

ON THE HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A GENERALIZED QUADRATIC EQUATION

KIL-WOUNG JUN AND YANG-HI LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the stability of the generalized quadratic equation $f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2g(y) = 0$ in the spirit of Hyers, Ulam and Rassias.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S.M. Ulam [22] had raised the following question: Under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping?

In 1941, Hyers [5] proved that if $f : V \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in V$, where V and X are Banach spaces and δ is a given positive number, then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta$$

for all $x \in V$.

Throughout the paper, let V and X be a normed space and a Banach space respectively. Z. Gadjia [3] and Th. M. Rassias [15] gave a generalization of the Hyers' result in the following way:

Received February 29, 2000. Revised July 31, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B72.

Key words and phrases: quadratic equation, stability of functional equation, quadratic function.

This work was supported by grant No. 2000-015-DP0025 from the KRF.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $f : V \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that $f(tx)$ is continuous in t for each fixed x . Assume that there exist $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \neq 1$ such that*

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in V$ (for all $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$). Then there exists a unique linear mapping $T : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|T(x) - f(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{|2 - 2^p|} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in V$ (for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$).

However, it was showed that a similar result for the case $p = 1$ does not hold ([3, 19]). Recently, Găvruta [4] also obtained a further generalization of the Hyers-Rassias's theorem ([6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 16]).

Lee and Jun [12, 13] obtained the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexider equation of $f(x+y) = g(x) + h(y)$ ([10]):

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $f, g, h : V \rightarrow X$ be mappings. Assume that there exist $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in [0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$ such that*

$$\|f(x+y) - g(x) - h(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - f(x) + f(0)\| &\leq \frac{4\theta}{|2^p - 2|} \|x\|^p + M \\ \|T(x) - g(x) + g(0)\| &\leq \frac{(4 + 2^p)\theta}{|2^p - 2|} \|x\|^p + M \\ \|T(x) - h(x) + h(0)\| &\leq \frac{(4 + 2^p)\theta}{|2^p - 2|} \|x\|^p + M \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \|f(0) - g(0) - h(0)\|$ (if $1 < p$ then $M = 0$).

In 1983, the stability theorem for the quadratic functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y) = 0$$

was proved F. Skof [21] for the function $f : V \rightarrow X$. In 1984, P. W. Cholewa [1] extended V by an Abelian group G in the Skof's result. We define any solution of (1.1) to be a quadratic function.

In 1992, S. Czerwik [2] gave a generalization of the Skof-Cholewa's result in the following way:

THEOREM 1.3. Let $p (\neq 2)$, $\theta > 0$ be real numbers. Suppose that the function $f : V \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p).$$

Then there exists exactly one quadratic function $g : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - g(x)\| \leq c + k\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all x in V if $p \geq 0$ and for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$ if $p < 0$, where: when $p < 2$, $c = \frac{\|f(0)\|}{3}$, $k = \frac{2}{4-2^p}$ and g is given by $g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} f(2^n x)$ for all x in V . When $p > 2$, $c = 0$, $k = \frac{2}{2^p-4}$ and $g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(2^{-n} x)$ for all x in V . Also, if the mapping $t \rightarrow f(tx)$ from R to X is continuous for each fixed x in V , then $g(tx) = t^2 g(x)$ for all t in R .

Since then, the stability problem of the quadratic equation have been extensively investigated by a number of mathematician ([17, 18, 20]). In this paper, we prove the stability of the generalized quadratic equation :

$$f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2g(y) = 0.$$

2. Main result

THEOREM 2.1. Let $p < 0$, $\theta > 0$ be real numbers. Suppose that the functions $f, g : V \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \|f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2g(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists exactly one quadratic function $Q : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f(x) + g(y) - Q(x) - Q(y)\| \\ & \leq \frac{4\theta}{4-2^p} (\|x+y\|^p + \|x-y\|^p + 2\|x\|^p + 2\|y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $x+y, x-y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. The function is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(-2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(-2^n x)}{4^n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$.

