

Some Properties of BL-Algebras

Jung-mi Ko and Yong-chan Kim

Department of Mathematics, Kangnung National University

Abstract

We investigate the properties of BL-homomorphisms on BL-algebras. In particular, we find the BL-algebra induced by lattice-isomorphism. From these facts, we obtain the generalized Lukasiewicz structure. Moreover, we study the properties of quotient BL-algebras and deductive systems.

Key Words : BL-algebras, Quotient BL-algebras, Deductive systems

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Ward and Dilworth [7] introduced residuated lattices as the foundation of the algebraic structures of fuzzy logic. Hájek [1] introduced a BL-algebra which is a general tool of fuzzy logic. Recently, Höhle [2,3] extended the fuzzy set $f : X \rightarrow L$ where L is a BL-algebra in stead of an unit interval I .

In this paper, we investigate the properties of BL-homomorphisms on BL-algebras. In particular, we find the BL-algebra induced by lattice-isomorphism. From these facts, we can obtain the generalized Lukasiewicz structure. Moreover, we prove the first isomorphism theorem on BL-algebras. We study the properties of quotient BL-algebras. We give the examples of them. In general, the intersection of deductive systems is a deductive system. We construct the smallest deductive system containing the union of deductive systems.

Definition 1.1 ([1,6]). A lattice $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a residuated lattice if it satisfies the following conditions : for each $x, y, z \in L$,

- (R1) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid,
- (R2) if $x \leq y$, then $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$ (\odot is an isotone operation),
- (R3) (Galois correspondence) : $(x \odot y) \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$.

In a residuated lattice L , $x^* = (x \rightarrow 0)$ is called *complement* of $x \in L$.

Lemma 1.2 ([6]). In a residuated lattice $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ we have the following properties : for $x, y, z \in L$,

- (1) $x = 1 \rightarrow x$,
- (2) $1 = x \rightarrow x$,
- (3) $x \odot y \leq x, y$,
- (4) $x \odot y \leq x \wedge y$,
- (5) $y \leq x \rightarrow y$,

- (6) $x \odot y \leq x \rightarrow y$,
- (7) $x \leq y$ iff $1 = x \rightarrow y$,
- (8) $x = y$ iff $1 = x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x$,

Definition 1.3 ([1,6]). A residuated lattice $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is called a *BL-algebra* if it satisfies the following conditions : for each $x, y, \in L$,

- (B1) $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \rightarrow y)$,
- (B2) $x \vee y = [(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y] \wedge [(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x]$,
- (B3) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$

Definition 1.4 ([6]). Let L be a BL-algebra. A subset D of L is a deductive system of L , *ds* for short, if it satisfies the following conditions :

- (1) $1 \in D$,
- (2) if $x, x \rightarrow y \in D$, then $y \in D$.

Theorem 1.5. Let L be a BL-algebra. A nonempty subset D of L is *ds* iff it satisfies the following conditions :

- (1) if $a, b \in D$, then $a \odot b \in D$,
- (2) if $a \in D$ and $a \leq b$, then $b \in D$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $a, b \in D$. Since $(a \odot b) \leq (a \odot b)$, by Galois correspondence, $a \leq [b \rightarrow (a \odot b)]$. Since $a = 1 \odot a$, we have $a \rightarrow [b \rightarrow (a \odot b)] = 1$. Since D is a *ds*, $b \rightarrow (a \odot b) \in D$. Thus, $(a \odot b) \in D$.

Let $a \in D$ and $a \leq b$. Since $a \leq b$, by Lemma 1.2(7), $a \rightarrow b = 1 \in D$. Hence $b \in D$.

(\Leftarrow) Since $D \neq \emptyset$, $a \leq 1$ for each $a \in D$. By (2), $1 \in D$. Let $a, a \rightarrow b \in D$. By (1), $a \odot (a \rightarrow b) \in D$. Since $(a \rightarrow b) \leq (a \rightarrow b)$, we have $[(a \rightarrow b) \odot a] \leq b$. By (2), $b \in D$.

