

## THE ITERATION OF ENTIRE FUNCTION

JIANWU SUN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we obtain the following results: Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function with  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta > 1$ ). Then every component of  $N(f)$  is bounded. This result generalizes the result of Baker.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote a nonlinear entire function and  $f^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n$ th iterate of  $f$ . The set of normality,  $N(f)$ , is defined to be the set of points,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that the sequence  $(f^n)$  forms a normal family in some neighbourhood of  $z$ . It is easy to see that  $N(f)$  is open and has the property of complete invariance under  $f$ , that is  $z \in N(f)$  if and only if  $f(z) \in N(f)$ . The complement  $J(f)$ , of  $N(f)$  is known as the Julia set. This set is clearly closed and completely invariant under  $f$ . More details of these and other basic properties of the sets  $N(f)$  and  $J(f)$  can be found in [4] and [5].

Baker [1] obtained the following result:

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental entire function with  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^p)$ , where  $1 < p < 3$ . Then every component of  $N(f)$  is bounded.*

In the paper, we generalize the condition  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^p)$  ( $1 < p < 3$ ) to the form  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta > 1$ ) in Theorem A and obtain the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function with*

$$\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta > 1).$$

*Then every component of  $N(f)$  is bounded.*

---

Received January 28, 1999.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35, 30D20.

Key words and phrases: transcendental entire function, iteration, order.

## 2. Some lemmas

LEMMA 1 [2]. Let  $f$  be an entire function of order zero and  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| - N(2R) - \log |c| < 4Q(2R)$$

for all  $z \in \{z : |z| < R\}$ .

LEMMA 2 [2]. Let  $f$  be an entire function of order zero and  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then for any  $\zeta > 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ , there exist  $R_0 = R_0(\zeta, \eta)$ ,  $k = k(\zeta, \eta)$  such that for all  $R > R_0$ ,

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| - N(2R) - \log |c| > -kQ(2R), \zeta R \leq r \leq R,$$

except in a set of circles enclosing the zeros of  $f$ , the sum of whose radii is at most  $\eta R$ . Where  $Q(r) = r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt$ ,  $N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt$ .

Combining Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 and taking  $\zeta = \eta$  to be small, we obtain:

LEMMA 3. If  $f$  is an entire function of order zero then there exist  $R_0 = R_0(\zeta) > 0$  and a constant  $B$  such that, for each  $R > R_0$ , there exists  $r$  satisfying

$$\zeta R \leq r \leq R$$

such that

$$\log m(r, f) > \log M(r, f) - BQ(2R).$$

LEMMA 4 [5]. Suppose that  $f$  is a transcendental entire function and that there exist sequences  $R_n$ ,  $\rho_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c(n) > 1$  such that

1.  $R_{n+1} = M(R_n) = M(R_n, f)$
2.  $R_n \leq \rho_n \leq (R_n)^{c(n)}$
3.  $m(\rho_n) = m(\rho_n, f) > (R_{n+1})^{c(n+1)}$  for all sufficiently large  $n$

Then  $N(f)$  contains no unbounded components.

LEMMA 5. Let  $\phi(r)$ ,  $H(r)$  be two positive functions tending to  $\infty$  (as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ ), and  $A = A(r) > 1$ , and  $\phi(Ar)/\phi(r) \rightarrow c$  ( $r \rightarrow \infty$ ), ( $c \geq 1$ ). If  $H(r) = O(\phi(r))$ , then there exists  $r_0 > 1$ ,  $H(Ar)/H(r)$  is upper bounded in  $[r_0, +\infty)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $H(Ar)/H(r)$  is not bounded from above in  $[r_0, +\infty)$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $r_n \rightarrow \infty (n \rightarrow \infty)$ , for arbitrary  $G > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that for  $n > n_0$ , we have

$$(1) \quad H(Ar_n)/H(r_n) > G.$$

Since  $\overline{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty}} H(r)/\phi(r) = k$  ( $0 \leq k < +\infty$ ), we see that

1. If  $k \neq 0$ , then by (1) we obtain  $H(Ar_n)/\phi(Ar_n) > G \frac{H(r_n)}{\phi(r_n)} \frac{\phi(r_n)}{\phi(Ar_n)}$ , take  $G = 2c$ , thus

$$\overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}} H(Ar_n)/\phi(Ar_n) \geq G \overline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{H(r_n)}{\phi(r_n)} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(r_n)}{\phi(Ar_n)},$$

i.e.,  $k \geq 2c(1/c)k = 2k$ , this is a contradiction.

