## Proton Mediated Carbon-Carbon Bond Formation between the $\beta$ -Carbon of $\eta^1$ -Allyl and $\alpha$ -Carbon of Alkynyl Groups Coordinated to "IrBr(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>"

## Chong Shik Chin,\* Gyongshik Won, and Mieock Kim

Department of Chemistry, Sogang University, Mapoku, Seoul 121-742, Korea Received December 7, 2000

Keywords: C-C Coupling, Allyl, Alkynyl, Enyne.

Carbon-carbon bond formation mediated by transition metals has been investigated as an important step in organic synthesis. Metal-alkynyls, in particular, are of interest due to the reactivity of the alkynyl group  $(M-C_\alpha\equiv C_\beta R)$ . Addition of an electrophile to the  $\beta$ -carbon of the alkynyl group of alkynyl complexes is well-known to give metal-vinylidenes which consequently lead a carbon-carbon bond formation between the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the vinylidene and the  $\alpha$ -carbon of alkenyl<sup>2a</sup> or terminal carbon of the  $\pi$ -allyl<sup>2b</sup> groups adjacent to the vinylidene group.

In the course of our study on the electrophile-mediated carbon-carbon bond formation with alkynyliridium complexes.<sup>3</sup> we have unexpectedly found that proton initially attacks the  $\gamma$ -carbon of the  $\eta^1$ -allyl ligand in ( $\eta^1$ -allyl)(alkynyl)iridium(III) complexes and then a carbon-carbon bond is formed between the  $\beta$ -carbon of the protonated  $\eta^1$ -allyl group and the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the alkynyl group to produce 1.3-enynes.

The  $(\eta^1$ -allyl)(alkynyl)iridium(III) complexes  $L_3Ir(\eta^1-CH_2CH=CHR)(C\equiv C(p-C_6H_4Me))(Br)$  (2. R=Ph (a). H (b).  $L_3=(CO)(PPh_3)_2)^4$  have been prepared from the oxidative addition of allylic bromides  $(BrCH_2CH=CHR)$  to the four coordinated alkynyliridium(I) complex  $L_3Ir(C\equiv C(p-C_6H_4Me))$  (1) $^5$  (eq. 1).

The complexes 2 have been unequivocally characterized by spectral data (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR, and IR) and elemental analysis. It is well-known that trans-(alkyl)(bromo)iridium complexes are obtained from the oxidative addition of alkyl bromides to the related four coordinated iridium complexes. 35.6 The  $\eta^1$ -type coordination (Ir-CH $_{\infty}$ CH $_{\beta}$  = CH $_{\infty}$ R) of the allyl groups in 2 is also confirmed by comparing the data with those for the well-characterized  $\eta^{l}$ -allyl complexes (Ir. <sup>7a</sup> W. <sup>7b</sup> Pd<sup>7c</sup> and Os<sup>7d</sup>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra show multiplets at  $\delta$  2.88 for **2a** and 2.63 for **2b** due to the  $H_{\alpha}$  of Ir- $CH_{\alpha}CH_{\beta} = CH_{\gamma}R$  with small coupling constants with two PPh<sub>3</sub> and the  $H_{\beta}$ . Relatively large coupling constant ( $J_{H\beta,H\gamma}$ = 15.5 Hz) between H<sub>\beta</sub> (\delta 6.08) and H<sub>\gamma</sub> (\delta 5.12) in **2a** suggests these two protons being trans to each other. This trans configuration is also supported by NOE spectral measurement (Supplementary Information). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra show

triplet-like signals at  $\delta 6.05$  for **2a** and 7.30 for **2b** due to the  $C_{\alpha}$  of  $\eta^{1}$ - $C_{\alpha}$ H<sub>2</sub> $C_{\beta}$ H =  $C_{\gamma}$ HR which seem to couple with the two equivalent PPh<sub>3</sub>. The coupling reaction between the allyl and alkynyl groups observed in the reaction of **2** with HCl (see below) also supports the allyl group being *cis* to alkynyl ligand.

Reactions of **2** with HCl exclusively produce 1.3-enynes  $H_2C = C(CH_2R)C \equiv C(p-C_6H_4Me)$  (3) and the hydridochloro-iridium complex (4) (see eq. 2).

The enynes  $H_2C = C(CH_2R)C \equiv C(p-C_6H_4Me)$  (3) have been identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and GC/mass spectral data analysis. The signals at  $\delta$  5.49 (s) and 5.29 (s) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum for **3a** are due to the typical vinylidene protons (= CH<sub>2</sub>), which agree well with the values reported for the related compounds. <sup>9</sup> Complex **4**, L<sub>3</sub>Ir(H)(Cl)(Br). <sup>10</sup> is identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $\delta$  -14.61(t)) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and IR ( $\nu$ (CO), 2024 cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr) spectral data.

To elucidate the mechanism for the reaction (eq 2), a deuterium labeling experiment was carried out. The treatment of 2a with DCl yields only the  $d_1$ -isotopomer  $H_2C = C(CHDPh)C =$  $C(p-C_6H_4Me)$  (3a-d<sub>1</sub>) which is identified by the smaller signal (half of that for 3a) due to the methylene proton at  $\delta$  3.55 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum and mass spectral data (M<sup>-</sup> at m/z 233). This result suggests the reaction pathway as depicted by equation 3. It is very unusual to observe that the proton (H<sup>+</sup>) initially attacks the 7-carbon of the allyl group in the reaction of 2a with HCl while our recent studies showed that proton prefers to attack the  $\beta$ -carbon of alkynyl group in a  $(\eta^3$ allyl)(alkynyl)iridium3a and (alkyl)(alkenyl)(alkynyl)iridium3b rather than any other carbon in these complexes. An allylation of alkynes is catalyzed in the presence of copper chloride with allyl bromide and acetylenes. 11 The  $(\eta^1$ -allyl)(alkynyl) copper complexes were suggested as the intermediates that undergo C-C coupling reaction between the  $\alpha$ -carbons of the  $\eta^{1}$ -allyl and alkynyl groups to give 1.4-envnes. 11 It should be also mentioned that an interesting C-C bond is formed in the

absence of H<sup>-</sup> between the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the  $\eta^1$ -allyl and the  $\beta$ -carbon of alkynyl group coordinated to iridium in a binuclear complex<sup>7a</sup> while no such C-C coupling reaction has been found for **2** in the absence of H<sup>-</sup>.

The intermediate **A** may undergo a carbon-carbon coupling between the  $\beta$ -carbon of the protonated  $\eta^1$ -allyl group and the adjacent  $\alpha$ -carbon of the alkynyl group to form intermediate **B** which further undergoes the  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination to give the enyne **3a** and complex **4**.

In summary, the reaction shown in eq 3 suggests that the nucleophilicity (for H<sup>+</sup> in particular) of the  $\gamma$ -carbon of the  $\eta^1$ -allyl group is greater than that of the  $\beta$ -carbon of the alkynyl group in 2. Proton initially attacks on the  $\gamma$ -carbon of the  $\eta^1$ -allyl group of L<sub>3</sub>Ir( $\eta^1$ -CH<sub>2</sub>CH = CHR)(C = C(p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me))(Br) (2) and then 1.3-enynes are produced through a intramolecular carbon-carbon coupling between the  $\beta$ -carbon of the protonated  $\eta^1$ -allyl group and  $\beta$ -carbon of the alkynyl group.

**Acknowledgment**. The authors wish to thank the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (Grant No. 97-05-01-05-01-3) for the financial support of this study.

## References

- For example see: (a) Brookhart, M.; Volpe, A. F., Jr.; Yoon, J. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis: Trost, B. M., Fleming, Y., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Tarrytown, NY, 1991; Vol. 4. (b) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemisty, 2nd ed.; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987. (c) Chan, T. H.; Yang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 402. (d) Wang, R.; Béanger-Gariéy, F.; Zargarian, D. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5548.
- (a) Bohanna, C.; Buil, M. L.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oñate, E.; Valero, C. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5176. (b) Dzwiniel, T. L.; Etkin, N.; Stryker, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10640. (c) de los Rís, I.; Tenorio, M. J.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6529. (d) Bianchini, C.; Peruzzini, M.; Vacca, A.; Zanobini, F. Organometallics 1991, 10, 3697. (e) Yang, S.-M.; Chan, M. C.-W.; Cheung, K.-K.; Che, C.-M.; Peng, S.-M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2819. (f) Bruce, M. I. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 197.
- (a) Chin, C. S.; Maeng, W.; Chong, D.; Won, G.; Lee, B.; Park, Y. J.; Shin, J. M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2210.
  (b) Chin, C. S.; Cho, H.; Won, G.; Oh, M.; Ok, K. M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4810.
- 4. Synthesis of 2a: A CHCl₃ (10 mL) solution of 1 (0.18 g, 0.20 mmol) and BrCH₂CH = CHPh (0.05 mL, 0.33 mmol) was stirred at 25 °C under N₂. The dark brown reaction mixture turned pale yellow within 10 min. Addition of Et₂O (25 mL) to the CHCl₃ solution resulted in precipitation of the beige microcrystals of 2a which were collected by filtration, washed with cold n-hexane (3 × 10 mL) and

cold acetone (10 mL), and dried under vacuum.

Selected data for 2a (yield: 0.17 g, 77%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.08 (dt, 1H, Ir-CH<sub> $\alpha$ 2</sub>CH<sub> $\beta$ </sub> = CH<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>Ph,  $J_{H\beta H\gamma}$  = 15.5 Hz,  $J_{H\beta H\alpha}$  = 8.5 Hz), 5.12 (d, 1H, Ir-CH<sub> $\alpha$ 2</sub>CH<sub> $\beta$ </sub> = CH $_\alpha$ Ph,  $J_{H\beta Hg}$  = 15.5 Hz), 2.88 (m, 2H, Ir-CH $_\alpha$ 2CH $_\beta$  = CH $_\alpha$ Ph). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ170.38 (t,  $J_{PC}$  = 6.9 Hz, Ir-CO), 140.78 (s, Ir-C $_\alpha$ H<sub>2</sub>C $_\beta$ H = C $_\alpha$ HPh), 137.71 (s, Ir-C $_\alpha$ H<sub>2</sub>C $_\beta$ H = C $_\alpha$ HPh), 114.30 (s, Ir-C $_\alpha$ C), 86.89 (t,  $J_{PC}$  = 18.2 Hz, Ir-C $_\alpha$ C), 6.05 (br, Ir-C $_\alpha$ H<sub>2</sub>C $_\beta$ H = C $_\alpha$ HPh). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ –15.30 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2122.1w [ $\nu$ (C $_\alpha$ C)] 2046.6s [ $\nu$ (CO)]. Anal. Calcd for IrP<sub>2</sub>C<sub>55</sub>H<sub>46</sub>BrO: C, 62.50; H, 4.39. Found: C, 62.56; H, 4.24.

For 2b (yield: 0.15 g, 73%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.67 (ddt, 1H, Ir-CH<sub>62</sub>CH<sub>β</sub> = CH<sub>yeas</sub>H<sub>ytrars</sub>,  $J_{HβHyerars}$  = 16.6 Hz,  $J_{Hβ-Hyerar}$  = 10.0 Hz,  $J_{Hβ-Hα}$  = 8.5 Hz), 4.28 (dd, 1H, Ir-CH<sub>62</sub>CH<sub>β</sub> = CH<sub>yeas</sub>H<sub>ytrars</sub>,  $J_{Hβ-Hyerar}$  = 10.0 Hz,  $J_{Hγtrars-Hyerars}$  = 2.3 Hz), 3.96 (dd, 1H, Ir-CH<sub>62</sub>CH<sub>β</sub> = CH<sub>yeas</sub>H<sub>ytrars</sub>,  $J_{Hβ-Hyerars}$  = 16.6 Hz,  $J_{Hytrars-Hyerar}$  = 2.3 Hz), 2.63 (m, 2H, Ir-CH<sub>62</sub>CH<sub>β</sub> = CH<sub>yeas</sub>H<sub>ytrars</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 171.21 (t,  $J_{P-C}$  = 6.3 Hz, Ir-CO), 147.83 (s, Ir-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>β</sub>H = C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 130.43 (s, Ir-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>β</sub>H = C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>), 113.77 (s, Ir-C=C), 87.41 (t,  $J_{P-C}$  = 18.9 Hz, Ir-C=C), 7.30 (br, Ir-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>C<sub>β</sub>H = C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -14.47 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2124.8w [ν(C=C)], 2050.5s [ν(CO)]. Anal. Calcd for IrP<sub>2</sub>C<sub>49</sub>H<sub>42</sub>BrO: C, 60.00; H, 4.32. Found: C, 59.00; H, 4.23.

- Brown, C. K.; Georgiou, D.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1971, 3120. Reed, C. A.; Roper, W. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 1370.
- 6. Baar, C. R.; Jenkins, H. A.; Vittal, J. J.; Yap, G. P. A.; Puddephatt, R. J. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2805.
- (a) George, D. S. A.; Hilts, R. W.; McDonald, R.; Cowie, M. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5330. (b) Ipaktschi, J.; Mirzaei, F.; Demuth-Eberle, G. J.; Beck, J.; Serafin, M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3965. (c) Ramdeehul, S.; Barloy, L.; Osborn, J. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5442. (d) Esteruelas, M. A.; Lahoz, F. J.; Oñate, E.; Oro, L. A.; Sola, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 89.
- 8. **Reaction of 2a with HCl**: HCl (2.0 mmol, 0.19 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O containing 32 wt % HCl) was added to a solution of **2a** (0.21 g, 0.2 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) at 25 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h during which time the beige microcrystals were precipitated. Excess HCl was removed by washing with H<sub>2</sub>O using a separatory funnel. Complex **4** (beige microcrystals)<sup>8</sup> was collected by centrifugal separation, washed with *n*-hexane, dried under vacuum, and identified by spectral data (see text). The yield was 0.15 g (88% based on Ir(H)(Cl)(Br)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). The supernatant was distilled under vacuum and compound **3a** was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane). The isolated yield of **3a** was 60%.

**Selected data for 3a**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.49, 5.29 (both s, =C $H_2$ ), 3.55 (s, 2H, C $H_2$ Ph), 2.33 (s, 3H, p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me). Mass: M<sup>-</sup> at m/z 232. For **3b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.42, 5.32 (both s, =C $H_2$ ), 2.37 (s, 3H, p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me), 1.99 (s, 3H, C $H_3$ ). Mass: M<sup>+</sup> at m/z 156.

- Wang, J. Q.; Dash, A. K.; Berthet, J. C.; Ephritikhine, M.; Eisen, M. S. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2407. Yi, C. S.; Liu, N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Liable-Sands, L. M. Organometallics 1997, 16, 3910. Chin, C. S.; Won, G.; Song, J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1994, 15, 961.
- Vaska, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4100.
- 11. Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2188.