

STABLE CLASS OF EQUIVARIANT ALGEBRAIC VECTOR BUNDLES OVER REPRESENTATIONS

MIKIYA MASUDA

Dedicated to Professor Fuichi Uchida on his 60th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let G be a reductive algebraic group and let B, F be G -modules. We denote by $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ the set of isomorphism classes in algebraic G -vector bundles over B with F as the fiber over the origin of B . Schwarz (or Kraft-Schwarz) shows that $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ admits an abelian group structure when $\dim B//G = 1$. In this paper, we introduce a stable functor $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\sim)$ and prove that it is an abelian group for *any* G -module B . We also show that this stable functor will have nice properties.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we will work in the algebraic category over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} and G will denote a reductive group unless otherwise stated. Finite groups, \mathbb{C}^* -tori (i.e., products of $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$) and semisimple groups are examples of reductive groups, and it is known that any reductive group is obtained as a group extension by these three types of groups (see [2] for example). One may also think of a reductive group as “complexification” of a compact Lie group (see [20] for example), e.g. the complexification of the circle group S^1 is \mathbb{C}^* .

The research of this paper is motivated by the following problem.

Equivariant Serre Problem. Is any G -vector bundle over a G -module B (= a G -representation space) trivial, i.e., isomorphic to a product bundle $\mathbf{F} := B \times F \rightarrow B$ for some G -module F ?

Received February 15, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14D20; Secondary 14R20.

Key words and phrases: vector bundle, reductive algebraic group, moduli, invariant theory.

The author acknowledges KMS for a support to visit KAIST in 2000.

One can ask the same question in other categories. It is a classical result that the problem has an affirmative solution in the smooth category because the base space B is equivariantly contractible. Recently it has affirmatively been answered in the holomorphic category ([5]).

However, the situation is not so simple in the algebraic category. When G is trivial, the Equivariant Serre Problem is nothing but the famous Serre conjecture which was solved affirmatively by D. Quillen [18] and A. Suslin [21]. This result is extended to the case when G is abelian by Masuda-Moser-Petrie [14]. Another type of partial affirmative solution to the problem is as follows. The affine variety $B//G$, whose coordinate ring is the ring $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ of G -invariant polynomials on B , is called the algebraic quotient of B by the G -action. When $\dim B//G = 0$, it follows from Luna slice theorem [10] that the Equivariant Serre Problem has an affirmative solution. G. Schwarz [19] (see also [8]) attacked the next case where $\dim B//G = 1$, and surprisingly found counterexamples to the problem for many non-abelian groups G . After his breakthrough, more counterexamples have been found ([6], [13, 15], [16, 17]), where $\dim B//G$ is not necessarily one. On the other hand, Bass and Haboush ([4]) proved (before the breakthrough by Schwarz) that every G -vector bundle over a G -module is *stably* trivial, i.e., it becomes trivial when added to a suitable trivial G -vector bundle, for any G . See [12] for more information on our subject.

For G -modules B and F we denote by $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ the set of isomorphism classes in G -vector bundles over B whose fiber over the origin is isomorphic to F . We often abbreviate a G -vector bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ as E , and denote its isomorphism class by $[E]$. Needless to say, $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ contains the isomorphism class of the product bundle \mathbf{F} , and if $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ contains an element different from $[\mathbf{F}]$, then it provides a counterexample to the Equivariant Serre Problem. Following [16, 17] we also consider a subset

$$\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S) := \{[E] \in \text{VEC}_G(B, F) \mid [E \oplus \mathbf{S}] = [\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}]\}$$

for a G -module S . The result of Bass and Haboush mentioned above says that the union of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ over all G -modules S agrees with $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$.

Schwarz [19] (and Kraft-Schwarz [8]) proved that if $\dim B//G = 1$, then $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ admits an abelian group structure and is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^p for some non-negative integer p depending on B and F . They also established a formula to compute the dimension p in terms of invariant theory and found that p could be positive for many G , B and F .

The group structure on $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ is as follows. When $\dim B//G = 1$, they showed that the Whitney sum with \mathbf{F} induces a bijective correspondence

$$(*) \quad \text{VEC}_G(B, F) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\oplus \mathbf{F}} \text{VEC}_G(B, F \oplus F).$$

Therefore, given $[E_1]$ and $[E_2]$ in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$, there is a unique element $[E_3]$ in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ such that $[E_1 \oplus E_2] = [E_3 \oplus \mathbf{F}]$, and the sum of $[E_1]$ and $[E_2]$ is defined to be $[E_3]$, giving the abelian group structure on $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$. The map $(*)$ above also induces a bijection between $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F \oplus F; S)$ for any S , so that $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ becomes a subgroup of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ when $\dim B//G = 1$.

However, when $\dim B//G \geq 2$, the map $(*)$ above is not known to be bijective, so we do not know whether $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ admits an abelian group structure under Whitney sum. To get around this, we consider the following direct system

$$\xrightarrow{\oplus \mathbf{F}} \text{VEC}_G(B, F^n) \xrightarrow{\oplus \mathbf{F}} \text{VEC}_G(B, F^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\oplus \mathbf{F}} \dots$$

where F^n denotes the direct sum of n copies of F , and define

$$\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty) := \varinjlim_n \text{VEC}_G(B, F^n).$$

Similarly $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ can be defined. $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$ and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ are apparently abelian monoids under Whitney sum, but it turns out

THEOREM 1.1. *$\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$ is an abelian group and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is its subgroup under Whitney sum for any G -modules B, F and S .*

REMARK. $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$ and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ are both trivial when $\dim B//G = 0$, and isomorphic to $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ respectively when $\dim B//G = 1$.

