

# GENERALIZATION OF A FIRST ORDER NON-LINEAR COMPLEX ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN SOBOLEV SPACE

A. MAMOURIAN AND N. TAGHIZADEH

*Dept. of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, Tehran University, 14174, Tehran, Iran,  
Dept. of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran.*

**Abstract** In this paper we discuss on the existence of general solution of Partial Differential Equations

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})$$

in the Sololev Space  $W_{1,p}(D)$ , that is generalization of a first order Non-linear Elliptic System of Partial Differential Equations

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}).$$

## 1. Introduction

Suppose that  $D$  is a domain with finite area in the complex plane and  $F = F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z})$ ,  $G = G(z, w, \bar{w}) \in L_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and define the weakly singular and strongly singular operators  $T_D$  and  $\prod_D$ :

$$T_D f(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{1}{\xi - z} f(\xi) d\zeta d\eta$$
$$\prod_D f(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{1}{(\xi - z)^2} f(\xi) d\zeta d\eta$$

---

Received December 26, 2001.

Key words and phrases : partial differential equations, holomorphic function, Banach spaces.

that  $\xi = \zeta + i\eta$ ,  $z = x + iy$ ,  $\frac{\partial T_D f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}} = f(z)$ ,  $\frac{\partial T_D f(z)}{\partial z} = \prod_D f(z)$  and if  $f \in L_p(D)$  then  $T_D f$  is bounded and Holder continuous, [1].  $T_D$  maps the Banach space  $L_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , into the Sobolev space  $W_{1,p}(D)$  [1].

Furthermore, we assume that  $w \in W_{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is an arbitrary solution of :

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w}).$$

We define a function  $\phi$  as follow:

$$(2) \quad \phi(z) = w(z) - T_D[F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})].$$

on differentiating  $\phi$  partially with respect to  $\bar{z}$  and  $z$  respectively, we obtain the following:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} - [F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} - \prod_D [F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \end{cases}$$

at least in the sobolev sense. Furthermore, since  $F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z})$ ,  $G(z, w, \bar{w}) \in L_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{p,D} &\leq \|w\|_{p,D} + \|T_D(F + G)\|_{p,D} \\ \|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\|_{p,D} &\leq \|\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\|_{p,D} + \|\prod_D(F + G)\|_{p,D}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the first equation in (3) and Weyls Lemma[1] that  $\phi$  is a holomorphic function in  $D$ , it belongs to the Sobolev Space  $W_{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Moreover, we deduce that, if  $w$  is a solution of (1), then  $w$  necessarily is of the form:

$$w(z) = \phi(z) + T_D[F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})]$$

where  $\phi$  is holomorphic in  $D$ . Furthermore

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \phi'(z) + \prod_D [F(z, w, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + G(z, w, \bar{w})].$$

We now suppose that  $(w, h)$  is a solution of the following system:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} w(z) &= \phi(z) + T_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \\ h(z) &= \phi'(z) + \prod_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \end{cases}$$

for a function  $\phi \in W_{1,p}(D), 1 < p < \infty, S_D < \infty$  ( $S_D$  is the area of  $D$ ) and  $\phi$  holomorphic in  $D$ . On differentiating the first equation in (4) partially with respect to  $\bar{z}$  and  $z$  we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 + F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w}) \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \phi' + \prod_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] = h \end{cases}$$

this shows that  $w$  is a solution to the given differential equation (1). On substitution  $h = \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$  in (3), we obtain the following result:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *A function  $w \in W_{1,p}(D), 1 < p < \infty, S_D < \infty$ , is a solution to the partial differential equation (1), if and only if for a function  $\phi \in W_{1,p}(D)$  and holomorphic in  $D$ ,  $(w, h)$  satisfies the system (4).*

## 2. Existence of a General Solution in $W_{1,p}(D)$

We make the following assumptions:

- I. The domain  $D$  has a finite area.
- II. As a function of the variables  $z \in D, w, \bar{w}, h; F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})$  is a continuous function of its variables.
- III. The functions  $F(z, w, h)$  and  $G(z, w, \bar{w})$  satisfy a Lipschitz condition of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z, w, h) - F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h})| &\leq L_1|w - \tilde{w}| + L_2|h - \tilde{h}| \\ |G(z, w, \bar{w}) - G(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{\bar{w}})| &\leq L_3|w - \tilde{w}| \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere in  $D$ ; whereas the constant  $L_2$  is strictly less than 1,  $L_1$  and  $L_3$  are arbitrary positive numbers.

- IV. There exist  $w, h \in L_p(D), 1 < p < \infty$ , such that  $F(z, w, h), G(z, w, \bar{w}) \in L_p(D)$ .

REMARK:.

The assumption (III) and (IV) guarantee  $F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w}) \in L_p(D)$ , whenever  $w, h \in L_p(D)$ . In fact we then have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})| &\leq |F(z, w, h) - F(z, w_0, h_0)| \\ &\quad + |G(z, w, \bar{w}) - G(z, w_0, \bar{w}_0)| \\ &\quad + |F(z, w_0, h_0) + G(z, w_0, \bar{w}_0)| \\ &\leq (L_1 + L_3)|w - w_0| + L_2|h - h_0| \\ &\quad + |F(z, w_0, h_0) + G(z, w_0, \bar{w}_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})$  is thus measurable and it belongs to  $L_p(D)$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})\|_{p,D} &\leq (L_1 + L_3)\|w - w_0\|_{p,D} \\ &\quad + L_2\|h - h_0\|_{p,D} \\ &\quad + \|F(z, w_0, h_0) + G(z, w_0, \bar{w}_0)\|_{p,D}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote by  $\mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  the set of pairs  $(w, h)$  for which  $w, h \in L_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and define the norm by the relation

$$\|(w, h)\| = \|(w, h)\|_{p,\lambda} = \max(\lambda\|w\|_p, \|h\|_p) \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The set  $\mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  is then a Banach Space.

We shall now tackle the system (4) in  $\mathfrak{J}_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . For a pair  $(w, h) \in \mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  we define an operator  $L$  as follows:

$$L(w, h) = (W, H);$$

$$\begin{cases} W(z) = \phi(z) + T_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \\ H(z) = \phi'(z) + \prod_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi$  is a fixed holomorphic function in  $D$  and it belongs to  $W_{1,p}(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . On the strength of:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $D$  is a domain of finite area  $S_D$  and  $f \in L_p(D)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $T_D f \in L_p(D)$  as well. The following estimate holds:*

$$\|T_D f\|_{p,D} \leq B(D)\|f\|_{p,D}.$$

[1].

And the Calderon-Zygmund Theorem (see Basic Integral Operators) it follows that  $(W, H) \in \mathfrak{J}_p(D)$ ; i.e. the operator  $L$  maps the Banach space  $\mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  into itself.

Suppose that  $(W, H), (\tilde{W}, \tilde{H})$  are the images of two arbitrarily chosen elements  $(W, H), (\tilde{W}, \tilde{H}) \in \mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  respectively:

$$\begin{cases} W(z) = \phi(z) + T_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \\ H(z) = \phi'(z) + \prod_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{W}(z) = \phi(z) + T_D[F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h}) + G(z, \tilde{w}, \bar{\tilde{w}})] \\ \tilde{H}(z) = \phi'(z) + \prod_D[F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h}) + G(z, \tilde{w}, \bar{\tilde{w}})] \end{cases}$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\|W - \tilde{W}\|_p &\leq \lambda\|T_D\|_p\|F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w}) \\ &\quad - [F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h}) + G(z, \tilde{w}, \bar{\tilde{w}})]\|_p \\ &\leq \lambda B(D)\|F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w}) \\ &\quad - [F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h}) + G(z, \tilde{w}, \bar{\tilde{w}})]\|_p \\ &\leq \lambda B(D)[\|F(z, w, h) - F(z, \tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\| \\ &\quad + \|G(z, w, \bar{w}) - G(z, \tilde{w}, \bar{\tilde{w}})\|] \\ &\leq \lambda B(D)[L_1\|w - \tilde{w}\| + L_2\|h - \tilde{h}\| + L_3\|w - \tilde{w}\|] \\ &= \lambda B(D)[(L_1 + L_3)\|w - \tilde{w}\| + L_2\|h - \tilde{h}\|] \\ &\leq B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda} &= \|(w - \tilde{w}, h - \tilde{h})\| \\ &= \max(\lambda\|w - \tilde{w}\|, \|h - \tilde{h}\|) \end{aligned}$$