Definition 1.6([6]). Let \sim be an equivalence relation on A . Let $f : A^m \rightarrow A$ be an m -ary operation on A . We say that \sim is a *congruence* with respect to f if $a_i \sim b_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $f(a_1, \dots, a_m) \sim f(b_1, \dots, b_m)$.

Theorem 1.7 ([6]). If \sim is a congruence relation on a BL-algebra L . then $D = \{a \in L \mid a \sim 1\}$ is a *ds*.

접수일자 : 2001년 2월 1일
 완료일자 : 2001년 3월 12일

Theorem 1.8 ([6]). Let L be a BL-algebra. Let D be a ds of L . Define $a \sim b$ iff $(a \rightarrow b) \odot (b \rightarrow a) \in D$.

Then \sim is a congruence relation with respect to $\rightarrow, \odot, *, \vee, \wedge$.

Theorem 1.9 ([6]). Let D be a ds of a BL-algebra L . Define on L/D which is the set of equivalence classes $\{|a| \mid a \in L\}$, for all $a, b \in L$,

$$|a| \leq |b| \text{ iff } a \rightarrow b \in D,$$

then

$$(L/D, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, |0|, |1|)$$

is a BL-algebra where $|a| \wedge |b| = |a \wedge b|$,

$$|a| \vee |b| = |a \vee b|, |a| \odot |b| = |a \odot b|$$

$$|a| \rightarrow |b| = |a \rightarrow b|.$$

Theorem 1.10 ([6]). Let L, K be two BL-algebras. Let $h : L \rightarrow K$ be a BL-homomorphism. Then, for all $x, y \in L$,

- (1) $h(x^*) = h(x)^*$, $h(1) = 1$
- (2) if $x \leq y$, then $h(x) \leq h(y)$,
- (3) $h(x \wedge y) = h(x) \wedge h(y)$, $h(x \vee y) = h(x) \vee h(y)$,
- (4) if D is a ds of L , then $h(D)$ is a ds of K .

2. BL-homomorphism

Definition 2.1 ([6]). Let L, K be two BL-algebras. A map $h : L \rightarrow K$ is called a *BL-homomorphism* if for all $x, y \in L$, it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $h(x \rightarrow y) = h(x) \rightarrow h(y)$,
- (2) $h(x \odot y) = h(x) \odot h(y)$, $h(0) = 0$.

A BL-homomorphism $h : L \rightarrow K$ is called a *BL-isomorphism* if h^{-1} is a BL-homomorphism and h is bijective.

Theorem 2.2. Let L, K be two BL-algebras. If $h : L \rightarrow K$ is a bijective BL-homomorphism, then h a BL-isomorphism.

Proof. We only show that f^{-1} is a BL-homomorphism. Put $f^{-1}(y_1) = x_1$ and $f^{-1}(y_2) = x_2$ for each $y_1, y_2 \in K$. Since f is a BL-homomorphism,

$$f(x_1 \odot x_2) = f(x_1) \odot f(x_2) = y_1 \odot y_2,$$

$$f(x_1 \rightarrow x_2) = f(x_1) \rightarrow f(x_2) = y_1 \rightarrow y_2.$$

If implies $x_1 \odot x_2 = f^{-1}(y_1 \odot y_2)$ and

$x_1 \rightarrow x_2 = f^{-1}(y_1 \rightarrow y_2)$. Thus,

$$f^{-1}(y_1) \odot f^{-1}(y_2) = x_1 \odot x_2 = f^{-1}(y_1 \odot y_2),$$

$$f^{-1}(y_1) \rightarrow f^{-1}(y_2) = x_1 \rightarrow x_2 = f^{-1}(y_1 \rightarrow y_2).$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $(L, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ be a lattice and $(K, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot_K, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ be a BL-algebra. Let

$h : L \rightarrow K$ be a lattice-isomorphism (h is bijective, $h(x \wedge y) = h(x) \wedge h(y)$ and $h(x \vee y) = h(x) \vee h(y)$). Define two operations as follows:

$$x \rightarrow y = h^{-1}(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)),$$

$$x \odot_L y = h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(y)).$$

Then:

(1) $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot_L, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a BL-algebra.

