable distribution on R^d . But the converse is not true. The counterexamples are given at the end of this paper. The (Q, b, α) of a distribution satisfying (1.2) is not uniquely determined by μ . If μ is semi-stable with exponent α in the sense of [1], then μ is an operator semi-stable distribution with exponent (I, α) . We note that μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable if and only if μ is $(\alpha^{-1}Q, b^\alpha, 1)$ -semi-stable. The distribution satisfying (1.2) for every $b \in (0, \infty)$ is operator stable, which was introduced by M. Sharpe [13]. It is (Q, α) -stable in the sense of [12]. By introducing the terminology (Q, b, α) , the relations between operator semi-stable distributions and semi-stable distributions become clearer. The characterization of full operator semi-stable distributions on R^d is investigated by many authors. But they did not treat the whole structure of Gaussian operator semi-stable distributions.

The main purpose of this paper is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for (Q, b, α) -semi-stable Gaussian distributions. The descriptions for full operator stable distribution were developed by many authors, but the complete characterization of Gaussian operator stable distributions is done by K. Sato [10] and K. Sato-M. Yamazato [12]. Our description of (Q, b, α) -semi-stable Gaussian distributions in this paper is an extension of the results in (Q, α) -stable case in [10, 12] to (Q, b, α) -semi-stable case.

In Section 2, we write some results and lemmas we use in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we characterize (Q, b, α) -semi-stable Gaussian distributions, and in Section 4, we rewrite a necessary and sufficient condition for (Q, b, α) -semi-stable purely non-Gaussian distributions on R^d . Its necessity part is similar to that of [3]. Relations between (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distributions and operator semi-stable distributions are given in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

For $x, y \in R^d$, we denote the Euclidean inner product of x and y by $\langle x, y \rangle$ and the Euclidean norm of x by $|x|$. Lévy shows that a distribution μ on R^d with characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}(z)$ is infinitely divisible if and only if $\widehat{\mu}(z)$ has form

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp \left\{ i \langle \gamma, z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Az, z \rangle + \int_{R^d} G(z, x) \nu(dx) \right\},$$

where $G(z, x) = e^{i \langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - i \langle z, x \rangle (1 + |x|^2)^{-1}$, γ is a vector in R^d , A is a symmetric nonnegative definite operator and ν is a measure (called Lévy measure) on R^d satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int |x|^2 (1 + |x|^2)^{-1} \nu(dx) < \infty$. This representation is unique and called the Lévy representation (γ, A, ν) . We call μ a purely non-Gaussian in the case of $A = 0$. If $\gamma = 0$ and $A = 0$, then we call μ a centered purely non-Gaussian. If $\gamma = 0$ and $\nu = 0$, then μ is called a centered Gaussian. We denote the adjoint of a linear operator T by T' .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Fix $b \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let μ be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable on R^d . If $T \in \text{Aut}(R^d)$, then $T\mu$ is (TQT^{-1}, b, α) -semi-stable on R^d .*

Proof. From the fact that $T^{-1}b^Q T = b^{T^{-1}QT}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{T\mu}(z)^{b^\alpha} &= \widehat{\mu}(b^{Q'} T' z) e^{i\langle c(b), T' z \rangle} = \widehat{\mu}(T' b^{(TQT^{-1})'} z) e^{i\langle c(b), T' z \rangle} \\ &= \widehat{T\mu}(b^{(TQT^{-1})'} z) e^{i\langle Tc(b), z \rangle}.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

We fix $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let μ be an operator semi-stable distribution with exponent (Q, α) . For a real symmetric nonnegative definite operator A , $\phi_A(z)$ stands for $\langle Az, z \rangle$ for $z \in C^d$. Here $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Hermitian inner product on C^d . We write $(b^{nQ}\nu)(E) = \nu(b^{-nQ}E)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Fix $b \in (0, 1)$, $Q \in M_+(R^d)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let μ be infinitely divisible on R^d with the Lévy representation (γ, A, ν) . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for μ to be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable is that, for any integer n ,

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_A(b^{nQ'} z) = b^{n\alpha} \phi_A(z) \quad \text{for } z \in C^d$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad (b^{nQ}\nu)(E) = b^{n\alpha} \nu(E) \quad \text{for } E \in \mathcal{B}(R^d).$$

Proof. If μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable, then, iterating (1.2), we get, for any positive integer m ,

$$\mu^{b^{m\alpha}} = b^{mQ} \mu * \delta(c(b^m)),$$

where $c(b^m) = b^\alpha c(b^{(m-1)}) + b^{(m-1)Q} c(b)$. Hence a necessary and sufficient condition for μ to be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable is that, for any positive integer m ,

$$\phi_A(b^{mQ'} z) = b^{m\alpha} \phi_A(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (b^{mQ}\nu)(E) = b^{m\alpha} \nu(E).$$

