

INFINITE FLOCKS OF QUADRATIC CONES—II GENERALIZED FISHER FLOCKS

VIKRAM JHA AND NORMAN L. JOHNSON

ABSTRACT. This article discusses a new representation of the generalized Fisher flocks and shows that there is a unique flock for each full field K of odd or zero characteristic that has a full field quadratic extension. It is also shown that partial flock extensions of ‘critical linear subflocks’ are completely determined.

1. Introduction

In Jha and Johnson [2], flocks of quadratic cones are considered within $PG(3, K)$, where K is an arbitrary field. When K is infinite, the authors develop a net replacement procedure that is called ‘elation-nest replacement’ or ‘ E -nest replacement’. The construction generalizes the q -nest construction given by Baker and Ebert [1], when q is a prime and generalized by [6], for arbitrary odd order q . The translation planes corresponding to flocks of quadratic cones in $PG(3, K)$ admit an elation group E with axis ℓ such that for any line m of $PG(3, K)$ disjoint from ℓ , $Em \cup \ell$ is a regulus. When K is finite isomorphic to $GF(q)$, the order of E is q . In general, such an elation group is said to be ‘regulus-inducing’.

In the following, it is assumed that a ‘Baer subplane’ is always a 2-dimensional vector subspace over the kernel field K that is not a ‘line’ of the spread in question.

The translation planes constructed by Payne and, by Baker and Ebert are constructed from a Desarguesian affine plane Σ using a regulus-inducing group E and a kernel homology group H of order $(q + 1)$. Basically, a Baer subplane π_o of Σ is determined so that $EH\pi_o$ is a partial spread that covers a set of reguli of Σ that are induced using E . If \mathcal{R} denotes the reguli sharing $x = 0$ of Σ remaining that are not covered by the images of π_o , then there is a spread $EH\pi_o \cup \mathcal{R}$. In this case, the

Received December 21, 2001. Revised January 17, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 51E23; Secondary 51A40.

Key words and phrases: conical flock, Fisher flocks, infinite flock.

number of reguli in \mathcal{R} is $(q-1)/2$. Payne and Thas [7] have shown that the only finite flocks of quadratic cones that share a linear subflock of $(q-1)/2$ conics are the Fisher flocks and the linear flocks (corresponding to Desarguesian affine plane). More generally, Johnson [4] has shown that, in fact, any non-linear partial flock in $PG(3, q)$ sharing a linear subflock of at least $(q-1)/2$ conics may be uniquely extended to a Fisher flock.

Considering what might be a generalization of having such a maximum linear subflock, we define what we call a ‘critical’ linear subflock as follows:

DEFINITION 1. Let \mathcal{P} be a linear partial flock of a quadratic cone in $PG(3, K)$ where K is a field. Assume that there is a flock \mathcal{L} in $PG(3, K)$ containing \mathcal{P} . Let the partial spreads corresponding to \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{L} be denoted by Π and Σ respectively and note that $\Pi \subseteq \Sigma$. Then, there is a regulus-inducing elation group E with axis ℓ such that Σ is a union of reguli sharing ℓ and each regulus is induced from E . These reguli are called the ‘base reguli’. Note that Π is invariant under E so is also a union of reguli sharing ℓ .

We shall say that \mathcal{P} is a ‘critical partial flock’ if and only if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) Every Baer subplane within the affine plane defined by Σ and disjoint from Π intersects each base regulus of $\Sigma - \Pi$ in two components and there is some Baer subplane which is disjoint from Π , and
- (ii) if \mathcal{C} is a set of distinct reguli sharing ℓ , invariant under E , that covers $\Sigma - \Pi$, then every Baer subplane within Σ that is disjoint from Π and not in one of the reguli of \mathcal{C} intersects exactly two components of each regulus of \mathcal{C} .

REMARK 1. Any linear subset of $(q-1)/2$ reguli in a spread of $PG(3, q)$ that is a union of reguli sharing a component ℓ is critical.