if

$$\lambda \|w - \tilde{w}\| \geq \|h - \tilde{h}\|$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|W - \tilde{W}\|_{p,\lambda} &\leq \lambda B(D)[(L_1 + L_3)\|w - \tilde{w}\| + L_2\|h - \tilde{h}\|] \\ &\leq \lambda B(D)[(L_1 + L_3)\|w - \tilde{w}\| + \lambda L_2\|w - \tilde{w}\|] \\ (5) \qquad \qquad &= B(D)[\lambda(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda^2 L_2]\|w - \tilde{w}\| \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda} &= \\ (6) \qquad \qquad \qquad B(D)[\lambda(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda^2 L_2]\|w - \tilde{w}\|. & \end{aligned}$$

Or, suppose that

$$\lambda \|w - \tilde{w}\| \leq \|h - \tilde{h}\|$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \|W - \tilde{W}\|_{p,\lambda} &\leq \lambda B(D)[(L_1 + L_3)\frac{1}{\lambda}\|h - \tilde{h}\| + L_2\|h - \tilde{h}\|] \\ (7) \qquad \qquad &= B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|h - \tilde{h}\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda} &= \\ (8) \qquad \qquad \qquad B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|h - \tilde{h}\|. & \end{aligned}$$

consequently [from (5),(6),(7),(8)]:

$$\lambda \|W - \tilde{W}\|_p \leq B(D)[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2]\|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda}$$

similarly

$$\|H - \tilde{H}\|_p \leq A(D)\left[\frac{1}{\lambda}(L_1 + L_3) + L_2\right]\|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(W, H) - (\tilde{W}, \tilde{H})\| \leq & [(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2] \max(B(D)) \\ & + \frac{1}{\lambda} A(D) \|(w, h) - (\tilde{w}, \tilde{h})\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$[(L_1 + L_3) + \lambda L_2] \max(B(D)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} A(D) < 1$$

then the operator  $L$  is contractive in  $\mathfrak{J}_p(D)$  and, as such, there exists a unique fixed element  $(w, h)$  of the operator  $L$ , which is also a solution to (4):

$$\begin{cases} w = \phi + T_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})] \\ h = \phi' + \prod_D[F(z, w, h) + G(z, w, \bar{w})]. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding  $w$  is then, by theorem(1.1) a general solution to the complex differential equation (1).

### References

1. A.Seif Mshimba, W Tutschke, *Functional Analytic Methods in Complex Analysis and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, ICTP, Trieste, Italy, 1988, pp. 10-89.
2. A. Seif Mshimba, *Construction of the solution to Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem in  $W_{1,p}(D)$  for Systems of Partial Differential Equations in the plane*, Math Nachr **99** (1980), 145-163.
3. I.N.Vekua, *Elliptic first order System of Partial Differential Equations Boundary Value Problems*, Matem.Sbornik **31(73)** (1952), 217-314.
4. I.N.Vekua, *Generalized Analytic Functions*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962.
5. W.Tutschke :, *Reduction of the Problem of Linear conjugation for First order Nonlinear Elliptic Systems in the plane to an Analogous Problem for Holomorphic Function;In : Analytic Functions Kozubnik 1979,(Lecture Notes in Math, vol 798), Conference Held in Kozubnik, Poland. April 1979(Editor : J.Lawrynowicz: p:446-455;*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
6. E.Lanckau, W.Tutschke, *Complex Analysis Methods and Trends and Applications*, North oxford Academic, 1985, pp. 51-58.