# Synthesis of Certain New 1,2,3-Triazole Acyclonucleosides via 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition 
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A variety of 1.2.3-triazole derivatives bearing acyclic sugar moieties of DHPG and iso-NDG were synthesised by Diels-Alder reaction. None of the new compounds display any interesting biological activity.
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## Introduction

The discovery of the two structural isomers acycloguanosines ${ }^{1.6}$ : 9-(1,3-dilydroxy-2-propoxymethyl) guanine (DHPG. 1) and 9-(2,3-dihydroxy-1-propoxymethyl) guanine (iso-NDG, 2) (Figure 1) as the effective and highly selective antiviral drugs for the treatment of herpes simplex virus (HSV) infections has stimulated an extensive search for acyclic nucleosides that are more potent antiviral agents. So far. the structure-activity studies have shown that the side chain of acyclic nucleosides plays a main role in the antiviral activity (phosphorylation). Accordingly. many nucleoside chemists have directed their efforts toward the synthesis of analogues of ACV. DHPG (1). iso-NDG (2) and other acyclonucleosides with various side chains and aglycons. On the other hand, azole nucleosides are a large class of antimetabolites. Important drugs of this class are brednin, pyrazofurin and ribavirin and its analogs, ${ }^{7}$ which are endowed with immuno-suppressive, antitumor and antiviral activity. respectively.
The present investigation presents a convenient pathway for the preparation of a series of DHPG and iso-NDG analogues in which derivatives of 1,2.3-triazole replace guanine moiety. To lead to the new azole acyclonucleosides, the reaction was carried out wia a 1.3 -dipolar cycloaddition ${ }^{8.5}$ between the acyclic sugar azides ( 1 -functionalized acyclic sugar) beforehand prepared which react as diene with acet-
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Figure 1. Stuluctures of $\operatorname{DHPG}$ (1) and iso-NDG (2).

[^0]ylene's dienophile. These compounds were then screened by in vitro studies for antiviral activities.

## Results and Discussion

Preparation of acyclic sugar azides: Our strategy was to develop first a simple and convenient method for obtaining the acyclic sugar azides. The results of our investigation are given below (Scheme 1): The reaction of D-glycerol with paraformaldelyde catalysed by paratoluene sulfonic acid has been already reported ${ }^{101}$ to give a mixture of glycerol fommal 3 and 4 . The hydrosyl groups was actived with tosyl chloride to afford a mixture of isomers 5 and 6 which were separated in diethyl ether to give $\mathbf{5} / 6$ in ratio $3 / 1$. Substitution of tosyl group of each compounds 5 and 6 with KOAc in dry DMSO leads after extraction and distillation to acetyl compounds $7(95 \%)$ and $8(95 \%)$ respectively. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of each 7 and 8 appeared a signal of acetyl groups and disappeared that of tosyl groups. Acylation of 7 at room temperature with acetyl bromide leads to the mixture of 9 and 10 in ratio $1 / 3$ as shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. And the minor synthon 9 was equally obtained by acylation of 8 in $97 \%$ yield. Azides derivatives $\mathbf{1 1}$ as pure product and the mixture of $11 / 12$ in ratio $1 / 3$ were obtained from the substitution of the bromide group of $\mathbf{9}$ and 10 respectively with the azide group as shown in scheme 1 , the IR spectra show a signal of $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ group at $2092 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Structures of all compounds were determined on the basis of the corresponding analytical and spectroscopic data (Table 1).

1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition of azides with acetylenic groups: A mixture of $(\mathbf{1 1 + 1 2})$ and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate 15 a was refluxed in toluene for 72 hours (Scheme 3). provide the corresponding $1.2,3$-triazole derivatives $(13+14)$ in ratio $1 / 3$ which were separated on silica gel column chromatography. The minor product $\mathbf{1 3}$ was equally obtained from the pure synthon 11 in the same procedure. (Scheme 2).

Also, other cycloaddition reaction could be readily carried out with methyl propiolate $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{b}$ and diethyl ethynylphosphonate $\mathbf{1 5 c} .^{11}$ Reaction of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ or $\mathbf{1 5 c}$ in refluxing toluene yielded one isolated major product 16 (65\%) and 17 ( $73 \%$ ) respectively (Scheme 2 ). A mixture of $(\mathbf{1 1}+\mathbf{1 2}$ ) and