Proof. There are exactly $(q+1)/2$ remaining reguli and a Baer subplane disjoint from Π cannot be a Baer subplane of one of these reguli and therefore shares 0, 1 or 2 lines with each such regulus. However, this implies that there are exactly two shared lines with each regulus.

There are exactly $q(q+1)/2$ components in the remaining reguli so if there is a covering of this set by a set \mathcal{C} of reguli such that \mathcal{C} is invariant under E then there are exactly $(q+1)/2$ reguli in \mathcal{C} . If π_o is any Baer subplane of Σ that lies within this set and is not within one of the reguli of \mathcal{C} then π_o has $q+1$ components and cannot be an opposite line of any

of the reguli since π_o is disjoint from ℓ . Hence, if π_o is not a line of one of the reguli of \mathcal{C} , then π_o shares 0, 1, 2 components of each. However, since there are but $(q+1)/2$ reguli, it follows that π_o shares exactly two components with each regulus of \mathcal{C} . \square

In this article, we consider the so-called ‘generalized Fisher’ planes, defined as those planes of possibly infinite order that may be obtained using infinite E -nest replacement.

In particular, in Jha and Johnson [2], there is an open question as to whether there could be two non-isomorphic generalized Fisher planes arising from different nest replacements using the same field K and quadratic field extension $K[\theta]$, where both K and $K[\theta]$ are full fields of characteristic odd or 0. (In this case, a full field is a field such that the non-zero squares form an index two subgroup of the multiplicative group.)

Furthermore, we consider non-linear partial flocks containing critical linear subflocks and ask whether there is an extension to a flock and whether such extensions are generalized Fisher flocks.

Assuming that critical linear subflocks exist, we are able to show that any partial flock containing a critical linear subflock may be uniquely extended either to a linear flock or to a generalized Fisher flock.

Furthermore, we develop a new representation of generalized Fisher flocks in $PG(3, K)$ using the Galois group of $K[\theta]$ over K , which allows us to prove in general that there is a unique generalized Fisher flock over any full field K of characteristic odd or 0 that admits a quadratic extension full field $K[\theta]$.

2. Representation of generalized Fisher flocks

In this section, we develop a new representation of generalized Fisher flocks and, show that, in fact, there is always a unique generalized Fisher flock when there is at least one in $PG(3, K)$.

We assume that K is a full field of characteristic odd or 0 and that $K[\theta]$ is a full field quadratic extension.

Let $\sigma \in Gal_K K[\theta]$, $\sigma \neq 1$.

LEMMA 1. *All elements of K and of $\{x^{\sigma-1}; x \in K[\theta]\}$ are squares in $K[\theta]$.*

Proof. Let $\{1, e\}$ be a K -basis for $K[\theta]$ such that $e^2 = \gamma$, for γ a non-square in K (since K has odd or 0 characteristic, this is possible).

Then $(e\alpha + \beta)^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma\alpha^2 + 2\alpha\beta e$. Hence, if $\alpha\beta = 0$ then we obtain either β^2 or $\gamma\alpha^2$ and since we have an index two group of squares in K , it follows that all elements of K are squares in $K[\theta]$. Now $x^{\sigma-1} = x^{\sigma+1}x^{-2}$, implying that $x^{\sigma-1}$ is a square since $x^{\sigma+1}$ is in K and a square in $K[\theta]$ by the previous argument. \square

NOTATION 1. Since $x^{\sigma-1} = z^2$, we write $z = x^{(\sigma-1)/2}$, the ‘positive square root’.

LEMMA 2. If α is a non-zero square in K then $\alpha^{(\sigma-1)/2} = 1$.

Proof. If $\alpha = \delta^2$ then $\delta^{2(\sigma-1)/2} = \delta^{\sigma-1} = 1$ since $\delta^\sigma = \delta$. \square

LEMMA 3. Under the previous assumptions, let b be in the subgroup of squares in $K[\theta]$. Then

$(b^{1-\sigma} - 1)^{\sigma+1}$ is square in K if -1 is a non-square in K
and non-square in K if -1 is a square in K .

