

## ON THE STABILITY OF N-DIMENSIONAL QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

JAE-HYEONG BAE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate a generalization of the stability of a new quadratic functional equation  $f(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) in the spirits of Hyers, Ulam, Rassias and Gavruta.

### 1. Introduction

The problem of the stability of functional equations has originally been stated by S. M. Ulam [12]. In paper [5], D. H. Hyers has proved the stability of the linear functional equation for the case when  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are Banach spaces, and the result of Hyers has been further generalized by Th. M. Rassias (see [10]). Since then, the stability problems of functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of mathematicians (ref. [4], [6], [7], and [8]).

The quadratic function  $f(x) = cx^2$  ( $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ) satisfies the functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

Hence, the above equation is called the quadratic functional equation, and every solution of the quadratic equation (1.1) is called a quadratic function.

A Hyers-Ulam stability theorem for the quadratic functional equation (1.1) was proved by F. Skof for functions  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  where  $E_1$  is a normed space and  $E_2$  a Banach space (see [11]). P. W. Cholewa [2] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain  $E_1$  is replaced by an abelian group. In the paper [3], S. Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equations, and this result was generalized by J. M. Rassias (see [9]).

---

Received May 2, 2000. Revised October 6, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B72.

Key words and phrases: stability, quadratic equation.

Consider the following functional equations:

$$(1.2) \quad f(x+y+z) + f(x-y) + f(y-z) + f(z-x) = 3f(x) + 3f(y) + 3f(z).$$

Recently, the author investigated in his paper [1] the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the equation (1.2) and the Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation (1.2) on restricted (unbounded) domains. In this paper, we prove the stability of the quadratic functional equations:

$$(1.3) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j) = n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \quad (n \geq 2).$$

## 2. Main results

Throughout this section, let  $(E_1, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real normed space and  $(E_2, \|\cdot\|)$  a Banach space. By  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  we denote the set of positive integers and of real numbers, respectively. We denote by  $\varphi : E_1^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a function such that either

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k^{-2i} \varphi(k^i x_1, k^i x_2, \dots, k^i x_n) < \infty$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ , or

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\psi}_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k^{2(i+1)} \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{k^{i+1}}, \frac{x_2}{k^{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{k^{i+1}}\right) < \infty$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ .

For convenience, we use the following abbreviations:

$$Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(x_i - x_j) - n \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).$$

We assume that the function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ . We define a real sequence  $(b_i)$  by

$$b_1 = 1, \quad b_2 = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_i = 2b_{i-1} + b_{i-2} \quad (i \geq 3).$$

First, we will introduce two lemmas before we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of EQ. (1.3).

LEMMA 2.1. Under the above assumptions, the following inequality

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(kx) - k^2 f(x) + (k^2 - 1)f(0)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \varphi((k-i)x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

holds for any  $x \in E_1$  and all integers  $k \geq 2$ .

PROOF. Setting  $x_1 = x_2 = x$  and  $x_3 = x_4 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.3) gives

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) + 3f(0)\| \leq \varphi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ . Now we make the induction hypothesis that (2.4) is true for some  $k \geq 2$  and all  $x \in E_1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f((k+1)x) - (k+1)^2 f(x) + ((k+1)^2 - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \leq \|f((k+1)x) + f((k-1)x) - 2f(kx) - 2f(x) + 2f(0)\| \\ & \quad + \|2f(kx) - 2k^2 f(x) + 2(k^2 - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \quad + \|(k-1)^2 f(x) - f((k-1)x) - ((k-1)^2 - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \leq \varphi(kx, x, 0, \dots, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2b_i \varphi((k-i)x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} b_i \varphi((k-i-1)x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \leq \varphi(kx, x, 0, \dots, 0) + 2b_1 \varphi((k-1)x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=3}^k 2b_{i-1} \varphi((k-i+1)x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=3}^k b_{i-2} \varphi((k-i+1)x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \varphi((k-i+1)x, x, 0, \dots, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the induction proof.

