

## COUNTABLY APPROXIMATING FRAMES

SEUNG ON LEE

**ABSTRACT.** Using the countably way below relation, we show that the category  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphisms is coreflective in the category  $\mathbf{Frm}$  of frames and frame homomorphisms. Introducing the concept of stably countably approximating frames which are exactly retracts of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames, it is shown that the category  $\mathbf{SCAFrm}$  of stably countably approximating frames and  $\sigma$ -proper frame homomorphisms is coreflective in  $\mathbf{Frm}$ . Finally we introduce strongly Lindelöf frames and show that they are precisely lax retracts of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames.

### 0. Introduction

Since Scott ([12]) introduced continuous lattices, continuous lattices have been shown to have many interesting properties and characterizations in various points of view ([6]). Among others, continuous frames are a natural pointfree version of locally compact spaces.

The concept of coherent frames gives rise to an equivalence with the category of distributive lattices and homomorphisms ([9]). Furthermore, stably continuous frames are exactly retracts of coherent frames ([1], [2]).

We have introduced concepts of countably way below relations on a complete lattice and countably approximating lattices which generalize continuous lattices ([10]). We note that countably approximating frames are a pointfree counterpart of locally Lindelöf spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize results on continuous frames to countably approximating frames.

---

Received July 3, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06A99, 54A99, 54D99.

Key words and phrases: frames, countably approximating frames,  $\sigma$ -frames,  $\sigma$ -coherent frames, stably countably approximating frames.

This paper was conducted by the research fund provided by Korean Council for University Education, Support for 2000 Domestic Faculty Exchange program.

First, we study basic properties of countably approximating frames and then using the countably way below relation and the frame of  $\sigma$ -ideals, it is shown that the category  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphisms is coreflective in the category  $\mathbf{Frm}$  of frames and frame homomorphisms.

We introduce a concept of stably countably approximating frames and show that a frame is stably countably approximating iff it is a retract of a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame and that the category  $\mathbf{SCAFrm}$  of stably countably approximating frames and  $\sigma$ -proper frame homomorphisms is also coreflective in  $\mathbf{Frm}$ .

Finally using the concept of strong convergence of generalized filters, we introduce strongly Lindelöf frames and show that they are precisely lax retracts of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames.

In the following, we always assume that a lattice is a bounded lattice i.e., a lattice with the top  $e$  and the bottom  $0$ , and that homomorphisms between lattices preserve  $e$  and  $0$ . For terminology not introduced in the paper, we refer to [6], [9].

## 1. Countably approximating frames

Recall that a *frame* is a complete lattice  $L$  satisfying the infinite distributive law  $x \wedge (\bigvee S) = \bigvee \{x \wedge s \mid s \in S\}$  for all  $x \in L$  and  $S \subseteq L$ . A *frame homomorphism* is a map between frames preserving arbitrary joins, including the bottom  $0$  and finitary meets, including the top  $e$ . Let  $\mathbf{Frm}$  denote the category of frames and frame homomorphisms. Furthermore, a complete lattice  $A$  is said to be a *continuous lattice* if for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$x = \bigvee \{u \in A \mid u \ll x\},$$

where  $x \ll y$  means that for any directed subset  $D$  of  $A$  with  $y \leq \bigvee D$ , there is  $d \in D$  with  $x \leq d$  ([6] for the details).

DEFINITION 1.1 ([10]). A complete lattice  $A$  is said to be a *countably approximating lattice* if for all  $x \in A$ ,

$$x = \bigvee \{u \in A \mid u \ll_c x\},$$

where  $\ll_c$  is the countably way below relation, i.e.,  $x \ll_c y$  means that for any countably directed subset  $D$  of  $A$  with  $y \leq \bigvee D$ , there is  $d \in D$  with  $x < d$ .

EXAMPLE 1.2. 1) A continuous lattice is a countably approximating lattice.

2) A countable complete lattice is a countably approximating lattice.

3) If  $X$  is a locally Lindelöf space, i.e., each point  $x$  of  $X$  has a local base consisting of Lindelöf neighborhoods of  $x$ , then the open set frame  $\Omega(X)$  is a countably approximating frame.

4) A countably approximating frame need not be a continuous frame. For example, the open set frame  $\Omega(Q)$ , where  $Q$  is the rational line with the usual topology, is not a continuous but countably approximating frame ([10]).

In the following,  $Fin(X)$  ( $Count(X)$ , resp.) denotes the set of all finite (countable, resp.) subsets of  $X$ .

Recall that a  $\sigma$ -frame is a lattice  $A$  with countable joins in which  $x \wedge (\bigvee K) = \bigvee \{x \wedge k \mid k \in K\}$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $K \in Count(A)$  ([7]). A  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism is a map between  $\sigma$ -frames preserving countable joins and finite meets. Let  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$  be the category of  $\sigma$ -frames and  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphisms.