Proof. To see this, note that

$$(b^{1-\sigma} - 1)^{\sigma+1} = 2 - (b^{\sigma-1} + b^{1-\sigma}) = -(b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{(\sigma-1)/2})^2.$$

We claim that

$$b^{\sigma(\sigma-1)/2} = b^{(1-\sigma)/2}.$$

This is true if and only if

$$b^{\sigma(\sigma-1)/2 - (1-\sigma)/2} = 1 = b^{((\sigma-1)/2)(\sigma+1)} = b^{(\sigma^2-1)/2},$$

which is valid since b is a square in $K[\theta]$.

Then,

$$-(b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{(\sigma-1)/2})^2 \text{ is a square in } K,$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{(\sigma-1)/2})^{(\sigma-1)/2} \\ &= (-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{(\sigma-1)/2})^{\sigma-1} \\ &= (-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (b^{\sigma(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{\sigma(\sigma-1)/2}) / (b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{(\sigma-1)/2}) \\ &= (-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (b^{(\sigma-1)/2} - b^{(1-\sigma)/2}) / (b^{(1-\sigma)/2} - b^{\sigma-1/2}) \\ &= (-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} (-1) = (-1)^{(\sigma+1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction if -1 is a square in K , since then $(-1)^{(\sigma-1)/2} = 1$. Hence, assume that -1 is a non-square in K . Let $\gamma = -1$ so that $e^2 = -1$ and $e^{2(\sigma+1)/2} = e^{\sigma+1} = -e = 1$. Thus, we have completed the proof of the lemma. \square

THEOREM 1. Let K be a full field of odd or 0 characteristic and let $K[\theta]$ be a quadratic extension of K that is also a full field. Let Σ be the Pappian affine plane coordinatized by $K[\theta]$ and let H be the kernel homology group of squares in Σ .

Let s be any element of $K[\theta]$ such that $s^{\sigma+1}$ is nonsquare in K if -1 is a non-square in K , and $s^{\sigma+1}$ is square in K if -1 is a square in K . Let E denote the regulus-inducing group and H is the homology group of squares of kernel homologies in Σ . Then,

$$EH(y = x^\sigma s) \cup \{y = xm; (m + \beta)^{\sigma+1} \neq s^{\sigma+1} \forall \beta \in K\}$$

is a generalized Fisher conical spread in $PG(3, K)$.

Proof. We now take the group H as the subgroup of squares of the kernel homology group of a Pappian plane Σ coordinatized by $K[\theta]$, and E the regulus-inducing elation group analagous to the finite case. By Johnson [5], any Baer subplane of Σ , the associated Pappian affine plane, disjoint from the axis $x = 0$ of E has the form $y = x^\sigma m + xn$ for $m \neq 0$. That is,

$$EH(y = x^\sigma s) = \{(y = x^\sigma sb^{1-\sigma} + x\alpha); b \text{ is a square in } K[\theta], \alpha \in K\}.$$

We first claim that this is a partial spread. Since we have an orbit under EH , we only need to check that $y = x^\sigma s$ is disjoint from all of the subspaces in the orbit.

Hence, assume that

$$x_o^\sigma s = x_o^\sigma sb^{1-\sigma} + x_o(\alpha), \text{ for some } x_o \in K[\theta].$$

Then,

$$x_o^\sigma s(1 - b^{1-\sigma}) = x_o\alpha.$$

If $x_o \neq 0$ then we have

$$x_o^{\sigma-1} s(1 - b^{1-\sigma}) = \alpha,$$

implying that

$$(s(1 - b^{1-\sigma}))^{1+\sigma} = \alpha^{1+\sigma} = \alpha^2.$$

First assume that -1 is a square in K , so that $s^{1+\sigma}$ is a square in K . Then, by lemma 3 we have $(b^{1-\sigma} - 1)^{\sigma+1}$ is a nonsquare. Hence, this is a contradiction so we have a partial spread. Similarly if -1 is a non-square in K then $(b^{1-\sigma} - 1)^{\sigma+1}$ is a square in K but since $s^{\sigma+1}$ is nonsquare, we have a contradiction and hence a partial spread.