In the proof of the theorem above, we define a *surjective* homomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}: (R/I)^* \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S),$$

where R is the ring of G -vector bundle endomorphisms of \mathbf{S} , I is a two sided ideal in R and $(R/I)^*$ is the group of units in R/I . Note that when S is the trivial one-dimensional module \mathbb{C} , R is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$, in particular, commutative. The homomorphism \mathcal{V} has a nontrivial kernel Γ^∞ in general. When $(R/I)^*$ is commutative (e.g.

$S = \mathbb{C}$), one can transfer the multiplicative group $(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty$ to an additive group isomorphically using a logarithmic map. It turns out that the additive group is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module. Thus we have

THEOREM 1.2. *If R/I is commutative (e.g. $S = \mathbb{C}$), then $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is isomorphic to a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module, in particular, a complex vector space (of possibly countably infinite dimension) as groups.*

The author believes that the theorem above would hold without the commutativity assumption on R/I and even for $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$. In fact, when $\dim B//G = 1$, $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$ is isomorphic to $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ as remarked above and $\text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ is isomorphic to a truncated polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^p)$ in one variable t for some non-negative integer p by the result of Schwarz. The assumption that $\dim B//G = 1$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ being a polynomial ring in one variable, so $\mathbb{C}[t]$ can be identified with $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ and then $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^p)$ is certainly a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module in this case.

When $\dim B//G = 1$, Schwarz proved more. He showed that there is a “universal” G -vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \in \text{VEC}_G(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^p, F)$ such that mapping $c \in \mathbb{C}^p$ to $\mathcal{E}|_{B \times \{c\}} \in \text{VEC}_G(B, F)$ is bijective. Let m be a non-negative integer. To any morphism (i.e., polynomial map) $f: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p = \text{VEC}_G(B, F)$, we assign a bundle induced from \mathcal{E} by a map $1 \oplus f: B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow B \oplus \mathbb{C}^p$. This produces a map

$$\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^m, \text{VEC}_G(B, F)) = \text{VEC}_G(B, F) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, F)$$

where $\text{Mor}(X, Y)$ denotes the set of morphisms from X to Y and the tensor product is taken over \mathbb{C} . The universality of the bundle \mathcal{E} implies that the above map is injective, and it is claimed in [11] that the map is actually bijective. The following result implies that there might exist the product formula above even when $\dim B//G \geq 2$.

THEOREM 1.3. *If R/I is commutative (e.g. $S = \mathbb{C}$), then*

$$\text{VEC}_G(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, F^\infty; S) \cong \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$$

as groups.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the method introduced in [16, 17] to produce elements in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ and to distinguish them. It is the main tool used in this paper. We discuss its stable version in Section 3 and Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 4. In Section 5 we consider a \mathbb{C}^* -action on B commuting with the

G -action. In Section 6 we study $(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty$, which is isomorphic to $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$, using the \mathbb{C}^* -action on B when R/I is commutative, and prove Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.3 is proved in Section 7.

2. Subbundle method

In this section we review the method introduced in [16, 17]. Let $[E]$ be an element of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$. Since $E \oplus \mathbf{S}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$, there is a G -vector bundle surjective homomorphism $L : \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ whose kernel $\ker L$ is isomorphic to E . Let $L' : \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ be another surjective homomorphism. Then it is not difficult to see that $\ker L'$ is isomorphic to $\ker L$ if and only if there is a G -vector bundle automorphism A of $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$ such that $L' = LA$. Therefore, the study of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ splits into two steps: one is the study of G -vector bundle surjective homomorphisms from $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$ to \mathbf{S} (in other words, construction of G -vector bundles) and the other is the study of G -vector bundle automorphisms of $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$ (in other words, distinction of G -vector bundles). One can formulate this as follows. Let $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ be the set of G -vector bundle surjective homomorphisms from $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$ to \mathbf{S} and let $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ be the group of G -vector bundle automorphisms of $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$. The group $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ acts on $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ as above. Then the fact mentioned above can be restated as follows.

THEOREM 2.1 ([16, 17]). *The map sending $L \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ to $\ker L$ induces a bijection*

$$\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G / \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G \cong \text{VEC}_G(B, F; S).$$

The following example will illustrate our method well.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $O_2 = \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$. For a positive integer n we denote by V_n the 2-dimensional O_2 -module with the actions of $g \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and of the nontrivial element in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ respectively given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} g^n & 0 \\ 0 & g^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then one easily checks that $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{O_2}$ is a polynomial ring in one variable and it is proved in [19] that $\text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m) \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$ and $\text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m) = \text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m; \mathbb{C})$. This provided the first counterexamples to the Equivariant Serre Problem.

Here is an explicit description of elements in $\text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m)$ found in [16, 17]. To a polynomial $f(t)$ in one variable t with $f(0) = 1$, we

associate

$$E_f := \{(a, b, x, y, z) \in V_1 \times (V_m \oplus \mathbb{C}) \mid b^m x + a^m y + f(ab)z = 0\},$$

where $(a, b) \in V_1, (x, y) \in V_m$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Taking the projection on V_1 , one sees that E_f defines an element of $\text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m; \mathbb{C})$. In fact, the 1×3 matrix $L_f := (b^m, a^m, f(ab))$ is of rank one at any point $(a, b) \in V_1$, so

$$L_f: V_1 \times (V_m \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow V_1 \times \mathbb{C}$$

is a surjective O_2 -vector bundle homomorphism and $\ker L_f = E_f$.

