

**RIEMANNIAN SUBMANIFOLDS IN
LORENTZIAN MANIFOLDS WITH
THE SAME CONSTANT CURVATURES**

JOONSANG PARK

ABSTRACT. We study nondegenerate immersions of Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvatures into Lorentzian manifolds of the same constant sectional curvatures with flat normal bundles. We also give a method to produce such immersions using the so-called Grassmannian system.

1. Introduction

The study of isometric immersions of the space forms $N^n(c)$ with constant sectional curvature c into the space forms $N^{n+k}(c')$ has been a classical problem in differential geometry. For example, nonexistence of an isometric immersion of the hyperbolic space form $\mathbb{H}^2 = N^2(-1)$ into $\mathbb{R}^3 = N^3(0)$ by Hilbert [3], existence of local isometric immersions of $N^{2n-1}(c)$ in $N^{2n}(c+1)$ and nonexistence of local immersions of $N^{2n-2}(c)$ in $N^{2n-1}(c+1)$ by Cartan [2], and generalizations of Cartan's work by Tenenblat and Terng [6], [7], [8] are known, and many other results have been obtained in [9] and [1], too.

On the other hand, recently the soliton theory in integrable systems has been developed extensively so that it can be applied to geometric problems. Notice that the sine-Gordon and the sinh-Gordon equations are special kind of soliton equations, which are related to local immersions of $N^2(c)$ into $N^3(c+1)$ for $c = -1$ and 0 . In this vein, the so-called n -dimensional system or G/K system on a symmetric space developed by Terng [9] has succeeded in explaining some geometry of submanifold $N^n(c)$ in $N^{n+k}(c')$ in [9] and [1].

Received October 12, 2001. Revised December 7, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57N35.

Key words and phrases: isometric immersion, Lorentzian manifold, constant sectional curvature, flat connection, nondegenerate, Grassmannian system.

This work is supported by the Dongguk University Research Fund.

In this paper, we study how a Riemannian space form $N^n(c)$ can be locally immersed into the Lorentzian space form $N^{n+k,1}(c)$, specifically, study suitable geometric conditions on such immersions and a method to produce them.

2. Submanifolds in Lorentzian space

First, we introduce basic knowledge and notations about Lorentzian geometry. For details, see [4] and [5]. Denote by $\mathbb{R}^{m,r}$ the vector space \mathbb{R}^{m+r} with the nondegenerate metric of index r , $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+r} x_i y_i$. A basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{m+r}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m,r}$ is called orthonormal if $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \epsilon_i \delta_{ij}$, where $\epsilon_i = 1$ for $i \leq m$ and $\epsilon_i = -1$ for $i > m$. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold N which has a metric of index 1 is called a Lorentzian manifold. It is well-known (cf. [4]) that the complete connected $(m+1)$ -dimensional Lorentzian manifold $N^{m,1}(c)$ of the constant sectional curvature $c = 0, 1, -1$ is the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}^{m,1}$, the Lorentzian sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m,1}$ or the Lorentzian hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{m,1}$, respectively, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^{m,1} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1,1} \mid \langle x, x \rangle = 1\}, \\ \mathbb{H}^{m,1} &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m,2} \mid \langle x, x \rangle = -1\}.\end{aligned}$$

The usual differential d on $\mathbb{R}^{m,1}$, $\mathbb{R}^{m+1,1}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{m,2}$ induces the Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $N^{m,1}(c)$ by taking the orthogonal projection $\bar{\nabla}V$ of dV to the tangent space $TN^{m,1}(c)$ for a vector field V on $N^{m,1}(c)$.

Suppose $X : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k,1}(c)$ is an isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M . Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+k+1}\}$ be a local orthonormal frame field such that e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M . From now on, we shall use the following index convention:

$$1 \leq A, B, C \leq n+k+1, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n, \quad n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n+k+1.$$