It remains to show that we obtain a spread. Since we have an associated Desarguesian spread Σ , it remains to show that if an element of $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$ nontrivially intersects a component $y = xn$ of Σ , then this component is completely covered. Now an element of $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$

is a Baer subplane of Σ , H is an index two subgroup of the full kernel homology group H^+ and H^+ acts transitively on the non-zero points of any components. So, it follows that $y = xn$ is at least ‘half’ covered in the sense that the given subplane π_o of $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$ intersects $y = xn$ in a 1-dimensional K -subspace X and XH is covered by images of intersections of the given subplane under H as $y = xn$ is fixed by H . Now the component $y = xn$ is in a unique orbit Γ of components under the group E . If π_o intersects two components of Γ , say $y = xn$ and $y = x(n + \alpha_o)$ for $\alpha_o \in K$, then there is also a 1-dimensional K -subspace X_{α_o} in π_o on $y = x(n + \alpha_o)$ and a corresponding orbit $X_{\alpha_o}H$ in $y = x(n + \alpha_o)$. Note that E commutes with H . The relation $\tau : (x, y) \mapsto (x, -x\alpha_o + y)$ maps $X_{\alpha_o}H$ onto $X_{\alpha_o}\tau H$. Since $X_{\alpha_o}\tau$ is a 1-dimensional K -subspace on $y = xn$, it follows that either XH and $X_{\alpha_o}\tau H$ define the same H -orbit on $y = xn$ or $XH \cup X_{\alpha_o}\tau H = \{(x, y); y = xn; x \neq 0\}$. But, if $XH = X_{\alpha_o}\tau H$, then we do not have a partial spread $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$.

Hence, it remains to show that when an element π_o of $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$ intersects a component $y = xn$ then π_o also intersects $y = x(n + \alpha_o)$ for some $\alpha_o \neq 0$.

Since we have an orbit under EH , we may assume that π_o is $y = x^\sigma s$. Hence, $y = xn$ and $y = x^\sigma s$ intersect nontrivially if and only if

$$x_o n = x_o^\sigma s$$

for $x_o \neq 0$. So,

$$n^{\sigma+1} = s^{\sigma+1}.$$

Now consider when $y = x^\sigma s$ will nontrivially intersect $y = x(n + \alpha)$ for some nonzero $\alpha \in K$. We claim that there is an intersection if and only if

$$s^{\sigma+1} = (n + \alpha)^{\sigma+1},$$

which is certainly necessary. To see that it is sufficient, we note, by Hilbert’s Theorem 90, that since $(s/(n + \alpha))^{\sigma+1} = 1$ then $s/(n + \alpha) = v^{1-\sigma}$, for some $v \in K[\theta] - \{0\}$. So,

$$v^\sigma s = v(n + \alpha),$$

which implies that $y = x^\sigma s$ and $y = x(n + \alpha)$ nontrivially intersect.

So, if

$$n^{\sigma+1} = s^{\sigma+1},$$

assume that

$$s^{\sigma+1} = (n + \alpha)^{\sigma+1},$$

but require that this equation implies that $\alpha = 0$. We see that the above equation is equivalent to

$$\alpha^2 + \alpha(n + n^\sigma) = 0.$$

Hence, there are two distinct solutions, 0 and $-(n + n^\sigma)$ for α unless $n + n^\sigma = 0$. Let a basis for $K[\theta]$ be $\{1, e\}$ such that $e^2 = \gamma$, a nonsquare in K . Then $n = e\delta + \rho$ for $\delta, \rho \in K$ and $n^\sigma = -n$ if and only if $\rho = 0$. So, $n^{\sigma+1} = -n^2 = -\gamma\delta^2$. Thus, we arrive at the equation:

$$s^{\sigma+1} = -\gamma\delta^2.$$

But, $s^{\sigma+1}$ is nonsquare or square if and only if -1 is nonsquare or square respectively. If $s^{\sigma+1}$ is nonsquare then $-\gamma$ is square so that $-\gamma\delta^2$ is square in K , a contradiction. Similarly if $s^{\sigma+1}$ is square then $-\gamma$ is nonsquare and $-\gamma\delta^2$ is nonsquare, a contradiction.