An *ideal* of a lattice is a down set which is closed under finite joins. A  $\sigma$ -ideal of a lattice is a countably directed ideal. For a  $\sigma$ -frame  $A$ , an ideal of  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal iff it is closed under countable joins. For a lattice  $A$ , the set of all ideals ( $\sigma$ -ideals, resp.) is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}A$  ( $\mathcal{H}A$ , resp.). If  $A$  is a distributive lattice ( $\sigma$ -frame, resp.) then  $\mathcal{I}A$  ( $\mathcal{H}A$ , resp.) is a frame. Indeed, for the category  $\mathbf{DLatt}$  of distributive lattices and homomorphisms, the functor  $\mathcal{I}: \mathbf{DLatt} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$  ( $\mathcal{H}: \sigma\mathbf{Frm} \rightarrow \mathbf{Frm}$ , resp.) gives rise to the left adjoint of the forgetful functor ([9], [11]).

For a complete lattice  $A$ ,  $\downarrow_w x = \{y \in A \mid y \ll x\}$  is an ideal of  $A$  and  $\downarrow_c x = \{y \in A \mid y \ll_c x\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $A$ .

REMARK 1.3. A complete lattice  $L$  is a countably approximating lattice iff for each  $x \in L$ , the set  $\downarrow_c x$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$  with  $x \leq \bigvee I$  and therefore  $\downarrow_c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a left adjoint of the join map  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$ . Thus we characterize countably approximating lattices via  $\sigma$ -ideals. That is, every countably approximating lattice  $L$  is the image of the complete lattice  $\mathcal{H}L$  under a map preserving arbitrary meets and joins ([10] for the details).

If  $x \ll x$  ( $x \ll_c x$ , resp.) in a lattice  $A$ , then  $x$  is called a *compact* (*Lindelöf, resp.*) *element* of  $A$ . A complete lattice  $L$  is said to be a

*compact (Lindelöf, resp.) lattice* if the top element  $e$  of  $L$  is a compact (Lindelöf, resp.) element of  $L$ . The set of all compact (Lindelöf, resp.) elements of  $L$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(L)$  ( $\mathcal{L}(L)$ , resp.).

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *If  $X$  is a regular space and  $\Omega(X)$  is a countably approximating frame, then  $X$  is a locally Lindelöf space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in X$  and  $V$  an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $\Omega(X)$  is a countably approximating frame, there is  $U \in \Omega(X)$  with  $x \in U \ll_c V$ . Since  $X$  is regular, there is an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  with  $\text{cl}W \subseteq U$ , where  $\text{cl}W$  denotes the closure of  $W$ . If  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an open cover of  $\text{cl}W$ , then  $\mathcal{G} \cup \{X - \text{cl}W\}$  is also an open cover of  $V$ . Since  $U \ll_c V$ , there is  $\mathcal{G}' \in \text{Count}(\mathcal{G} \cup \{X - \text{cl}W\})$  such that  $\mathcal{G}'$  covers  $U$ . Thus  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a cover of  $\text{cl}W$ ; hence  $\text{cl}W$  is a Lindelöf neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $V$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *A regular space  $X$  is a locally Lindelöf space iff the open set frame  $\Omega(X)$  is a countably approximating frame.*

A frame  $L$  is said to be *regular* if  $a = \bigvee \{t \in L | t \prec a\}$  for all  $a \in L$ , where  $t \prec a$  iff  $t \wedge x = 0$  and  $a \vee x = e$  for some  $x \in L$ , or equivalently,  $a \vee t^* = e$  for the pseudocomplement  $t^* = \bigvee \{s \in L | t \wedge s = 0\}$  of  $t \in L$ .

In a frame  $L$  and  $x_n \in L$  ( $n \in N$ ),  $x_n \prec a$  does not imply  $\bigvee x_n \prec a$ .

In a compact regular frame,  $x \ll_c y$  iff  $x \prec y$ . But in a regular Lindelöf frame,  $x \ll_c y$  does not imply  $x \prec y$  in general. In the regular Lindelöf frame  $\Omega(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $(0, 3) \ll_c (0, 3)$  but  $(0, 3) \not\prec (0, 3)$ .

A  $\delta$ -*frame* is a lattice  $A$  with countable meets satisfying the property  $x \vee (\bigwedge K) = \bigwedge \{x \vee k | k \in K\}$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $K \in \text{Count}(A)$ , or equivalently, the dual  $A^{op}$  of  $A$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame.