2. If  $k = 0$ , then  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} H(r)/\phi(r) = 0$  take  $G = 4c$ , when  $n > n_0$ , by (1) we get  $H(Ar_n)/H(r_n) > 4c$ , so, when  $n > n_0$ , for arbitrary natural number  $m$ , we have

$$(2) \quad H(A^m r_n) > 4cH(A^{m-1} r_n) > \cdots > (4c)^m H(r_n).$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(Ar_n)/\phi(r_n) = c$ , take  $\epsilon_0 = c > 0$ , there exists a  $n_1 > n_0$ , such that for  $n > n_1$  we obtain

$$|\phi(Ar_n)/\phi(r_n) - c| < \epsilon_0 = c, \text{ i.e., } \phi(Ar_n) < 2c\phi(r_n),$$

thus, for arbitrary natural number  $m$ , we get

$$(3) \quad \phi(A^m r_n) < 2c\phi(A^{m-1} r_n) < \cdots < (2c)^m \phi(r_n),$$

take  $n = n_2 > n_1 > n_0$ , by (2) and (3) we have

$$(4) \quad H(A^m r_{n_2})/\phi(A^m r_{n_2}) > \frac{(4c)^m H(r_{n_2})}{(2c)^m \phi(r_{n_2})} = 2^m \frac{H(r_{n_2})}{\phi(r_{n_2})} \rightarrow \infty (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

As  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $A^m r_{n_2} \rightarrow \infty$ , so, (4) is a contradiction with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} H(r)/\phi(r) = 0$ .

This completes the proof of the Lemma 5.  $\square$

LEMMA 6. Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function with  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta > 1$ ). Then

$$\log M(\delta r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \delta \geq 2).$$

*Proof.* (i) We may assume  $f(0) = 1$ , otherwise, we only need to make a transformation

$$F(z) = f(z) - f(0) + 1.$$

By Jensen's theorem

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} N(r, 1/f) &= \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \log M(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

for  $r > 1$  and  $A > 1$ , by (5) we have

$$n(r, 1/f) \log A \leq \int_r^{Ar} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt \leq N(Ar, 1/f) \leq \log M(Ar, f).$$

So

$$(6) \quad n(r, 1/f) \leq \frac{\log M(Ar, f)}{\log A}.$$

Since

$$(7) \quad \log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}).$$

Take  $A = r^{\sigma(r)}$  and  $\sigma(r) = \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha}$ . By (6) we have

$$(8) \quad n(r, 1/f) \leq \frac{\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r}.$$

Therefore, there exists  $r_0 > 1$  and  $k > 0$ , such that for  $r > r_0$ , and put  $r = e^u$ , by (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{r^{1/2} \sigma(r) \log r} &\leq \frac{k(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\alpha}}{r^{1/2} \sigma(r) \log r} \\ &= \frac{k(1 + \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha})^\beta (\log r)^\beta e^{(1 + \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha})^\alpha (\log r)^\alpha}}{r^{1/2} (\log r)^{1-\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{k(1 + 1/u^\alpha)^\beta u^\beta e^{(1+1/u^\alpha)^\alpha u^\alpha}}{(e^u)^{1/2} u^{1-\alpha}} \leq \frac{k 2^\beta u^{\beta+\alpha-1} e^{2^\alpha u^\alpha}}{e^{u/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\frac{\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{r^{1/2}\sigma(r)\log r}$  decreases when  $r > r_1 > r_0$ , and by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad Q(r) &= r \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \\
 &\leq r \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\log M(t^{1+\sigma(t)}, f)}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \\
 &= \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} r \int_r^b \frac{\log M(t^{1+\sigma(t)}, f)}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \\
 &\leq \frac{r^{1/2} \log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r} \int_r^{+\infty} t^{-3/2} dt \\
 &= \frac{2 \log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi(r) = (\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta > 1$ ),  $A = r^{\sigma(r)}$  and  $\sigma(r) = \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad \phi(Ar)/\phi(r) &= \frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\alpha}}{(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \\
 &= (1 + \sigma(r))^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha [(1+\sigma(r))^\alpha - 1]} \\
 &= (1 + \sigma(r))^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha \alpha \sigma(r) (1+o(1))} \\
 &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha}\right)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha \alpha \frac{1}{(\log r)^\alpha} (1+o(1))} \rightarrow e^\alpha (\geq 1) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, from (7)  $\log M(r, f) = O(\phi(r))$ .