From the facts that $\phi_A(z) = \phi_A((bb^{-1})^{Q'} z) = b^\alpha \phi_A(b^{-Q'} z)$ and $\nu(E) = (bb^{-1})^Q \nu(E) = b^\alpha b^{-Q} \nu(E)$, we see that

$$\phi_A(b^{-Q'} z) = b^{-\alpha} \phi_A(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (b^{-Q}\nu)(E) = b^{-\alpha} \nu(E),$$

which implies that, for any positive integer m ,

$$\phi_A(b^{-mQ'} z) = b^{-m\alpha} \phi_A(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (b^{-mQ}\nu)(E) = b^{-m\alpha} \nu(E).\quad \square$$

The following lemmas are known. Proofs are omitted.

LEMMA 2.3. (Lemma 6.3 in [10] and Lemma 3.1 in [12]). *Let $z_0 \in C^d$. If A is real symmetric nonnegative definite and $\phi_A(z_0) = 0$, then $Az_0 = 0$.*

LEMMA 2.4 (Lemma 5.7 in [10]). *If $Q \in M_+(R^d)$, then every x in $R^d - \{0\}$ is uniquely expressed as $x = u^Q \xi$ with $\xi \in S = \{\xi \in R^d : |\xi| = 1, |u^Q \xi| > 1 \text{ for all } u > 1\}$ and $u > 0$.*

3. Gaussian operator semi-stable distributions

In the following Theorem 3.1, we obtain the characterization of (Q, b, α) -semi-stable Gaussian distributions on R^d . An example which shows that the class of Gaussian operator semi-stable distributions is strictly bigger than that of Gaussian operator stable distributions is given in a recent paper [9]. For $Q \in M_+(R^d)$, we write $B = b^Q$. For $x \in C^d$, \bar{x} stands for the complex conjugate of x , that is, each component of \bar{x} is the complex conjugate of the corresponding component of x . Let $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_p$, be all distinct eigenvalues of b^Q . Let $f(\xi)$ be the minimal polynomial of b^Q with $f(\xi) = f_1(\xi)^{m_1} \dots f_p(\xi)^{m_p}$, where $f_j(\xi) = \xi - \vartheta_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. We denote the kernel of $(Q - \vartheta_j)^{m_j}$ in C^d by E_j , that is, E_j is the eigenspace of b^Q in the wide sense associated with the eigenvalue ϑ_j for $1 \leq j \leq p$. Denote by P_j the projector onto E_j in the decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad C^d = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_p.$$

Let

$$E'_j = \text{Kernel}(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j} I)^{m_j} \quad \text{in } C^d \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Then we have

$$(3.2) \quad C^d = E'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E'_p.$$

We see that E'_j and E'_k are orthogonal for $j \neq k$ and P'_j is the projector of C^d onto E'_j in the decomposition (3.2). Let $J = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq p, |\vartheta_j|^2 = b^\alpha\}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Fix $b \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let μ be infinitely divisible on R^d with the Lévy representation $(\gamma, A, 0)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for μ to be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable is that

$$(3.3) \quad AP'_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \notin J,$$

$$(3.4) \quad (B - \vartheta_j)AP'_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in J.$$

We will use the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof is given in [10].

LEMMA 3.2 (Lemma 6.4 in [10] and Remark 3.1 in [12]). Let A be real symmetric nonnegative definite. Then

$$(B - \vartheta_j)AP'_j = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p$$

if and only if

$$(3.5) \quad P_k AP'_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq k,$$

$$(3.6) \quad A(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})P'_j = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Suppose that μ is a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution with Lévy representation $(\gamma, A, 0)$. Then we assert that, for any positive integer m and $z_0 \in C^d$,

$$(3.7) \quad (B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^m z_0 = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad A(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})z_0 = 0.$$

For the proof of (3.7), we use induction in m . For $m = 1$, (3.7) is trivial. Suppose that (3.7) is true for $m - 1$ in place of m , and assume $(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^m z_0 = 0$. Let us write $\overline{\vartheta_j}^{-k} (B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^k z_0 = z_k$ for each nonnegative integer k . Since $(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^m z_k = (B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^{m-1} (B' - \overline{\vartheta_j}) z_k = 0$ for $k \geq 0$, we have $A(B' - \overline{\vartheta_j})^2 z_k = 0$ for $k \geq 0$ by the induction hypothesis. Hence we see that, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$AB'^n z_0 = \overline{\vartheta_j}^{-n} A[z_0 + nz_1]$$

and

$$\phi_A(B^n z_0) = |\vartheta_j|^{2n} [\phi_A(z_0) + 2n \operatorname{Re} \langle Az_0, z_1 \rangle + n^2 \phi_A(z_1)].$$