Scheme 1

## Table 1.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Compound } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ (0.03 \mathrm{mmHg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Mp} i^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { ) } \\ \text { (ether) } \end{gathered}$ | Calcd/Found (\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}^{*} \\ & \delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H |  |
| $\underline{3} / \pm$ | $90(3 / 2)$ | 70-75 |  | 46.12 | 7.75 | $4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 4.90(\mathrm{AB}, 2), 3.50-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10), 4.50(\mathrm{bs}$, |
|  |  |  |  | 46.20 | 7.79 | $2)$. |
| 5 | 60 |  | 35-36 | 51.75 | 5.34 | $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 4.80(\mathrm{ab}, 2), 7.80$ |
|  |  |  |  | 51.04 | 5.21 | (m, 4). |
| 6 | 40 |  | 90-91 | 51.75 | 5.34 | $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4), 4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 7.50$ |
|  |  |  |  | 51.95 | 5.36 | (m, 4). |
| 7 | 95 | 61 |  | 49.30 | 6.83 | $2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.56-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5), 4.76$ and $4.90(\mathrm{ab}, 2)$. |
|  |  |  |  | 49.25 | 6.80 |  |
| 8 | 95 | 62 |  | 49.30 | 6.83 | $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2)$. |
|  |  |  |  | 49.45 | 6.90 |  |
| 2 | 97 | 101-105 |  | 35.68 | 4.83 | $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6), 3.50-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4), 5.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$. |
|  |  |  |  | 35.42 | 4.79 |  |
| 2/10 | $95(1 / 3)$ | 101-105 |  | 35.68 | 4.83 | $2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 12), 3.50-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8), 5.17 \mathrm{~m}, 2), 5.70(\mathrm{~m}$, |
|  |  |  |  | 35.73 | 4.91 | 2), $5.80(\mathrm{~mm}, 2)$ |
| 11 | 95 | oil |  | 41.55 | 5.62 | $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 5.10$ |
|  |  |  |  | 41.38 | 5.50 | $(\mathrm{m}, 1)$. |
| 11/12 | 95 (1/3) | oil |  | 41.55 | 5.62 | $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 12), 3.43-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2)$, |
|  |  |  |  | 41.67 | 5.71 | $5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$ |

*The proton signals of the hydroxy group were detected by treatment of deuterium ovide. Abbreviations used: s: singulet. bs: broad singulet, ab: $A B$ system. d: doublet. t: triplet, m: multiplet
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Scheme 3
each $\mathbf{1 5}$ b or $\mathbf{1 5}$ c under the same condition lead to the two isolated major products $16 / 18$ or $17 / 19$ respectively in ratio 1/3 (Scheme 3). The minor products 16 and 17 are identic with the products which were obtained in Scheme 2.
It is known from the literature that addition of azides to unsymmetrical acetylenes. is determined by steric and electronic factors. In general, such addition tends to give mainly the isomers with electron withdrawing groups at the + position and electron releasing groups at the 5 -position. ${ }^{15-14}$ On the other hand. the sterically less hindred isonners tend to be the major isomer. ${ }^{15.16}$ A differentiation between DHPG and iso-NDG isomers analogues was determined on the basis of the chemical shifts of the sugar moiety. The isomers 13. 16 and 17 analogues of iso-NDG show two assignments of acetyl groups ( 1.98 ppm and 2.03 ppm ) and one multiple assignment of $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C} .4^{\prime}$ protons. And the isomers 14. 18 and 19 analognes of DHPG show one acetyl assignment ( 2.10 ppm ) and one doublet assignment of symmetrical C. -3 ' and C-5' protons (Table 2 ).

The acetyl groups in the sugar moiety of the newly compounds $13-19$ was removed in each sodium methylate (for 13, 14. 16, 18)/ethylate (for 17,19 ) and ammonia in methanol (for 13.14, 16.18) to give respectively ( $\mathbf{2 0} .21,22$. $\mathbf{2 3}) /(24.25)$ and $(\mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 8} .29)$ after treatment with Dowex $\mathrm{H}^{+} 50 \times 8$ and flash column chromatography (Scheme 3). Structures of the funal newly acyclonucleosides were determined on the basis of the corresponding analytical and
spectroscopic data (Table 2).

## Biological Screening

The compounds described in this manuscript were tested against the virus Herpes simplex (HSV-1, HSV-2), vesicular stomatitis (VSV), vaccina (VV). cytomegalovirus (CMV), parainfluenza 3 (PIV) and were found to be inactive. Activities against HIV-1 were carried out using CEM-SS cells at $10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$.

## Experimental Section

All melting points were determined with a Büchi apparatus and are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded with a 250 MHz Bruker AC- 250 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (d) using internal TMS standard. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}-254$ plates. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel ( $0.0063-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, Merck). The mass spectrum was optained on a Jeol JMX-DX 300 . Infrared spectral data were obtained on a Hitachi $270-50$ spectres photometer. The compounds were analysed for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$ and N . The results were within $0.4 \%$ of the calculated theoretic values.
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Table 2.

| Compound No | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} \\ \left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Mp}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Calcd/Found (\%) |  |  | Solvent | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NM}$ R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | C | H | N |  |  |
| 13 | 73 (from 11) | 373 | oil | 45.04 | 5.09 | 11.26 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 1.98(s, 3), 2.03 (s, 3), 3.60-4.10(m, 5), |
|  | 20 (from 11+12) |  |  | 45.00 | 4.95 | 11.15 |  | $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 590(\mathrm{~s}, 2)$ |
| 14 | 57 | 373 | - | 45.04 | 5.09 | 11.26 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 4)$, |
|  |  |  |  | 45.01 | 5.02 | 11.20 |  | $5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 5.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2)$. |
| 16 | 67 (from 11) | 315 | - | 45.71 | 5.39 | 13.33 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.70-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5)$, |
|  | 18 (from 11+12) |  |  | 45.65 | 5.25 | 13.27 |  | 3.93 (s, 3), 5.85 (s, 2), 8.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1)$. |
| 18 | 52 | 315 | - | 45.71 | 5.39 | 13.33 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, 4), 4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1)$, |
|  |  |  |  | 45.69 | 5.28 | 13.25 |  | 6.03 (s, 2), 8.03 (s, 1). |
| 17 | 74 (from 11) | 393 | - | 42.74 | 6.10 | 10.68 | DMSO-d | 1.25 (t, 6), 1.92 (s, 3), 1.98 (s, 3), 4.10(m, 9), |
|  | $20($ from 11+12) |  |  | 42.65 | 6.05 | 10.50 |  | 5.82 and 5.90 (ab, 2), 8.85 (s, 1). |
| 19 | 54 | 393 | - | 42.74 | 6.10 | 10.68 | DMSO-d | 1.25 (t, 6), 1.91 (s, 3), 1.97 (s, 3), 4.10 (m, 9), |
|  |  |  |  | 42.69 | 6.01 | 10.53 |  | 5.92 and 5.99 (ab, 2), 8.27 (s, 1). |
| 20 | 98 | 289 | - | 41.52 | 5.19 | 14.53 | DMSO-d | 3.25-3.50 (m, 5), 3.88 (s, 3), 3.95 (s, 3), 4.55 |
|  |  |  |  | 41.46 | 5.08 | 14.41 |  | (t, 1), 4.85 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2), 5.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2)$. |
| 21 | 98 | 289 | - | 41.52 | 5.19 | 14.53 | DMSO-d | 3.20-3.45 (m, 5), 3.88 (s, 3), $3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3), 4.55$ |
|  |  |  |  | 41.42 | 5.10 | 14.46 |  | (t, 1), 4.75 (t, 1), 5.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2)$. |
| 22 | 98 | 231 | - | 41.56 | 5.66 | 18.17 | DMSO-d | 3,20-3.45 (m, 5), 3.30(s, 3), 4.60 (t, 1), 4.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 41.45 | 5.50 | 18.37 |  | (d, 1),5.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2), 8.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1)$. |
| 23 | 98 | 231 | - | 41.56 | 5.66 | 18.17 | DMSO-d | 3.55 (d, 4), 3.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3), 4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 4.65 \mathrm{t}, 1)$, |
|  |  |  |  | 41.48 | 5.60 | 18.30 |  | $5.95(\mathrm{t}, 1), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1)$. |
| 24 | 98 | 309 | - | 38.83 | 6.47 | 27.18 | DMSO-d | 1.25 (t, 6), 3.25-3.80 (m, 5), 4.10(q, 4), 4.70 |
|  |  |  |  | 38.71 | 6.50 | 27.08 |  | (m,2),5.80 and $5.90(\mathrm{ab}, 2), 885(\mathrm{~d}, 1, J=7)$. |
| 25 | 98 | 309 | - | 38.83 | 6.47 | 27.18 | DMSO-d | 1.25 (t, 6$), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 5), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, 4), 4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2)$, |
|  |  |  |  | 38.73 | 6.41 | 27.10 |  | 5.82 and 5.95 (ab, 2), 8.27 ( $\mathrm{d}, 1, J=7$ ). |
| 26 | 98 | 259 | 156 (EtOH) | 37.07 | 5.05 | 27.07 | DMSO-d | $3.20-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, 1), 4.75$ (d, 1), 6.15 |
|  |  |  |  | 37.07 | 5.01 | 26.95 |  | ( $\mathrm{s}, 2$ ), 8.15 (bs, 2), 8.55 (bs, 1), 10.15 (s, 1). |
| 27 | 95 | 259 | oil | 37.07 | 5.05 | 27.07 | DMSO-d | $3.50(\mathrm{~d}, 4), 3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1), 4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2), 6.15$ |
|  |  |  |  | 37.00 | 5.01 | 27.01 |  | (s, 2), 8.10 (bs, 2), $8.50(\mathrm{bs}, 1), 10.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1)$. |
| 28 | 98 | 216 | 143 (EtOH) | 38.88 | 5.59 | 25.91 | DMSO-d | $3.20-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, 1), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1), 5.80$ |
|  |  |  |  | 38.59 | 5.31 | 26.06 |  | (s, 2), 7.50 (bs, 1), 7.90 (bs, 1), 8.65 (s, 1). |
| 29 | 97 | 216 | $97(\mathrm{EtOH})$ | 38.88 | 5.59 | 25.91 | DMSO-d | 3.20 (d, 4), 3.55 (m, 1), 4.45 (bs, 1), 4.75 (bs, 1), |
|  |  |  |  | 38.70 | 5.40 | 26.03 |  | $6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2), 7.90(\mathrm{bs}, 1), 8.24(\mathrm{bs}, 1), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1)$. |
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