(2) A map $h : L \rightarrow K$ is a BL-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) (A) For each $x, y \in L$, Define $x \leq y$ iff $x \vee y = y$. Since $h : L \rightarrow K$ is a lattice-isomorphism, $x \leq y$ iff $x \vee y = y$ iff $h(x) \vee h(y) = h(y)$. Thus h and h^{-1} are order preserving maps.

(R1) $(L, \odot_L, 1)$ is a commutative monoid from:

It is trivial that \odot_L is commutative.

$$\begin{aligned} x \odot_L 1 &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(1)) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K 1) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x)) = x. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x \odot_L y) \odot_L z &= [h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(y))] \odot_L z \\ &= h^{-1}(h([h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(y))]) \odot_K h(z)) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(y) \odot_K h(z)) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K (h(y) \odot_K h(z))) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h([h^{-1}(h(y) \odot_K h(z))])) \\ &= x \odot_L [h^{-1}(h(y) \odot_K h(z))] \\ &= x \odot_L (y \odot_L z). \end{aligned}$$

(R2) If $x \leq y$, then $h(x) \leq h(y)$.

Thus $h(x) \odot_K h(z) \leq h(y) \odot_K h(z)$.

From (A), since h^{-1} is order preserving map,

$$x \odot_L z = h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(z)) \leq h^{-1}(h(y) \odot_K h(z)) = y \odot_L z$$

(R3) (Galois correspondence): $(x \odot_L y) \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x \odot_L y) \leq z &\text{ iff } h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K h(y)) \leq z \\ &\text{ iff } (h(x) \odot_K h(y)) \leq h(z) \\ &\text{ iff } h(x) \leq [h(y) \rightarrow h(z)] \\ &\text{ iff } x \leq h^{-1}[h(y) \rightarrow h(z)] \\ &\text{ iff } x \leq (y \rightarrow z). \end{aligned}$$

(B1) Since $h(x) \wedge h(y) = h(x) \odot_L (h(x) \rightarrow h(y))$,

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y &= h^{-1}(h(x) \wedge h(y)) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_L (h(x) \rightarrow h(y))) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K [h(x) \rightarrow h(y)]) \\ &= h^{-1}(h(x) \odot_K [h(h^{-1}(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)))])) \\ &= x \odot_L [h^{-1}[h(x) \rightarrow h(y)]] \\ &= x \odot_L (x \rightarrow y). \end{aligned}$$

(B2) Since $h(x) \vee h(y) = [(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) \rightarrow h(y)]$

$$\wedge [h(y) \rightarrow h(x)] \rightarrow h(x),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \vee y &= h^{-1}(h(x)) \vee h^{-1}(h(y)) \\
 &= h^{-1}(h(x) \vee h(y)) \\
 &= h^{-1}([h(x) \rightarrow h(y)] \rightarrow h(y)) \wedge h^{-1}([h(y) \rightarrow h(x)] \rightarrow h(x)) \\
 &= h^{-1}(h(h^{-1}[h(x) \rightarrow h(y)] \rightarrow h(y))) \wedge h^{-1}(h(h^{-1}[h(y) \rightarrow h(x)] \rightarrow h(x))) \\
 &= [h^{-1}(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) \rightarrow y] \wedge [h^{-1}(h(y) \rightarrow h(x)) \rightarrow x] \\
 &= [(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y] \wedge [(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x].
 \end{aligned}$$

(B3) Since $(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) \vee (h(y) \rightarrow h(x)) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= h^{-1}(1) \\
 &= h^{-1}([h(x) \rightarrow h(y)] \vee [h(y) \rightarrow h(x)]) \\
 &= h^{-1}((h(x) \rightarrow h(y))) \vee h^{-1}(h(y) \rightarrow h(x)) \\
 &= (x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, \odot_L, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a BL-algebra.