We write

$$\Delta(n) = 2n \operatorname{Re} \langle Az_0, z_1 \rangle + n^2 \phi_A(z_1).$$

Noticing that by Lemma 2.2

$$\phi_A(B^n z_0) = b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(z_0),$$

we see that $b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(z_0) = |\vartheta_j|^{2n} [\phi_A(z_0) + \Delta(n)]$. We consider three cases: $b^\alpha = |\vartheta_j|^2$, $b^\alpha < |\vartheta_j|^2$ and $b^\alpha > |\vartheta_j|^2$.

(1) $b^\alpha = |\vartheta_j|^2$. In this case, we have that $\Delta(n) = 0$. If $\phi_A(z_1) \neq 0$, then we have that $\Delta(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\phi_A(z_1) = 0$, from which follows $Az_1 = 0$ by Lemma 2.3.

(2) $b^\alpha < |\vartheta_j|^2$. In this case, we have that

$$\left(\frac{b^\alpha}{|\vartheta_j|^2}\right)^n \phi_A(z_0) = \phi_A(z_0) + \Delta(n).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $\left(\frac{b^\alpha}{|\vartheta_j|^2}\right)^n \rightarrow 0$. This leads to the fact that $\Delta(n) \rightarrow -\phi_A(z_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But we have $\Delta(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $\phi_A(z_1) \neq 0$. Hence, we see that $Az_1 = 0$.

(3) $b^\alpha > |\vartheta_j|^2$. In this case, we have that

$$\left(\frac{|\vartheta_j|^2}{b^\alpha}\right)^n [\phi_A(z_0) + \Delta(n)] = \phi_A(z_0).$$

Since $\left(\frac{|\vartheta_j|^2}{b^\alpha}\right)^n n^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $\phi_A(z_0) = 0$. Hence, we have that $\Delta(n) = 0$. Thus, by the same method as (1), we see that $Az_1 = 0$.

Now we have proved that (3.7) is true. Let $z \in E'_j$. From (3.7) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_A(B'z) &= \langle AB'z, B'z \rangle = \langle A\overline{\vartheta_j}z, B'z \rangle = \overline{\vartheta_j} \langle Az, B'z \rangle \\ &= \overline{\vartheta_j} \langle z, A\overline{\vartheta_j}z \rangle = |\vartheta_j|^2 \phi_A(z). \end{aligned}$$

If $j \notin J$, then, by (2.1), $\phi_A(z) = 0$, which is (3.3).

Suppose that $z \in E'_j$, $w \in E'_k$ and $j \neq k$. If $j \notin J$ or $k \notin J$, then $\langle Az, w \rangle = 0$ by (3.3). Let us show that $\langle Az, w \rangle = 0$ when $j \in J$ and $k \in J$. Using (2.1) and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_A(B'^n(z+w)) &= b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(z+w) \\ &= b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(z) + b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(w) + 2b^{\alpha n} \operatorname{Re} \langle Az, w \rangle\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi_A(B'^n(z+w)) = b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(z) + b^{\alpha n} \phi_A(w) + 2 \operatorname{Re} \bar{\vartheta}_j^n \vartheta_k^n \langle Az, w \rangle.$$

Hence, we see that $\operatorname{Re} \bar{\vartheta}_j^n \vartheta_k^n \langle Az, w \rangle = b^{\alpha n} \operatorname{Re} \langle Az, w \rangle$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, we get $\operatorname{Re} \langle Az, w \rangle = 0$. We also get $\operatorname{Im} \langle Az, w \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \langle Az, w \rangle = 0$. Hence $\langle Az, w \rangle = 0$. Now we have (3.4). In fact, if $z \in E'_j$, $j \in J$, and $w \in C^d$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (B - \vartheta_j)Az, w \rangle &= \langle Az, (B' - \bar{\vartheta}_j)w \rangle = \langle Az, (B' - \bar{\vartheta}_j)P'_j w \rangle \\ &= \langle z, A(B' - \bar{\vartheta}_j)P'_j w \rangle = 0\end{aligned}$$

by (3.7).