Let $\{\omega_A\}$ be the coframe field dual to $\{e_A\}$, that is, $\omega_A(e_B) = \epsilon_A \delta_{AB}$, where, $\epsilon_A = \langle e_A, e_A \rangle$ so that $\epsilon_A = 1$ for $A \leq n+k$ and $\epsilon_{n+k+1} = -1$. The first fundamental form on M is then given by $I = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \omega_i$, which is a positive-definite metric. Let ω_{AB} be the connection 1-form corresponding to the canonical connection $\bar{\nabla}$,

$$\bar{\nabla}e_A = \sum_B e_B \otimes \omega_{BA}.$$

This induces the structure equations, Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations on M :

$$(2.1) \quad d\omega_i = - \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_{ij} + \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = - \sum_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{\alpha j} + c \omega_i \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$(2.3) \quad d\omega_{i\alpha} = - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{k\alpha} - \sum_\beta \omega_{i\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha},$$

$$(2.4) \quad d\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_\gamma \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} = - \sum_i \omega_{\alpha i} \wedge \omega_{i\beta}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), we obtain the curvature 2-form Ω on M and the normal curvature 2-form Ω^ν as

$$(2.5) \quad \Omega_{ij} = \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{j\alpha} + c \omega_i \wedge \omega_j,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Omega_{\alpha\beta}^\nu = \sum_i \epsilon_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{i\beta}.$$

The shape operator A_v in the normal direction $v \in \nu M$ and the second fundamental form II are defined by

$$(2.7) \quad A = \sum_{j,\alpha} \epsilon_\alpha \omega_{j\alpha} \otimes \omega_\alpha \otimes e_j,$$

$$(2.8) \quad II = \sum_{j,\alpha} \omega_{j\alpha} \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_\alpha.$$

It is an elementary fact that M has constant sectional curvature c if and only if $\Omega_{ij} = c \omega_i \wedge \omega_j$. Thus by (2.5),

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha \omega_{i\alpha} \wedge \omega_{j\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

We may assume that when $c = 0, 1$ or -1 , M is the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^n or the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n , respectively, as far as local immersions are concerned.

Now, suppose also that the normal bundle νM of M is flat, i.e., $\Omega^\nu = 0$. Then there exists a parallel normal frame $\{e_\alpha\}$ and it is easy to see that all the shape operators $\{A_v \mid v \in \nu_p M\}$ commute by (2.6), and thus they are simultaneously diagonalizable.

DEFINITION 2.1. Suppose $k+1 \geq n$. A Riemannian submanifold M^n in $N^{n+k,1}(c)$ is called *nondegenerate* if $(\text{Im } II)_p = \{II(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in T_p M\}$ has dimension n for any $p \in M^n$ and the inner product on $\text{Im } II$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate.

A nondegenerate Riemannian submanifold M^n with a flat normal bundle has a strong geometric property, the existence of a curvature coordinate system. To see this, let $T_p M = E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_r$ be the common eigen-decomposition for $\{A_v \mid v \in \nu_p M\}$. Then

$$(2.10) \quad A|_{E_i} = \lambda_i \otimes Id_{E_i}$$

for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in (\nu_p M)^*$. The curvature normals v_1, \dots, v_r in $\nu_p M$ are defined as the dual to λ_i , that is, $\lambda_i(v) = \langle v, v_i \rangle$. The following lemma holds as does in the case of Riemannian immersions into space forms ([9], [1]):

LEMMA 2.2. *Suppose M^n is nondegenerate and has a flat normal bundle. Then $r = n$ and the curvature normals v_1, \dots, v_n are linearly independent.*

Proof. Since $\langle II(X, Y), v \rangle = \langle A_v(X), Y \rangle$ for $v \in \nu_p M$ and $X, Y \in T_p M$, we have $(\text{Im } II)^\perp = \text{Ker}(A : \nu M \rightarrow T^*M \otimes TM)$. From $A_v|_{E_i} = \langle v, v_i \rangle Id_{E_i}$ for any i , $v \in \text{Ker } A$ if and only if $v \in \text{Span}\{v_i\}^\perp$. Hence $\text{Span}\{v_i\} = (\text{Ker } A)^\perp = \text{Im } II$. Since M^n is nondegenerate, $r = n$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ should be a basis of $\text{Im } II$. \square

According to the above lemma, we can also see that $\dim E_i = 1$ for each i and thus there exist a unique orthonormal tangent frame $\{e_i\}$ which diagonalize the shape operators simultaneously, up to signs and permutations, and they are smooth.