If a frame  $L$  is also a  $\delta$ -frame, then  $x_n \prec a$  implies  $\bigvee x_n \prec a$ ; hence  $\{t \in L | t \prec a\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $L$ . So we have the following:

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Let  $L$  be a frame, then for any  $x, y \in L$  we have:*

- 1) *If  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame, then  $x \prec y$  implies  $x \ll_c y$ .*
- 2) *If  $L$  is a regular  $\delta$ -frame, then  $x \ll_c y$  implies  $x \prec y$ .*
- 3) *If  $L$  is a regular Lindelöf  $\delta$ -frame, then  $x \prec y$  iff  $x \ll_c y$ .*
- 4) *Every regular Lindelöf frame is countably approximating.*

PROOF. 1) Suppose that  $x \prec y$  and  $y \leq \bigvee S$  for any  $S \subseteq L$ . Then  $x^* \vee y = e$  implies  $x^* \vee (\bigvee S) = e$ . Since  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame, there is  $K \in \text{Count}(S)$  with  $x^* \vee (\bigvee K) = e$ ; hence  $x \leq \bigvee K$ . So  $x \ll_c y$ .

2) Let  $x \ll_c y$ . Since  $L$  is regular,  $y = \bigvee \{t \in L \mid t \prec y\}$ ; hence  $x \in \{t \in L \mid t \prec y\}$ , because  $\{t \in L \mid t \prec y\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $L$ . So  $x \prec y$ .

3) It follows from 1) and 2).

4) For any  $a \in L$ ,  $a = \bigvee \{x \in L \mid x \prec a\} \leq \bigvee \downarrow_c a \leq \bigvee \downarrow a \leq a$ ; therefore  $a = \bigvee \downarrow_c a$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let  $L$  be a countably approximating frame, then  $\ll_c$  interpolates, i.e., if  $x \ll_c y$ , then there is  $z \in L$  with  $x \ll_c z \ll_c y$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x \ll_c y$ , then  $y = \bigvee \{a \mid a \ll_c y\} = \bigvee \{\bigvee \{b \mid b \ll_c a\} \mid a \ll_c y\} = \bigvee \{b \mid b \ll_c a \ll_c y \text{ for some } a \in L\}$ . Since  $x \ll_c y$ , there are sequences  $(a_n), (b_n)$  in  $L$  such that  $b_n \ll_c a_n \ll_c y$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x \leq \bigvee b_n$ . Since  $\bigvee b_n \ll_c \bigvee a_n$ ,  $x \leq \bigvee b_n \ll_c \bigvee a_n \ll_c y$ . Let  $\bigvee a_n = z$ , then  $x \ll_c z \ll_c y$ .  $\square$

REMARK 1.8. Suppose that a countably approximating frame  $L$  is generated by the set  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  of Lindelöf elements, then  $x \ll_c y$  in  $L$  iff there is  $z \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  with  $x \leq z \leq y$ .

PROOF. Let  $x \ll_c y = \bigvee (\downarrow y \cap \mathcal{L}(L))$ , then there is  $K \subseteq \text{Count}(\downarrow y \cap \mathcal{L}(L))$  with  $x \leq \bigvee K$ . Let  $z = \bigvee K$ , then  $z \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  and  $x \leq z \leq y$ . Conversely, suppose  $y \leq \bigvee S$ , then  $x \leq z \leq y \leq \bigvee S$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ . Since  $z \leq \bigvee S$ , there is  $K \in \text{Count}(S)$  with  $z \leq \bigvee K$ ; hence  $x \leq \bigvee K$ . Thus  $x \ll_c y$ .  $\square$

## 2. $\sigma$ -coherent frames

In this section, we establish the equivalence between the category  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$  and the category  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  of  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphisms, and then show that  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  is coreflective in  $\mathbf{Frm}$ .

We recall that a frame  $L$  is said to be *coherent* if  $\mathcal{K}(L)$  is a sublattice of  $L$  and generates  $L$ .

DEFINITION 2.1 ([11]). A frame  $L$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -coherent if  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  is a sub $\sigma$ -frame of  $L$  and generates  $L$ .

In a frame  $L$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  is closed under countable joins. Thus  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  is a sub $\sigma$ -frame of  $L$  iff  $e \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  and for  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ ,  $x \wedge y \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ .

REMARK 2.2. 1) For a lattice  $A$ ,  $\downarrow a$  is a Lindelöf element of  $\mathcal{H}A$  for any  $a \in A$ , because for any countably directed subset  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\mathcal{H}A$ ,  $\downarrow a \subseteq \bigvee \mathcal{E}$  iff  $a \in \bigcup \mathcal{E}$  iff  $a \in S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{E}$  iff  $\downarrow a \subseteq S$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{E}$ ; hence  $\downarrow a$  is a Lindelöf element of  $\mathcal{H}A$ . Thus  $\{\downarrow a | a \in A\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}A)$ .