Conversely, suppose that A satisfies (3.3) and (3.4). From Lemma 3.2, we see that (3.5) and (3.6) hold. Thus by (3.3) and (3.5), we see that

$$\phi_A(B'z) = \phi_A \left(\sum_{j=1}^p P'_j B'z \right) = \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_A(P'_j B'z) = \sum_{j \in J} \phi_A(P'_j B'z).$$

By (3.6) and by $B'P'_j = P'_j B'P'_j$, we have that

$$\phi_A(P'_j B'z) = \phi_A(B'P'_j z) = |\vartheta_j|^2 \phi_A(P'_j z) = b^\alpha \phi_A(P'_j z)$$

for $j \in J$. Hence $\phi_A(B'z) = b^\alpha \phi_A(z)$. The proof is complete. \square

4. Purely non-Gaussian operator semi-stable distributions

We begin with some notation which follows [10, 11, 12]. We fix an arbitrary $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let $\sigma_j = \alpha_j + i\beta_j$, $1 \leq j \leq q+2r$, be all distinct eigenvalues of Q , where α_j and β_j are real numbers such that $\beta_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$, $\beta_j \neq 0$ for $q+1 \leq j \leq q+2r$, and $\alpha_j + i\beta_j = \alpha_{j+r} - i\beta_{j+r}$ for $q+1 \leq j \leq q+r$. Here q and r are allowed to be zero. We note that $p \leq q+2r$ and the set $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_p\}$ coincides with the set $\{b^{\sigma_1}, \dots, b^{\sigma_{q+2r}}\}$, where $b^{\sigma_j} = b^{\sigma_k}$ if $\beta_j = \beta_k + 2n\pi$ with some integer n . Let $g(\xi)$ be the minimal polynomial of Q with $g(\xi) = g_1(\xi)^{n_1} \dots g_{q+r}(\xi)^{n_{q+r}}$, where $g_j(\xi) = \xi - \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$, $g_j(\xi) = (\xi - \alpha_j)^2 + \beta_j^2$ for $q+1 \leq j \leq q+r$ and n_j , $1 \leq j \leq q+r$ are positive integers with $\sum_{j=1}^{q+r} n_j \leq d$. Let W_j be the kernel of $g_j(Q)^{n_j}$ in R^d , $1 \leq j \leq q+r$. The projector onto W_j in the direct sum decomposition

$$R^d = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_{q+r}$$

is written as U_j . We denote the kernel of $(Q - \sigma_j)^{n_j}$ in C^d , $1 \leq j \leq q+2r$, by V_j , that is, V_j is the eigenspace of Q in the wide sense associated with the eigenvalue σ_j for $1 \leq j \leq q+2r$. Denote by T_j the projector onto V_j in the decomposition

$$(4.1) \quad C^d = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_{q+2r}.$$

We set $J(\alpha) = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq q+2r, \alpha_j = \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, $K(\alpha) = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq q+r, \alpha_j > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, $W_{K(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_{j \in K(\alpha)} W_j$ and $S_{K(\alpha)} = \{\xi \in W_{K(\alpha)} : |\xi| = 1, |u^Q \xi| > 1 \text{ for all } u > 1\}$. We write for $x \neq 0$ in R^d , $\alpha(x) = \min\{\alpha_j : 1 \leq j \leq q+2r, T_j x \neq 0\}$, and for j such that $T_j x \neq 0$, we set $n(x, j) = \max\{n \geq 0 : (Q - \sigma_j)^n T_j x \neq 0\}$. For $x \neq 0$ in R^d , we denote $n(x) = \max\{n(x, j) : 1 \leq j \leq q+2r, U_j x \neq 0, \alpha_j = \alpha(x)\}$, and $N = \max\{n_j : 1 \leq j \leq q+2r\}$.

The following theorem characterizes the class of all (Q, b, α) -semi-stable purely non-Gaussian distributions without assuming fullness. The first necessary and sufficient condition for a purely non-Gaussian distribution on R^d to be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable was obtained in [7,8]. But, from the results in [7,8], it is not easy to find the relations between the

Lévy measure of operator semi-stable distributions and that of operator stable distributions. With this consideration, we rewrite the Lévy measure of a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution in a form similar to that of the Lévy measure of an operator stable distribution. Our description of the Lévy measure of a (Q, b, α) - semi-stable distribution in the case of $Q = I$ is that of a semi-stable distribution in [1]. Let $R_+ = (0, \infty)$, the open half line. Denote the support of a measure ρ by $Spt \rho$. The indicator function of E is denoted by $I_E(x)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Fix $b \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let μ be infinitely divisible on R^d with the Lévy representation $(\gamma, 0, \nu)$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for μ to be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable is that*

$$(4.2) \quad \nu(E) = \int_{S_{K(\alpha)}} \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty I_E(u^Q \xi) d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\}$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset R^d$, where λ is a finite measure on $S_{K(\alpha)}$, $\frac{H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha}$ is nonincreasing in u , $H_\xi(u)$ is right-continuous in u and measurable in ξ , $H_\xi(1) = 1$ and $H_\xi(bu) = H_\xi(u)$ for any u and ξ . If μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable, then the measure λ is unique and the function $H_\xi(u)$ is unique for λ -almost every $\xi \in S_{K(\alpha)}$. For any finite measure λ on $S_{K(\alpha)}$ and for any function H_ξ satisfying the conditions above, there exists a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable purely non-Gaussian distribution μ with the Lévy measure ν of (4.2).

