

NEGATIVE DIFFERENCE POSETS AND FUZZY IMPLICATION ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN, HEE SIK KIM AND YOUNG SEOK KIM

ABSTRACT. The notion of negative difference on a poset is introduced, and the interrelations between *FI*-algebras and posets with negative difference are discussed.

1. Introduction

“Implication” is a basic logic connective in various logic systems. “ $p \rightarrow q$ ” means “if p then q ” for two propositions p and q , where “ \rightarrow ” is called an implication operator. There are lots of papers concerning with this operator, especially on approximate reasoning, and most research is about semantic. In 1990, W. M. Wu [4] introduced the notion of fuzzy implication algebras, and investigated several properties. In [2], Z. W. Li and C. Y. Zheng introduced the notion of distributive (resp. regular, commutative) fuzzy implication algebra, and investigated the relations between such fuzzy implication algebras and *MV*-algebras. F. Kôpka and F. Chovanec [1] introduced the notion of difference on a poset. In this paper, we introduce the concept of negative difference on a poset, and investigate the interrelations between *FI*-algebras and posets with negative difference.

2. Preliminaries

A nonempty set X together with a binary operation \rightarrow and a zero element 0 is said to be a *fuzzy implication algebra* (*FI-algebra*, for short) if the following axioms are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$:

Received December 26, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G25, 03C05, 08A05.

Key words and phrases: *FI*-algebra, negative difference, *ND*-poset, terminal segment, directed upwards.

The first author was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-005-D00002).

- (I1) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,
- (I2) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = 1$,
- (I3) $x \rightarrow x = 1$,
- (I4) $x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (I5) $0 \rightarrow x = 1$,

where $1 = 0 \rightarrow 0$. We can define a partial ordering \preceq on an *FI*-algebra X by $x \preceq y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y = 1$. An *FI*-algebra X is said to be *commutative* if $x+y = y+x$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $x+y := (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$. A subset S of an *FI*-algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x \rightarrow y \in S$ for all $x, y \in S$.

In an *FI*-algebra X , the following hold for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (p1) $x \rightarrow 1 = 1$ and $1 \rightarrow x = x$,
- (p2) $y \rightarrow z \preceq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$,
- (p3) $x \preceq y \Rightarrow z \rightarrow x \preceq z \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow z \preceq x \rightarrow z$,
- (p4) $x \preceq y \rightarrow z \Rightarrow y \preceq x \rightarrow z$,
- (p5) $x \preceq y \rightarrow x$,
- (p6) $x \preceq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$,
- (p7) $x \preceq y \Rightarrow y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$.

EXAMPLE 2.1. (1) Let $X = [0, 1]$ and define a binary operation \rightarrow on X by

$$x \rightarrow y = \sup\{z \in X \mid \min\{x, z\} \leq y\}, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then $(X, \rightarrow, 0)$ is an *FI*-algebra (see [4]).

(2) Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ and define a binary operation \rightarrow on X by

$$x \rightarrow y = \max\{1 - x, y\}, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then $(X, \rightarrow, 0)$ is an *FI*-algebra (see [4]).

(3) Let $X = [0, 1]$ and define a binary operation \rightarrow on X by

$$x \rightarrow y = \min\{1, 1 - x + y\}, \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then $(X, \rightarrow, 0)$ is an *FI*-algebra (see [4]).

(4) Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table and Hasse diagram:

\rightarrow	0	a	b	c	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
a	c	1	1	1	1
b	b	c	1	1	1
c	a	b	c	1	1
1	0	a	b	c	1

```

    graph BT
      0((0)) --> a((a))
      a --> b((b))
      b --> c((c))
      c --> 1((1))
    
```

Then $(X, \rightarrow, 0)$ is an *FI*-algebra.

3. Negative differences

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $(P; \leq)$ be a nonempty partially ordered set (poset). A partial binary operation \ominus is called a *negative difference* on P if the element $a \ominus b$ is defined in P if and only if $a \leq b$, and the following axioms hold for all $a, b, c \in P$:

- (D1) $a \leq a \ominus b$.
- (D2) $a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b$.
- (D3) $a \leq b \leq c \Rightarrow a \ominus c \leq a \ominus b$ and $(a \ominus c) \ominus (a \ominus b) = b \ominus c$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let \mathbb{R}^- be the set of all non-positive real numbers. The negative difference $a - b$ of real numbers, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^-$, $a \leq b$, satisfies the conditions (D1) – (D3).

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let (P, \leq) be a poset with a negative difference \ominus and let $a, b, c, d \in P$. Then the following assertions are true.

- (i) If $a \leq b \leq c$, then $a \ominus c \leq b \ominus c$ and $(a \ominus c) \ominus (b \ominus c) = a \ominus b$.
- (ii) If $a \leq b$ and $a \ominus b \leq c$, then $a \ominus c \leq b$ and $(a \ominus b) \ominus c = (a \ominus c) \ominus b$.
- (iii) If $a \leq b \leq c$, then $a \ominus (b \ominus c) \leq c$ and $(a \ominus (b \ominus c)) \ominus c = a \ominus b$.
- (iv) If $a \leq b$ and $a \leq c$, then $a \ominus b = a \ominus c$ if and only if $b = c$.
- (v) If $a \leq c \leq d$ and $a \leq b \leq d$, then $a \ominus c = b \ominus d$ if and only if $a \ominus b = c \ominus d$.

PROOF. (i) Assume that $a \leq b \leq c$. Since $a \ominus c \leq a \ominus b$ by (D3), it follows from (D1) – (D3) that $a \ominus c \leq (a \ominus c) \ominus (a \ominus b) = b \ominus c$ and

$$(a \ominus c) \ominus (b \ominus c) = (a \ominus c) \ominus ((a \ominus c) \ominus (a \ominus b)) = a \ominus b.$$

(ii) Suppose that $a \leq b$ and $a \ominus b \leq c$. Then $a \leq a \ominus b \leq c$, and so $a \ominus c \leq a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b$ by (D3) and (D2). Since $a \ominus c \leq (a \ominus b) \ominus c$ by (i), we have

$$(a \ominus c) \ominus ((a \ominus b) \ominus c) = a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b,$$

which implies that

$$(a \ominus c) \ominus b = (a \ominus c) \ominus ((a \ominus c) \ominus ((a \ominus b) \ominus c)) = (a \ominus b) \ominus c.$$

(iii) Assume that $a \leq b \leq c$. According to (i) and (D1), we get $a \leq a \ominus c \leq b \ominus c$. It follows from (D3) and (D2) that $a \ominus (b \ominus c) \leq a \ominus (a \ominus c) = c$. Using (i) and (ii), we obtain

$$(a \ominus (b \ominus c)) \ominus c = (a \ominus c) \ominus (b \ominus c) = a \ominus b.$$

(iv) If $a \ominus b = a \ominus c$, then $c = a \ominus (a \ominus c) = a \ominus (a \ominus b) = b$. The converse assertion is obvious.

(v) Assume that $a \leq c \leq d$ and $a \leq b \leq d$. If $a \ominus c = b \ominus d$, then

$$a \ominus b = (a \ominus d) \ominus (b \ominus d) = (a \ominus d) \ominus (a \ominus c) = c \ominus d$$

by (i) and (D3). Conversely, if $a \ominus b = c \ominus d$, then

$$a \ominus c = (a \ominus d) \ominus (c \ominus d) = (a \ominus d) \ominus (a \ominus b) = b \ominus d$$

by (i) and (D3). This completes the proof. \square

Let w be an element of a poset $(P; \leq)$. The set

$$T(w) := \{a \in P \mid w \leq a\}$$

is called a *terminal segment* of w . Obviously, $w \ominus w \in T(w)$ by (D1).

A poset $(P; \leq)$ is said to be *directed upwards* if for any $x, y \in P$, there is an element $z \in P$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let $(P; \leq)$ be a poset with a negative difference \ominus and let $w \in P$. Then for any $a \in T(w)$, we have*

- (i) $w \ominus a \in T(w)$.
- (ii) $w \ominus w$ is the greatest element in $T(w)$.
- (iii) $a \ominus (w \ominus w) = a$.
- (iv) $a \ominus a = w \ominus w$.
- (v) $(P; \leq)$ has the greatest element if and only if $(P; \leq)$ is directed upwards.
- (vi) If $(P; \leq)$ is directed upwards, then $u \ominus u$ is the greatest element of P for any $u \in P$.

PROOF. Let $a \in T(w)$. According to (D1), we have $w \ominus a \in T(w)$, which proves (i). Since $w \leq w \leq w \ominus a$, it follows from (D2) and (D3) that $a = w \ominus (w \ominus a) \leq w \ominus w$. Hence $w \ominus w$ is the greatest element in $T(w)$. Since $w \leq a \leq a \ominus a$, we conclude that $a \ominus a \leq w \ominus w$ because $w \ominus w$ is the greatest element in $T(w)$. Using (D1) – (D3), we get $a \leq a \ominus (w \ominus w) \leq a \ominus (a \ominus a) = a$, which implies (iii). From (iii) and (D2), we obtain

$$a \ominus a = a \ominus (a \ominus (w \ominus w)) = w \ominus w.$$

Hence (iv) is valid. (v) is trivial. Assume that P is directed upwards. Denote $e_u := u \ominus u$ for every $u \in P$. We claim that $a \leq e_u$ for any $a \in P$. Since P is directed upwards, there is an element $z \in P$ such that $e_u \leq z$ and $e_a \leq z$. Since $z \in T(u) \cap T(a)$, it follows from (ii) that $z \leq u \ominus u = e_u \leq z$ and $z \leq a \ominus a = e_a \leq z$ so that $a \leq e_a = e_u$. This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 3.5. Let (P, \leq) be a poset with a negative difference \ominus . If there exists the least element, say e , in P , we say that P is a *negative difference poset* (briefly, *ND-poset*).