On the other hand, it follows from the equivariance that an O_2 -vector bundle automorphism A of the product bundle $V_1 \times (V_m \oplus \mathbb{C})$ is a 3×3 matrix of this form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} p & a^{2m}q & a^m r \\ b^{2m}q & p & b^m r \\ b^m s & a^m s & w \end{pmatrix},$$

where p, q, r, s, w are polynomials in $ab = t$. An elementary computation shows that

$$\det A = (p - t^m q)(pw + t^m qw - 2t^m r s).$$

Since A is algebraic and invertible, $\det A$ must be a nonzero constant and hence so are the both factors above. It follows that

$$w \equiv \text{a nonzero constant} \pmod{t^m}.$$

Let $h(t)$ be another polynomial with $h(0) = 1$ and suppose that $[E_h] = [E_f]$ in $\text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m; \mathbb{C})$. Then $L_h = L_f A$ for some automorphism A . Comparing the last entries in L_h and L_f and using the congruence on w above, one concludes that $h(t) \equiv f(t) \pmod{t^m}$. This shows that the correspondence $:\mathbb{C}^{m-1} \rightarrow \text{VEC}_{O_2}(V_1, V_m; \mathbb{C})$ given by $(c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}) \rightarrow [E_c]$, where $c(t) = 1 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{m-1} t^{m-1}$, is injective. A more careful but elementary observation shows that this correspondence is bijective.

In this case, the universal bundle \mathcal{E} mentioned in the introduction can be described as

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, x, y, z) \in (V_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m-1}) \times (V_m \oplus \mathbb{C}) \mid b^m x + a^m y + c(ab)z = 0\}$$

with the projection on $V_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1}$.

The following general argument was developed keeping the above example in mind. We review the definition of the invariants which distinguish elements in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$.

For G -vector bundles P and Q over the same base space B , we denote by $\text{mor}(P, Q)^G$ the set of G -vector bundle homomorphisms from P to Q . We write an element L in $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ as $L = (L(F, S), L(S, S))$ where $L(F, S) \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $L(S, S) \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G =: R$. Since L is a surjective homomorphism and G is reductive, there is an element $M \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ such that LM is the identity map on \mathbf{S} (see [3]), i.e.,

$$L(S, S)M(S, S) + L(F, S)M(S, F) = 1,$$

where $M(S, S)$ and $M(S, F)$ are defined similarly to $L(S, S)$ and $L(F, S)$. We denote by I the ideal in R generated by G -vector bundle endomorphisms of \mathbf{S} which factor through \mathbf{F} , i.e., I is generated by composition of elements in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G$. The identity above implies that $L(S, S)$ is in $(R/I)^*$, i.e., a unit in R/I .

Now let A be an element in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$. Then $\ker(LA)$ is isomorphic to $\ker L$ and we have

$$(LA)(S, S) = L(F, S)A(S, F) + L(S, S)A(S, S),$$

where $A(S, F)$ and $A(S, S)$ are defined similarly to $L(F, S)$ and $L(S, S)$. The first term at the right hand side above is an element of I and it is not difficult to see that $A(S, S)$ is a unit in R/I . Therefore, if we denote by Γ the subgroup of $(R/I)^*$ represented by elements $A(S, S)$ for $A \in \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$, then we have a well-defined map

$$\rho: \text{VEC}_G(B, F; S) \rightarrow (R/I)^*/\Gamma$$

sending $[\ker L]$ to the equivalence class of $L(S, S)$. This is the invariant introduced in [16, 17] and used to distinguish elements in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ (see also [13, 15]). In Example 2.2, one can check that $R = \mathcal{O}(V_1)^{\mathbb{O}_2} = \mathbb{C}[t]$ ($t = ab$), $I = (t^m)$ and $\Gamma = \mathbb{C}^*$; so $(R/I)^*/\Gamma$ bijectively corresponds to the set of truncated polynomials of degree at most $m - 1$ and with constant term 1. Moreover, the map ρ is bijective in this case. There are many cases where ρ is bijective but it is not known whether ρ is always bijective. However we will see later that the map ρ^∞ induced from ρ on $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is bijective for any G -modules B, F and S .

3. Stabilization

First we make sure that $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is closed under Whitney sum. Suppose $[E_i] \in \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, since $E_i \oplus \mathbf{S} \cong$

$\mathbf{F}^{n_i} \oplus \mathbf{S}$, we have

$$E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus \mathbf{S} \cong E_1 \oplus \mathbf{F}^{n_2} \oplus \mathbf{S} \cong \mathbf{F}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbf{F}^{n_2} \oplus \mathbf{S} \cong \mathbf{F}^{n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathbf{S},$$

which shows that $[E_1 \oplus E_2]$ lies in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^{n_1+n_2}; S)$. It follows that $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is closed under Whitney sum.

$\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ can be described in terms of sur and aut as in Theorem 2.1. We think of $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$) as a subset (resp. a subgroup) of $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$) by defining to be zero (resp. the identity) on the added factor \mathbf{F} , and define $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$) to be the union of $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$) over all positive integers n . The group $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ acts on $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and it follows from Theorem 2.1 that we have a bijection

$$\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G / \text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S})^G \cong \text{VEC}_G(B, F^n; S)$$

for each n . Therefore, the group $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ acts on $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and we obtain a bijection

$$(3.1) \quad \text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G / \text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G \cong \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S).$$

The map ρ applied to F^n instead of F produces a map

$$\rho^n: \text{VEC}_G(B, F^n; S) \rightarrow (R/I)^* / \Gamma^n$$

for each positive integer n . Here Γ^n is a subgroup of $(R/I)^*$ defined for F^n , and since $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ is a subgroup of $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^{n+1} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$, Γ^n is a subgroup of Γ^{n+1} . We define Γ^∞ to be the union of Γ^n over all positive integers n . Then the maps ρ^n induce a map

$$\rho^\infty: \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S) \rightarrow (R/I)^* / \Gamma^\infty.$$

We do not know whether ρ^n is bijective for each n , but we will prove the following in the next section.