Since $W_{K(\alpha)}$ is Q -invariant, using Lemma 2.4, we see that any point $x \neq 0$ in $W_{K(\alpha)}$ has unique expression $x = u^Q \xi$ with $\xi \in S_{K(\alpha)}$ and $u > 0$. From Lemma 4.1 in [11] (see Lemma 5.1 in [12] or Lemma 5.6 in [10]), we see that there is C_1 such that

$$(4.3) \quad |u^Q \xi| \leq C_1 u^{\alpha(\xi)} |\log u|^{N-1} \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq 1/e.$$

Put $h(u) = \frac{u^2}{1+u^2}$. Then, by (4.3), there is C_2 such that

$$(4.4) \quad h(|u^Q \xi|) \leq C_2 u^{2\alpha(\xi)} |\log u|^{2N-2} \quad \text{for } 0 < u \leq 1/e.$$

Here C_1 and C_2 are constants independent of u and ξ .

LEMMA 4.2. *If μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable, purely non-Gaussian with Lévy measure ν , then*

$$Spt \nu \subset W_{K(\alpha)}.$$

Proof. Define a finite measure ν' by $\nu'(E) = \int_E h(|x|)\nu(dx)$ for $E \in \mathcal{B}(R^d)$. Let n be a positive integer such that $0 < b^n < \frac{1}{e}$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain that

$$\nu'(b^{nQ}E) = b^{-n\alpha} \int h(|b^{nQ}x|)I_E(x)\nu(dx).$$

Using Lemma 4.1 in [11], we see that there is a positive function $b_0(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ in R^d such that

$$b^{-n\alpha} \int h(|b^{nQ}x|)I_E(x)\nu(dx) \geq b^{-n\alpha} \int h(b_0(x)b^{n\alpha(x)}|x|)I_E(x)\nu(dx).$$

Let $x_0 \notin W_{K(\alpha)}$. Choose a bounded open neighborhood E of x_0 such that $\alpha(x) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ for $x \in E$. By Fatou's lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu'(b^{nQ}E) \\ & \geq \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{-n\alpha} h(b_0(x)b^{n\alpha(x)}|x|)I_E(x)\nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Let E_1 be the set of $x \in E$ such that $\alpha(x) < \frac{\alpha}{2}$, and E_2 be the set of $x \in E$ such that $\alpha(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^{-n\alpha} h(b_0(x)b^{n\alpha(x)}|x|) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{for } x \in E_1 \\ b_0(x)^2|x|^2 & \text{for } x \in E_2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we see that $\nu(E_1) = 0$. By (4.4), we have that

$$|b^{nQ}x| \leq C_1 b^{n\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\log b^n|^{N-1} |x| \quad \text{for } x \in E_2,$$

if $b^n \leq 1/e$. This leads to $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu'(b^{nQ}E_2) = \nu'(\{0\}) = 0$. Since

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu'(b^{nQ}E_2) \geq \int b_0(x)^2|x|^2 I_{E_2}(x)\nu(dx),$$

we get $\nu(E_2) = 0$. Hence $\nu(E) = 0$, which means that $x_0 \notin Spt \nu$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Suppose that μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}(S_K(\alpha))$, define $\lambda(B) = \nu(\{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u > 1\})$ and $N(s, B) = \nu(\{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u > s\})$. Then for any positive real number r , we can choose integer m such that $r > b^m > 0$, so

$$0 \leq N(r, B) \leq N(b^m, B) = b^{-m\alpha} \lambda(B).$$

Hence $N(r, B)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to λ . Thus for each positive real number r , there is a nonnegative measurable function $N_\xi(r)$ of ξ such that

$$N(r, B) = \int_B N_\xi(r) \lambda(d\xi), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(S_K(\alpha)).$$