(2) From the definition of two operations \odot_L and \rightarrow and Theorem 2.2, h is a BL-isomorphism.

From the above theorem, we obtain the important results.

Example 2.4. Let $I = [0, 1]$ be an unit interval and $(I, \leq, \min, \max, 0, 1)$ be a lattice. Define on I binary operations \odot and \rightarrow by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \odot y &= \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \\
 x \rightarrow y &= \min\{1, 1 - x + y\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z)$ from

$$(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z) = 0, \text{ if } x + y + z \leq 2,$$

$$(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (y \odot z) = x + y + z - 2, \text{ if } x + y + z > 2.$$

We easily show that (R1) $(L, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and (R2) if $x \leq y$, then $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$ (\odot is an isotone operation).

(R3) (Galois correspondence): $(x \odot y) \leq z$ iff $x \leq y \rightarrow z$ from

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \odot y) \leq z &\text{ iff } x + y - 1 \leq z \\
 &\text{ iff } x \leq 1 - y + z \\
 &\text{ iff } x \leq \min\{1, 1 - y + z\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(B1) $x \wedge y = x \odot (x \rightarrow y)$ from:

If $x \leq y$, $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \odot 1 = x$ and $x \wedge y = x$.

If $x > y$, $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \odot (1 - x + y) = y$ and $x \wedge y = y$.

(B2) $x \vee y = [(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y] \wedge [(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x]$ from

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{If } x \leq y, & [(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y] \wedge [(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x] = \\
 & y \wedge [(1 - y + x) \rightarrow x] = y.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } x > y, [(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y] \wedge [(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x] = x.$$

Similarly, (B3) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$.

Then $(I, \leq, \min, \max, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a BL-algebra, called *Lukasiewicz structure*.

(1) Define $h : I \rightarrow (I, \leq, \min, \max, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ by $h(x) = x^p$ where $p > 0$. Then h is a lattice-isomorphism. From Theorem 2.3, we can obtain the generalized Lukasiewicz structure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \rightarrow y &= h^{-1}(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) \\
 &= h^{-1}(\min\{1, 1 - h(x) + h(y)\}) \\
 &= \min\{1, (1 - x^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x \odot_I y = h^{-1}(h(x) \odot h(y)) = (\max\{0, x^p + y^p - 1\})^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Then $(I, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot_I, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a BL-algebra

and $h : L \rightarrow K$ is a BL-isomorphism.

(2) $g : ([1, 2], \min, \max, 1, 2) \rightarrow (I, \leq, \min, \max, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ by $g(x) = \log_2 x$. The g is a lattice-isomorphism. From Theorem 2.3, we can obtain the generalized Lukasiewicz structure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \rightarrow y &= g^{-1}(g(x) \rightarrow g(y)) \\
 &= g^{-1}(\min\{1, 1 - \log_2 x + \log_2 y\}) \\
 &= \min\{2, 2^{1 - \log_2 x + \log_2 y}\}, \\
 &= \min\left\{2, \frac{2y}{x}\right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x \odot_{([1, 2])} y = g^{-1}(g(x) \odot g(y)) = \max\left\{1, \frac{xy}{2}\right\}.$$

Then $([1, 2], \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot_{([1, 2])}, \rightarrow, 1, 2)$ is a BL-algebra.

(3) Define $k : I \rightarrow (I, \leq, \min, \max, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ by

$$k(x) = \log_2(x + 1).$$

We can obtain the generalized Lukasiewicz structure as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \rightarrow y &= \min\left\{1, \frac{2y+1}{x+1}\right\}, \\
 x \odot_I y &= \max\left\{0, \frac{xy+x+y-1}{2}\right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $(I, \leq, \wedge, \vee, \odot_I, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a BL-algebra.