THEOREM 3.1. *The map ρ^∞ is bijective (in fact, a group isomorphism) for any G -modules B, F and S .*

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As we did in the previous section for $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^n \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$, we think of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^n, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^n)^G$) as a subset of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^{n+1}, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^{n+1})^G$) by defining to be zero on the added factor and denote by $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$) the union of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^n, \mathbf{S})^G$ (resp. $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^n)^G$) over all positive integers n . Let ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_ℓ be elements

in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$. Then each ϕ_i lies in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^{n_i}, \mathbf{S})^G$ for some positive integer n_i . We define

$$(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell)(v) := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \phi_i(v) \quad \text{for } v \in \mathbf{F},$$

so that $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_\ell)$ is an element in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^{\sum n_i}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and hence in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$.

Since $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G = \text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(F, S))^G$ and $\text{Mor}(B, V)^G$ is finitely generated as an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module for any G -module V as is well-known, $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module. Let $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_k$ be generators of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ as an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module. We set

$$\Phi := (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_k) \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^k, \mathbf{S})^G \subset \text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$$

and think of it as an element of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Any element in the ideal I is of the form $\Phi\Psi$ with some $\Psi \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$.*

Proof. By definition, the ideal I is generated by elements in $R = \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ which factors through \mathbf{F} . Therefore, any element α in I is of the form $\sum \phi_i \psi_i$ with some $\phi_i \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $\psi_i \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G$. Since Φ_j 's are generators of $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ as an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module, each ϕ_i is a linear combination of Φ_1, \dots, Φ_k over $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$. Therefore, $\alpha = \sum \phi_i \psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \Phi_j \Psi_j$ with some $\Psi_j \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G$ because $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G$ is also an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module. This means that if we set $\Psi = (\Psi_1, \Psi_2, \dots, \Psi_k) \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$, then $\alpha = \Phi\Psi$. \square

If (ϕ, T) is an element of $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$, where $\phi \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{F}^\infty, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $T \in R = \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$, then $[T]$ in R/I is a unit as is observed in Section 2. Conversely, if T is an element of R whose image $[T]$ in R/I is a unit, then there is an element Y in R such that $TY \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$. It follows from Lemma 4.1 that there is $\Psi \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$ such that $\Phi\Psi + TY = 1$. This means that the pair (Φ, T) is an element of $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$.

We denote $\ker(\phi, T)$ by $E_\phi(T)$, and by $\{E\}$ the element in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ determined by a G -vector bundle E . The argument above shows that if $\{E_\phi(T)\}$ is an element in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$, then so is $\{E_\Phi(T)\}$. With this understood we have

LEMMA 4.2. $\{E_\phi(T)\} = \{E_\Phi(T)\}$.

Proof. Since $(\phi, T) \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$, there are elements $\psi \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$ and $Y \in R$ such that $\phi\psi + TY = 1$. Hence we have

$$(\phi, \Phi, T) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\psi\Phi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -Y\Phi & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\phi, 0, T),$$

where the square matrix above is in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$. This together with (3.1) shows that $\{E_{\phi\oplus\Phi}(T)\} = \{E_{\phi\oplus 0}(T)\}$. Here $\{E_{\phi\oplus 0}(T)\} = \{E_\phi(T)\}$ because $E_{\phi\oplus 0}(T)$ is isomorphic to Whitney sum of $E_\phi(T)$ and a certain number of \mathbf{F} . Therefore we have $\{E_{\phi\oplus\Phi}(T)\} = \{E_\phi(T)\}$. Changing the role of ϕ and Φ , we obtain $\{E_{\Phi\oplus\phi}(T)\} = \{E_\Phi(T)\}$. Thus, it suffices to prove that $\{E_{\phi\oplus\Phi}(T)\} = \{E_{\Phi\oplus\phi}(T)\}$, but this follows from the following identity and (3.1):

$$(\phi, \Phi, T) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\Phi, \phi, T),$$

where the square matrix above is in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$. □

As noted before Lemma 4.2, we have an element $\{E_\Phi(T)\} \in \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ for any $T \in R$ such that $[T] \in (R/I)^*$.

LEMMA 4.3. *If $[T] = [T'] \in (R/I)^*$, then $\{E_\Phi(T)\} = \{E_\Phi(T')\}$.*

Proof. Since $T \equiv T' \pmod I$, there is $\Psi \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$ such that $T' = T + \Phi\Psi$ by Lemma 4.1. Then

$$(\Phi, T) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Psi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (\Phi, T')$$

where the square matrix above is in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$. This together with (3.1) proves the lemma. □

Lemma 4.3 tells us that the correspondence $[T] \rightarrow \{E_\Phi(T)\}$ yields a well-defined map

$$\mathcal{V}: (R/I)^* \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S),$$

and Lemma 4.2 tells us that \mathcal{V} is independent of the choice of Φ and is surjective.