Here $N_\xi(r)$ is uniquely defined for λ -almost every ξ . We can take $N_\xi(r)$ nonincreasing right-continuous in r . For $E = \{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u \in F\}$ with $F \in \mathcal{B}(R_+)$, we obtain

$$\nu(E) = - \int_B \lambda(d\xi) \int_F dN_\xi(u).$$

From the fact that $\nu(b^{-Q}\{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u \in (s, \infty)\}) = \nu(\{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u \in (b^{-1}s, \infty)\}) = b^\alpha \nu(\{u^Q \xi : \xi \in B, u \in (s, \infty)\})$, we see that $N_\xi(bu) = b^{-\alpha} N_\xi(u)$. Putting $N_\xi(u) = H_\xi(u) u^{-\alpha}$, we see that $\frac{H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha}$ is nonincreasing in u , $H_\xi(u)$ is right-continuous in u and measurable in ξ , $H_\xi(1) = 1$ and $H_\xi(bu) = H_\xi(u)$ for any u and ξ . Since $\mathcal{B}(W_{K(\alpha)})$ is generated by sets E of the above form, we get (4.2) for all $E \in \mathcal{B}(W_{K(\alpha)})$, which shows (4.2) by Lemma 4.2.

Conversely, assume that λ is a finite measure on $S_{K(\alpha)}$ and define a measure ν on R^d by (4.2). Let $\alpha^+ = \min\{\alpha_j : 1 \leq j \leq q + 2r, \alpha_j > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$ and let $\alpha^{++} = \max\{\alpha_j : 1 \leq j \leq q + 2r, \alpha_j > \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$. Then $\alpha^+ \leq \alpha(\xi) \leq \alpha^{++}$ for $\xi \in S_{K(\alpha)}$. Let M be the positive integer satisfying $1 \leq e^{-1} b^{-M} < b^{-M}$. For any $\xi \in S_{K(\alpha)}$, we have the following. By (4.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{e^{-1}} h(|u^Q \xi|) d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq C_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{e^{-1} b^{n+1}}^{e^{-1} b^n} u^{2\alpha(\xi)} |\log u|^{2N-2} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 0, 1, \dots$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{e^{-1}b^{n+1}}^{e^{-1}b^n} u^{2\alpha(\xi)} |\log u|^{2N-2} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq |(n+1) \log b - 1|^{2N-2} \int_{e^{-1}b^{n+1}}^{e^{-1}b^n} u^{2\alpha(\xi)} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

because $|\log u| \leq |\log(e^{-1}b^{n+1})| = |(n+1) \log b - 1|$ for $e^{-1}b^{n+1} \leq u < e^{-1}b^n$. Letting $u = b^{n+1+M}v$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{e^{-1}b^{n+1}}^{e^{-1}b^n} u^{2\alpha(\xi)} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \\ & = b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha(\xi) - \frac{\alpha}{2})} \int_{e^{-1}b^{-M}}^{e^{-1}b^{-M-1}} v^{2\alpha(\xi)} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(v)}{v^\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_1^\infty d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(v)}{v^\alpha} \right\} = H_\xi(1) = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha(\xi) - \frac{\alpha}{2})} \int_{e^{-1}b^{-M}}^{e^{-1}b^{-M-1}} v^{2\alpha(\xi)} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(v)}{v^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha^+ - \frac{\alpha}{2})} \int_1^{b^{-M-1}} v^{2\alpha(\xi)} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(v)}{v^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha^+ - \frac{\alpha}{2})} b^{-2(M+1)\alpha(\xi)} \int_1^\infty d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(v)}{v^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha^+ - \frac{\alpha}{2}) - 2(M+1)\alpha^{++}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S_{K(\alpha)}} \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^{e^{-1}} h(|u^Q \xi|) d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \\ & \leq C_2 \lambda(S_{K(\alpha)}) \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(n+1) \log b - 1|^{2N-2} b^{2(n+1+M)(\alpha^+ - \frac{\alpha}{2}) - 2(M+1)\alpha^{++}} \\ & < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

since $\alpha^+ - \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0$. Since $h(\cdot) \leq 1$, we see that

$$\int_{e^{-1}}^{\infty} h(|u^{Q\xi}|)d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \leq \int_{e^{-1}}^{\infty} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\}.$$

