# CHARACTERIZATIONS OF A KRULL RING R[X]

## GYU WHAN CHANG

Dedicated to my father Wha Sik Chang

ABSTRACT. We show that R[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring if and only if R is a normal Krull (resp. factorial) ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals if and only if R is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals and  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R. As a corollary, we have that if R[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring and if D is a Krull (resp. factorial) overring of R, then D[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative ring with identity, T(R) its total quotient ring. Z(R) will be the set of zero divisors in R. An overring of R is a ring between R and T(R). For technical reason we assume that  $R \subseteq T(R)$ . An element which is not a zero divisor is said to be regular and an ideal is called a regular ideal if it contains a regular element. A ring R is called a Marot ring if each regular ideal of R is generated by its set of regular elements (cf. [4, Ch. 7]). For a fractional ideal R of R, let R is divisorial if R and R and R is divisorial if R and R is divisorial if R and R is divisorial if R if R is divisorial if R if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R is divisorial if R if R is divisorial if R if R is divisoria

It is well known that R is a Krull (resp. factorial) domain if and only if R[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) domain. Anderson et al. [1, p.113] gave a factorial ring R (and hence a Krull ring) such that R[X] is not a Krull ring (and hence not a factorial ring). In [1, Theorem 5.7], they also showed that R[X] is a Krull ring if and only if R is a finite direct sum of Krull domains. Anderson and Markanda [2] showed that R is a

Received May 31, 1999.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13A18, 13G05.

Key words and phrases: Krull ring, normal ring, overring, factorial ring.

finite direct sum of UFDs if and only if R[X] is a UFR if and only if R[X] is a factorial ring.

In this paper, we will prove that R[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring if and only if R is a normal Krull (resp. factorial) ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals if and only if R is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals and  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R. As a corollary, we have that if R[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring and if D is an overring of R, then D is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring if and only if D[X] is a Krull (resp. factorial) ring. Moreover, if R is a reduced Noetherian ring and if D is a Krull overring of R, then D[X] is a Krull ring. We also show that if R is a regular Noetherian ring, then R[X] is a Krull ring. For undefined notations and definitions, the reader can be referred to [4, 5, 6].

# 2. Main Results

Recall from [6, p.116] that a ring R is said to be normal if  $R_P$  is an integrally closed domain for each prime ideal P of R. It is easy to show that R is a normal ring if and only if R[X] is a normal ring (cf. [6, Proposition 17.B(2)]). R is said to be reduced if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements. It is clear that for each maximal ideal M of R, if  $R_M$  is an integral domain then R is a reduced ring. Thus a normal ring is reduced.

LEMMA 1. (cf. [5, Theorem 168]) A ring R has a finite number of minimal prime ideals and  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R if and only if R is a finite direct sum of integral domains.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$  be the set of minimal prime ideals of R. Let M be a maximal ideal of R. Since  $R_M$  is an integral domain, M contains exactly one of the  $P_i$ 's. Thus if  $i \neq j$ , then  $P_i + P_j = R$ . Note that R is reduced since  $R_M$  is an integral domain for each maximal ideal M of R, that is,  $P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_k = 0$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $R \cong (R/P_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (R/P_k)$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  By a localization technique, we have that  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R. It is clear that R has a finite number of minimal prime ideals.

Let P be a prime ideal of R contained in a maximal ideal M of R. Since  $R_P \cong (R_M)_{PR_M}$ , if  $R_M$  is an integral domain, so is  $R_P$ . Thus  $R_M$ 

is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R if and only if  $R_P$  is an integral domain for every prime ideal P of R.

LEMMA 2. Let R be a ring such that  $R_P$  is an integral domain for each maximal ideal P of R and let D be an overring of R. If M is a maximal ideal of D, then  $D_M$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Let  $P = M \cap R$ . Note that  $R_P$  is an integral domain and  $R_P \hookrightarrow D_{R-P} \hookrightarrow T(R_P)$ . Thus  $D_{R-P}$  and hence  $D_M \cong (D_{R-P})_{MD_{R-P}}$  is an integral domain.

A ring R is called a *Krull ring* if there is a family  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  of rank one discrete valuation rings (DVR) such that  $R = \bigcap_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$  and the intersection has finite character, or equivalently R is completely integrally closed and the ascending chain condition on regular divisorial ideals holds.

THEOREM 3. (cf. [1, Theorem 5.7]) The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) R is a finite direct sum of Krull domains.
- (2) R[X] is a Krull ring.
- (3) R[X] is a normal Krull ring.
- (4) R is a normal Krull ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals.
- (5) R is a Krull ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals and  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): This is [1, Theorem 5.7].

- $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$ : These are clear.
- $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$ : Let  $R = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_n$ , where  $D_i$ 's are Krull domains. Since each prime ideal Q of R is of the form  $D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P \oplus \cdots \oplus D_n$  and  $R_Q = (D_i)_P$ , where P is a prime ideal of  $D_i$ , R is a normal Krull ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals  $\{0 \oplus D_2 \cdots \oplus D_n, D_1 \oplus 0 \oplus D_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_n, \ldots, D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_{n-1} \oplus 0\}$ .
- $(5)\Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $\{P_1,\ldots,P_n\}$  be the set of minimal prime ideals of R. By Lemma 1,  $R=(R/P_1)\oplus\cdots\oplus(R/P_n)$ . Since R is reduced,  $Z(R)=\bigcup_{i=1}^nP_i$  [4, Lemma 4.8] and R is a Marot ring [4, Theorem 7.2]. Thus the set  $X^1(R/P_i)$  of height-one prime ideals of  $R/P_i$  is  $\{P/P_i|$  reg-htP= htP=1 and  $P_i\subsetneq P\}$ . We claim that each  $R/P_i$  is a Krull domain.

Claim 1. For each  $P/P_i \in X^1(R/P_i)$ ,  $(R/P_i)_{P/P_i}$  is a rank one DVR.

*Proof.* Since R is normal,  $P_iR_P = 0$ . Hence  $R_P = R_P/P_iR_P \cong (R/P_i)_{P/P_i}$ . Since R is a Marot Krull ring and reg-htP = 1,  $P \subsetneq PP^{-1}$  [4, Theorems 8.4 and 8.6]. Since  $R_P = (PP^{-1})R_P = (PR_P)(P^{-1}R_P) \subseteq (PR_P)(PR_P)^{-1} \subseteq R_P$ ,  $PR_P$  is invertible. Thus  $R_P \cong (R/P_i)_{P/P_i}$  is a rank one DVR.

Claim 2. 
$$R/P_i = \bigcap \{ (R/P_i)_{P/P_i} | P/P_i \in X^1(R/P_i) \}.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that each prime t-ideal of  $R/P_i$  is of height-one [3, Ex. 22, p. 52]. Let  $Q/P_i$  be a prime ideal of  $R/P_i$  such that  $\operatorname{ht}(Q/P_i) \geq 2$ . Then Q is a regular prime ideal of R and  $\operatorname{ht}Q = \operatorname{reg-ht}Q \geq 2$  (note that R is reduced). Since R is a Krull ring, there are some elements  $a, b \in Q$  with a regular such that  $(a, b)_v = R$ .

Let  $\overline{a}=a+P_i, \ \overline{b}=b+P_i\in R/P_i$  and let  $\frac{\overline{y}}{\overline{x}}\in T(R/P_i)$  such that  $\frac{\overline{y}}{\overline{x}}(\overline{a},\overline{b})\subseteq R/P_i$  where  $x,y\in R$  and  $\overline{x}=x+P_i, \ \overline{y}=y+P_i$ . Since  $\overline{x}\neq 0$ ,  $x\not\in P_i$ . Hence  $(P_i,x)\not\subseteq Z(R)$ . Thus  $(P_i,x)$  is a regular ideal of R. Take a regular element  $x'\in (P_i,x)$ . Then x'=xr+p for some  $r\in R$  and  $p\in P_i$ . So  $x'+P_i=rx+P_i$ , which implies that  $\overline{(\frac{yr}{x'})}=\frac{\overline{yr}}{\overline{xr}}=\frac{\overline{y}}{\overline{x}}$ . Since  $\overline{(\frac{yr}{x'})}(\overline{a},\overline{b})\subseteq R/P_i, \ \frac{yr}{x'}a\in R$  and  $\frac{yr}{x'}b\in R$ . So  $\frac{yr}{x'}\in (a,b)^{-1}=R$ . Thus  $(\overline{a},\overline{b})^{-1}=R/P_i$  and  $(Q/P_i)_t=R/P_i$ , which shows that each prime t-ideal of  $R/P_i$  is of height-one.

Claim 3. The intersection  $R/P_i = \bigcap \{ (R/P_i)_{P/P_i} | P/P_i \in X^1(R/P_i) \}$  has finite character.

*Proof.* Let  $\overline{a} = a + P_i$  be a nonzero element of  $R/P_i$ . Then  $(P_i, a)$  is a regular ideal of R. Hence there exist a finite number of regular height-one prime ideals of R containing  $(P_i, a)$  (note that R is a Krull ring). Thus the number of height-one prime ideals of  $R/P_i$  containing  $\overline{a}$  is finite.

By Claims 1, 2, and 3,  $R/P_i$  is a Krull domain.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$ : If R is a normal Krull ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals, then R is a finite direct sum of Krull domains by  $((5) \Rightarrow (1))$ . Thus R[X] is a Krull ring. Since R is normal, R[X] is a normal Krull ring.

COROLLARY 4. Let R be a Noetherian ring. Then R is a normal ring if and only if R[X] is a Krull ring.

*Proof.* Note that an integrally closed Noetherian ring is a Krull ring [4, Theorem 10.1], and that the number of minimal prime ideals of a

Noetherian ring is finite (cf. [5, Theorem 88]). Thus the result follows from Theorem 3.