On the other hand, from (9), (10), and Lemma 5, there exists  $L > 0$ , for  $r > r_1 > r_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{Q(r)}{\log M(r, f)} &\leq \frac{2 \log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r \log M(r, f)} \\
 &= \frac{\log M(Ar, f)}{\log M(r, f)} \frac{2}{\sigma(r) \log r} \\
 &\leq \frac{2L}{\sigma(r) \log r} = \frac{2L}{(\log r)^{1-\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$(11) \quad Q(r) = o(\log M(r, f)).$$

(ii) Since  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ , the order  $\rho$  of  $f$  is equal to zero, and  $n(r, 1/f) = o(r)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log M(r, f) &\leq \log \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1 + r/r_n) \\
 &= \int_0^{+\infty} \log(1 + r/t) dn(t, 1/f) \\
 &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{r}{t} dn(t, 1/f) \\
 (12) \quad &= r \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt \\
 &= r \left( \int_0^r + \int_r^{+\infty} \right) \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt \\
 &\leq r \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt + r \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \\
 &= N(r) + Q(r) \\
 &= N(r, 1/f) + Q(r, 1/f).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, from Lemma 2, (11), and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 * \log |f(re^{i\theta})| &> N(2R) - kQ(2R) \quad (\zeta R \leq r \leq R) \\
 &= N(2R) + Q(2R) - (k+1)Q(2R) \\
 &\geq \log M(2R, f) - (k+1) \circ (\log M(2R, f)) \\
 (13) \quad &= \log M(2R, f)(1 - o(1))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(14) \quad \geq \log M(r, f)(1 - o(1)).$$

On the other hand,

$$(15) \quad \log |f(z)| \leq \log M(r, f) \leq \log M(\delta r, f) \quad (|z| = r, \delta \geq 2).$$

In (13), let  $2R = \delta r, \delta \geq 2$ . Then from (13), (14), and (15), we get

$$(16) \quad \log |f(z)| \sim \log M(\delta r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$(17) \quad \log |f(z)| \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

By (16) and (17), we get

$$(18) \quad \log M(\delta r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \delta \geq 2).$$

So, from (18), Lemma 6 is proved.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 6, we have

$$(19) \quad \log M(\delta r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \delta \geq 2),$$

thus, for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $\delta \geq 2$  we get

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon) \log M(r, f) &< \log M(\delta r, f) \\ &< (1 + \epsilon) \log M(r, f) \\ &< 2 \log M(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

By (6) and (20), for  $r > 1$  and  $A \geq 2$ , we obtain

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(r) &= r \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \\ &\leq r \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\log M(At, f)}{t^2 \log A} dt \\ &< \frac{2r}{\log A} \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\log M(t, f)}{t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (19) there exists  $r_0 > 1$  and  $K > 0$ , such that for  $r > r_0$ , and put  $r = e^\mu$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{1/2}} &\leq \frac{K(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}}{r^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{K\mu^\beta e^{\mu^\alpha}}{e^{\mu/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\frac{\log M(r, f)}{r^{1/2}}$  decreases when  $r > r_1 > r_0$ , by (21) we get

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(r) &< \frac{2r}{\log A} \int_r^{+\infty} \frac{\log M(t, f)}{t^2} dt \\ &< \frac{2r^{1/2}}{\log A} \log M(r, f) \int_r^{+\infty} t^{-3/2} dt \\ &= 4 \frac{\log M(r, f)}{\log A}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3 and (22), there exists  $R_0$  and a constant  $c > 1$ , such that, for each  $R > R_0$  and each  $A \geq 2$ , there exists  $r$  satisfying

$$R/\delta \leq r \leq R$$

with

$$(23) \quad \log m(r, f) > \log M(r, f) - c \frac{\log M(2R, f)}{\log A}.$$

On the other hand, since  $f$  is transcendental there exists  $r_2$  such that for all  $r > r_2 > r_1$ ,  $M(r, f) \geq r^2$ , take

$$(24) \quad R_1 > \max(e^2, r_2) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{n+1} = M(R_n, f).$$