Proof. If $f_1 = \frac{1}{\theta}f$ and $g_1 = \frac{1}{\theta}g$, then f_1 and g_1 satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_1(x+y) + g_1(x-y) - 2f_1(x) - 2g_1(y)\| \\ & \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V \setminus \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

from (2.1). Hence we may assume that $\theta = 1$ without the loss of generality. From (2.1), we easily obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \|f(2x) + g(0) - 2f(x) - 2g(x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(0) + g(2x) - 2f(x) - 2g(-x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \|f(0) + g(-2x) - 2f(-x) - 2g(x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|f(-2x) + g(0) - 2f(-x) - 2g(-x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Let $U(x) = f(x) + f(-x) + g(x) + g(-x)$. From (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7), we get

$$\left\| \frac{U(2x)}{4} - U(x) \right\| \leq 2\|x\|^p + \frac{1}{2}\|f(0) + g(0)\|$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$.

Applying an induction argument to n , we have

$$\left\| \frac{U(2^{n+1}x)}{4^{n+1}} - \frac{U(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{np}}{4^n} \|x\|^p + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^n} \|f(0) + g(0)\|$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{U(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{U(2^m x)}{4^m} \right\| & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{U(2^{i+1}x)}{4^{i+1}} - \frac{U(2^i x)}{4^i} \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \left(\frac{2 \cdot 2^{ip}}{4^i} \|x\|^p + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^i} \|f(0) + g(0)\| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{8 \cdot 2^{np}}{4^n(4 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p + \frac{2}{3 \cdot 4^n} \|f(0) + g(0)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $m > n$ and $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. This shows that $\left\{ \frac{U(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is a Banach space, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{U(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\}$ converges. Define $Q : V \rightarrow X$ by

$$(2.8) \quad 4Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x) + g(2^n x) + g(-2^n x)}{4^n}$$

for all $x \in V$. From (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7), we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4^n} \|f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x) - g(2^n x) - g(-2^n x)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{(n-1)p}}{4^{n-1}} \|x\|^p + \frac{2\|g(0) - f(0)\|}{4^n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x) - g(2^n x) - g(-2^n x)}{4^n} = 0.$$

From (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad 2Q(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x) + g(-2^n x)}{4^n} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Replacing x by $x + y$, y by $x - y$ and dividing by 2 in (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad & \left\| \frac{1}{2}f(2x) + \frac{1}{2}g(2y) - f(x+y) - g(x-y) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\|^p + \|x-y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

where $x+y, x-y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. From (2.1) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) + g(y) - \frac{1}{4}(f(2x) + g(2y))\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|x+y\|^p + \|x-y\|^p) + \frac{1}{2}(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $x+y, x-y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Induction argument implies

$$\begin{aligned} (2.12) \quad & \|f(x) + g(y) - \frac{1}{4^n}(f(2^n x) + g(2^n y))\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4-2^p}(\|x+y\|^p + \|x-y\|^p + 2\|x\|^p + 2\|y\|^p). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) + g(2^m y) - \frac{1}{4^{m+n}} (f(2^{m+n} x) + g(2^{m+n} y)) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2^{mp}}{4^m(4-2^p)} (\|x+y\|^p + \|x-y\|^p + 2\|x\|^p + 2\|y\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in N$ and $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $x+y, x-y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. This shows that $\{\frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) + g(2^m y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and thus converges. Let

$$R(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + g(2^n y)}{4^n}$$

for all $x, y \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $x+y, x-y \in V \setminus \{0\}$. By the definition of $R(x, y)$ and (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} &= \frac{1}{2} (R(x, 2x) - R(-x, 2x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x) + f(-2^n x)}{4^n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R(x, 2x) - R(-x, 2x) + 2Q(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Similarly we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} = \frac{1}{2} (R(2x, x) - R(2x, -x) + 2Q(x))$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Replacing x by $2^n x$ and dividing by 4^n in (2.4), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \left\| \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{4^n} + \frac{g(0)}{4^n} - \frac{2f(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{2g(2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{np}}{4^n} \|x\|^p$$

for all $n \in N$ and $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. From (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} - 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^{n+1}x)}{4^n} - 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} - 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. From this, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