Using the fact that

$$\sup_{u>0} H_\xi(u) = \sup_{1 \leq u < b^{-1}} H_\xi(u) = \sup_{1 \leq u < b^{-1}} u^\alpha \frac{H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \leq b^{-\alpha} H_\xi(1) = b^{-\alpha}$$

and

$$\inf_{u>0} H_\xi(u) = \inf_{1 \leq u < b^{-1}} H_\xi(u) = \inf_{1 \leq u < b^{-1}} u^\alpha \frac{H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \geq b^\alpha H_\xi(b^{-1}) = b^\alpha,$$

we obtain that $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} = 0$. It follows that

$$\int_{e^{-1}}^{\infty} d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} = \frac{H_\xi(e^{-1})}{e^{-\alpha}} \leq e^\alpha b^{-\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$\int_{S_{K(\alpha)}} \lambda(d\xi) \int_{e^{-1}}^{\infty} h(|u^{Q\xi}|)d \left\{ \frac{-H_\xi(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\} \leq e^\alpha b^{-\alpha} \lambda(S_{K(\alpha)}) < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(|x|)\nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Hence ν is the Lévy measure of a purely non-Gaussian infinitely divisible distribution μ . It is easy to see that ν satisfies (2.2). Thus, μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable. \square

Let μ be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable and centered purely non-Gaussian with Lévy measure ν . Let W_μ, W_ν be the smallest linear subspaces that contain $Spt \mu, Spt \nu$, respectively. From Lemma 4.2, we see that W_ν is a linear subspace of $W_{K(\alpha)}$. Using Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.2 in [12], we get the following remark.

REMARK 4.3. Suppose that μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable and centered purely non-Gaussian with Lévy measure ν . Then $W_\mu = W_\nu$, μ is full in W_μ and W_μ is b^Q -invariant.

REMARK 4.4. Suppose that μ is a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution on R^2 with Lévy representation $(0, 0, \nu)$. If the subspace W_ν is contained in $R = \{x = (x_i)_{i=1,2} : x_2 = 0\}$, then μ is a semi-stable distribution with some exponent $\tilde{\alpha}$ on R in the sense of [1].

5. Relations between (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distributions and operator semi-stable distributions

R. Jajte in the Theorem of [4] described that, if μ is full, then a necessary and sufficient condition for μ to be an operator semi-stable distribution is that it is infinitely divisible and there exist a number $a \in (0, 1)$, a vector $c_0 \in R^d$, and $A \in Aut(R^d)$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \mu^a = A\mu * \delta_{c_0}.$$

In [3], V. Chorny pointed out that the relation (5.1) was equivalent to

$$\mu^b = b^Q \mu * \delta_{c_1}$$

with some $b \in (0, 1)$, $Q \in M_+(R^d)$ and $c_1 \in R^d$. This distribution is a $(Q, b, 1)$ -semi-stable distribution.

The following Remarks 5.1 and 5.2 for operator semi-stable distributions are given in R. Jajte [4].

REMARK 5.1. Fix $b \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$. Let μ be (Q, b, α) -semi-stable on R^d . Then μ is an operator semi-stable distribution.

REMARK 5.2. If μ is a full operator semi-stable distribution on R^d , then μ is (Q, b, α) -semi-stable on R^d with some $b \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $Q \in M_+(R^d)$.

PROPOSITION 5.3. If μ is an operator semi-stable distribution on R^d and $T \in End(R^d)$, then $T\mu$ is an operator semi-stable distribution.

Gyeong Suk Choi

Proof. We choose $T_n \in \text{Aut}(R^d)$ such that $T_n \rightarrow T$. By the definition of an operator semi-stable distribution, there are $A_n \in \text{Aut}(R^d)$ and $a_n \in R^d$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \mu^{k_n} * \delta_{a_n} = \mu,$$

where $k_n^{-1} k_{n+1} \rightarrow r$ for some $r \in [1, \infty)$. Hence, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n A_n \mu^{k_n} * \delta_{T_n a_n} = T\mu.$$

This shows that $T\mu$ is an operator semi-stable distribution. \square

In [10,14], there are examples of operator stable distributions that are not (Q, α) -stable. Modifying it, we get the following examples. These will show that the converse of Remark 5.1 is not true without the condition of fullness.

EXAMPLE 5.4. Let $d = 2$, $Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\xi_0 = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $u^Q = \begin{pmatrix} u^{\frac{3}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & u^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $u^Q \xi_0 = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ -u^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Fix $b \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. We choose a positive number C_0 such that $C_0 = (|\frac{2\pi}{\log b}| + 1) < 1$. Let

$$H_{\xi_0}(u) = C_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\log b} \log u\right) + 1.$$

Then the function $H_{\xi_0}(u)$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.1. We consider the (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution μ having the Lévy representation $(0, 0, \nu)$ with

$$\nu(E) = \int_0^\infty I_E(u^Q \xi_0) d\left\{\frac{-H_{\xi_0}(u)}{u^\alpha}\right\}.$$