We prove the first isomorphism theorem on BL-algebras from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let L, K be two BL-algebras. Let $h : L \rightarrow K$ be a BL-homomorphism. Then

(1) If H is a *ds* of K , then $D = \{a \in L \mid h(a) \sim_H 1\}$ is a *ds* of L .

(2) (The first isomorphism theorem) If h is surjective and $H = \{1\}$, then $D = \{a \in L \mid h(a) = 1\}$ is a *ds* of L and the map $\bar{h} : L/D \rightarrow K$ defined by $\bar{h}(a) = h(a)$ is a BL-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Let $a, b \in D$. Then $h(a), h(b) \sim_H 1$. Since \sim_H is a congruence relation with respect to the operation \odot , we have $h(a) \odot h(b) \sim_H 1 \odot 1$. Since

$$h(a \odot b) = h(a) \odot h(b), \quad h(a \odot b) \sim_H 1 \text{ that is } a \odot b \in D.$$

Let $a \leq b$ and $a \in D$. From Theorem 1.10(2) and Lemma 1.2(7), $h(a) \leq h(b)$ implies $h(a) \rightarrow h(b) = 1$. Since

$h(a) \sim_H 1$ and $h(b) \sim_H h(b)$ and \sim_H is a congruence relation with respect to the operation \rightarrow , we have $1 = [h(a) \rightarrow h(b)] \sim_H [1 \rightarrow h(b)]$. By Lemma 1.2(1),

$h(b) = [1 \rightarrow h(b)]$. Thus $b \in D$.

(2) Let $h(a) \sim_H 1$. By Theorem 1.8,

$$([h(a) \rightarrow 1] \odot [1 \rightarrow h(a)]) \in H.$$

Since $H = \{1\}$,

$$([h(a) \rightarrow 1] \odot [1 \rightarrow h(a)]) = 1.$$

By Lemma 1.2(3,8), $h(a) = 1$. Thus

$$D = \{a \in L \mid h(a) = 1\}.$$

Let $a \sim_D b$. Then $(a \rightarrow b) \odot (b \rightarrow a) \in D$. It implies

$$([h(a) \rightarrow h(b)] \odot [h(b) \rightarrow h(a)]) = 1.$$

By Lemma 1.2(3,8), $h(a) = h(b)$. Thus, \bar{h} is well defined.

Since $h: L \rightarrow K$ is a BL-homomorphism,

$\bar{h}: L/D \rightarrow K$ is a BL-homomorphism from the following statements:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(|x| \rightarrow |y|) &= \bar{h}(|x \rightarrow y|) = h(x \rightarrow y) \\ &= h(x) \rightarrow h(y) = \bar{h}(|x|) \rightarrow \bar{h}(|y|), \\ \bar{h}(|x| \odot |y|) &= \bar{h}(|x \odot y|) = h(x \odot y) \\ &= h(x) \odot h(y) = \bar{h}(|x|) \odot \bar{h}(|y|), \\ \bar{h}(|0|) &= h(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.2, we only show that \bar{h} is bijective. Let $h(a) = h(b)$. From Lemma 1.2(8),

$$[h(a) \rightarrow h(b)] = [h(b) \rightarrow h(a)] = 1.$$

It implies

$$([h(a) \rightarrow h(b)] \odot [h(b) \rightarrow h(a)]) = 1.$$

Then $(a \rightarrow b) \odot (b \rightarrow a) \in D$. Thus, $a \sim_D b$, that is,

$|a| = |b|$. Hence \bar{h} is injective. Since h is surjective, \bar{h} is surjective.

Example 2.6. Let X be a nonempty set and $P(X)$ be a family of all subsets of X . Then $(P(X), \subset, \cap, \cup, \emptyset, X)$ is a lattice. For each $A, B \in P(X)$, we define the operations \odot and \rightarrow by

$$A \odot B = A \cap B, \quad A \rightarrow B = A^c \cup B.$$

It satisfies (R1) and (R2) of Definition 1.1.

We show that $A \cap B \subset C$ iff $A \subset B^c \cup C$ (Galois correspondence) from the following statements:

(\Rightarrow) Since $A \subset (A \cup B^c) \cap (B \cup B^c) = (A \cap B) \cup B^c$ and $A \cap B \subset C$, we have $A \subset B^c \cup C$.

(\Leftarrow) Since $A \subset B^c \cup C$, we have $A \cap B \subset (B^c \cup C) \cap B = C \cap B \subset C$.

It satisfies (B1), (B2) and (B3) of Definition 1.3.

(B1)

$$\begin{aligned} A \odot (A \rightarrow B) &= A \cap (A^c \cup B) \\ &= A \cap B. \end{aligned}$$

(B2)

$$\begin{aligned} &[(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B] \cap [(B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A] \\ &= [(A^c \cup B)^c \cup B] \cap [(B^c \cup A)^c \cup A] \\ &= [(A \cap B^c) \cup B] \cap [(B \cap A^c) \cup A] \\ &= A \cup B. \end{aligned}$$

(B3)

$$\begin{aligned} (A \rightarrow B) \cup (B \rightarrow A) &= [(A^c \cup B) \cup (B^c \cup A)] \\ &= (A^c \cup B^c) \cup (A \cup B) \\ &= X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(P(X), \subset, \cap, \cup, \odot, \rightarrow, \emptyset, X)$ is a BL-algebra.

Example 2.7. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ be two sets. Define $h: P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(\emptyset) &= \emptyset, \quad h(X) = Y, \\ h(\{x_1\}) &= \{y_1\}, \quad h(\{x_2\}) = \{y_2\}, \quad h(\{x_3\}) = \emptyset, \\ h(\{x_1, x_2\}) &= \{y_1, y_2\}, \quad h(\{x_1, x_3\}) = \{y_1\}, \quad h(\{x_2, x_3\}) = \{y_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

It satisfies the following conditions: for each $A, B \in P(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(A \cap B) &= h(A) \cap h(B), \quad h(A \cup B) = h(A) \cup h(B), \\ h(A^c) &= h(A)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A \rightarrow B = A^c \cup B$,

$$h(A \rightarrow B) = h(A^c \cup B) = h(A)^c \cup h(B) = h(A) \rightarrow h(B).$$

Hence $h: P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$ is a BL-homomorphism. From Theorem 2.5(2), $D = \{A \in P(X) \mid h(A) = X\} = \{\{x_1, x_2, X\}\}$ is *ds*. From Theorem 1.8, since

$$\begin{aligned} A \sim B &\text{ iff } [(A \rightarrow B) \odot (B \rightarrow A)] \in D \\ &\text{ iff } [(A^c \cup B) \cap (B^c \cup A)] \in D \\ &\text{ iff } [(A \cap B) \cup (A \cup B)^c] \in D \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\sim \{x_3\}, \quad \{x_1\} \sim \{x_1, x_3\} \\ \{x_2\} &\sim \{x_2, x_3\}, \quad X \sim \{x_1, x_2\} \end{aligned}$$

We obtain $P(X)/D = \{|\emptyset|, |\{x_1\}|, |\{x_2\}|, |X|\}$. Define $\bar{h}: P(X)/D \rightarrow P(Y)$ by $\bar{h}(|A|) = h(A)$. Hence \bar{h} is a BL-isomorphism.

Theorem 2.8. Let L, K be two BL-algebras. Let $h: L \rightarrow K$ be a BL-homomorphism. Let N, H be *ds*'s of L, K , respectively.

- (1) If $N \subset D$ where $D = \{a \in L \mid h(a) \sim_H 1\}$ is a *ds*, then $a \sim_N b$ implies $h(a) \sim_H h(b)$.
- (2) If $N \subset D$, a map $\bar{h}: L/N \rightarrow K/H$ defined by $\bar{h}(|a|) = |h(a)|$ is a BL-homomorphism.
- (3) If $N = D$ and h is surjective, a map $\bar{h}: L/N \rightarrow K/H$ is a BL-isomorphism.