Using the frame $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$, the curvature normals can be expressed as

$$(2.11) \quad v_i = \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \langle v_i, e_{\alpha} \rangle e_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \lambda_{i\alpha} e_{\alpha},$$

where $\lambda_{i\alpha} = \lambda_i(e_{\alpha})$ and

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_{i\alpha} = \lambda_{i\alpha} \omega_i.$$

Thus $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} \lambda_{i\alpha} \lambda_{j\alpha} = 0$ for $i \neq j$ by (2.9). Hence v_1, \dots, v_n are mutually orthogonal and not null vectors.

If all v_1, \dots, v_n are space-like, we say that the curvature normals are *space-like*. If not, then only one of them is time-like. We may assume v_n is a time-like vector in this case and say that the curvature normals are *Lorentzian*.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose M^n is a nondegenerate Riemannian submanifold of $N^{n+k,1}(c)$ with constant sectional curvature c and a parallel normal frame e_{α} and $k + 1 \geq n$. Then there exist a coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) and a map $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t$ such that $e_i = \frac{1}{b_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ are a principal tangent frame.*

Proof. From the Codazzi equations (2.3), using (2.12) and $\omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad d\lambda_{i\alpha}(e_j) + (\lambda_{i\alpha} - \lambda_{j\alpha})\gamma_{iji} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad (\lambda_{i\alpha} - \lambda_{k\alpha})\gamma_{ikj} = (\lambda_{i\alpha} - \lambda_{j\alpha})\gamma_{ijk} \quad \text{for distinct } i, j, k,$$

where $\omega_{ij} = \sum_k \gamma_{ijk} \omega_k$.

Take $b_i = |\langle v_i, v_i \rangle|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Multiplying $\epsilon_{\alpha} \lambda_{i\alpha}$ to (2.13) and $\epsilon_{\alpha} \lambda_{k\alpha}$ to (2.14), and summing up over α , we obtain

$$\gamma_{iji} = \frac{db_i(e_j)}{b_i} \quad \text{for } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \langle v_k, v_k \rangle \gamma_{ikj} = 0 \quad \text{for distinct } i, j, k.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.15) \quad \omega_{ij} = \frac{db_i(e_j)}{b_i} \omega_i - \frac{db_j(e_i)}{b_j} \omega_j.$$

By (2.15), we see that $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \frac{db_i(e_j)}{b_i} e_i$. It is a direct calculation that

$$[b_i e_i, b_j e_j] = \nabla_{b_i e_i} b_j e_j - \nabla_{b_j e_j} b_i e_i = 0. \quad \square$$

We now conclude the local geometry of the above submanifold as follows. Here, we denote by I_n the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $J_p = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1)$ is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $X : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k,1}(c)$ be a nondegenerate isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M^n of constant sectional curvature c with a flat normal bundle, and assume $k + 1 \geq n$. Then, for a local parallel normal frame e_α , there exist a curvature coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) , a map $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t$ and an $n \times (k + 1)$ matrix-valued $B_1 = (b_{ij})$ such that $B_1 J_{k+1} B_1^t = I_n$ or $B_1 J_{k+1} B_1^t = J_n$ and the first and second fundamental forms are given by*

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 dx_i^2, \quad II = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} b_{ij} b_i dx_i^2 \otimes e_{n+j}.$$

The curvature normals are space-like when $B_1 J_{k+1} B_1^t = I_n$, and Lorentzian when $B_1 J_{k+1} B_1^t = J_n$.

Proof. Let $e_i = \frac{1}{b_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$. Then e_i are an orthonormal tangent frame with its dual coframe $\omega_i = b_i dx_i$.

Define $b_{ij} = \lambda_{i,n+j} b_i$. Then by (2.12),

$$\omega_{i,n+j} = \lambda_{i,n+j} \omega_i = b_{ij} dx_i.$$

Hence, the second fundamental form is given as above. Orthonormality of the columns of B_1 follows from the fact that the curvature normals $v_i = \sum_\alpha \lambda_{i\alpha} e_\alpha$ are orthogonal and $b_i = |\langle v_i, v_i \rangle|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. \square

3. Grassmannian system

To obtain Riemannian submanifolds in $N^{n+k,1}(c)$ described in Section 2, we will use a special partial differential equation called Grassmannian system. G/K systems are introduced by Terng in [9], and we mention some results from [9], which will be used in our case.