This shows that μ is an operator semi-stable distribution by Remark 5.1. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, by Proposition 5.3, $T\mu$ is an operator semi-stable distribution. We have, for some positive real number s ,

$$\text{Spt } T\nu = \{x = (x_i)_{i=1,2} : x_1 \leq s, x_2 = 0\}.$$

Suppose that $T\mu$ is a $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -semi-stable distribution on R^2 with some \tilde{b} , $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{Q} \in M_+(R^2)$. Then, by Remark 4.4, $T\mu$ is regarded as a semi-stable distribution with some exponent on R in the sense of [1]. But, if the support of the Lévy measure of a semi-stable distribution on R is not contained in $(-\infty, 0]$, then it must be unbounded to both directions. So we get a contradiction. Thus we conclude that there are no numbers \tilde{b} , $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{Q} \in M_+(R^2)$ such that $T\mu$ is a $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -semi-stable distribution on R^2 .

EXAMPLE 5.5. Let $d = 2$. Let Q, T, ξ_0 be as in Example 5.4. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Let n be an integer. Consider a (Q, b, α) -semi-stable distribution μ having Lévy representation $(0, 0, \nu)$ with

$$\nu(E) = \int_0^\infty I_E(u^Q \xi_0) d \left\{ \frac{-H_{\xi_0}(u)}{u^\alpha} \right\},$$

where $\frac{-H_{\xi_0}(u)}{u^\alpha} = \sum_{b^{-n} > u} b^{n\alpha}$. We see that

$$Spt T\nu = \{x = (x_i)_{i=1,2} : x_1 = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(b^n)^{\frac{3}{2}} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(b^n)^2, x_2 = 0\}.$$

By a similar argument to the previous example, we can show that $T\mu$ is an operator semi-stable distribution on R^2 . But, we can not find numbers \tilde{b} , $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{Q} \in M_+(R^2)$ such that $T\mu$ is a $(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{\alpha})$ -semi-stable distribution on R^2 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work has been supported through the postdoctoral program of Korea Research Foundation. The author would like to express her utmost gratitude to Professor K. Sato for his valuable comments and guidance in the examples of Gaussian operator semi-stable distributions in [9] and to Professor B. D. Choi for his helpful suggestion and encouragement during the research.

References

- [1] Choi, G. S., *Criteria for recurrence and transience of semistable processes*, Nagoya Math. J. **134** (1994), 91-106.
- [2] Choi, G. S. and Sato, K., *Recurrence and transience of operator semi-stable processes*, Proc. Japan Acad. **71** Ser A (1995), 87-89.

Gyeong Suk Choi

- [3] Chorny, V., *Operator-semistable distributions on R^d* (in Russian), Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. **31** (1986), 795-797; English translation, Theory Probab. Appl. **31** (1986), 703-705.
- [4] Jajte, R., *Semi-stable probability measures on R^N* , Studia Math. **61** (1977), 29-39.
- [5] ———, *Semi-stable measures*, in "Probability Theory", Banach Center Publications, Vol. 5, Polish Scientific Publ., Warsaw, 1979, pp. 141-150.
- [6] Krakowiak, W., *Operator semi-stable probability measures on Banach spaces*, Colloq. Math. **43** (1980), 351-363.
- [7] Luczak, A., *Operator semi-stable probability measures on R^d* , Colloq. Math. **45** (1981), 287-300; Corrigenda, **52** (1987), 167-169
- [8] ———, *Operator semi-stable Lévy measures on finite dimensional vector spaces* Probab. Theory. Fields **90** (1991), 317-340.
- [9] Maejima, M., Sato, K. and Watanabe, T., *Completely operator semi-selfdecomposable distributions*, Tokyo J. Math., to appear.
- [10] Sato, K., *Lectures on multivariate infinitely divisible distributions and operator-stable processes*, Technical Report Series, Lab. Res. Statist. Probab. Carleton Univ. and Univ Ottawa, No. 54, 1985.
- [11] ———, *Strictly operator-stable distributions*, J. Multivar. Anal. **22** (1987), 278-295.
- [12] Sato, K. and Yamazato, M., *Completely operator-selfdecomposable distributions and operator-stable distributions*, Nagoya. Math. J. **97** (1985), 71-94.
- [13] Sharpe, M., *Operator-stable probability distributions on vector groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (1969), 51-65.
- [14] Yamazato, M., *OL distributions on Euclidean space*, Teor. Veroyatnost i Primenen. **29** (1984), 3-18.

POST-DOC., DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KAIST, 373-1, KUSONG-DONG,
YUSONG-GU, TAEJON 305-701, KOREA

CURRENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY,
CHUNCHEN 200-701, KOREA
E-mail: gschoi@altavista.co.kr