Proof. (1) Let $a \sim_N b$. From Theorem 1.8, $(a \rightarrow b) \odot$

$(b \rightarrow a) \in N$. Since $(a \rightarrow b) \odot (b \rightarrow a) \leq (a \rightarrow b) \in N$ and $N \subset D$, we have $(h(a) \rightarrow h(b)) \sim_H 1$. Since \sim_H is a congruence relation with respect to the operation \odot , $h(a) \sim_H h(a)$ and $(h(a) \rightarrow h(b)) \sim_H 1$

$$[h(a) \odot (h(a) \rightarrow h(b))] \sim_H [(h(a) \odot 1) = h(a)].$$

Since $h(a) \odot (h(a) \rightarrow h(b)) = h(a) \wedge h(b)$ from (B1) of Definition 1.3, $(h(a) \wedge h(b)) \sim_H h(a)$. By a similar method, $(h(b) \wedge h(a)) \sim_H h(b)$. Since $(h(a) \wedge h(b)) \sim_H h(b) \wedge h(a)$, then $h(a) \sim_H h(b)$.

(2) The map \bar{h} is well defined from (1). Since $|x \rightarrow y| = |x| \rightarrow |y|$ and $|h(x) \rightarrow h(y)| = |h(x)| \rightarrow |h(y)|$ from Theorem 1.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}(|x| \rightarrow |y|) &= \bar{h}(|x \rightarrow y|) \\ &= |h(x) \rightarrow h(y)| \\ &= |h(x)| \rightarrow |h(y)| \\ &= \bar{h}(|x|) \rightarrow \bar{h}(|y|). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\bar{h}(|x| \odot |y|) = \bar{h}(|x|) \odot \bar{h}(|y|)$, $\bar{h}(|0|) = |0|$. Thus, \bar{h} is BL-homomorphism.

(3) Since h is surjective, \bar{h} is surjective. We only show that \bar{h} is injective.

Let $h(x) \sim_H h(y)$. Since \sim_H is a congruence relation with respect to the operation \rightarrow ,

$$\begin{aligned} (h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) &\sim_H (h(y) \rightarrow h(y)) \\ (h(y) \rightarrow h(x)) &\sim_H (h(x) \rightarrow h(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(h(y) \rightarrow h(y)) = 1$, $(h(x) \rightarrow h(x)) = 1$ from Lemma 1.2(2) and \sim_H is a congruence relation with respect to the operation \odot ,

$$[(h(x) \rightarrow h(y)) \odot (h(y) \rightarrow h(x))] \sim_H 1.$$

Thus

$$(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow x) \in D.$$

Hence $x \sim_D y$.

Example 2.9. We define X, Y and h as same in Example 2.7. Let $H = \{\{y_1\}, Y\}$ be a ds . Then

$$D = \{A \in P(X) \mid h(A) \sim_H Y\} = \{\{x_1\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_1, x_3\}, X\}$$

from the following :

$$\{y_1\} \sim_H Y, \{y_2\} \sim_H \emptyset.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_1\} &\sim_D \{x_1, x_2\}, \sim_D \{x_1, x_3\} \sim_D X, \\ \{x_2\} &\sim_D \{x_3\} \sim_D \{x_2, x_3\} \sim_D \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

It implies $P(X)/D = \{|\{x_1\}|, |\{x_2\}|\}$ and $P(Y)/H = \{|\{y_1\}|, |\{y_2\}|\}$. Then $\bar{h}: P(X)/D \rightarrow P(Y)/H$ defined by $\bar{h}(|A|) = |h(A)|$ is a BL isomorphism.

In general, the intersection of deductive systems is a

deductive system. But the union of deductive systems need not be a deductive system. We construct the smallest deductive system containing the union of deductive systems from the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. Let $\{D_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of ds 's on a BL-algebra L .