Hence, we have that there are two intersections in an E -orbit of components of Σ with an element of $EH(y = x^\sigma s)$ provided there is one. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. Uniqueness of generalized Fisher flocks

We begin with a general result on André planes.

LEMMA 4. *Let K be a field and $K[\theta]$ a quadratic field extension of K . Let Σ denote the Pappian plane coordinatized by $K[\theta]$. Let σ denote the involution in $Gal_K K[\theta]$.*

Consider the following André partial spread: $A_\rho = \{y = xn; n^{\sigma+1} = \rho\}$.

- (1) *Then, A_ρ is a regulus in $PG(3, K)$ with opposite regulus A_ρ^σ , defined by $A_\rho^\sigma = \{y = x^\sigma n; n^{\sigma+1} = \rho\}$.*
- (2) *$A_\rho^\sigma = \{y = x^\sigma n_\sigma a^{1-\sigma}; n_\sigma^{\sigma+1} = \rho; \forall a \in K - \{0\}\}$.*

Proof. We note that $y = x^\sigma m$ and $y = xn$ such that $m^{\sigma+1} = n^{\sigma+1}$ must intersect in a 1-dimensional K -space (a projective point). Furthermore, note that $(m/n)^{\sigma+1} = 1$ if and only if $mn^{-1} = v^{1-\sigma}$ for some v in $K[\theta]$, by Hilbert's theorem 90, as we have a cyclic extension quadratic extension $K[\theta]$ of K with Galois group over K of order 2. Furthermore, $(v, v^\sigma m) = (v, vn)$ if and only if $v^{1-\sigma} = mn^{-1}$. If $y = xn_\sigma$ is fixed in A_ρ , then $y = xn$ is in A_ρ if and only if $y = xn_\sigma v^{1-\sigma}$ for some v . Hence, every 1-dimensional subspace of $y = x^\sigma m$ lies uniquely on some element $y = xn$ of A_ρ and $y = x^\sigma m$ must intersect each element of A_ρ . This proves part (1).

Now another application of Hilbert's theorem 90 gives the proof to part (2). \square

Now assume that we obtain a conical spread obtained via E -nest replacement.

Then, we must have a Baer subplane of the form $y = x^\sigma m + xn$ acting in place of $y = x^\sigma s$ above. The exact same argument will show that we only obtain a partial spread $EH\{y = x^\sigma m + xn\}$ if and only if $m^{\sigma+1}$ is non-square (respectively, square) in K if and only if -1 is non-square (respectively, non-square) in K .

Now we consider the following mappings that normalize E :

$$\tau_{a,b,\beta} : (x, y) \mapsto (xa, xb + ya\beta); a, b \in K[\theta]^*, \beta \in K^*.$$

Note that $\tau_{a,0,\beta}$ maps $y = x^\sigma m$ onto $y = x^\sigma ma^{1-\sigma}\beta$. Note that $(ma^{1-\sigma}\beta)^{\sigma+1} = m^{\sigma+1}\beta^2$. Thus, since we have a full field, we apply Lemma 4 so show that for a fixed m :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{n; n^{\sigma+1} \text{ is square in } K - \{0\}\} \\ &= \{ma^{1-\sigma}\beta; m^{\sigma+1} \text{ is square; } a \in K[\theta]^*, \beta \in K - \{0\}\}, \\ & \{n; n^{\sigma+1} \text{ is nonsquare in } K - \{0\}\} \\ &= \{ma^{1-\sigma}\beta; m^{\sigma+1} \text{ is square; } a \in K[\theta]^* \beta \in K - \{0\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

It will now follow that we obtain an isomorphic plane whenever the basic conditions required for a partial spread above are met.

THEOREM 2. *Let K be a full field of odd or 0 characteristic and let $K[\theta]$ be a quadratic extension of K that is also a full field. Σ be the Pappian affine plane coordinatized by $K[\theta]$.*

Then, any two generalized Fisher conical spreads in $PG(3, K)$ are isomorphic.

