

**$(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -FUZZY  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-SEMIOPEN SETS AND FUZZY  
PAIRWISE  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-SEMICONTINUOUS MAPPINGS**

EUN PYO LEE

ABSTRACT. We introduce and investigate the concepts of  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen ( $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed) sets and fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mappings in smooth bitopological spaces.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [12] in his classical paper. Using the concept of fuzzy sets Chang [2] introduced fuzzy topological spaces and several other authors continued the investigation of such spaces. Chattopadhyay et al. [4] and Ramadan [8] introduced new definition of smooth topological spaces as a generalization of fuzzy topological spaces. Kandil [6] introduced and studied the notion of fuzzy bitopological spaces as a natural generalization of fuzzy topological spaces. Lee et al. [7] introduced the concept of smooth bitopological spaces as a generalization of smooth topological spaces and Kandil's fuzzy bitopological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen sets and fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mappings in smooth bitopological spaces and then we investigate some of their characteristic properties.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $I$  be the closed unit interval  $[0, 1]$  of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $I_0$  be the half open interval  $(0, 1]$  of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a set  $X$ ,  $I^X$  denotes

---

Received March 25, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A40.

Key words and phrases:  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen sets, fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mappings.

the collection of all mapping from  $X$  to  $I$ . A member  $\mu$  of  $I^X$  is called a fuzzy set of  $X$ . By  $\tilde{0}$  and  $\tilde{1}$  we denote constant mappings on  $X$  with value 0 and 1, respectively. For any  $\mu \in I^X$ ,  $\mu^c$  denotes the complement  $\tilde{1} - \mu$ . All other notations are the standard notations of fuzzy set theory.

A *Chang's fuzzy topology* on  $X$  [2] is a family  $T$  of fuzzy sets in  $X$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\tilde{0}, \tilde{1} \in T$ .
- (2) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in T$  then  $\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2 \in T$ .
- (3) If  $\mu_k \in T$  for all  $k$ , then  $\bigvee \mu_k \in T$ .

The pair  $(X, T)$  is called a *Chang's fuzzy topological space*. Members of  $T$  are called  $T$ -fuzzy open sets of  $X$  and their complements  $T$ -fuzzy closed sets of  $X$ .

A system  $(X, T_1, T_2)$  consisting of a set  $X$  with two Chang's fuzzy topologies  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  on  $X$  is called a *Kandil's fuzzy bitopological space*.

A *smooth topology* on  $X$  [4, 8] is a mapping  $\mathcal{T} : I^X \rightarrow I$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}(\tilde{0}) = \mathcal{T}(\tilde{1}) = 1$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{T}(\mu_1 \wedge \mu_2) \geq \mathcal{T}(\mu_1) \wedge \mathcal{T}(\mu_2)$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{T}(\bigvee \mu_k) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}(\mu_k)$ .

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is called a *smooth topological space*. For  $r \in I_0$ , we call  $\mu$  a  $\mathcal{T}$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{T}(\mu) \geq r$  and  $\mu$  a  $\mathcal{T}$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{T}(\mu^c) \geq r$ .

A system  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  consisting of a set  $X$  with two smooth topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  on  $X$  is called a *smooth bitopological space*. Throughout this paper the indices  $i, j$  take values in  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a smooth topological space. Then it is easy to see that for each  $r \in I_0$ , an  $r$ -cut

$$\mathcal{T}_r = \{\mu \in I^X \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu) \geq r\}$$

is a Chang's fuzzy topology on  $X$ .

Let  $(X, T)$  be a Chang's fuzzy topological space and  $r \in I_0$ . Then the map  $T^r : I^X \rightarrow I$  is defined by

$$T^r(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ r & \text{if } \mu \in T - \{\tilde{0}, \tilde{1}\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

becomes a smooth topology.

Hence, we obtain that if  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is a smooth bitopological space and  $r, s \in I_0$ , then  $(X, (\mathcal{T}_1)_r, (\mathcal{T}_2)_s)$  is a Kandil's fuzzy bitopological space. Also, if  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is a Kandil's fuzzy bitopological space and  $r, s \in I_0$ , then  $(X, (\mathcal{T}_1)^r, (\mathcal{T}_2)^s)$  is a smooth bitopological space.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([7]). Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a smooth topological space. For each  $r \in I_0$  and for each  $\mu \in I^X$ , the *fuzzy  $r$ -closure* is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}\text{-Cl}(\mu, r) = \bigwedge \{ \rho \in I^X \mid \mu \leq \rho, \mathcal{T}(\rho^c) \geq r \}$$

and the *fuzzy  $r$ -interior*

$$\mathcal{T}\text{-Int}(\mu, r) = \bigvee \{ \rho \in I^X \mid \mu \geq \rho, \mathcal{T}(\rho) \geq r \}.$$

THEOREM 2.2 ([7]). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and let  $r \in I_0$ . Then we have:

- (1)  $\mathcal{T}\text{-Int}(\mu, r)^c = \mathcal{T}\text{-Cl}(\mu^c, r)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{T}\text{-Cl}(\mu, r)^c = \mathcal{T}\text{-Int}(\mu^c, r)$ .

DEFINITION 2.3 ([7]). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be

- (1) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set if there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s)$ ,
- (2) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed set if there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Int}(\rho, s) \leq \mu \leq \rho$ ,
- (3) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preopen set if  $\mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mu, s), r)$ ,
- (4) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preclosed set if  $\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Int}(\mu, s), r) \leq \mu$ .

DEFINITION 2.4 ([7]). Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  be a mapping from a smooth bitopological space  $X$  to another smooth bitopological space  $Y$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is said to be

- (1) a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -continuous mapping if the induced mapping  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1)$  is a fuzzy  $r$ -continuous mapping and the induced mapping  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_2)$  is a fuzzy  $s$ -continuous mapping,
- (2) a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\mu$  of  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set  $\nu$  of  $Y$ ,

- (3) a *fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -precontinuous* mapping if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\mu$  of  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $(s, r)$ -preopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set  $\nu$  of  $Y$ .

### 3. $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen sets

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $\mu$  is said to be

- (1) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-*semiopen* set if there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r)$ ,
- (2) a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-*semiclosed* set if there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Int}(\rho, s), r) \leq \mu \leq \rho$ .

REMARK 3.2. It is clear that every  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set and every  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set is not only a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen set but also a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preopen set. However, the following examples show that all of the converses need not be true.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  be fuzzy sets of  $X$  defined as

$$\mu_1(x) = 0.3, \quad \mu_1(y) = 0.4;$$

$$\mu_2(x) = 0.8, \quad \mu_2(y) = 0.2;$$

$$\mu_3(x) = 0.6, \quad \mu_3(y) = 0.9;$$

and

$$\mu_4(x) = 0.9, \quad \mu_4(y) = 0.4.$$

Define  $\mathcal{T}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is a smooth bitopology on  $X$ . The fuzzy set  $\mu_3$  is  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-semiopen set which is not a  $\mathcal{T}_1$ -fuzzy  $\frac{1}{2}$ -open set. Also  $\mu_4$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ )-semiopen set which is not a  $\mathcal{T}_2$ -fuzzy  $\frac{1}{3}$ -open set.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5$  and  $\mu_6$  be fuzzy sets of  $X$  defined as

$$\mu_1(x) = 0.1, \quad \mu_1(y) = 0.7;$$

$$\mu_2(x) = 0.8, \quad \mu_2(y) = 0.2;$$

$$\mu_3(x) = 0, \quad \mu_3(y) = 0.6;$$

$$\mu_4(x) = 0.1, \quad \mu_4(y) = 0.8;$$

$$\mu_5(x) = 0.5, \quad \mu_5(y) = 0.6;$$

and

$$\mu_6(x) = 0.9, \quad \mu_6(y) = 0.2.$$

Define  $\mathcal{T}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$\mathcal{T}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  is a smooth bitopology on  $X$ . The fuzzy set  $\mu_3$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -preopen set which is not a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-semiopen set and  $\mu_4$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semiopen set which is not a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-semiopen set. Also  $\mu_5$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ -preopen set which is not a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ )-semiopen set and  $\mu_6$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$ -semiopen set which is not a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$ )-semiopen set.

**THEOREM 3.5.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of a smooth bitopological space  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mu$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set.
- (2)  $\mu^c$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed set.
- (3)  $\mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), r)$ .
- (4)  $\mu^c \geq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Cl}(\mu^c, r), s), r)$ .
- (5)  $\mu$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen and  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preopen set.
- (6)  $\mu^c$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiclosed and  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preclosed set.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2), (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) and (5)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6) follow from Theorem 2.2.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set of  $X$ . Then there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open  $\rho$  in  $X$  such that  $\rho \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_i(\rho) \geq r$  and  $\rho \leq \mu$ , we have  $\rho = \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\rho, r) \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r)$ . Thus

$$\mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r) \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), r).$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), r) \geq \mu$  and take  $\rho = \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r)$ . Then  $\rho$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r) \leq \mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), r) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) It is obvious.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\mu$  be a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -semiopen and  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $(r, s)$ -preopen set of  $X$ . Then  $\mu \leq \mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s)$  and  $\mu \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mu, s), r)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &\leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mu, s), r) \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), s), r) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mu, r), s), r). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.6.** (1) Any union of  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen sets is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set.

(2) Any intersection of  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed sets is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed set.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\{\mu_i\}$  be a collection of  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen sets. Then for each  $i$ , there is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\rho_i$  such that  $\rho_i \leq \mu_i \leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho_i, s), r)$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_i(\bigvee \rho_i) \geq \bigwedge \mathcal{T}_i(\rho_i) \geq r$ ,  $\bigvee \rho_i$  is a  $\mathcal{T}_i$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \rho_i &\leq \bigvee \mu_i \leq \bigvee \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\rho_i, s), r) \\ &\leq \mathcal{T}_i\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_j\text{-Cl}(\bigvee \rho_i, s), r). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bigvee \mu_i$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_i, \mathcal{T}_j)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set.

(2) It follows from (1) using Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

#### 4. Fuzzy pairwise $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mappings

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  be a mapping from a smooth bitopological space  $X$  to another smooth bitopological space  $Y$  and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then  $f$  is called a *fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mapping* if  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -open set  $\mu$  of  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $s, r$ )-semiopen set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -open set  $\nu$  of  $Y$ .

REMARK 4.2. It is clear that every fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -continuous mapping is also a fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mapping and every fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mapping is not only a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -semicontinuous mapping but also a fuzzy pairwise  $(r, s)$ -precontinuous mapping. However, the following examples show that all of the converses need not be true.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be a smooth bitopological space as described in Example 3.3. Define  $\mathcal{U}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  is a smooth bitopology on  $X$ . Consider the identity mapping  $1_X : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ . Then it is a fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-semicontinuous mapping which is not a fuzzy pairwise  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -continuous mapping.

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let  $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$  be a smooth bitopological space as described in Example 3.4. Define  $\mathcal{U}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathcal{U}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $(\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  is a smooth bitopology on  $X$ . Consider the identity mapping  $1_X : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$ . Then it is a fuzzy pairwise  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -precontinuous mapping which is not a fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-continuous mapping.

Define  $\mathcal{V}_1 : I^X \rightarrow I$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2 : I^X \rightarrow I$  by

$$\mathcal{V}_1(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \mu = \mu_4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_2(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu = \tilde{0}, \tilde{1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $(\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$  is a smooth bitopology on  $X$ . Consider the identity mapping  $1_X : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2)$ . Then it is a fuzzy pairwise  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3})$ -semicontinuous mapping which is not a fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}$ )-continuous mapping.

THEOREM 4.5. Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2)$  be a mapping and  $r, s \in I_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is a fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semicontinuous mapping.
- (2)  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set  $\mu$  of  $Y$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $s, r$ )-semiclosed set of  $X$  for each  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed set  $\nu$  of  $Y$ .
- (3) For each fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $Y$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), s), r) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r))$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s), r), s) \leq f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\mu, s)).$$



(4) For each fuzzy set  $\rho$  of  $X$ ,

$$f(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\rho, r), s), r)) \leq \mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), r)$$

and

$$f(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r), s)) \leq \mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), s).$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from Theorem 3.5.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $\mu$  be any fuzzy set of  $Y$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set and  $\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\mu, s)$  is a  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed set of  $Y$ . By (2),  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r))$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed set and  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\mu, s))$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $s, r$ )-semiclosed set of  $X$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r)) &\geq \mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r)), r), s), r) \\ &\geq \mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), s), r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\mu, s)) &\geq \mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\mu, s)), s), r), s) \\ &\geq \mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), s), r), s). \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $\rho$  be any fuzzy set of  $X$ . Then  $f(\rho)$  is a fuzzy set of  $Y$ . By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), r)) &\geq \mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), r), s), r) \\ &\geq \mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\rho, r), s), r) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), s)) &\geq \mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}f(\rho), s), r), s) \\ &\geq \mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r), s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), r) &\geq f f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), r)) \\ &\geq f(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\rho, r), s), r)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), s) &\geq f f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(f(\rho), s)) \\ &\geq f(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\rho, s), r), s)).\end{aligned}$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $\mu$  be any  $\mathcal{U}_1$ -fuzzy  $r$ -closed set and  $\nu$  any  $\mathcal{U}_2$ -fuzzy  $s$ -closed set of  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  are fuzzy sets of  $X$ . By (4),

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), s), r)) &\leq \mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(f f^{-1}(\mu), r) \\ &\leq \mathcal{U}_1\text{-Cl}(\mu, r) = \mu\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu), s), r), s)) &\leq \mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(f f^{-1}(\nu), s) \\ &\leq \mathcal{U}_2\text{-Cl}(\nu, s) = \nu.\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), s), r) \\ \leq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\mu), r), s), r)) \\ \leq f^{-1}(\mu)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu), s), r), s) \\ \leq f^{-1}f(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(\mathcal{T}_1\text{-Int}(\mathcal{T}_2\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(\nu), s), r), s)) \\ \leq f^{-1}(\nu).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $r, s$ )-semiclosed set and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  is a  $(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1)$ -fuzzy  $\alpha$ -( $s, r$ )-semiclosed set of  $X$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. K. Azad, *On fuzzy semicontinuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **82** (1981), 14–32.
- [2] C. L. Chang, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **24** (1968), 182–190.
- [3] K. C. Chattopadhyay and S. K. Samanta, *Fuzzy topology : Fuzzy closure operator, fuzzy compactness and fuzzy connectedness*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **54** (1993), 207–212.

- [4] K. C. Chattopadhyay, R. N. Hazra, and S. K. Samanta, *Gradation of openness: fuzzy topology*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **49** (1992), 237–242.
- [5] R. N. Hazra, S. K. Samanta, and K. C. Chattopadhyay, *Fuzzy topology redefined*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **45** (1992), 79–82.
- [6] A. Kandil, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simon Stevin **63** (1989), 45–66.
- [7] E. P. Lee, Y. B. Im and H. Han, *Semiopen sets on smooth bitopological spaces*, Far East J. Math. Sci. **3** (2001), 493–521.
- [8] A. A. Ramadan, *Smooth topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **48** (1992), 371–375.
- [9] S. Sampath Kumar, *Semi-open sets, semi-continuity and semi-open mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **64** (1994), 421–426.
- [10] ———, *On fuzzy pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuity and fuzzy pairwise pre-continuity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **62** (1994), 231–238.
- [11] T. H. Yalvac, *Semi-interior and semi-closure of a fuzzy set*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **132** (1988), 356–364.
- [12] L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEONAM UNIVERSITY, NAMWON 590-711, KOREA  
E-mail: eplee@tiger.seonam.ac.kr