- (1) $\bigcap_{i \in \Gamma} D_i$ is a ds .
- (2) Define a set

$$D = \{a \in L \mid x_1 \odot \dots \odot x_m \leq a, \exists x_1, \dots, x_m \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} D_i\}.$$

Then D is the smallest ds containing each D_i .

Proof. (1) It is easily proved.

(2) Since $1 \in D_i$ and $1 \leq 1$, then $1 \in D$. Let $a, (a \rightarrow b) \in D$. We will show that $b \in D$. Since $a \in D$, there exist $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} D_i$ such that

$$x_1 \odot \dots \odot x_m \leq a.$$

Since $(a \rightarrow b) \in D$, there exist $y_1, \dots, y_p \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} D_i$ such that

$$y_1 \odot \dots \odot y_p \leq (a \rightarrow b).$$

By Galois correspondence, it implies

$$y_1 \odot \dots \odot y_p \odot a \leq b.$$

Since \odot is isotone,

$$y_1 \odot \dots \odot y_p \odot x_1 \odot \dots \odot x_m \leq b.$$

Since D_i is closed by the operation \odot , we have $b \in D$. Let $x_i \in D_i$. Since $x_i \leq x_i$, we have $x_i \in D$. Hence $D_i \subset D$. Finally, if $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} D_i \subset H$ and H is a ds , we show that $D \subset H$. Let $a \in D$. There exist $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} D_i$ such that

$$x_1 \odot \dots \odot x_m \leq a.$$

Since $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$, by Theorem 1.5 (1), we have $x_1 \odot \dots \odot x_m \in H$. From Theorem 1.5(2), we have $a \in H$.

Example 2.11. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. $D_1 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, X\}$ and $D_2 = \{\{x_2, x_3\}, X\}$ ds 's. Then $D_1 \cap D_2 = \{X\}$ is a ds . But, $D_1 \cup D_2 = \{\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, X\}$ is not a ds because

$$\{x_1, x_2\} \odot \{x_2, x_3\} = \{x_1, x_2\} \cap \{x_2, x_3\} = \{x_2\}, \notin D_1 \cup D_2.$$

From Theorem 2.10(2), $D = \{\{x_2\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, X\}$ is the smallest ds containing D_1 and D_2 .

References

- [1] P.Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzey Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998).
- [2] U.Höhle, *On the fundamentals of fuzzy set theory*, J. Math.Anal.Appl. 201 (1996), 786-826.

저 자 소 개

- [3] U.Höhle and S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of fuzzy sets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1999).
- [4] M. Mizumoto, *Pictorial representations of fuzzy connectives I*, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 31 (1989), 217-245.
- [5] E. Turunen, *Algebraic structures in fuzzy logic*, *Fuzzy sets and System* 52 (1992), 181-188.
- [6] E. Turunen, *Mathematics behind fuzzy logic*, A springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
- [7] M. Ward and R.P. Dilworth, *Residuated lattices*, *Transactions of American Mathematical Society* 45(1939), 335-354.
- [8] S. Weber, *A general concept of fuzzy connectives, negations and implications based on t-norms and t-conorms*, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 11 (1983), 115-134.
- [9] R.R. Yager, *On a general class of fuzzy connectives*, *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 4(1980), 235-242.



고정미(Jung-Mi Ko)

1980년 : 연세대학교 수학과(이학사)
 1982년 : 연세대학교 대학원 수학과(이학석사)
 1988년 : 연세대학교 대학원 수학과(이학박사)
 1988~ 현재 : 강릉대학교 수학과 교수

관심분야 : Fuzzy Logic



김용찬(Yong-Chan Kim)

1982년 : 연세대학교 수학과(이학사)
 1984년 : 연세대학교 대학원 수학과(이학석사)
 1991년 : 연세대학교 대학원 수학과(이학박사)
 1991년~ 현재 : 강릉대학교 수학과 부교수

관심분야 : Fuzzy Topology