2) A  $\sigma$ -coherent frame need not be a coherent frame. For example, the complete chain  $[0, 1]$  with the usual order  $\leq$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame but not a coherent frame.

3) Every  $\sigma$ -coherent frame  $L$  is a countably approximating frame, because for any  $a \in L$ ,  $a = \bigvee(\downarrow a \cap \mathcal{L}(L)) \leq \bigvee \downarrow_c a \leq a$ .

4) Let  $T = [0, \Omega) \cup \{z_1, z_2\}$ , where  $\Omega$  is the first uncountable ordinal,  $x \leq z_1, z_2$  for all  $x \in [0, \Omega)$ , and  $[0, \Omega)$  is a chain with the ordinal order  $\leq$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}T = \{U \subseteq T | \phi \neq U = \downarrow U\}$  is a countably approximating frame and  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}T) = \{T, \downarrow z_1, \downarrow z_2\} \cup \{\downarrow x | x \in [0, \Omega)\}$ . Since  $\downarrow z_1 \cap \downarrow z_2 = [0, \Omega)$  is not a Lindelöf element of  $\mathcal{D}T$ ,  $\mathcal{D}T$  is not a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame, although  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}T)$  generates  $\mathcal{D}T$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow M$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -coherent if  $h(\mathcal{L}(L)) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(M)$ .

The class of all  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphisms between them form a category which will be denoted by  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $A$  be a  $\sigma$ -frame, then we have:

- 1) Lindelöf elements of  $\mathcal{H}A$  are precisely principal ideals.
- 2)  $\mathcal{H}A$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame.
- 3) The down map  $\downarrow : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}A)$  ( $\downarrow(a) = \downarrow a$ ) is an isomorphism.

PROOF. 1) Take any Lindelöf element  $I$  of  $\mathcal{H}A$ , then  $I = \bigvee\{\downarrow x | x \in I\}$ . Since  $I \ll_c I$ , there is  $K \in \text{Count}(I)$  such that  $I \leq \bigvee\{\downarrow x | x \in K\}$  in  $\mathcal{H}A$ . Let  $a = \bigvee K$ , then  $\bigvee\{\downarrow x | x \in K\} = \downarrow a$ ; hence  $I = \downarrow a$ . Conversely,  $\downarrow a$  is a Lindelöf element of  $\mathcal{H}A$  by 1) of Remark 2.2.

2)  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}A) = \{\downarrow a | a \in A\}$  by 1). Note that  $\downarrow a \wedge \downarrow b = \downarrow(a \wedge b) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}A)$  and  $\mathcal{H}A$  is a Lindelöf frame. Moreover, for any  $I \in \mathcal{H}A$ ,  $I = \bigvee\{\downarrow x | x \in I\}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H}A$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent.

3) By 1), the down map is a 1 – 1 onto map which preserves finite meets. Moreover  $\downarrow(\bigvee K) = \bigvee\{\downarrow k \mid k \in K\}$  for any  $K \in \text{Count}(A)$ , so  $\downarrow$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism. Hence  $\downarrow$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

REMARK 2.5. Let  $L$  be a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame, then we have:

- 1)  $\downarrow(\downarrow a \cap \mathcal{L}(L)) = \downarrow_c a$  for all  $a \in L$ .
- 2)  $\downarrow(\downarrow a \cap \mathcal{L}(L)) = \downarrow a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ .

PROOF. Since  $L$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent,  $L$  is a countably approximating frame which is generated by  $\mathcal{L}(L)$ . Thus by Remark 1.8, we have 1).

2) follows from 1) together with the fact that for  $a \in \mathcal{L}(L)$ ,  $\downarrow_c a = \downarrow a$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.6. A frame is  $\sigma$ -coherent if and only if it is isomorphic to the frame of  $\sigma$ -ideals of a  $\sigma$ -frame.

PROOF. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $L$  be a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame, then  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame and  $\mathcal{HL}(L)$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame. Since the inclusion map  $i : \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow L$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism, there is a unique frame homomorphism  $f : \mathcal{HL}(L) \rightarrow L$  with  $f \circ \downarrow = i$  for the down map  $\downarrow : \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{HL}(L)$ . Indeed,  $f(I) = \bigvee I$ . Define  $g : L \rightarrow \mathcal{HL}(L)$  by  $g(a) = \downarrow a \cap \mathcal{L}(L)$ . By the above remark,  $g(a) = \downarrow_c a \cap \mathcal{L}(L)$ ; hence  $g$  is well defined. For any  $I \in \mathcal{HL}(L)$ ,  $x \in I$  iff  $x \leq \bigvee I$ , for  $x$  is a Lindelöf element; therefore  $g(f(I)) = I$ . Since  $L$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent,  $f(g(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in L$ . Thus  $f$  is an isomorphism.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) It is immediate from 2) of Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

For a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism  $h : A \rightarrow B$ , we have a frame homomorphism  $\mathcal{H}h : \mathcal{H}A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}B$  ( $\mathcal{H}h(I) = \downarrow h(I)$ ).  $\mathcal{H}h$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent, because for any  $\downarrow a$  ( $a \in A$ ),  $\mathcal{H}h(\downarrow a) = \downarrow h(a) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}B)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{H} : \sigma\mathbf{Frm} \rightarrow \sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  is a functor.