Let G/K be a rank n symmetric space with the involution $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ on the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of G , $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{P}$ the Cartan decomposition, and

$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}$ a maximal abelian subalgebra with a basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Let \mathcal{A}^\perp denote the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{G} with respect to the Killing form. G/K system for $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{A}^\perp$ is

$$(3.1) \quad [a_i, v_{x_j}] - [a_j, v_{x_i}] = [[a_i, v], [a_j, v]], \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n,$$

where $v_{x_i} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}$. This is a Cauchy problem which can be solved for any generic data decaying rapidly along $(x_1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

It is known that v is a solution of (3.1) if and only if the $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ -valued connection 1-form on the trivial principal bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathcal{G}$ on \mathbb{R}^n

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \lambda + [a_i, v]) dx_i$$

is flat for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow G$ and θ be a \mathcal{G} -valued connection 1-form. We call $g * \theta = g\theta g^{-1} - dg g^{-1}$ the gauge transformation of θ by g . It is obvious that if θ is flat, then $g * \theta$ is also flat.

To apply the theory of G/K system to our case, we take the Lorentzian Grassmannian system $G/K = O(n + m, r)/(O(n) \times O(m, r))$ related to the isometry group G of $N^{n+k,1}(c)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$ be the set of $p \times q$ matrices. For $c = 0, 1, -1$, the isometry groups G are given by

$$\text{Isom}(\mathbb{R}^{n+k,1}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \xi & A \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in O(n+k, 1), \xi^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k,1} \right\},$$

$$O(n+k+1, 1) = \left\{ A \in GL(n+k+2, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^t J_1 A = J_1 \right\},$$

$$O(n+k, 2) = \left\{ A \in GL(n+k+2, \mathbb{R}) \mid A^t J_{-1} A = J_{-1} \right\},$$

respectively. Here $J_1 = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1)$ and $J_{-1} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \dots, 1, -1)$, and to fit into our purposes, we slightly modify the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{n+k,2}$ by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -x_1 y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n+k+1} x_i y_i - x_{n+k+2} y_{n+k+2},$$

and we identify $\mathbb{R}^{n+k,1}$ with $\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k,1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k,2}$ by $X \leftrightarrow (1, X)$.

The Lie algebras of the isometry groups G of $N^{n+k}(c)$ for $c = 0, 1, -1$ can be expressed in one way as the Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c\xi^t J \\ \xi & Y \end{pmatrix} \mid Y \in o(n+k, 1), \xi^t \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1} \right\},$$

where $J = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1) \in \mathcal{M}_{(n+k+1) \times (n+k+1)}$.

From now on, we abuse notation $J = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1)$ whatever the size is, and assume $k+1 \geq n$.

Define an involution σ on \mathcal{G} by

$$\sigma(X) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix} X \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in \mathcal{G},$$

where I_p is the $p \times p$ identity matrix. Then the Cartan decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} + \mathcal{P}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c\xi_1^t & 0 \\ \xi_1 & A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in o(n), B \in o(k, 1), \xi_1^t \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -c\xi_2^t J \\ 0 & 0 & -C^t J \\ \xi_2 & C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid C \in \mathcal{M}_{(k+1) \times n}, \xi_2^t \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{A}_I be an abelian subalgebra of \mathcal{P} spanned by

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D_i & 0 \\ 0 & -D_i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where the matrices are partitioned into blocks with sizes $(1, n, n, k+1-n)$ and $D_i = \text{diag}(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$, of which the only nonzero entry 1 occurs at the i -th entry. Then the solution v_I of the G/K system is of the form

$$(3.3) \quad v_I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -cb^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -F^t & -G^t J \\ b & F & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & G & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $F \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ with $f_{ii} = 0$ and $G \in \mathcal{M}_{(k+1-n) \times n}$.

Put $\delta = \text{diag}(dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$, then the flat connection 1-form θ_λ^I in (3.2) becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \theta_\lambda^I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -cb^t\delta & 0 & 0 \\ \delta b & \delta F - F^t\delta & \lambda\delta & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda\delta & \delta F^t - F\delta & \delta G^t J \\ 0 & 0 & -G\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also take another abelian subalgebra \mathcal{A}_{II} of \mathcal{P} spanned by

$$a'_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & D_i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -JD_i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then the solution and the flat connection 1-form become

$$(3.5) \quad v_{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -cb^t J \\ 0 & 0 & -G^t & -F^t J \\ 0 & G & 0 & 0 \\ b & F & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \theta_\lambda^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -cb^t\delta & 0 & 0 \\ \delta b & \delta F - F^t\delta & 0 & \lambda\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -G\delta \\ 0 & -\lambda J\delta & J\delta G^t & J\delta F^t J - F\delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

In this case, matrices are partitioned with sizes $(1, n, k + 1 - n, n)$.