Proof. The group $GL(2, K[\theta])$ is triply transitive on the components of the spread for Σ . This means that we may assume that in the construction of two generalized Fisher planes, we may assume that we use the same axis $x = 0$, regulus-inducing group E and kernel homology group of squares of Σ in the same form for both planes. The question therefore is merely the choice of the Baer subplane π_o to use to form the partial spread $EH\pi_o$ that induces the spread. But, any two Baer subplanes have the form $y = x^\sigma m_i + xn_i$, for $i = 1, 2$ and $m_i \neq 0$. Clearly, we may apply an appropriate elation with axis $x = 0$ that normalizes EH to allow $n_1 = 0$. Now a partial spread $EH\pi_o$ is obtained if and only if $m_i^{\sigma+1}$ is square or non-square exactly when -1 is square or non-square,

respectively. We have shown above that we may apply mappings that normalize EH and map $y = x^\sigma m_1$ onto $y = x^\sigma m_2$. but, then an appropriate elation with axis $x = 0$ will map $y = x^\sigma m_2$ onto $y = x^\sigma m_2 + xn_2$. Hence, any two generalized Fisher planes are isomorphic. \square

4. Critical linear subflocks

Assume that \mathcal{N} is a non-linear partial flock in $PG(3, K)$ containing a critical linear subflock \mathcal{P} . Let \mathcal{L} denote a linear flock containing \mathcal{P} .

LEMMA 5. *There is a unique linear flock containing a critical linear subflock.*

Proof. Suppose there are two such flocks and let Σ and Σ' denote the corresponding Pappian spreads defined by the linear flocks and containing the partial spread Π defined by the critical linear subflock. Let m be a line of $\Sigma' - \Sigma$, so that m becomes a Baer subplane of Σ disjoint from Π . Hence, m intersects each base regulus of $\Sigma - \Pi$ in two components. We are finished unless possibly the critical linear subflock consists of exactly one regulus, which does not occur. Hence, m intersects all but one base reguli of Σ in two components, which cannot be the case. \square

Now let $K[\theta]$ denote the quadratic extension field of K coordinatizing the affine plane given by Σ . Assume that K and $K[\theta]$ are full fields of odd or zero characteristic.

Let σ denote the involution in $Gal_K K[\theta]$ and note by Johnson [5] that any Baer subplane disjoint from the elation axis $x = 0$ of E has the form $y = x^\sigma m + xn$, for $m \neq 0$.

By assumption, we may assume that this Baer subplane π_o intersects two components of each of the base reguli of $\Sigma - \Pi$, and this Baer subplane corresponds to a component of the partial spread given by $\mathcal{N} - \pm$.

We see by applying $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -xn + y)$, we may assume that $n = 0$.

Now $y = x^\sigma m$ intersects $y = xn$ if and only if $m^{\sigma+1} = n^{\sigma+1}$.

Since non-squares exist in K we may choose a basis $\{1, e\}$ such that $e^2 = \gamma$, a non-square. Then, the base regulus defined by $y = xn$ is also defined by $y = xen_1$ for some n_1 in K .

Hence, we must have

$$m^{\sigma+1} = \alpha^2 - \gamma n_1^2$$

has two solutions whenever it has one. Note that $(e\beta + \delta)^{\sigma+1} = \delta^2 - \gamma\beta^2$. There is a solution α if and only if $-\alpha$ is also a solution. Moreover, if $\alpha = 0$ then $m^{\sigma+1}$ cannot be $-\gamma n_1^2$.

Now consider $EH(y = x^\sigma m)$, where H is the kernel subgroup of squares. This is the following set:

$$\{y = x^\sigma b^{1-\sigma} m + x\alpha; \alpha \in K \text{ and } b \text{ a square in } K[\theta]\}.$$

We want to prove that this is a partial spread that covers the base reguli of intersection. Assume that -1 is a square. We note that $m^{\sigma+1}$ cannot be $-\gamma n_1^2$, for any n_1^2 , so that in full fields, this implies that $m^{\sigma+1}$ is square. Similarly, if -1 is a square and $m^{\sigma+1}$ cannot be $-\gamma n_1^2$ for any n_1^2 , then, for full fields, this implies that $m^{\sigma+1}$ is a square. In the following we show that we obtain a generalized Fisher spread; that \mathcal{N} is a generalized Fisher spread.

Take two components m_1 and m_2 of $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{P}$ and extend each to two generalized Fisher spreads π_1 and π_2 , respectively and note that this is guaranteed possible by the main theorem of Jha and Johnson [2]. Clearly as a set of vectors $EHm_1 = EHm_2$. We wish to show that $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and contain \mathcal{N} ; any non-linear extension of a critical partial flock may be uniquely extended to a generalized Fisher flock.

Hence, we may assume that m_2 is not a component of π_1 . We note that m_1 and m_2 are both Baer subplanes of Σ and as such define reguli (regulus nets) of Σ . Since \mathcal{N} is a partial flock, it follows that Em_1 and Em_2 are either equal or disjoint (they share only the zero vector). If these two partial spreads are equal then $\pi_1 = \pi_2$. Hence, Em_1 and Em_2 are disjoint partial spreads.

Since m_2 is not in π_1 as a component and since \mathcal{P} is critical, the regulus R_2 intersects two components of each of the reguli of $\pi_1 - \Sigma$ defined by the E -orbits of components, which cannot occur since Em_2 and Em_1 are disjoint.

Note that by property (ii) in the definition of critical subflock, m_2 intersects each regulus of $\pi_1 - \Sigma$ in two components. However, Em_1 union the axis of E is a regulus of $\pi_1 - \Sigma$, implying that m_2 non-trivially intersects Em_1 , contradicting the fact that Em_2 and Em_1 are disjoint. Hence, every component of $\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{P}$ is a component of the generalized Fisher spread π_1 obtained by use of a single component m_1 . This shows that the partial spread may be extended uniquely to a spread. So, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 3. *Let K be a full field of characteristic 0 or odd and let $K[\theta]$ be a full field quadratic extension of K .*

If there exists a linear critical partial flock \mathcal{P} of a quadratic cone then any non-linear partial flock extension of \mathcal{P} may be uniquely extended to a generalized Fisher flock.

Finally, we note some examples of full fields admitting quadratic extension full fields. Both of these also appear in Jha and Johnson [3]

EXAMPLE 1. Let P_o be isomorphic to $GF(p)$ where p is an odd prime. Let F be any algebraic field extension of P_o which is not algebraically closed and which is not a series of quadratic extensions of extensions of P_o . Then F is a full field.

EXAMPLE 2. Let F be an ordered field which admits an ordered quadratic extension K such that the positive elements of each field have square roots in the field. Then both F and K are full fields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors gratefully acknowledge the helpful comments of the referee in the writing of this article.

References

- [1] R. D. Baker and G. L. Ebert, *A new class of translation planes*, *Combinatorics '86 (Trento, 1986)*, 7–20, Ann. Discrete Math. **37**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [2] V. Jha and N. L. Johnson, *Nests of reguli and flocks of quadratic cones*, *Simon Stevin* **63** (1989), 311–338.
- [3] ———, *Infinite flocks of a quadratic cone*, *J. Geom.* **57** (1996), 123–150.
- [4] N. L. Johnson, *Extending partial flocks containing linear subflocks*, *J. Geom.* **55** (1996), 99–106.
- [5] ———, *Infinite nests of reguli*, *Geom. Dedicata* **70** (1998), 221–267.
- [6] S. E. Payne, *Spreads, flocks and generalized quadrangles*, *J. Geom.* **33** (1988), 113–128.
- [7] S. A. Payne and J. A. Thas, *Conical flocks, partial flocks, derivation, and generalized quadrangles*, *Geom. Dedicata* **38** (1991), no. 2, 229–243.

Vikram Jha
 Department of Mathematics
 Caledonian University
 Cowcaddens Road, Glasgow, Scotland
E-mail: v.jha@gcal.uk.ac

Norman L. Johnson
Department of Mathematics
University of Iowa
Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
E-mail: njohnson@math.uiowa.edu