Replacing x by $2^n x$ and dividing by 4^n in (2.5), we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(0)}{4^n} + \frac{g(2^{n+1}x)}{4^n} - \frac{2f(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{2g(-2^n x)}{4^n} \right\| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^{np}}{4^n} \|x\|^p$$

for all $n \in N$ and $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. From this and (2.14), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(-2^n x)}{4^n}$$

for all $x \in V$. From (2.10), (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(-2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(-2^n x)}{4^n} \\ &= Q(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in V$. From the definition of $Q(x)$ and (2.16), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad Q(2x) = 4Q(x) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(x) = Q(-x)$$

for all $x \in V$. From (2.1), (2.16), and (2.17), we obtain similarly

$$Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) - 2Q(x) - 2Q(y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in V$. From (2.12) and (2.16), we can easily obtain the inequality (2.2).

Now we have to prove the uniqueness. If Q' is an another quadratic function satisfying (2.2), then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|5Q(x) - 5Q'(x)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{Q(2^n x)}{4^n} + \frac{Q(2^n \cdot 2x)}{4^n} - \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{g(2^n \cdot 2x)}{4^n} \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| \frac{f(2^n x)}{4^n} + \frac{g(2^n \cdot 2x)}{4^n} - \frac{Q'(2^n x)}{4^n} - \frac{Q'(2^n \cdot 2x)}{4^n} \right\| \\ & \leq 2 \cdot \frac{4}{4 - 2^p} \cdot \frac{2^{np}}{4^n} (\|x\|^p + \|3x\|^p + 2\|x\|^p + 2\|2x\|^p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$ and $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore

$$Q(x) = Q'(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in V.$$

□

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $p < 2$, $\theta > 0$ be real numbers. Let $\psi : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a mapping such that*

$$\psi(x) = \|x\|^p \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

Suppose that the functions $f, g : V \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$(2.18) \quad \|f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2g(y)\| \leq \theta(\psi(x) + \psi(y))$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Then there exists exactly one quadratic function $Q : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) + g(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\theta}{4-2^p}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\theta\psi(0)$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$\|g(x) + f(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\theta}{4-2^p}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\theta\psi(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. And then, the function Q is given by (2.3).

Proof. We may assume that $\theta = 1$ without the loss of generality. By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the unique quadratic function $Q : V \rightarrow X$ satisfying (2.2) and (2.3). From (2.18), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f(x) + g(y) - \frac{1}{4}(f(2x) + g(2y))\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(\psi(x+y) + \psi(x-y)) + \frac{1}{2}(\psi(x) + \psi(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Replacing $y = 0$ on the both sides of (2.19), we obtain

$$\|f(x) + g(0) - \frac{1}{4}(f(2x) + g(0))\| \leq \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\psi(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. Applying an induction argument to n , we get

$$\|f(x) + g(0) - \frac{1}{4^n}(f(2^n x) + g(0))\| \leq \frac{4}{4-2^p}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\psi(0)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in V$. From this, we get

$$\|f(x) + g(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4}{4-2^p}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\psi(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. Similarly, we have

$$\|g(x) + f(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4}{4-2^p}\psi(x) + \frac{2}{3}\psi(0)$$

for all $x \in V$. □

THEOREM 2.3. Let $p > 2$, $\theta > 0$ be real numbers. Suppose that the functions $f, g : V \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$(2.20) \quad \|f(x+y) + g(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2g(y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in V$. Then there exists exactly one quadratic function $Q : V \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\theta}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in V$ and

$$\|g(x) - g(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4\theta}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in V$. The function is given by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n (f(2^{-n}x) - f(0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n (g(2^{-n}x) - g(0))$$

for all $x \in V$.

Proof. We may assume that $\theta = 1$ without the loss of generality. From (2.20), we easily obtain

$$(2.21) \quad \|f(0) + g(0)\| = 0,$$

$$(2.22) \quad \|f(2x) + g(0) - 2f(x) - 2g(x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p,$$

$$(2.23) \quad \|f(0) + g(2x) - 2f(x) - 2g(-x)\| \leq 2\|x\|^p,$$

$$(2.24) \quad \|f(x) + g(-x) - 2f(0) - 2g(x)\| \leq \|x\|^p, \text{ and}$$

$$(2.25) \quad \|f(x) + g(x) - 2f(x) - 2g(0)\| \leq \|x\|^p.$$

From (2.21), (2.22), and (2.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2x) - f(0) - 4(f(x) - f(0))\| \\ & \leq \|f(2x) + g(0) - 4f(x) - 4g(0)\| + 3\|f(0) + g(0)\| \\ (2.26) \quad & \leq \|f(2x) + g(0) - 2f(x) - 2g(x)\| \\ & \quad + 2\|f(x) + g(x) - 2f(x) - 2g(0)\| \\ & \leq 4\|x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying an induction argument to n , we have

$$\|4^n (f(2^{-n}x) - f(0)) - 4^{n+1} (f(2^{-n-1}x) - f(0))\| \leq \frac{4^{n+1}}{2^{(n+1)p}} \|x\|^p.$$

Hence

$$(2.27) \quad \|f(x) - f(0) - 4^n(f(2^{-n}x) - f(0))\| \leq \frac{4}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p.$$

Replacing x by $2^{-m}x$ and multiplying by 4^m in (2.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|4^m(f(2^{-m}x) - f(0)) - 4^{m+n}(f(2^{-m-n}x) - f(0))\| \\ & \leq \frac{4^{m+1}}{2^{mp}(2^p - 4)} \|x\|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in N$. This shows that $\{4^n(f(2^{-n}x) - f(0))\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and thus converges from the completeness of X . Define $Q : V \rightarrow X$ by

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n(f(2^{-n}x) - f(0)).$$

From (2.27) and the definition of Q , we have the inequality

$$\|f(x) - f(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p.$$

Replacing x by $2^{-n-1}x$ and multiplying by 4^n in (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|4^n(f(2^{-n}x) - f(0)) - 2 \cdot 4^n(f(2^{-n-1}x) - f(0)) \\ & \quad - 2 \cdot 4^n(g(2^{-n-1}x) - g(0))\| \\ (2.28) \quad & = \|4^n(f(2^{-n}x) + g(0)) - 2f(2^{-n-1}x) - 2g(2^{-n-1}x)\| \\ & \quad + 4^n\|g(0) + f(0)\| \\ & \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4^n}{2^{(n+1)p}} \|x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit in (2.28) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$Q(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n(g(2^{-n}x) - g(0)).$$

By the similar method as in (2.26) and (2.27), we obtain

$$\|g(x) - g(0) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{4}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p$$

from (2.21), (2.23), and (2.24). The rest of proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

References

- [1] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aeq. Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [2] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in the normed space*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [3] Z. Gajda, *On the stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [4] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, 1998.
- [7] G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of ψ -additive mappings*, J. Approx. Theory **72** (1993), 131–137.
- [8] ———, *Functional inequalities for approximately additive mappings*, Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type, Hadronic Press, Inc., Florida (1994), 117–125.
- [9] ———, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. **19** (1996), no. 2, 219–228.
- [10] K. W. Jun, D. S. Shin, and B. D. Kim, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of the Pexider Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **239** (1999), 20–29.
- [11] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **204** (1996), 221–226.
- [12] Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Pexider Equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **246** (2000), 627–638.
- [13] ———, *A Note on the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Pexider Equation*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **37** (2000), 111–124.
- [14] ———, *On the Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 1361–1369.
- [15] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [16] ———, *On a modified Hyers-Ulam sequence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **158** (1991), 106–113.
- [17] ———, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation*, Mathematica, to appear.
- [18] ———, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications*, Studia Univ. “Babes-Bolyai”, to appear.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which does not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [20] Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor, *What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability?*, Journal of Natural Geometry **1** (1992), 65–69.
- [21] F. Skof, *Local properties and approximations of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [22] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chap. VI, Science eds., Wiley, New York, 1960.

KIL-WOUNG JUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, KOREA

E-mail: kwjun@math.chungnam.ac.kr

YANG-HI LEE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KONGJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, KONGJU 314-060, KOREA

E-mail: lyhmzi@kongjuw2.kongju-e.ac.kr