LEMMA 4.4. (1) $\mathcal{V}([1]) = \{\mathbf{F}\}$.
 (2) $\mathcal{V}([T']| [T]) = \mathcal{V}([T']) \oplus \mathcal{V}([T])$ for any $[T'], [T] \in (R/I)^*$.

Proof. (1) Since $(0, 1) \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$, $\{E_0(1)\} = \{E_\Phi(1)\}$ by Lemma 4.2. Here $E_0(1)$ is nothing but \mathbf{F} , so statement (1) is proved.

(2) By definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}([T'] [T]) &= \mathcal{V}([T' T]) = \{E_\Phi(T' T)\}, \\ \mathcal{V}([T']) &= \{E_\Phi(T')\}, \\ \mathcal{V}([T]) &= \{E_\Phi(T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_\Phi(1) \cong \mathbf{F}$ by (1) above, it suffices to prove that

$$E_\Phi(T' T) \oplus E_\Phi(1) \cong E_\Phi(T') \oplus E_\Phi(T).$$

Here the left hand side is the kernel of

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & T' T & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$$

while the right hand side is the kernel of

$$L' := \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & T' & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi & 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G.$$

Since $[T] \in (R/I)^*$ and $(R/I)^*$ is a group, there is $Y \in R$ such that $TY \equiv YT \equiv 1 \pmod I$. Set $P := 1 - YT$ and $Q := Y(Y - 1)$. Then $P \equiv 0 \pmod I$ and $TQ \equiv Y - 1 \pmod I$. Observe that

$$L \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y - PQ & P \\ 0 & 0 & Y - 1 - (T + P)Q & T + P \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & T' + p_1 & p_2 \\ 0 & \Phi & p_3 & T + p_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $p_i \in I$, and that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi & 0 & T' + p_1 & p_2 \\ 0 & \Phi & p_3 & T + p_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\Psi_1 & -\Psi_2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\Psi_3 & -\Psi_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = L',$$

where $\Psi_i \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F}^\infty)^G$ such that $p_i = \Phi \Psi_i$ for each i (such Ψ_i exists by Lemma 4.1). One can check that the two square matrices above are both in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ by applying elementary operations. This shows that the kernels of L and L' , which are respectively $E_\Phi(T' T) \oplus \mathbf{F}$ and $E_\Phi(T') \oplus E_\Phi(T)$, are isomorphic. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The map $\mathcal{V}: (R/I)^* \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is surjective as noted before and $(R/I)^*$ is a group. So it follows from Lemma 4.4 that the abelian monoid $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is actually an abelian group, i.e., any element in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ has an inverse in it.

It follows from the result of Bass-Haboush mentioned in the introduction that the union of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^n; S)$ over all G -modules S agrees with $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^n)$. Therefore the union of $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ over all G -modules S agrees with $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$. Since $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is a group under Whitney sum, so is $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Any element in Γ^∞ is represented by $[A(S, S)]$ for some $A \in \text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$. Since $(A(F^\infty, S), A(S, S))A^{-1} = (0, 1)$, the element $(A(F^\infty, S), A(S, S))$ in $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ produces the trivial element in $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$. This shows that $\ker \mathcal{V} \supset \Gamma^\infty$. On the other hand, the composition $\rho^\infty \mathcal{V}: (R/I)^* \rightarrow (R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty$ is just the projection, so $\ker \mathcal{V} \subset \Gamma^\infty$. Thus $\ker \mathcal{V} = \Gamma^\infty$ and \mathcal{V} induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}: (R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$. Since $\rho^\infty \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is the identity and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is an isomorphism, ρ^∞ is also an isomorphism. \square

5. \mathbb{C}^* -action and grading

Since B is a G -module, scalar multiplication gives a \mathbb{C}^* -action on B commuting with the G -action. Keeping this example in mind, we consider a general \mathbb{C}^* -action on B commuting with the G -action. The \mathbb{C}^* -action induces an action on $\text{Mor}(B, V)^G$ and makes it a \mathbb{C}^* -module for any G -module V . In fact, we define $(cf)(x) := f(cx)$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $f \in \text{Mor}(B, V)^G$ and $x \in B$. Then $\text{Mor}(B, V)^G$ decomposes into a direct sum of eigenspaces, i.e.,

$$\text{Mor}(B, V)^G = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Mor}(B, V)_{(k)}^G,$$

where \mathbb{C}^* acts on $\text{Mor}(B, V)_{(k)}$ as scalar multiplication by k -th power. Note that

$$\text{Mor}(B, V)_{(0)}^G = \text{Mor}(B, V)^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*} = \text{Mor}(B//\mathbb{C}^*, V)^G.$$

For an element $P \in \text{Mor}(B, V)^G$, we denote by $P_{(k)}$ the degree k homogeneous component of P . It is obvious that $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$, which are respectively subsets of $\text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(F \oplus S, S))^G$ and $\text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(F \oplus S, F \oplus S))^G$, are invariant under the \mathbb{C}^* -actions, so both of them inherit gradings. Moreover, it is obvious that the map from $\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ to R defined by taking the (S, S) -component is \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant and hence so is the map $\rho: \text{VEC}_G(B, F; S) \rightarrow (R/I)^*/\Gamma$.

The \mathbb{C}^* -action makes $\mathcal{O}(B)$ a \mathbb{C}^* -module as above. We say that $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is *positively graded* if $\mathcal{O}(B)_{(k)} = 0$ for all $k < 0$. The \mathbb{C}^* -actions we will use later are the ones obtained as scalar multiplication on B or on a factor of B when B is a direct sum of two G -modules, and $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is positively graded for these actions. The following lemma can easily be checked for them.