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $P = [-1, 0]$. For any two numbers $a, b \in P$ with $a \leq b$, we define $a \ominus b = a - b$, where “ $-$ ” is the usual subtraction. Then $(P; \leq, \ominus)$ is an *ND-poset* with -1 as the least element.

PROPOSITION 3.7. Every *ND-poset* P contains the greatest element 1 , and $1 = e \ominus e$.

PROOF. Let $a \in P$. Then $e \ominus a$ is defined in P because $e \leq a$, and $e \leq e \leq e \ominus a$ by (D1). It follows from (D2) and (D3) that $a = e \ominus (e \ominus a) \leq e \ominus e$, i.e., $e \ominus e$ is the greatest element in P , and we denote it by 1 . □

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let $(X, \rightarrow, 0)$ be an *FI-algebra*. We define a partial binary operation \ominus on X such that, for all $x, y \in X$, $x \ominus y$ is defined if and only if $x \preceq y$, and in this case

$$x \ominus y := y \rightarrow x.$$

Then

- (i) $x \preceq x \ominus y$ if $x \preceq y$.
- (ii) $y \preceq x \ominus (x \ominus y)$ if $x \preceq y$.
- (iii) $x \ominus z \preceq x \ominus y$ if $x \preceq y \preceq z$.
- (iv) $y \ominus z \preceq (x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y)$ if $x \preceq y \preceq z$.

PROOF. (i) Using (I1), (I3) and (p1), we have that

$$x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = y \rightarrow 1 = 1, \text{ i.e., } x \preceq y \rightarrow x.$$

Hence $x \preceq x \ominus y$ if $x \preceq y$.

(ii) According to (p6) and (i), we obtain

$$y \preceq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = x \ominus (x \ominus y) \text{ if } x \preceq y.$$

(iii) Assume that $x \preceq y \preceq z$. By (p3), $y \preceq z$ implies $z \rightarrow x \preceq y \rightarrow x$, and so $x \ominus z \preceq x \ominus y$.

(iv) If $x \preceq y \preceq z$, then (iii) and (I2) entail that $y \ominus z \preceq (x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y)$. This completes the proof. □

THEOREM 3.9. If X is a commutative *FI-algebra*, then the partial binary operation \ominus on X described in Proposition 3.8 is a negative difference on $(X; \preceq)$.

PROOF. Assume that X is a commutative FI -algebra. Note that if $x \preceq y \preceq z$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= x \rightarrow y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \\
 &\preceq (z \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
 &= (z \rightarrow (x + y)) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
 &= (z \rightarrow (y + x)) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
 &= (z \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
 &= ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y) \\
 &= ((x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y)) \rightarrow (y \ominus z)
 \end{aligned}$$

so that $((x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y)) \rightarrow (y \ominus z) = 1$, i.e., $(x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y) \preceq y \ominus z$. It follows from Proposition 3.8(iv) and (I4) that $(x \ominus z) \ominus (x \ominus y) = y \ominus z$. Now, since $y \preceq x \ominus (x \ominus y)$ if $x \preceq y$, it is sufficient to show that $x \ominus (x \ominus y) \preceq y$ whenever $x \preceq y$. Using (p1), (I3) and the commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \ominus (x \ominus y)) \rightarrow y &= ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y = (y + x) \rightarrow y \\
 &= (x + y) \rightarrow y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \\
 &= (1 \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow y = 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

which means $x \ominus (x \ominus y) \preceq y$. Hence the partial binary operation \ominus on X is a negative difference on $(X; \preceq)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.10. *Every commutative FI -algebra is an ND -poset.*

PROOF. It is straightforward. \square

References

- [1] F. Kôpka and F. Chovanec, *D-posets*, Math. Slovaca **44** (1994), 21–34.
- [2] Z. W. Li and C. Y. Zheng, *Relations between fuzzy implication algebra and MV-algebra*, J. Fuzzy Math. **9** (2001), no. 1, 201–205.
- [3] D. Wu, *Commutative fuzzy implication algebra*, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics **13(1)** (1999), 27–30.
- [4] W. M. Wu, *Fuzzy implication algebras*, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics **4** (1990), no. 1, 56–63.

Young Bae Jun
 Department of Mathematics Education
 Gyeongsang National University
 Chinju (Jinju) 660-701, Korea
 E-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

Hee Sik Kim
Department of Mathematics
Hanyang University
Seoul 133-791, Korea
E-mail: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr

Young Seok Kim
Department of Mathematics Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju (Jinju) 660-701, Korea
E-mail: sira2002@hanmail.net