For any  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphism  $f : L \rightarrow M$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(f) : \mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M)$  ( $\mathcal{L}(f)(x) = f(x)$ ) is a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism. Thus  $\mathcal{L} : \sigma\mathbf{CFrm} \rightarrow \sigma\mathbf{Frm}$  is a functor.

Using these, we have the following:

THEOREM 2.7.  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$  and  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  are equivalent.

PROOF. For any  $A \in \sigma\mathbf{Frm}$ , let  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}A)$  be the map given by  $\eta_A(a) = \downarrow a (a \in A)$ . Then by Proposition 2.4,  $\eta_A$  is an isomorphism. For any  $h : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$ ,  $\eta_B \circ h = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}h) \circ \eta_A$ ; therefore  $(\eta_A)_{A \in \sigma\mathbf{Frm}} : 1_{\sigma\mathbf{Frm}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{H}$  is a natural isomorphism. For any  $L \in \sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$ , let  $\epsilon_L : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{L}(L) \rightarrow L$  be the map given by  $\epsilon_L(I) = \bigvee I$ , then it is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.6. Furthermore, for any  $g : L \rightarrow M$  in  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$ ,  $g \circ \epsilon_L = \epsilon_M \circ \mathcal{H}\mathcal{L}(g)$ ; hence  $(\epsilon_L)_{L \in \sigma\mathbf{CFrm}} : \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 1_{\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}}$  is a natural isomorphism. In all,  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$  and  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  are equivalent.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $L$  be a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame, then there is a frame homomorphism  $k : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  with  $\bigvee \circ k = 1_L$ , where  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is the join map.*

PROOF. Define  $k : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  by  $k(a) = \downarrow_c a$ . Then  $k$  preserves finite meets by Remark 1.8 and the fact that  $\mathcal{L}(L)$  is closed under finite meets. Since  $L$  is countably approximating,  $k$  is a left adjoint of  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$ ; hence  $k$  preserves arbitrary joins. In all,  $k$  is a frame homomorphism and  $\bigvee(k(a)) = \bigvee(\downarrow_c a) = a = 1_L(a)$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 2.9.  *$\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  is coreflective in  $\mathbf{Frm}$ . Indeed, for any  $L \in \mathbf{Frm}$ , the join map  $\bigvee_L : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is the coreflection of  $L$ .*

PROOF. Since  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame,  $\mathcal{H}L$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame and  $\bigvee_L : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is a frame homomorphism. Take any frame homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow L$ , where  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame. Then by the above proposition, there is a frame homomorphism  $\downarrow_c : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}M$  with  $\bigvee_M \circ \downarrow_c = 1_M$ . For any  $u \in \mathcal{L}(M)$ ,  $\downarrow_c u = \downarrow u \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}M)$ ; hence  $\downarrow_c$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent. Since  $\mathbf{Frm}$  is a subcategory of  $\sigma\mathbf{Frm}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}h$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent and  $\mathcal{H}h \circ \downarrow_c : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is also  $\sigma$ -coherent. Let  $\mathcal{H}h \circ \downarrow_c = f$ , then  $\bigvee_L \circ f = \bigvee_L \circ \mathcal{H}h \circ \downarrow_c = h \circ \bigvee_M \circ \downarrow_c = h$  by Remark 2.2. To show the uniqueness of  $f$ , suppose that  $g : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent homomorphism with  $\bigvee_L \circ g = h$ . Take any  $b \in M$  and  $x \in \downarrow b \cap \mathcal{L}(M)$ , then  $g(x) = \downarrow a \subseteq g(b)$  for some  $a \in L$ , because  $g$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent. So  $h(x) = (\bigvee_L \circ g)(x) = a \in g(b)$ ; hence  $(\bigvee_L \circ f)(x) = h(x) \in g(b)$ . Since  $f(b) = f(\bigvee_M(\downarrow b \cap \mathcal{L}(M))) = \bigvee \{f(x) \mid x \in \downarrow b \cap \mathcal{L}(M)\}$ ,  $f(b) \subseteq g(b)$  for all  $b \in M$ . Hence  $f \leq g$ . Interchanging the role of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have  $g \leq f$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$



### 3. Stably countably approximating frames

We note that the relation  $\ll_c$  in a frame need not be closed under finite meets as 4) of Remark 2.2 shows.

In this section, we introduce a concept of stably countably approximating frames and study the relations between  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and stably countably approximating frames.

DEFINITION 3.1. A frame  $L$  is called:

- 1) *stably continuous* if  $L$  is continuous and the relation  $\ll$  is closed under finite meets.
- 2) *stably countably approximating* if  $L$  is countably approximating and the relation  $\ll_c$  is closed under finite meets.

By the definition, a countably approximating frame  $L$  is stably countably approximating iff  $e \ll_c e$ , and  $x \ll_c a, y \ll_c b$  imply  $x \wedge y \ll_c a \wedge b$ , or equivalently,  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame, and  $x \ll_c a, x \ll_c b$  imply  $x \ll_c a \wedge b$ .

REMARK 3.2. 1) A regular Lindelöf frame which is also a  $\delta$ -frame, is stably countably approximating by 3) of Remark 1.6, because the relation  $\prec$  is closed under finite meets.

2) Every  $\sigma$ -coherent frame  $L$  is stably countably approximating.

3) A countably approximating frame  $L$  is stably countably approximating iff  $\downarrow_c: L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a frame homomorphism, because  $\downarrow_c$  preserves arbitrary joins by Remark 1.3.

LEMMA 3.3. 1) *Every retract of a countably approximating frame is again countably approximating.*

2) *Every retract of a stably countably approximating frame is again stably countably approximating.*

PROOF. 1) Let  $L$  be a countably approximating frame and  $M$  a retract of  $L$ , i.e.,  $M$  is a subframe of  $L$  and there is a frame homomorphism  $r: L \rightarrow M$  with  $r|_M = 1_M$ . If for any  $b \in M$  and  $x \in L$   $x \ll_c b$  in  $L$ , then  $r(x) \ll_c b$  in  $M$ , because for any  $S \subseteq M$  with  $b \leq \bigvee_M S = \bigvee_L S$ , there is  $K \in \text{Count}(S)$  with  $x \leq \bigvee_L K$ . So  $r(x) \leq r(\bigvee_L K) = \bigvee_M r(K)$ . Since  $r|_M = 1_M$ ,  $r(x) \leq \bigvee_M K$ .

For any  $b \in M$ ,  $b = \bigvee_L \{x \mid x \ll_c b \text{ in } L\}$ , because  $L$  is countably approximating. So  $r(b) = b = \bigvee_M \{r(x) \mid x \ll_c b \text{ in } L\} \leq \bigvee_M \{y \mid y \ll_c b \text{ in } M\} \leq b$ . Thus  $M$  is countably approximating.

2) Let  $L$  be a stably countably approximating frame and  $M$  a retract of  $L$ . By 1),  $M$  is countably approximating. Since  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame,  $M$  is also a Lindelöf frame, for  $M$  is a subframe of  $L$ . Suppose  $b \ll_c x$  and  $b \ll_c y$  in  $M$ . Since  $b \ll_c x = \bigvee_M \{r(p) \mid p \ll_c x \text{ in } L\}$ , there is  $p \in L$  such that  $p \ll_c x$  in  $L$  and  $b \leq r(p)$ . Similarly, there is  $q \in L$  such that  $q \ll_c y$  in  $L$  and  $b \leq r(q)$ . Since  $L$  is stably countably approximating,  $p \wedge q \ll_c x \wedge y$  in  $L$ . Since  $x \wedge y \in M$ ,  $r(p \wedge q) \ll_c x \wedge y$  in  $M$ . Thus  $b \ll_c x \wedge y$  in  $M$ , because  $b \leq r(p) \wedge r(q) = r(p \wedge q)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *A frame  $L$  is stably countably approximating iff  $L$  is a retract of a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $L$  is stably countably approximating, then  $\downarrow_c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a frame homomorphism by Remark 3.2. Since  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is a frame homomorphism and  $(\bigvee \circ \downarrow_c)(a) = a = 1_L(a)$ ,  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is a retraction. The converse is immediate from Remark 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.5.** A frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow M$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -proper if whenever  $x \ll_c y$  in  $L$ ,  $h(x) \ll_c h(y)$  in  $M$ .

The class of all stably countably approximating frames and  $\sigma$ -proper homomorphisms between them forms a category which will be denoted by **SCAFrm**.