It is clear that if D is an overring of R, then R and D have the same number of minimal prime ideals (if R is an integral domain then the minimal prime ideal of R is (0)). Thus the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and Theorem 3.

COROLLARY 5. Let R[X] be a Krull ring and D be an overring of R. Then D is a Krull ring if and only if D[X] is a Krull ring.

A ring R satisfies Property A if each finitely generated ideal  $I \subseteq Z(R)$  has nonzero annihilator. [5, Theorem 82] shows that a Noetherian ring satisfies Property A. It follows from [4, Corollary 2.6] that each overring of a Noetherian ring satisfies Property A.

COROLLARY 6. Let R be a reduced Noetherian ring and let R' be the integral closure of R. Then R'[X] is a Krull ring. Moreover, if D is a Krull overring of R, then D[X] is a Krull ring.

*Proof.* Since R is a reduced Noetherian ring, R' is a reduced integrally closed ring satisfying *Property A*. By [4, Theorem 13.11], R'[X] is integrally closed. Hence R'[X] is the integral closure of R[X]. Since R is a Noetherian ring, so is R[X]. Thus R'[X] is a Krull ring [4, Theorem 10.1]. Moreover, if D is a Krull overring of R, then R is an overring of R'. Thus by Corollary 5, R[X] is a Krull ring.

Let R be a local Noetherian ring with maximal ideal M. Note that  $M/M^2$  is an R-module annihilated by M and hence a vector space over the field R/M. Recall from [5, p.116] that R is a regular local ring if  $\dim_{R/M}(M/M^2) = \dim R$ , where  $\dim_{R/M}(M/M^2)$  is the dimension of a vector space  $M/M^2$  over R/M and  $\dim R$  is the Krull dimension of R. A Noetherian ring R is called a regular ring if  $R_P$  is a regular local ring for every prime ideal P of R [6, p.140].

Since a regular local ring is a UFD [6, Theorem 48], a regular domain is an integrally closed Noetherian domain and hence a Krull domain [5, Theorems 103 and 104].

COROLLARY 7. If R is a regular ring, then R is a finite direct sum of Krull domains. Thus R[X] is a Krull ring.

*Proof.* Since R is a regular ring, R is a finite direct sum of integral domains [5, Theorem 168]. By a localization technique, we can easily show that each direct summand of R is a regular domain and hence a Krull domain.

A ring R is a unique factorization ring (UFR) if every principal ideal of R is a product of principal prime ideals and a ring R is called a factorial ring if each regular element of R is a product of (regular) prime elements. Hence a UFR is a factorial ring and a factorial ring is a Krull ring.

THEOREM 8. (cf. [1, Corollary 5.8]) The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) R is a finite direct sum of UFDs.
- (2) R[X] is a UFR.
- (3) R[X] is a factorial ring.
- (4) R[X] is a normal UFR.
- (5) R[X] is a normal factorial ring.
- (6) R is a normal factorial ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals.
- (7) R is a factorial ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals and  $R_M$  is an integral domain for every maximal ideal M of R.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) and (4)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5): These are in [1, Corollary 5.8].

- $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(6) \Rightarrow (7)$ : These are clear.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (5)$ : Since a factorial ring is a Krull ring, R[X] is normal by Theorem 3.
- $(3) \Rightarrow (6)$ : By Theorem 3, R is a normal Krull ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals. Since R[X] is a factorial ring, so is R. Thus R is a normal factorial ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals.
- $(7) \Rightarrow (1)$ : By Theorem 3, R is a finite direct sum of Krull domains. Let  $R = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_n$ . We show that each  $D_i$  is a UFD. Let P be a prime ideal of  $D_i$  and let a be a nonzero element of P. Then  $(1, \ldots, a, \ldots, 1)$  is a regular element of R. Since R is a factorial ring,  $(1, \ldots, a, \ldots, 1)$  is a finite product of prime elements of R. Hence a is a finite product of prime elements of  $D_i$ . Thus P contains a prime element of  $D_i$  and hence  $D_i$  is a UFD by [5, Theorem 5].

The following corollary follows directly from Lemma 2 and Theorem 8.

COROLLARY 9. Let R[X] be a factorial ring and D be an overring of R. Then D is a factorial ring if and only if D[X] is a factorial ring.

### References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, D. F. Anderson, and R. Markanda, The rings R(X) and R < X >, J. Algebra 95 (1985), 96–115.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and R. Markanda, Unique factorization rings with zero divisors, Houston J. Math. 11 (1985), 15–30.
- [3] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [4] J. Huckaba, Commutative rings with zero divisors, Decker, New York, 1988.
- [5] I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings, Revised Edition, Univ. of Chicago, London, 1974.
- [6] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra, Benjamin, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANGWON NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CHUNCHON 200-701, KOREA

E-mail: whan@postech.ac.kr