LEMMA 5.1 ([3]). *If $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is positively graded for the \mathbb{C}^* -action, then the algebraic quotient map $\pi: B \rightarrow B//\mathbb{C}^*$ restricted to the \mathbb{C}^* -fixed point set $B^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ gives an isomorphism between $B^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ and $B//\mathbb{C}^*$.*

We note that if the grading on $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is positive, then so is the grading on $\text{Mor}(B, V)^G$.

LEMMA 5.2. *If $\mathcal{O}(B)$ is positively graded by the \mathbb{C}^* -action, then $L_{(0)} \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}$ and $A_{(0)} \in \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}$ for $L \in \text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $A \in \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$.*

Proof. As remarked in the previous section, there is an element $M \in \text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ such that LM is the identity. Since $(LM)_{(0)} = L_{(0)}M_{(0)}$ (where we use the assumption that our grading is positive) and the identity is of degree zero, it follows that $L_{(0)}M_{(0)}$ is the identity. This shows that $L_{(0)}: \mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is also surjective. A similar argument shows that $A_{(0)}$ is again an automorphism of $\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}$. \square

It follows from the above lemma that sending L to $L_{(0)}$ induces a correspondence

$$\text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^G / \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^G \rightarrow \text{sur}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S})^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*} / \text{aut}(\mathbf{F} \oplus \mathbf{S})^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*}.$$

Here the left hand side is identified with $\text{VEC}_G(B, F; S)$ while the right hand side is identified with $\text{VEC}_G(B^{\mathbb{C}^*}, F; S)$ because $\mathcal{O}(B)^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \mathcal{O}(B^{\mathbb{C}^*})$ by Lemma 5.1. Through these identifications, the above map is nothing but the restriction of G -vector bundles over B to $B^{\mathbb{C}^*}$.

One can apply the above argument to F^n for each n in place of F , so all the statements above hold for F^∞ in place of F .

6. Analysis of $(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty$

Since the map ρ^∞ is bijective by Theorem 3.1, we are led to study its target group $(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty$. Henceforth we assume that R/I is commutative. Suppose that our \mathbb{C}^* -action on B commutes with the G -action and induces a positive grading on $\mathcal{O}(B)$. Then R has a positive grading and

I becomes a graded ideal in R because it is invariant under the induced \mathbb{C}^* -action on R . Therefore R/I inherits the grading from R . Since the grading on R/I is positive, the degree zero term of a unit in R/I is again a unit. We denote by $(R/I)_{(0)}^*$ the subgroup of $(R/I)^*$ consisting of elements of degree zero. Then we have a decomposition

$$(R/I)^* = (R/I)_{(0)}^* \times (1 + (R/I)_1)^*,$$

where $(R/I)_1$ denotes the set of elements in R/I whose degree zero terms vanish. On the other hand, $\Gamma_{(0)}^\infty$, which is the projection image of $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})_{(0)}^G$, is a subgroup of Γ^∞ and we have a decomposition

$$\Gamma^\infty = \Gamma_{(0)}^\infty \times \Gamma_*^\infty,$$

where Γ_*^∞ denotes a subgroup of Γ^∞ with 1 as the degree zero term. The above two decompositions give rise to the following decomposition

$$(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty = (R/I)_{(0)}^*/\Gamma_{(0)}^\infty \times (1 + (R/I)_1)^*/\Gamma_*^\infty.$$

We note that $(R/I)_{(0)}^*/\Gamma_{(0)}^\infty$ is the target of the invariant ρ^∞ for $\text{VEC}_G(B//\mathbb{C}^*, F^\infty; S)$ and that $B//\mathbb{C}^*$ can be identified with $B^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ by Lemma 5.2. Therefore the restriction map

$$\iota^*: \text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S) \rightarrow \text{VEC}_G(B^{\mathbb{C}^*}, F^\infty; S),$$

where $\iota: B^{\mathbb{C}^*} \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion map, corresponds to the projection

$$(R/I)^*/\Gamma^\infty = (R/I)_{(0)}^*/\Gamma_{(0)}^\infty \times (1 + (R/I)_1)^*/\Gamma_*^\infty \rightarrow (R/I)_{(0)}^*/\Gamma_{(0)}^\infty,$$

and thus we have

LEMMA 6.1. *If $\text{VEC}_G(B^{\mathbb{C}^*}, F; S)$ consists of one element, then $\text{VEC}_G(B, F^\infty; S)$ is isomorphic to $(1 + (R/I)_1)^*/\Gamma_*^\infty$.*

An element $x \in (R/I)_1$ is nilpotent if and only if $1+x \in (1+(R/I)_1)^*$, (see [1], Exercise 2 in p.11). Therefore we have a logarithmic map

$$\log: (1 + (R/I)_1)^* \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R/I)_1$$

where $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ denotes the set of nilpotent elements in $(R/I)_1$. $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ is an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -submodule of $(R/I)_1$ and hence of R/I . The map \log is an isomorphism, the inverse being an exponential map.

LEMMA 6.2. *$\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ is an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -submodule of $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$.*

Proof. The groups $(1 + (R/I)_1)^*$ and $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ have the \mathbb{C}^* -actions and the map \log are equivariant with respect to the actions. Therefore, $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ is a \mathbb{C}^* -invariant additive subgroup of $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$. It follows that if x is an element of $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$, then all its homogeneous terms $x_{(d)}$ lie in

$\log \Gamma_*^\infty$. In fact, since $x = \sum_{d=1}^\infty x_{(d)}$, where $x_{(d)} = 0$ for sufficiently large d , is an element of the \mathbb{C}^* -invariant additive subgroup $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$, $\sum z^d x_{(d)}$ lies in $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Suppose that $x_{(d)} = 0$ for all $d > m$ where m is a certain positive integer. Then we take m nonzero different integers for z . For those m values of z , $\sum z^d x_{(d)}$ lie in $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$. Using the non-singularity of Vandermonde matrix and the fact that $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ is an additive group, one sees that $x_{(d)}$'s lie in $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ for all d .