We now separate two cases:

Case 1. Suppose that

$$(25) \quad \log M((R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f) \geq 4 \log M(R_n, f).$$

Put  $A = e^{8c}$ , by (23) for sufficiently large  $n$  there exists  $\rho_n$  satisfying

$$(26) \quad R_n < \frac{1}{8}(R_n)^{2+1/n} \leq \rho_n \leq (R_n)^{2+1/n}$$

and

$$\log m(\rho_n, f) > \log M(\rho_n, f) - c \frac{\log M(2(R_n)^{2+1/n}, f)}{\log e^{8c}}.$$

By (20), for sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log M(2(R_n)^{2+1/n}, f) \\ &= \log M(16(R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f) \\ &< 2 \log M((R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \log m(\rho_n, f) &> \log M(\rho_n, f) - \frac{1}{4} \log M((R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f) \\ &> \frac{3}{4} \log M((R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f). \end{aligned}$$

By (25), we get

$$\log m(\rho_n, f) > 3 \log M(R_n, f).$$

Thus

$$(27) \quad m(\rho_n, f) > (M(R_n, f))^3 = (R_{n+1})^3 > (R_{n+1})^{2+\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Case 2. Suppose that

$$(28) \quad \log M((R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f) < 4 \log M(R_n, f).$$

Put  $A = e^{cn^3}$ , by (23) for sufficiently large  $n$ , there exists  $\rho_n$  satisfying

$$(29) \quad R_n < (R_n)^{2+1/n-1/n^3} < \frac{1}{64}(R_n)^{2+1/n} \leq \rho_n < \frac{1}{8}(R_n)^{2+1/n}$$

and

$$\log m(\rho_n, f) > \log M(\rho_n, f) - c \frac{\log M(2(R_n)^{2+1/n}/8, f)}{\log e^{cn^3}}.$$

By (20) and (28), for sufficiently large  $n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log m(\rho_n, f) &> \log M(\rho_n, f) - \frac{2 \log M(\frac{1}{8}(R_n)^{2+1/n}, f)}{n^3} \\ (30) \qquad &> \log M(\rho_n, f) - \frac{8 \log M(R_n, f)}{n^3}. \end{aligned}$$

By (29),  $\rho_n > (R_n)^{2+1/n-1/n^3}$  and  $\log M(r, f)$  is a convex function of  $\log r$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(\rho_n, f) &> (2+1/n-1/n^3)[\log M(R_n, f) - \log M(1, f)] + \log M(1, f) \\ (31) \qquad &> (2+1/n-1/n^3) \log M(R_n, f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (30) and (31), for sufficiently large  $n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log m(\rho_n, f) &> (2+1/n-2/n^3-8/n^3) \log M(R_n, f) \\ &> (2+\frac{1}{n+1}) \log M(R_n, f) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(32) \qquad m(\rho_n, f) > (R_{n+1})^{2+\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Hence, by (24), (26), and (27) or (24), (29), and (32), we obtain the following result:

If  $f$  is a transcendental entire function with  $\log M(r, f) = O((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta > 1$ ), then there exists sequences  $R_n, \rho_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

1.  $R_{n+1} = M(R_n, f)$ ,
2. for sufficiently large  $n$ ,

$$R_n \leq \rho_n \leq (R_n)^{2+1/n} \quad \text{and} \quad m(\rho_n, f) > (R_{n+1})^{2+\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Put  $c(n) = 2 + 1/n > 1$ , by Lemma 4, we get every component of  $N(f)$  is bounded.

Theorem 1 is proved. □

### References

- [1] I. N. Baker, *The iteration of polynomials and transcendental entire functions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **30** (1981), 483–495.
- [2] M. L. Cartwright, *Integral Functions*, Cambridge University Press, (1956), p. 83.
- [3] P. Fatou, *Sur les equations fonctionelles*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1919), 167–271, **48** (1920), 33–94, 208–314.
- [4] ———, *Sur Piteration des fonctions transcendantes entieres*, Acta. Math. **47** (1926), 337–370.
- [5] G. M. Stallard, *The iteration of entire function of small growth*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **114** (1993), 43–55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUAIYIN TEACHER'S COLLEGE, JIANGSU 223001,  
P. R. CHINA