4. Main Theorems

We now investigate on how to associate nondegenerate Riemannian submanifolds in $N^{n+k,1}(c)$ which has constant curvature c and a flat normal bundle to the solutions of the Lorentzian Grassmannian system.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose X is a nondegenerate local isometric immersion of a Riemannian manifold M^n into $N^{n+k}(c)$ of constant sectional curvature c with a flat normal bundle as in Theorem 2.4, where $k+1 \geq n$.*

If M has space-like curvature normals, then there exists v_I of the form (3.3), a solution of the system associated to \mathcal{G} such that

$$F = \left(\frac{(b_i)_{x_j}}{b_j} \right), \quad (\omega_{ij}) = \delta F - F^t \delta, \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 dJB_1^t = \delta F^t - F \delta.$$

If M has Lorentzian curvature normals, then there exists a solution v_{II} of the form (3.5) such that

$$F = \left(\frac{(b_i)_{x_j}}{b_j} \right), \quad (\omega_{ij}) = \delta F - F^t \delta, \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 dJB_1^t J = J \delta F^t J - F \delta.$$

Proof. Suppose M has space-like curvature normals. Choose a parallel normal frame e_α and a tangent frame e_i as in Theorem 2.4. Then $\omega_i = b_i dx_i$. Put $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t$ and $\omega = (\omega_{ij})$. The structure equations, Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations for X are equivalent to saying that

$$\tilde{\theta}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -cb^t \delta & 0 \\ \delta b & \omega & \delta B_1 \\ 0 & -JB_1^t \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is flat. Also, it is easy to see that

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\theta}_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -cb^t \delta & 0 \\ \delta b & \omega & \lambda \delta B_1 \\ 0 & -\lambda JB_1^t \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is flat for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $F = (f_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$, where $f_{ij} = \frac{(b_i)_{x_j}}{b_j}$ for $i \neq j$ and $f_{ii} = 0$. Since the connection 1-form ω on M satisfies

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{(b_i)_{x_j}}{b_j} dx_i - \frac{(b_j)_{x_i}}{b_i} dx_j \quad \text{for} \quad i \neq j$$

by (2.15), we obtain

$$\omega = (\omega_{ij}) = \delta F - F^t \delta.$$

On the other hand, from the flatness of $\tilde{\theta}_\lambda$,

$$dJB_1^t \wedge \delta = -JB_1^t \delta \wedge \omega = -JB_1^t \delta \wedge (\delta F - F^t \delta) = JB_1^t (\delta F^t - F \delta) \wedge \delta$$

and thus

$$(4.2) \quad dJB_1^t = JB_1^t(\delta F^t - F\delta) + C\delta$$

for some $C \in \mathcal{M}_{(k+1) \times n}$. Extend B_1 to $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix} \in O(k, 1)$. Multiplying B_1 and B_2 on (4.2), we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad B_1 dJB_1^t = (\delta F^t - F\delta) + B_1 C\delta$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad B_2 dJB_1^t = B_2 C\delta.$$

Since $B_1 dJB_1^t$ and $\delta F^t - F\delta$ are skew-symmetric, we have

$$(4.5) \quad B_1 dJB_1^t = \delta F^t - F\delta.$$

By the same arguments as in [1], using $B_2 dJB_1^t = B_2 C\delta$, it is easy to prove that $B_2 dJB_2^t J$ is flat. Thus

$$(4.6) \quad B dB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 dJB_1^t & B_1 dJB_2^t J \\ B_2 dJB_1^t & B_2 dJB_2^t J \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta F^t - F\delta & -\delta C^t B_2^t J \\ B_2 C\delta & h^{-1} dh \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $h \in O(k - n, 1)$.

Set $G = hB_2C$. Now, take a gauge transformation on $\tilde{\theta}_\lambda$ by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

then the resulting flat connection 1-form is $g * \tilde{\theta}_\lambda = \theta_\lambda^I$ defined as (3.4). Therefore, we can find a desired solution v_I of the system associated to \mathcal{G} .

The proof for the immersion which has Lorentzian curvature normals are similar only except taking $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_2 \\ B_1 \end{pmatrix} \in O(k, 1)$ as an extension of B_1 to obtain $g * \tilde{\theta}_\lambda = \theta_\lambda^H$. \square

Conversely, from a solution of the system associated to \mathcal{G} , we can produce the above kind of an immersion.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose $k + 1 \geq n$. If v_I is a solution of the system associated to \mathcal{G} defined as (3.3), then there exists a nondegenerate isometric immersion X of a Riemannian manifold M^n of constant sectional curvature c with a flat normal bundle into $N^{n+k}(c)$, which has space-like curvature normals, a parallel normal frame $\{e_\alpha\}$, a coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) , and an $\mathcal{M}_{n \times (k+1)}$ -valued map B_1 with $B_1 J B_1^t = I$ such that the first and second fundamental forms are given by*

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 dx_i^2, \quad II = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} b_{ij} b_i dx_i^2 \otimes e_{n+j}.$$

If v_{II} is a solution defined as (3.5), then there exists a nondegenerate immersion $X : M^n \rightarrow N^{n+k}(c)$ of a Riemannian manifold M of sectional curvature c with a flat normal bundle, which has Lorentzian curvature normals, and a map $B_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times (k+1)}$ with $B_1 J B_1^t = J$ such that the first and second fundamental forms are given as the same as above.

Proof. We will prove only the first case.

Consider the flat connection θ_λ^I as (3.4). Since $\begin{pmatrix} \delta F^t - F\delta & \delta G^t J \\ -G\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is flat, $B dB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta F^t - F\delta & \delta G^t J \\ -G\delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $B \in O(k, 1)$. Put $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where $B_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times (k+1)}$. Taking a gauge transformation on θ_λ^I by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

gives $g * \theta_\lambda^I = \tilde{\theta}_\lambda$, where $\tilde{\theta}_\lambda$ is of the form (4.1).

Now, let E be a G -valued map such that $E^{-1} dE = \tilde{\theta}_1$. Denote by X, e_i, e_α the columns of E . Then from

$$d(X, e_i, e_\alpha) = (X, e_i, e_\alpha) \tilde{\theta}_1,$$

we obtain

$$dX = \sum b_i dx_i \otimes e_i, \quad de_{n+j} = \sum_i \epsilon_{n+j} b_{ij} dx_i \otimes e_i.$$

Hence e_α are a parallel normal frame, and I and II are given as above. Flatness of the connection $\tilde{\theta}_1$ gives exactly the structure equations, the

Gauss and the Codazzi equations of the immersion X of a Riemannian manifold M^n of sectional curvature c . The fact that curvature normals are space-like comes from the orthonormality of the rows of B_1 . Therefore, X gives a desired immersion. \square

References

- [1] M. Brück, X. Du, J. Park, and C. L. Terng, *The submanifold geometry of real Grassmannian systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **155** (2002), No. 735.
- [2] E. Cartan, *Sur les variétés de courbure constante d'un espace euclidien ou non-euclidien*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **47** (1920), 125–160.
- [3] D. Hilbert, *Über Flächen von konstanter Gausscher Krümmung*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1901), 89–99.
- [4] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [5] R. Palais and C. L. Terng, *Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, LNM 1353, 1988.
- [6] K. Tenenblat, *Bäcklund's theorem for submanifolds of space forms and a generalized wave equation*, Boll. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **16** (1985), 67–92.
- [7] K. Tenenblat and C. L. Terng, *Bäcklund's theorem for n -dimensional submanifolds of R^{2n-1}* , Ann. Math. **111** (1980), 477–490.
- [8] C. L. Terng, *A higher dimensional generalization of the sine-Gordon equation and its soliton theory*, Ann. Math. **111** (1980), 491–510.
- [9] ———, *Soliton equations and differential geometry*, Jour. Diff. Geom. **45** (1997), 407–445.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DONGGUK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 100-715, KOREA
E-mail: jpark@dgu.edu