In the sequel, it suffices to show that if $x \in \log \Gamma_*^\infty$ is homogeneous, then fx lies again in $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}(B)^G$. This can be seen as follows. Since the exponential map $\exp: \text{Nil}(R/I)_1 \rightarrow (1 + (R/I)_1)^*$ is the inverse of \log , $\exp(x)$ is an element of Γ_*^∞ . Remember that an element in Γ_*^∞ is the (S, S) -component of an element of $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ with 1 as the degree zero term. Suppose that $\exp(x)$ is the (S, S) -component of such an element $A = \sum_{d=0}^\infty A_{(d)}$ where $A_{(0)} = 1$. Then, $\sum_{d=0}^\infty f^d A_{(d)}$ again lies in $\text{aut}(\mathbf{F}^\infty \oplus \mathbf{S})^G$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(B)^G$. In fact, if $A' = \sum_{d=0}^\infty A'_{(d)}$ is the inverse of A , then one checks that $\sum_{d=0}^\infty f^d A'_{(d)}$ is the inverse of $\sum_{d=0}^\infty f^d A_{(d)}$. Taking degrees into account, one sees that the (S, S) -component of $\sum_{d=0}^\infty f^d A_{(d)}$ is equal to $\exp(fx)$. Therefore fx lies again in $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$, proving the lemma. \square

LEMMA 6.3. *The group $(1 + (R/I)_1)^*/\Gamma_*^\infty$ is isomorphic to a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module.*

Proof. The group $(1 + (R/I)_1)^*/\Gamma_*^\infty$ is isomorphic to $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1/\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ through the map \log . As is well known, $R = \text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(S, S))^G$ is finitely generated as $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module and hence so is the quotient R/I . Since the ring $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ is Noetherian and $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ is an $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -submodule of R/I , $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ is finitely generated as $\mathcal{O}(B)^G$ -module, (see Propositions 6.2 and 6.5 in [1]) and hence so is the quotient $\text{Nil}(R/I)_1/\log \Gamma_*^\infty$. This proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We take the \mathbb{C}^* -action on B defined by scalar multiplication. Then $B^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ is a point, that is the origin, so $\text{VEC}_G(B^{\mathbb{C}^*}, F^\infty; S)$ consists of one element. Therefore the theorem follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3. \square

7. Product formula

We shall prove Theorem 1.3. We use the notation R, I and Γ^∞ for the base space B as before and \bar{R}, \bar{I} and $\bar{\Gamma}^\infty$ for the base space $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$.

LEMMA 7.1. $\bar{R} = R \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ and $\bar{I} = I \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$.

Proof. As is well known,

$$(7.1) \quad \text{Mor}(B, V)^G \text{ is canonically isomorphic to } (V \otimes \mathcal{O}(B))^G$$

for any G -module. In fact, an element $f \in \text{Mor}(B, V)^G$ induces an equivariant algebra homomorphism $f^* : \mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(B)$. Since V is a module, $\mathcal{O}(V)$ is a symmetric tensor algebra of $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, f^* is determined by its restriction to V^* and hence f^* can be identified with an element of $\text{Hom}(V^*, \mathcal{O}(B))^G = (V \otimes \mathcal{O}(B))^G$. This is the correspondence giving the isomorphism (7.1). Applying (7.1) to $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ in place of B , we get

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Mor}(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, V)^G &= (V \otimes \mathcal{O}(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m))^G \\ &= (V \otimes \mathcal{O}(B) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m))^G \\ &= (V \otimes \mathcal{O}(B))^G \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m) \\ &= \text{Mor}(B, V)^G \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{R} = \text{Mor}(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m, \text{Hom}(S, S))^G$ and $R = \text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(S, S))^G$, the isomorphism (7.2) applied with $V = \text{Hom}(S, S)$ proves the first identity in the lemma.

As for the latter identity, we remember that I is generated by composition of elements in $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G$ and $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G$. Since $\text{mor}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S})^G = \text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(F, S))^G$ and $\text{mor}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{F})^G = \text{Mor}(B, \text{Hom}(S, F))^G$, the isomorphism (7.2) applied with $V = \text{Hom}(F, S)$ or $\text{Hom}(S, F)$ implies the latter identity in the lemma. \square

Now we consider the \mathbb{C}^* -action on $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ defined by scalar multiplication on the factor B . This action commutes with the G -action on $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$, where the G -action on \mathbb{C}^m is trivial, and $\mathcal{O}(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m) = \mathcal{O}(B) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is positively graded by the \mathbb{C}^* -action, so that we can apply the results in Section 6. Then, since $(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m)^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\text{VEC}_G(\mathbb{C}^m, F^\infty; S)$ consists of one element (because any G -vector bundle over \mathbb{C}^m is trivial, which follows from the Quillen-Suslin Theorem, see Corollary in p.113 of [7]), we have

$$(\bar{R}/\bar{I})^*/\bar{\Gamma}^\infty = (1 + (\bar{R}/\bar{I})_1)^*/\bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty,$$

and the logarithmic map

$$\log : (1 + (\bar{R}/\bar{I})_1)^* \rightarrow \text{Nil}(\bar{R}/\bar{I})_1$$

is an isomorphism.

