

## HYERS-ULAM-RASSIAS STABILITY OF A QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

TIBERIU TRIF

ABSTRACT. In this paper we deal with the quadratic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\ &= k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

deriving from an inequality of T. Popoviciu for convex functions. We solve this functional equation by proving that its solutions are the polynomials of degree at most two. Likewise, we investigate its stability in the spirit of Hyers, Ulam, and Rassias.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be positive integers such that  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$  (throughout the paper  $n$  and  $k$  will always have this meaning). T. Popoviciu [12, Théorème 3] proved that if  $I$  is a nonempty interval and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a convex function, then for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad & n \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\ & \geq k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right). \end{aligned}$$

---

Received March 11, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B72.

Key words and phrases: Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, quadratic functional equation, Popoviciu's inequality.

Starting from (1.1), in [17] we considered the corresponding functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad n \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\ = k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right).$$

We proved that a function  $f$  between two real linear spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfies (1.2) for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  if and only if there exists an additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Likewise, we investigated the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the equation (1.2).

In the special case  $n = 3$ ,  $k = 2$  the equation (1.2) reduces to

$$(1.3) \quad 3f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ = 2 \left[ f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right) \right].$$

The stability of the functional equation (1.3) has been investigated in [15, 16]. In connection with (1.3), Y. W. Lee [11] had the clever idea to consider the equation

$$(1.4) \quad 9f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) \\ = 4 \left[ f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right) \right].$$

He proved that a function  $f$  between two real linear spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfies (1.4) for all  $x, y, z \in X$  if and only if there exist an additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  as well as a quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ , i.e. a mapping satisfying

$$Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X,$$

such that  $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Besides, he investigated the stability of the functional equation (1.4).

It is the main purpose of the present paper to generalize the above mentioned results of Y. W. Lee for the  $n$  variables version of (1.4):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\
 & = k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In Section 2 of this paper we solve the functional equation (1.5), by proving that its solutions are of the same form as those of (1.4). In Section 3, using ideas from the papers of Th. M. Rassias [13] and Z. Gajda [5], we establish the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Eq. (1.5).

### 2. Solutions of equation (1.5)

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real linear spaces. A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (1.5) for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  if and only if there exist an additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and a quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  be the functions defined by  $A(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$  and  $Q(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] - f(0)$ , respectively. Obviously we have  $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . We claim that  $A$  is additive and  $Q$  is quadratic.

Indeed, since  $f$  satisfies (1.5) for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , it is immediately seen that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} A\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i) \\
 & = k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} A\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} Q\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(x_i) \\
 & = k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} Q\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . In addition, remark that  $A(0) = Q(0) = 0$ ,

$$A(-x) = -A(x), \quad \text{and} \quad Q(-x) = Q(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Putting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.1) yields

$$(2.3) \quad n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} A\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = k^2 \binom{n-1}{k-1} A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - \binom{n-2}{k-1} A(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Letting  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = y$ , and  $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.1), we get

$$(2.4) \quad n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} A\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} [A(x) + A(y)] \\ = k^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} A\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) + k^2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} \left[ A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) + A\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . From (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that

$$(2.5) \quad A(x+y) - A(x) - A(y) = k^2 \left[ A\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) - A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - A\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Putting now  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = y$ ,  $x_3 = -x - y$ , and  $x_4 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.1) and taking into account that  $A$  is odd, we find that

$$(2.6) \quad \binom{n-2}{k-1} [A(x) + A(y) - A(x+y)] \\ = k^2 \left[ \binom{n-3}{k-2} - \binom{n-3}{k-1} \right] \left[ A\left(\frac{x+y}{k}\right) - A\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) - A\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) \right]$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . From (2.5) and (2.6) we deduce that

$$\left[ \binom{n-2}{k-1} + \binom{n-3}{k-2} - \binom{n-3}{k-1} \right] [A(x) + A(y) - A(x+y)] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Since

$$\binom{n-2}{k-1} + \binom{n-3}{k-2} - \binom{n-3}{k-1} = 2 \binom{n-3}{k-2} > 0,$$

we conclude that

$$A(x+y) = A(x) + A(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Consequently,  $A$  is additive as claimed.

In order to prove that  $Q$  is quadratic, we set  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = -x$ , and  $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.2). Taking into account that  $Q$  is even and  $Q(0) = 0$ , we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad Q(x) = k^2 Q\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Letting  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.2) and taking account of (2.7) we find that

$$(2.8) \quad Q(x) = n^2 Q\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

From (2.2), (2.7), and (2.8) it follows that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \binom{n-2}{k-2} Q(x_1 + \dots + x_n) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Q(x_i) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} Q(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}) \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $x_1 = x$ ,  $x_2 = y$ ,  $x_3 = -x$ , and  $x_4 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (2.9), we get

$$Q(x+y) + Q(x-y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Consequently,  $Q$  is quadratic as claimed.

**SUFFICIENCY.** Assume now that there exist an additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  and a quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . By virtue of a well known result, there exists a biadditive symmetric mapping  $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Q(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, we have  $f(x) = B(x, x) + A(x) + f(0)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be any points in  $X$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\ &= \binom{n-2}{k-2} B(x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_1 + \dots + x_n) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B(x_i, x_i) \\ & \quad + \left[ n \binom{n-2}{k-2} + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i) \\ & \quad + \left[ n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \right] f(0) \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B(x_i, x_i) + 2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} B(x_i, x_j) \\ & \quad + k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i) + k^2 \binom{n}{k} f(0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right) \\
= & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} B(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}, x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}) \\
& + k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} A(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}) + k^2 \binom{n}{k} f(0) \\
= & \binom{n-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n B(x_i, x_i) + 2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} B(x_i, x_j) \\
& + k \binom{n-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n A(x_i) + k^2 \binom{n}{k} f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f$  satisfies (1.5) for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .  $\square$

### 3. Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of equation (1.4)

Throughout this section  $X$  and  $Y$  will be a real normed linear space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned}
Df(x_1, \dots, x_n) := & n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\
& - k^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} f\left(\frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\delta, \theta \in [0, \infty[$  and let  $p \in ]0, 1[$ . If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , then there exist a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_1(x)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1(x) &= \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - 1)} + \frac{k^p \theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - k^p)} \|x\|^p, \\ \varepsilon_2(x) &= \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2} (2 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \varepsilon_1(x) + \varepsilon_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  be the function defined by  $f_1(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)] - f(0)$ . Then  $f_1$  is even,  $f_1(0) = 0$ , and since

$$Df_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{2} [Df(x_1, \dots, x_n) + Df(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)],$$

we have

$$(3.4) \quad \|Df_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

Letting  $x_1 = kx$ ,  $x_2 = -kx$ , and  $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (3.4) we get

$$\left\| 2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} f_1(kx) - 2k^2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} f_1(x) \right\| \leq \delta + 2\theta k^p \|x\|^p$$

hence

$$(3.5) \quad \|f_1(x) - k^{-2} f_1(kx)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{-2} + \frac{\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{p-2} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Replacing  $x$  in (3.5) by  $k^{j-1}x$  and then dividing both sides of (3.5) by  $k^{2j-2}$  yields

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| k^{-2(j-1)} f_1(k^{j-1}x) - k^{-2j} f_1(k^jx) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{-2j} + \frac{\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{(p-2)j} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $j$  and all  $x \in X$ . By virtue of (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad & \left\| k^{-2m} f_1(k^m x) - k^{-2\ell} f_1(k^\ell x) \right\| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=\ell+1}^m \left\| k^{-2(j-1)} f_1(k^{j-1} x) - k^{-2j} f_1(k^j x) \right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1}} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} k^{-2j} + \frac{\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}} \|x\|^p \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} k^{(p-2)j}
\end{aligned}$$

for all nonnegative integers  $\ell$  and  $m$  with  $\ell < m$  and all  $x \in X$ . In the special case  $\ell = 0$  we find that

$$(3.8) \quad \left\| f_1(x) - k^{-2m} f_1(k^m x) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - 1)} + \frac{k^p \theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - k^p)} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $m$  and all  $x \in X$ .

Since

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} k^{-2j} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} k^{(p-2)j} = 0,$$

from (3.7) we conclude that  $(k^{-2j} f_1(k^j x))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, we can define the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $Q(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2j} f_1(k^j x)$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be any points in  $X$ . By virtue of (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|DQ(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2j} \|Df_1(k^j x_1, \dots, k^j x_n)\| \\
&\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \delta k^{-2j} + \theta k^{(p-2)j} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $DQ(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Since  $Q$  is even and  $Q(0) = 0$ , Theorem 2.1 ensures that  $Q$  is quadratic. Moreover, by passing to the limit in (3.8) when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that (3.2) holds true for all  $x \in X$ .

Now, let  $\tilde{Q} : X \rightarrow Y$  be another quadratic mapping satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - \tilde{Q}(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - 1)} + \frac{k^p \theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - k^p)} \|x\|^p$$



for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - \tilde{Q}(x)\| &= k^{-2m} \|Q(k^m x) - \tilde{Q}(k^m x)\| \\ &\leq k^{-2m} \left( \left\| Q(k^m x) + f(0) - \frac{f(k^m x) + f(-k^m x)}{2} \right\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{f(k^m x) + f(-k^m x)}{2} - \tilde{Q}(k^m x) - f(0) \right\| \right) \\ &\leq k^{-2m} \left( \frac{\delta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}(k^2-1)} + \frac{2k^{(m+1)p}\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}(k^2-k^p)} \|x\|^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

for each positive integer  $m$  and all  $x \in X$ . Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2m} \left( \frac{\delta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}(k^2-1)} + \frac{2k^{(m+1)p}\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}(k^2-k^p)} \|x\|^p \right) = 0,$$

we can conclude that  $Q(x) = \tilde{Q}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $Q$ .

Next, let  $f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  be the function defined by  $f_2(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$ . Then  $f_2$  is odd,  $f_2(0) = 0$ , and since

$$Df_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{2} [Df(x_1, \dots, x_n) - Df(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)],$$

we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|Df_2(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta + \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p$$

for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

Letting  $x_1 = 2x$  and  $x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (3.9) yields

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f_2\left(\frac{2x}{n}\right) + \binom{n-2}{k-1} f_2(2x) - k^2 \binom{n-1}{k-1} f_2\left(\frac{2x}{k}\right) \right\| \leq \delta + 2^p \theta \|x\|^p \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Putting  $x_1 = x_2 = x$  and  $x_3 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (3.9) we get

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| n^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f_2\left(\frac{2x}{n}\right) + 2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} f_2(x) - k^2 \binom{n-2}{k-2} f_2\left(\frac{2x}{k}\right) - 2k^2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} f_2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right\| \leq \delta + 2\theta \|x\|^p \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

From (3.10) and (3.11) it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \binom{n-2}{k-1} \left\| f_2(2x) - 2f_2(x) - k^2 \left[ f_2\left(\frac{2x}{k}\right) - 2f_2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right] \right\| \\ \leq 2\delta + (2^p + 2)\theta \|x\|^p \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Letting now  $x_1 = x_2 = x$ ,  $x_3 = -2x$ , and  $x_4 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (3.9) and taking into account that  $f_2$  is odd, we find that

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| \binom{n-2}{k-1} [2f_2(x) - f_2(2x)] - k^2 \left[ \binom{n-3}{k-2} - \binom{n-3}{k-1} \right] \right. \\ \left. \left[ f_2\left(\frac{2x}{k}\right) - 2f_2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right] \right\| \leq \delta + (2^p + 2)\theta \|x\|^p \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

From (3.12) and (3.13) we deduce that

$$\left\| f_2\left(\frac{2x}{k}\right) - 2f_2\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \right\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + \frac{(2^p + 2)\theta}{k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $kx$ , we get

$$(3.14) \quad \|f_2(2x) - 2f_2(x)\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Replacing  $x$  in (3.14) by  $2^{j-1}x$  and then dividing both sides of (3.14) by  $2^j$  yields

$$(3.15) \quad \|2^{-j+1}f_2(2^{j-1}x) - 2^{-j}f_2(2^jx)\| \\ \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} 2^{-j} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{2^p \binom{n-3}{k-2}} 2^{(p-1)j} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $j$  and all  $x \in X$ . By virtue of (3.15) we have

$$(3.16) \quad \|2^{-m}f_2(2^m x) - 2^{-\ell}f_2(2^\ell x)\| \\ \leq \sum_{j=\ell+1}^m \|2^{-j+1}f_2(2^{j-1}x) - 2^{-j}f_2(2^jx)\| \\ \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{2^p \binom{n-3}{k-2}} \|x\|^p \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{(p-1)j}$$

for all nonnegative integers  $\ell$  and  $m$  with  $\ell < m$  and all  $x \in X$ . In the special case  $\ell = 0$  we find that

$$(3.17) \quad \|f_2(x) - 2^{-m} f_2(2^m x)\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}(2 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $m$  and all  $x \in X$ .

Since

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{\infty} 2^{(p-1)j} = 0,$$

from (3.16) we conclude that  $(2^{-j} f_2(2^j x))_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, we can define the mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $A(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-j} f_2(2^j x)$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be any points in  $X$ . By virtue of (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|DA(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-j} \|Df_2(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_n)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \delta 2^{-j} + \theta 2^{(p-1)j} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $DA(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Since  $A$  is odd and  $A(0) = 0$ , Theorem 2.1 ensures that  $A$  is additive. Moreover, by passing to the limit in (3.17) when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that (3.3) holds true for all  $x \in X$ .

Now let  $\tilde{A} : X \rightarrow Y$  be another additive mapping satisfying

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - \tilde{A}(x) \right\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}(2 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - \tilde{A}(x)\| &= 2^{-m} \|A(2^m x) - \tilde{A}(2^m x)\| \\ &\leq 2^{-m} \left( \left\| A(2^m x) - \frac{f(2^m x) - f(-2^m x)}{2} \right\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\| \frac{f(2^m x) - f(-2^m x)}{2} - \tilde{A}(2^m x) \right\| \right) \\ &\leq 2^{-m} \left( \frac{3\delta}{k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + 2^{mp} \frac{2(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}(2 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

for each positive integer  $m$  and all  $x \in X$ . Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-m} \left( \frac{3\delta}{k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} + 2^{mp} \frac{2(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}(2 - 2^p)} \|x\|^p \right) = 0,$$

we conclude that  $A(x) = \tilde{A}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This proves the uniqueness of  $A$ , completing also the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the next theorem (containing the case  $p = 0$ ), being similar to that of Theorem 3.1, is omitted.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\delta \in [0, \infty[$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying*

$$\|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X.$$

*Then there exist a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - 1)}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . In addition, we have

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} (k^2 - 1)} + \frac{3\delta}{2k^2 \binom{n-3}{k-2}} \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $\theta \in [0, \infty[$  and let  $p \in ]1, \infty[ \setminus \{2\}$ . If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies*

$$\|Df(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \theta \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \quad \text{for all } x_1, \dots, x_n \in X,$$

*then there exist a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$  and a unique additive mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$(3.18) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - Q(x) - f(0) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_1(x)$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \left\| \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - A(x) \right\| \leq \varepsilon_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where

$$\varepsilon_1(x) = \frac{k^p \theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1} |k^2 - k^p|} \|x\|^p \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_2(x) = \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}(2^p - 2)} \|x\|^p.$$

In addition, we have

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)\| \leq \varepsilon_1(x) + \varepsilon_2(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$  be the functions defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we find that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  satisfy

$$(3.20) \quad \|f_1(x) - k^{-2}f_1(kx)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{p-2} \|x\|^p$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \|f_2(2x) - 2f_2(x)\| \leq \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-3}{k-2}} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

If  $1 < p < 2$ , then as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 it can be proved that  $(k^{-2j}f_1(k^jx))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$  and that the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $Q(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2j}f_1(k^jx)$ , is the unique quadratic mapping satisfying (3.18) for all  $x \in X$ .

Assume now that  $p > 2$ . Replacing  $x$  in (3.20) by  $k^{-j}x$  and then multiplying both sides of (3.20) by  $k^{2j}$ , we get

$$(3.22) \quad \|k^{2(j-1)}f_1(k^{-j+1}x) - k^{2j}f_1(k^{-j}x)\| \leq \frac{k^{p-2}\theta}{\binom{n-2}{k-1}} k^{(2-p)j} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $j$  and all  $x \in X$ .

Starting from (3.22), as in Theorem 3.1, it is easy to prove that  $(k^{2j}f_1(k^{-j}x))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$  and that the mapping  $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $Q(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k^{2j}f_1(k^{-j}x)$ , is the unique quadratic mapping satisfying (3.18) for all  $x \in X$ .

On the other hand, replacing  $x$  in (3.21) by  $2^{-j}x$  and then multiplying both sides of (3.21) by  $2^{j-1}$ , we find that

$$(3.23) \quad \|2^{j-1}f_2(2^{-j+1}x) - 2^j f_2(2^{-j}x)\| \leq \frac{(2^p + 2)k^{p-2}\theta}{2\binom{n-3}{k-2}} 2^{(1-p)j} \|x\|^p$$

for each positive integer  $j$  and all  $x \in X$ .

Starting from (3.23) and proceeding as in Theorem 3.1, it is easily seen that  $(2^j f_2(2^{-j}x))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence for all  $x \in X$  and that

the mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$ , defined by  $A(x) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} 2^j f_2(2^{-j}x)$ , is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.19) for all  $x \in X$ . We omit the details.  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author expresses his gratitude to the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript and for his suggestions.

### References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [3] B. Crstici, *On some functional equations deriving from the inequality of Tiberiu Popoviciu for convex functions*, Séminaire de la théorie de la meilleure approximation, convexité et optimisation, (E. Popoviciu, editor), Ed. SRIMA, Cluj-Napoca, 2000, 87–93.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [5] Z. Gajda, *On stability of additive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1998.
- [8] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [9] S. -M. Jung, *On the Hyers–Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), 126–137.
- [10] ———, *On the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **232** (1999), 384–393.
- [11] Y. W. Lee, *On the stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **270** (2002), 590–601.
- [12] T. Popoviciu, *Sur certaines inégalités qui caractérisent les fonctions convexes*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi Sect. Ia Mat. **11** (1965), 155–164.
- [13] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [14] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the Hyers–Ulam stability of linear mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **173** (1993), 325–338.
- [15] T. Trif, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of a Jensen type functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **250** (2000), 579–588.
- [16] ———, *A generalization of the Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of the Popoviciu functional equation*, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. **7** (2002), 45–54.
- [17] ———, *On the stability of a functional equation deriving from an inequality of Popoviciu for convex functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **272** (2002), 604–616.

- [18] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Chap. VI, John Wiley, New York, 1964.

UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI, FACULTATEA DE MATEMATICĂ ȘI INFORMATICĂ, STR  
KOGĂLNICEANU 1, 3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail*: ttrif@math.ubbcluj.ro