LEMMA 2.2. *Under the given assumptions, the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(k^m x) - k^{2m} f(x) + (k^{2m} - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \leq k^{2(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_i k^{-2j} \varphi((k-i)k^j x, k^j x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

is true for any  $x \in E_1$  and all  $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

PROOF. By induction on  $m$ , we will prove the assertion. In view of Lemma 2.1, the assertion is true for  $m = 1$ . Now we assume that the assertion is true for some  $m$ . By using Lemma 2.1 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(k^{m+1} x) - k^{2(m+1)} f(x) + (k^{2(m+1)} - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \leq \|f(k^{m+1} x) - k^2 f(k^m x) + (k^2 - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \quad + k^2 \|f(k^m x) - k^{2m} f(x) + (k^{2m} - 1)f(0)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \varphi((k-i)k^m x, k^m x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & \quad + k^{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_i k^{-2j} \varphi((k-i)k^j x, k^j x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & = k^{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^m b_i k^{-2j} \varphi((k-i)k^j x, k^j x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

In the next theorem, we shall prove the stability of the quadratic equation (1.3) for the case of  $\psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < \infty$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ . We can quite similarly prove the theorem for the other case. Hence, we omit the proof of the theorem for  $\tilde{\psi}_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < \infty$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ .

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume that a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequality (2.3) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ . If  $\tilde{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < \infty$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ , then we further assume that  $f(0) = 0$ . There exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfying*

$$(2.5) \quad \|Q(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| \leq \frac{1}{k^2} \Psi_k(x)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  (when  $\psi_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < \infty$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ ),  
or

$$\|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{k^2} \tilde{\Psi}_k(x)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  (when  $\tilde{\psi}_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) < \infty$  for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ ),  
where

$$\Psi_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \psi_k((k-i)x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Psi}_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i \tilde{\psi}_k((k-i)x, x, 0, \dots, 0).$$

If, moreover,  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for every fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the  $Q$  satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad Q(tx) = t^2 Q(x)$$

for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$(2.7) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{k^{2m}} f(k^m x) - f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{2m}}\right) f(0) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_i k^{-2j} \varphi((k-i)k^j x, k^j x, 0, \dots, 0).$$

First, we show that  $\{k^{-2m} f(k^m x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence: Let  $l, m$  be integers with  $m > l > 0$ . Then, by (2.7) and (2.1), we see

$$\left\| \frac{1}{k^{2m}} f(k^m x) - \frac{1}{k^{2l}} f(k^l x) \right\| \\ \leq \frac{1}{k^{2l}} \left\| \frac{1}{k^{2(m-l)}} f(k^{m-l} k^l x) - f(k^l x) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{2(m-l)}}\right) f(0) \right\| \\ + \left( \frac{1}{k^{2l}} - \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \right) \|f(0)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{k^{2l}} \left[ \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-l-1} b_i k^{-2j} \varphi((k-i)k^j k^l x, k^j k^l x, 0, \dots, 0) \right] \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{k^{2l}} - \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \right) \|f(0)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} k^{-2j} b_i (\varphi((k-i)k^j x, k^j x, 0, \dots, 0)) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{k^{2l}} - \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \right) \|f(0)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_2$  is a Banach space, we may define a function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^{2m}} f(k^m x)$$

for any  $x \in E_1$ . By the definition of  $Q$  and (2.7) we can easily verify the validity of the inequality (2.5).

By replacing  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , and  $x_n$  in (2.3) by  $k^m x_1, k^m x_2, \dots$ , and  $k^m x_n$ , respectively, and dividing the resulting inequality by  $k^{2m}$  and by using (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{k^{2m}} f\left(k^m \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f(k^m(x_i - x_j)) - \frac{n}{k^{2m}} \sum_{i=1}^n f(k^m x_i) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \varphi(k^m x_1, k^m x_2, \dots, k^m x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $Q$  is a quadratic function.

Now, let  $Q' : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be another quadratic function which satisfies the inequality (2.5). Since  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are quadratic functions, we can easily show that

$$(2.8) \quad Q(k^m x) = k^{2m} Q(x) \text{ and } Q'(k^m x) = k^{2m} Q'(x)$$

for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, it follows from (2.8), (2.5) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Q(x) - Q'(x)\| \\ &= \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \|Q(k^m x) - Q'(k^m x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k^{2m}} \left( \|Q(k^m x) - f(k^m x) + f(0)\| + \|f(k^m x) - Q'(k^m x) - f(0)\| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{k^{2m}} \frac{1}{k^2} \Psi_k(k^m x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $Q(x) = Q'(x)$  for all  $x \in E_1$ .

Finally, it can be proved that (2.6) is true if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$  (cf. [3]). □

In the following Corollary, the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of EQ. (1.3) is proved.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *If a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$(2.9) \quad \|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ , then there exists exactly one quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$(2.10) \quad \|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{(n+2)(n-1)} \right) \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the  $Q$  satisfies (2.6) for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**PROOF.** If we put  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varepsilon$  then  $\varphi$  satisfies the condition (2.1) for  $k = 2$ . Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \psi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2i} \varphi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2i}} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since we get  $\|f(0)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{n^2+n-2}$  by putting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.9), we see that the inequality (2.10) is true. The remaining part of the theorem can be easily proved by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 in the paper [2]. Hence, we here omit the proof. □

Similarly, as in the proof of Corollary 2.4, the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for EQ. (1.3) is proved.

COROLLARY 2.5. *If a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the functional inequality*

$$(2.11) \quad \|Df(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \varepsilon (\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p)$$

for some  $0 < p < 2$  and for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ , then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$(2.12) \quad \|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{4 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in E_1$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the  $Q$  satisfies (2.6) for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. If we put  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varepsilon(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p)$  then  $\varphi$  satisfies the condition (2.1) for  $k = 2$ . Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \psi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2i} \varphi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2i} \varepsilon (\|2^i x\|^p + \|2^i x\|^p) \\ &= \frac{2}{2^2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{(p-2)i} \varepsilon \|x\|^p \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon}{1 - 2^{p-2}} \|x\|^p = \frac{2\varepsilon}{4 - 2^p} \|x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since we get  $\|f(0)\| = 0$  by putting  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.11), we see that the inequality (2.12) is true. The remaining part of the theorem can be easily proved by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1 in the paper [3]. Hence, we here omit the proof.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.6. *If a function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies the inequalities (2.11) for some  $p > 2$  and for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in E_1$ , then there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that*

$$\|Q(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p$$



for all  $x \in E_1$ . If, in addition,  $f$  is measurable or  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the quadratic function  $Q$  satisfies (2.6) for all  $x \in E_1$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varepsilon(\|x_1\|^p + \|x_2\|^p + \dots + \|x_n\|^p)$  satisfies the condition (2.2) with  $k = 2$ , it follows from Theorem 2.3 that the Corollary can be easily proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. H. Bae, *On the stability of 3-dimensional quadratic functional equation*, Bull. Korean. Math. Soc. **37** (2000), 477–486.
- [2] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [3] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [4] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [6] K. W. Jun, D. S. Shin and B. D. Kim, *On Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the pexider equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **239** (1999), 20–29.
- [7] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 126–137.
- [8] P. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces*, Results Math. **27** (1995), 368–372.
- [9] J. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the Euler-Lagrange functional equation*, Chinese J. Math. **20** (1992), 185–190.
- [10] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [11] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [12] S. M. Ulam, *“Problems in Modern Mathematics”*, Chap. VI, Wiley, New York, 1960.

Department of Mathematics  
Chungnam National University  
Taejon 305-764, Korea  
E-mail: jhbae@math.cnu.ac.kr