LEMMA 7.2. (1) $\text{Nil}(\bar{R}/\bar{I})_1 = \text{Nil}(R/I)_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$.

$$(2) \log \bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty = \log \Gamma_*^\infty \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m).$$

Proof. (1) Since R/I is commutative and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is a polynomial ring in m variables, it follows from a theorem of E. Snapper (see p.70 in [9]) and Lemma 7.1 that

$$(7.3) \quad \text{Nil}(\bar{R}/\bar{I}) = \text{Nil}(R/I) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m).$$

Here elements in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ have degree zero with respect to our \mathbb{C}^* -action, so the identity in the lemma follows by taking elements whose degree zero terms vanish in (7.3).

(2) Through the projection from $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ on B , one can think of Γ_*^∞ as a subgroup of $\bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty$, hence $\log \bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty \supset \log \Gamma_*^\infty$. By Lemma 6.2 (applied with $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ in place of B), $\log \bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty$ is a module over $\mathcal{O}(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m)^G = \mathcal{O}(B)^G \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$. It follows that $\log \bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty \supset \log \Gamma_*^\infty \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$.

We shall prove the converse inclusion relation. By definition, an element in $\bar{\Gamma}_*^\infty$ is represented by the (S, S) -component of a G -vector bundle automorphism \bar{A} of the trivial bundle $(B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m) \times (F \oplus S)$ over $B \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ such that \bar{A} restricted to $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{C}^m$ is the identity. Since $\log[\bar{A}(S, S)]$ is contained in $\text{Nil}(\bar{R}/\bar{I})_1 = \text{Nil}(R/I)_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$, one can express

$$\log[\bar{A}(S, S)] = \sum_{i=1}^q r_i p_i$$

with $r_i \in \text{Nil}(R/I)_1$ and $p_i \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$. We may assume that the polynomials p_i 's are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} . Then there are points x_1, \dots, x_q in \mathbb{C}^m such that q vectors $(p_1(x_j), \dots, p_q(x_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$ are linearly independent. We consider the restriction of \bar{A} to $B \times \{x_j\}$, denoted by A_j , and think of A_j as a G -vector bundle automorphism of $B \times (F \oplus S)$. We have that $\log[A_j(S, S)] = \sum_{i=1}^q p_i(x_j) r_i$ and $\log[A_j(S, S)]$ is an element of $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ for each j . It follows that r_i is an element of $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ for each i because the q vectors $(p_1(x_j), \dots, p_q(x_j))$ for $j = 1, \dots, q$ are linearly independent and $\log \Gamma_*^\infty$ is a vector space over \mathbb{C} . Therefore, $\log[\bar{A}(S, S)]$ is an element of $\log \Gamma_*^\infty \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^m)$. Since \bar{A} is arbitrary, this proves the desired converse inclusion relation. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The theorem follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 7.2. \square

References

[1] M. Atiyah and I. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.

- [2] H. Bass, *Algebraic group actions on affine spaces*, Group actions on rings (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), Contemp. Math. **43**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1985, pp. 1–23.
- [3] H. Bass and W. Haboush, *Linearizing certain reductive group actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **292** (1985), 463–482.
- [4] ———, *Some equivariant K-theory of affine algebraic group actions*, Comm. Algebra **15** (1987), 181–217.
- [5] P. Heinzner and F. Kutzschbauch, *An equivariant version of Grauert's Oka principle*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), 317–346.
- [6] F. Knop, *Nichtlinearisierbare Operationen halbeinfacher Gruppen auf affinen Räumen*, Invent. Math. **105** (1991), 217–220.
- [7] H. Kraft, *G-vector bundles and the linearization problem*, Proceedings of the Conference on Group Actions and Invariant Theory, Montreal 1988, CMS Conference Proceedings **10** (1988), 111–124.
- [8] H. Kraft and G. Schwarz, *Reductive group actions with one dimensional quotient*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **76** (1992), 1–97.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, GTM **131**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [10] D. Luna, *Slices Etales*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Memoire **33** (1973), 81–105.
- [11] K. Masuda, *Moduli of equivariant algebraic vector bundles over a product of affine varieties*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), 181–199.
- [12] ———, *Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over representations - a survey*, Current Trends in Transformation Groups, K-Monographs in Mathematics, (to appear).
- [13] M. Masuda, L. Moser-Jauslin, and T. Petrie, *Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over representations of reductive groups: Applications*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **88** (1991), 9065–9066.
- [14] ———, *The equivariant Serre problem for abelian groups*, Topology **35** (1996), 329–334.
- [15] ———, *Invariants of equivariant algebraic vector bundles and inequalities for dominant weights*, Topology **37** (1998), 161–177.
- [16] M. Masuda and T. Petrie, *Equivariant algebraic vector bundles over representations of reductive groups: Theory*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **88** (1991), 9061–9064.
- [17] ———, *Stably trivial equivariant algebraic vector bundles*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), 687–714.
- [18] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 167–171.
- [19] G. Schwarz, *Exotic algebraic group actions*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **309** (1989), 89–94.
- [20] ———, *The topology of algebraic quotients*, Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups, Progress in Math. **80**, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1989, pp. 135–151.
- [21] A. Suslin, *Projective modules over a polynomial ring*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **26** (1976), (=Soviet Math. Doklady, **17** (1976), 1160–1164).

Department of Mathematics
Osaka City University
Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan
E-mail: masuda@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp