

RELATIVE PROJECTIVITY AND RELATED RESULTS

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let M be an Artinian R -module. Let $M'' \subseteq M'$ be submodules of M . Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to M . Then it is shown that

$$\text{Att}_R(\text{Hom}_A(F, M') :_{\text{Hom}_A(F, M)} I^n), n \in N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Att}_R(\text{Hom}_A(F, M') :_{\text{Hom}_A(F, M)} I^n \\ &/ \text{Hom}_A(F, M'') :_{\text{Hom}_A(F, M)} I^n), n \in N \end{aligned}$$

are ultimately constant.

1. Introduction

Throughout of this paper R will denote a commutative ring (with a nonzero identity).

Let M be an R -module. A prime ideal P of R is said to be an associated prime of M if there exists an element $x \in M$ such that $P = (0 :_R Rx)$ (see [2, Chapter 4]). The set of associated primes of M is denoted by $\text{Ass}_R(M)$.

We shall follow Macdonald's terminology (see [4]) concerning secondary representation. So whenever an R -module L has a secondary representation, then the set of attached primes of L is denoted by $\text{Att}_R(L)$.

Let I be an ideal of R and suppose that F and M are respectively a flat and an Artinian R -modules. Let $M'' \subseteq M'$ be submodules of M and let $T = \text{Hom}_R(F, -)$. In [3] it has shown that the sequences of sets $\text{Att}_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n)$ and $\text{Att}_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n / T(M'') :_{T(M)} I^n)$, $n \in N$, are ultimately constant.

Let I , M , M' , and M'' be as in the above paragraph and let F be an R -module (not necessarily flat). In this paper we will generalize the above mentioned results in the case that F is projective relative to M .

Received December 6, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13E05.

Key words and phrases: associated primes, relative projectivity, attached primes.

We recall that F is projective relative to M (or F is M -projective) if and only if for any homomorphic image N of M , the homomorphism $Hom_R(F, M) \rightarrow Hom_R(F, N)$ is epic (see [1]).

2. Auxiliary results

REMARK 2.1. (a) If F is an R -module which is M -projective and X a submodule or a homomorphic image of M , then F is X -projective.

(b) If $(M_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite family of R -modules and F is projective relative to M_i for each $i \in I$, then F is projective relative to $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$.

(c) If F is a M -projective and

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of R -modules and R -homomorphisms with middle term M , then

$$0 \rightarrow Hom_R(F, K) \rightarrow Hom_R(F, M) \rightarrow Hom_R(F, L) \rightarrow 0$$

is also an exact sequence.

LEMMA 2.2. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let M be an Artinian R -module. Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to M and let $E = \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} E(R/P)$, where Ω is a finite subset of $Max(R)$ with $Ass_R(M) \subseteq \Omega$. Set $T(-) = Hom_R(-, E)$. Then $T(F)$ is injective relative to $T(M)$. (We recall that an R -module X is injective relative to an R -module H if and only if for any submodule L of H , $Hom_R(H, X) \rightarrow Hom_R(L, X)$ is epic (see [1]).)

Proof. Let

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow T(M)$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules and R -homomorphisms. Hence

$$T(T(M)) \rightarrow T(L) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. But $T(T(M)) \cong M$ by [3, Lemma 3.1]. It follows that F is projective relative to $T(T(M))$. Hence

$$Hom_R(F, T(T(M))) \rightarrow Hom_R(F, T(L)) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. It turns out that

$$Hom_R(T(M), T(F)) \rightarrow Hom_R(L, T(F)) \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. Hence $T(F)$ is injective relative to $T(M)$ and the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.3. Let M_1 and M_2 be R -modules. Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to both M_1 and M_2 . Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(F, M_1 + M_2) = \text{Hom}_R(F, M_1) + \text{Hom}_R(F, M_2).$$

Proof. Put $T = \text{Hom}_R(F, -)$. It is clear that

$$T(M_1) + T(M_2) \subseteq T(M_1 + M_2).$$

To see the reverse inclusion let $f \in T(M_1 + M_2)$. Since F is projective relative to $M_1 \oplus M_2$ by 2.1, as in [3] (1.5), we can find $f_i \in T(M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $f = f_1 + f_2$. So the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.4. Let I be a finitely generated ideal of R and let M be an R -module. Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to M . Put $T = \text{Hom}_R(F, -)$. Then $T(IM) = IT(M)$.

Proof. Let $x \in R$. Since F is projective relative to M , from the exact sequence

$$M \xrightarrow{x} xM \rightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$T(M) \xrightarrow{x} T(xM) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $T(xM) = xT(M)$. Now the result follows from this and Lemma 2.3. \square

LEMMA 2.5. Let S be another commutative ring and let $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Let M be an S module. Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to M when regarded as R -module. Then as S -modules, $F \otimes_R S$ is projective relative to M .

Proof. Let

$$M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of S -modules and S -homomorphisms. Hence, as R -modules and R -homomorphisms,

$$\text{Hom}_R(F, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F, N) \rightarrow 0,$$

is an exact sequence. But as S -modules,

$$\text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R S, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(F, M).$$

It follows that

$$\text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R S, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(F \otimes_R S, N) \rightarrow 0.$$

is an exact sequence. So the proof is complete. \square

3. Main results

Throughout this section A will denote a commutative Noetherian ring with a non-zero identity.