**REMARK 3.6.** 1)  $\sigma\mathbf{CFrm}$  is a full subcategory of **SCAFrm**.

2) A stably countably approximating frame  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame iff  $x \ll_c y$  in  $L$  implies that there is  $z \in \mathcal{L}(L)$  with  $x \leq z \leq y$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** **SCAFrm** is coreflective in **Frm**. Indeed, for any  $L \in \mathbf{Frm}$ ,  $\bigvee_L : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  is the coreflection of  $L$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $L$  be a frame, then  $\mathcal{H}L$  is a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame and hence a stably countably approximating frame. Take any frame homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow L$ , where  $M$  is a stably countably approximating frame. Then  $\downarrow_c : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}M$  is a frame homomorphism with  $\bigvee_M \circ \downarrow_c = 1_M$ . For any  $x \ll_c y$  in  $M$ ,  $\downarrow_c x \subseteq \downarrow x \subseteq \downarrow_c y$ , so  $\downarrow_c x \ll_c \downarrow_c y$  in  $\mathcal{H}M$ . Hence  $\downarrow_c$  is a  $\sigma$ -proper homomorphism. Since  $\mathcal{H}h : \mathcal{H}M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is  $\sigma$ -proper,

$\mathcal{H}ho \downarrow_c : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is also  $\sigma$ -proper. Let  $\mathcal{H}ho \downarrow_c = f$ , then  $\bigvee_L \circ f = h$ . To show the uniqueness of  $f$ , suppose that  $g : M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a  $\sigma$ -proper homomorphism with  $\bigvee_L \circ g = h$ . Take any  $b \in M$  and  $x \in \downarrow_c b$ , then  $g(x) \subseteq \downarrow a \subseteq g(b)$  for some  $a \in L$ , because  $\mathcal{H}L$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent. So  $h(x) = (\bigvee_L \circ g)(x) \leq a \in g(b)$ ; hence  $(\bigvee_L \circ f)(x) = h(x) \in g(b)$ . Thus by the exactly same argument in Theorem 2.9, we have  $f = g$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Strongly Lindelöf frames

In this section, we introduce a concept of strongly Lindelöf frames as a generalization of strongly compact frames and study the relations between  $\sigma$ -coherent frames and strongly Lindelöf frames.

In the following, bounded meet-semilattice homomorphisms on a frame  $L$  to any frame  $T$ , will be called *filters* on  $L$ . A filter  $\varphi : L \rightarrow T$  is called a *prime filter* ( *$\sigma$ -prime filter*, resp.) if  $\varphi$  is a lattice ( $\sigma$ -frame, resp.) homomorphism ([3, 4, 8] for the details). A filter  $\varphi : L \rightarrow T$  is said to be *convergent* if  $\varphi$  sends a cover of  $L$  to a cover of  $T$  ([8]) and to be *strongly convergent* if there is a frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow T$  with  $h \leq \varphi$  ([5]).

**DEFINITION 4.1.** A frame  $L$  is said to be a *strongly compact* (*strongly Lindelöf*, resp.) frame if every prime ( $\sigma$ -prime, resp.) filter  $\varphi : L \rightarrow T$  is strongly convergent.

The category of strongly Lindelöf frames and frame homomorphisms will be denoted by **SLFrm**.

**REMARK 4.2.** 1) Every strongly compact frame is a strongly Lindelöf frame, but the converse need not be true. The open set frame  $\Omega(R_c)$ , where  $R_c$  is the real line endowed with the cocountable topology, is a strongly Lindelöf frame but not a strongly compact frame.

2) Every  $\sigma$ -prime filter  $\varphi : L \rightarrow T$  preserves countable covers; hence every  $\sigma$ -prime filter on a Lindelöf frame  $L$  is convergent.

3) Every Lindelöf regular frame is a strongly Lindelöf frame, but the converse need not be true. The open set frame  $\Omega(R_c)$  in 1) is not regular.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $L$  be a frame. Then we have:*

- 1) *If the frame homomorphism  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  has a right inverse, then  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame*
- 2) *If  $L$  is a regular Lindelöf  $\delta$ -frame, then the frame homomorphism  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  has a right inverse.*

PROOF. 1) is immediate from the fact that  $L$  is isomorphic with a subframe of the Lindelöf frame  $\mathcal{H}L$ . For 2), by 1) of Remark 3.2,  $L$  is a stably countably approximating frame; hence the map  $\downarrow_c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a frame homomorphism and  $\bigvee \circ \downarrow_c = 1_L$ . Thus  $\downarrow_c$  is a right inverse of  $\bigvee$ .  $\square$

The following is due to Banaschewski and Hong [5].

DEFINITION 4.4. Let  $L$  and  $M$  be frames, then  $M$  is said to be a *lax retract* of  $L$  if there are frame homomorphisms  $f : L \rightarrow M$  and  $g : M \rightarrow L$  with  $f \circ g \leq 1_M$ .

Clearly every retract is a lax retract.

LEMMA 4.5. 1) *Every  $\sigma$ -coherent frame is a strongly Lindelöf frame.*  
 2) *A lax retract of a strongly Lindelöf frame is also a strongly Lindelöf frame.*

PROOF. 1) Let  $L$  be a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame, then there is a  $\sigma$ -frame  $A$  with  $L = \mathcal{H}A$ . Take any  $\sigma$ -prime filter  $\varphi : \mathcal{H}A \rightarrow T$ , then  $\varphi \circ \downarrow$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism, for the map  $\downarrow : A \rightarrow \mathcal{H}A$  is a  $\sigma$ -frame homomorphism. So there is a unique frame homomorphism  $h : \mathcal{H}A \rightarrow T$  with  $h \circ \downarrow = \varphi \circ \downarrow$ , and  $h(I) = h(\bigvee \{\downarrow x \mid x \in I\}) = \bigvee \{(h \circ \downarrow)(x) \mid x \in I\} = \bigvee \{(\varphi \circ \downarrow)(x) \mid x \in I\} \leq \varphi(I)$  for any  $I \in \mathcal{H}A$ ; hence  $h \leq \varphi$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is strongly convergent.

2) Let  $L$  be a strongly Lindelöf frame and  $M$  a lax retract of  $L$ . Then there are frame homomorphisms  $f : L \rightarrow M$  and  $g : M \rightarrow L$  with  $f \circ g \leq 1_M$ . Take any  $\sigma$ -prime filter  $\varphi : M \rightarrow T$ , then  $\varphi \circ f : L \rightarrow T$  is a  $\sigma$ -prime filter. Since  $L$  is a strongly Lindelöf frame, there is a frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow T$ , with  $h \leq \varphi \circ f$ . So  $h \circ g : M \rightarrow T$  is a frame homomorphism and  $h \circ g \leq \varphi$ . Thus  $M$  is a strongly Lindelöf frame.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.6. For a frame  $L$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $L$  is a strongly Lindelöf frame.
- 2)  $L$  is a lax retract of  $\mathcal{H}L$ .
- 3)  $L$  is a lax retract of a  $\sigma$ -coherent frame.

PROOF. 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2) Since the map  $\downarrow : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a  $\sigma$ -prime filter, there is a frame homomorphism  $h : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  with  $h \leq \downarrow$  and  $\bigvee \circ h \leq \bigvee \circ \downarrow = 1_L$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3) It follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{H}L$  is  $\sigma$ -coherent.

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1) It follows from Lemma 4.5. □

COROLLARY 4.7. 1) Every stably countably approximating frame is a strongly Lindelöf frame.

2) Every strongly Lindelöf frame is a Lindelöf frame.

3) **SLFrm** is coproductive.

Collecting the previous results, we have:

PROPOSITION 4.8. Suppose that  $L$  is a regular frame which is also a  $\delta$ -frame, then the following are equivalent:

- 1) The frame homomorphism  $\bigvee : \mathcal{H}L \rightarrow L$  has a right inverse.
- 2)  $L$  is a Lindelöf frame.
- 3) Every  $\sigma$ -prime filter on  $L$  is convergent.
- 4)  $L$  is a strongly Lindelöf frame.
- 5)  $L$  is a stably countably approximating frame.
- 6) The map  $\downarrow_c : L \rightarrow \mathcal{H}L$  is a frame homomorphism.

## References

- [1] B. Banaschewski, *Coherent frames*, Lect. Notes in Math. Springer-Verlag **871** (1981), 1–11.
- [2] ———, *The duality of distributive  $\sigma$ -continuous lattices*, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag **871** (1981), 12–19.
- [3] B. Banaschewski and S. S. Hong, *Filters and strict extensions of frames*, Kyungpook Math. J. **39** (1999), 215–230.
- [4] ———, *Extension by continuity in pointfree topology*, Appl. Categ. Structures **8** (2000), 475–486.
- [5] ———, *Variants of compactness in pointfree topology*, preprint.
- [6] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D. S. Scott, *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1980).

- [7] C. R. A. Gilmour, *Realcompact spaces and regular  $\sigma$ -frames*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **96** (1984), 73–79.
- [8] S. S. Hong, *Convergence in frames*, Kyungpook Math. J. **35** (1995), 85–91.
- [9] P. T. Johnstone, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics No. 3, Cambridge University Press (1982).
- [10] S. O. Lee, *On Countably Approximating Lattices*, J. of KMS **25** (1988), 11–23.
- [11] J. J. Madden and J. Vermeer, *Lindelöf locales and realcompactness*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **99** (1986), 473–480.
- [12] D. S. Scott, *Continuous lattices*, Lect. Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag **274** (1972), 97–136.

Department of Mathematics  
Chungbuk National University  
Cheongju, Chungbuk 361–763, Korea  
*E-mail*: solee@chungbuk.ac.kr