We recall the concept of coassociated primes from [9].

DEFINITION. An A -module L is cocyclic if $L \subseteq E(A/P)$ for some maximal ideal P of A . Also a prime ideal P of A is said to be a coassociated prime of M if there exists a cocyclic homomorphic image L of M such that $P = (0 :_A L)$. The set of coassociated primes of M is denoted by $Coass_A(M)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let M be an Artinian A -module and suppose F is an A -module which is projective relative to M . Then we have the following.*

(i) $Hom_A(F, M) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $P \in Att_A(M)$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ for some $Q \in Coass_A(F)$.

(ii) If M is a P -secondary A -module and $Hom_A(F, M) \neq 0$, then $Hom_A(F, M)$ is a P -secondary A -module.

Proof. (i) (\Rightarrow) We can use the same technique used in [3] (3.2). To see the converse, let $P \in Att_A(M)$ and let $P \subseteq Q$ for some $Q \in Coass_A(F)$. Then there exist maximal ideals P_1 and P_2 such that $P \in Ass_A(Hom_A(M, E(A/P_1)))$ and $Q \in Ass_A(Hom_A(M, E(A/P_2)))$ by [9, 1.7]. Set $\Omega = Ass_A(M) \cup \{P_1, P_2\}$ and $D(-) = Hom_A(-, \bigoplus_{P \in \Omega} E(A/P))$. It implies that $P \in Ass_A(D(M))$ and $Q \in Ass_A(D(F))$. Since $D(F)$ is injective relative to $D(M)$ by Lemma 2.2, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A/P \rightarrow D(M)$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$Hom_A(D(M), D(F)) \rightarrow Hom_A(A/P, D(F)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Further,

$$0 \rightarrow A/Q \rightarrow D(F)$$

is an exact sequence so that $Hom_A(A/Q, D(F)) \neq 0$. Also $D(F)$ is injective relative to A/P by Remark 2.1. Hence from the exact sequence

$$A/P \rightarrow A/Q \rightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom_A(A/Q, D(F)) \rightarrow Hom_A(A/P, D(F)).$$

Hence we have $Hom_A(A/P, D(F)) \neq 0$. This in turn implies that

$$Hom_A(D(M), D(F)) \neq 0.$$

But by [3, 3.1], $M \cong D(D(M))$. Hence we have

$$\text{Hom}_A(F, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(D(M), D(F)) \neq 0.$$

(ii) Let $r \in R$. Then $M \xrightarrow{r} M$ is nilpotent or surjective. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(F, M) \xrightarrow{r} \text{Hom}_R(F, M)$ is either nilpotent or surjective. Therefore, $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is a P -secondary module and the proof is complete. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. (See [3, 3.2].) *Let M be an Artinian A -module and suppose F is a flat A -module. Then we have the following.*

(i) $\text{Hom}_A(F, M) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists $P \in \text{Att}_A(M)$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ for some $Q \in \text{Coass}_A(F)$.

(ii) If M is a P -secondary A -module and $\text{Hom}_A(F, M) \neq 0$, then $\text{Hom}_A(F, M)$ is a P -secondary A -module.

Proof. By [6, Theorem 5], $T = \text{Hom}_A(F, -)$ is an exact functor over the category of Artinian A -modules. It implies that F is projective relative to M . Hence the result follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let M be an Artinian A -module, and suppose that F is an A -module which is projective relative to M . Then $\text{Hom}_A(F, M)$ has a secondary representation and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Att}_A(\text{Hom}_A(F, M)) \\ &= \{P \in \text{Att}_A(M) : P \subseteq Q \text{ for some } Q \in \text{Coass}_A(F)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $M = \sum_{i=1}^r M_i$ be a minimal secondary representation for M , where each M_i is P_i -secondary. Suppose for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, there exists $P_i \subseteq Q_i$ for some $Q_i \in \text{Coass}_A(F)$, while this doesn't hold for $i = t + 1, t + 2, \dots, r$. Let $T(-) = \text{Hom}_A(F, -)$. Then by Lemma 3.1, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, $T(M_i) \neq 0$ and it is P_i -secondary. Hence by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$T(M) = \text{Hom}_A(F, M) = \sum_{i=1}^t T(M_i).$$

So $\text{Hom}_A(F, M)$ has a secondary representation. We claim that the above decomposition is in fact a minimal one. To see this let for an integer j with $1 \leq j \leq t$,

$$T(M_j) \subseteq \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^t T(M_i).$$

It implies that

$$T(M) = \text{Hom}_A(F, M) = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r T(M_i) = T\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right).$$

Now from

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i \rightarrow M \rightarrow M / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i \rightarrow 0,$$

by using Lemma 2.1, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right) \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow T\left(M / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

It implies that

$$T\left(M / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right) = 0.$$

But

$$M / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i \cong M_j / (M_j \cap \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i)$$

is P_j -secondary. It turns out that

$$\text{Att}_A\left(M / \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right) = \{P_j\}.$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1, we have $T\left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^r M_i\right) \neq 0$ which is a contradiction.

This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.4. Theorem 3.3 extends [3, Theorems 3.3, 3.5, and 3.6] because as we mentioned in the proof of 3.2, every flat A -module is projective relative to every Artinian A -module.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let M be a finitely generated A -module and suppose F is an A -module which is projective relative to M . Let P be a maximal ideal of A such that $P \in \text{Coass}_A(F)$ and let $i \geq 0$. Then $H_P^i(M)$, and $\text{Hom}_A(F, H_P^i(M))$ have the same set of attached prime ideals, so that the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $H_P^i(M) \neq 0$
- (ii) $\text{Hom}_A(F, H_P^i(M)) \neq 0$.

Proof. It is straightforward to see that $H_P^i(M)$ is an Artinian A -module. Further since $Ass_A(H_P^i(M)) \subseteq \{P\}$, every $P' \in Att_A(H_P^i(M))$ is contained in P . Hence by using Theorem 3.3, we have

$$Att_A(Hom_A(F, H_P^i(M))) = Att_A(H_P^i(M)).$$

The result follows from this and the proof is complete. □

Let M be an R -module, and let M' be a submodule of M . Then for an ideal I of R it follows that

$$Hom_R(L, M') :_{Hom_R(L, M)} I = Hom_R(L, (M' :_M I)).$$

THEOREM 3.6. *Let I be an ideal of R and let M be an Artinian R -module. Suppose F is an R -module which is projective relative to M . Let $M'' \subseteq M'$ be submodules of M . Further let $T(-) = Hom_A(F, -)$. Then the sequences of sets*

$$Att_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n), \quad n \in N$$

and

$$Att_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n / T(M'') :_{T(M)} I^n), \quad n \in N,$$

are ultimately constant.

Proof. By [7] (1.9), there exists a ring homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ such that S is a commutative Noetherian ring and M has an S -module structure which is compatible with the R -module structure. Also by Lemma 2.5, $F \otimes_R S$ is projective relative to M as an S -module. Now Let $U(-) = Hom_S(F \otimes_R S, -)$. Then

$$T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n \cong U(M') :_{U(M)} (IS)^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n / T(M'') :_{T(M)} I^n \\ \cong U(M') :_{U(M)} (IS)^n / U(M'') :_{U(M)} (IS)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by [5] (4.1), we can assume that R is a commutative Noetherian ring. Now by Theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} Att_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n) \\ = \{P \in Att_R(M' :_M I^n) : P \subseteq Q \text{ for some } Q \in Coass_R(F)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Att_R(T(M') :_{T(M)} I^n / T(M'') :_{T(M)} I^n) \\ = \{P \in Att_R(M' :_M I^n / M'' :_M I^n) : P \subseteq Q \text{ for some } Q \in Coass_R(F)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows from [8, 5.4 and 5.5]. □

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let I , F , M , and T be as in 3.6. Then the sequences of sets $Att_R(0 :_{T(M)} I^n)$ and $Att_R(0 :_{T(M)} I^n / 0 :_{T(M)} I^{n-1})$, $n \in N$ are ultimately constant. Further if C and D denote respectively their ultimate constant values, then*

$$C - D \subseteq Att_R(T(M)).$$

Proof. We can apply the same technique used in proof of [3, 3.6]. So we omit the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 3.8. (i) Let F and M be respectively a flat and an Artinian A -modules. Then by Remark 3.4, $Hom_A(F, M)$ has a secondary representation and

$$\begin{aligned} & Att_A(Hom_A(F, M)) \\ &= \{P \in Att_A(M) : P \subseteq Q \text{ for some } Q \in Coass_A(F)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose M is a non-zero divisible abelian group which is not a flat Z module and let p be a prime number (here Z denotes the ring of integers). Then by [1, page 190, Ex. 10], and Remark 2.1, M is projective relative to the Artinian Z -module $Z/p^n Z$. Hence $Hom_Z(M, Z/p^n Z)$ has a secondary representation and its attached primes can be specified by 3.3.

References

- [1] Frank W. Anderson and Kent R. Fuller, *Categories of Modules (second edition)*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Read. Mass. 1972.
- [3] K. Divaani-Aazar and M. Tousi, *Asymptotic attached primes related to flat modules*, Algebra Colloq. **6** (1999), no. 2, 193–204.
- [4] I. G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Sympos. Math. **XI** (1973), 23–43.
- [5] L. Melkerson, *On asymptotic stability for sets of prime ideals connected with powers of an ideal*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **107** (1990), 267–271.
- [6] ———, *Cohomological properties of modules with secondary representation*, Math. Scand. **77** (1995), 197–208.
- [7] I. Nishitani, *Associated prime ideals of the dual of an Artinian module relative to an injective module*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 2651–2667.
- [8] D. E. Rush, *Asymptotic primes and integral closure in modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **43** (1992), no. 2, 477–499.
- [9] S. Yassemi, *Coassociated primes*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 1473–1498.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, GUILAN UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 1914, RASHT, IRAN
E-mail: ansari@guilan.ac.ir