SKEW POWER SERIES EXTENSIONS OF α -RIGID P.P.-RINGS

EBRAHIM HASHEMI AND AHMAD MOUSSAVI

ABSTRACT. We investigate skew power series of α -rigid p.p.-rings, where α is an endomorphism of a ring R which is not assumed to be surjective. For an α -rigid ring R, $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is right p.p., if and only if $R[[x,x^{-1};\alpha]]$ is right p.p., and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes an associative ring with identity and $\alpha: R \to R$ is an endomorphism. We denote C(R) the center of R and $S = R[[x; \alpha]]$ the skew power series ring, whose elements are power series of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i x^i$ with coefficients $r_i \in R$, where the addition is defined as usual and the multiplication subject to the condition $xb = \alpha(b)x$, for any $b \in R$. The set $\{x^i\}_{i\geq 0}$ is an Öre subset of $R[[x; \alpha]]$, so that one can localize $R[[x; \alpha]]$ and form the skew Laurent series ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$. Elements of $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ are formal combinations of elements of the form $x^{-j}rx^i$, where $r \in R$ and i, j are nonnegative integers.

Recall that R is (quasi-)Baer if the right annihilator of every (right ideal) non-empty subset of R is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent of R. These definitions are left-right symmetric. The study of Baer rings has its roots in functional analysis. In [19] Rickart studied C^* -algebras with the property that every right annihilator of any element is generated by a projection (i.e., p is a projection if $p = p^2 = p^*$, where * is the involution on the algebra). Using Rickart's work, Kaplansky [13] defined an AW*-algebra as a C*-algebra with the stronger property that the right annihilator of the nonempty subset is generated by a projection. A ring satisfying a generalization of Rickart's condition

Received June 24, 2003.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S36, 16E50.

Key words and phrases: Baer rings, right p.p.-rings, $\alpha\text{-rigid}$ rings, skew power series, Öre extensions.

(i.e., every right annihilator of any element is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent) has a homological characterization as a right p.p.ring. A ring R is called a right (resp. left) p.p.-ring if every principal right (resp. left) ideal is projective (equivalently, if the right (resp. left) annihilator of an element of R is generated (as a right (resp. left) ideal) by an idempotent of R). R is called a p.p.-ring if it is both right and left p.p. In [4] Birkenmeier et al. defined a ring to be called right (resp. left) principally quasi-Baer (or simply right (resp. left) p.q.-Baer) if the right annihilator of a principal right (resp. left) ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. A ring is called p.q.-Baer if it is both right and left p.q.-Baer. Observe that every biregular ring and every quasi-Baer ring is p.q.-Baer. Note that in a reduced ring R (i.e. it has no nonzero nilpotent elements), R is p.q.-Baer if and only if R is p.p. For more details and examples of right p.q.-Baer rings, see [4].

In [5], Birkenmeier et al. showed that the quasi-Baer condition is preserved by many polynomial extensions including $R[[x;\alpha]]$ and $R[[x,x^{-1};$ α]. Following Krempa [15], a ring R is said to be α -rigid if for each $a \in R$, $a\alpha(a) = 0$ implies that a = 0. Note that α -rigid rings are reduced, and hence abelian (i.e. every idempotent is central). In [9] Hong et al. showed that, an α -rigid ring R is quasi-Baer if and only if $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is quasi-Baer. Following [18], a ring R is called Armendariz if whenever two polynomials $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j x^j \in R[x]$ satisfy f(x)g(x) = 0 we have $a_ib_j = 0$ for every i, j. By [2, Theorem 10], for an Armendariz ring R, R is left p.p. if and only if R[x] is left p.p. Fraser and Nicholson in [7] showed that R[[x]] is reduced p.p. if and only if R is reduced p.p. and any countable family of idempotents of R has a least upper bound in I(R), the set of all idempotents. Z. Liu in [16, Theorem 3], showed that: If R is a ring such that all left semicentral idempotents are central, then R[[x]] is right p.q.-Baer if and only if R is right p.q.-Baer and any countable family of idempotents in R has a generalized join in I(R).

In this paper we show that for an α -rigid ring R, $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is right p.p. if and only if $R[[x,x^{-1};\alpha]]$ is right p.p. if and only if R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R). As a consequence, for a reduced ring R, $R[[x,x^{-1}]]$ is right p.p. if and only if R[[x]] is right p.p. if and only if R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R). This extends the main result of Fraser and Nicholson [7].

2. Skew power series extensions of α -rigid p.p.-rings

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for some rings under which the ring $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.

For a nonempty subset X of R, $r_R(X)$ and $\ell_R(X)$ denote the right and left annihilators of X in R respectively. We put $rAnn_R(2^R) = \{r_R(V) \mid$ $V \subseteq R$ } and $\ell Ann_R(2^R) = {\ell_R(V) \mid V \subseteq R}.$

Motivated by results in Armendariz [2], Anderson and Camillo [1], Kim and Lee [14], Hong et al. [9] and [10], we introduce conditions (SA1) and (SA2) which are skew power series versions of the Armendariz rings:

DEFINITION 2.1. For a ring R and a monomorphism $\alpha: R \to R$, we say R satisfies the (SA1) condition if for each $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in S = R[[x; \alpha]], f(x)g(x) = 0, \text{ implies that } a_i b_j = 0$ for all i, j.

LEMMA 2.2. [9, Lemma 4]. Let R be α -rigid. Then we have the following:

- (i) If ab = 0, then $a\alpha^n(b) = \alpha^n(a)b = 0$ for each positive integer n.
- (ii) If $a\alpha^k(b) = 0$ for some positive integer k, then ab = 0.

Proposition 2.3. Let R be α -rigid and S the skew power series ring $R[[x;\alpha]]$. Then we have the following:

- (i) R satisfies conditione (SA1);
- (ii) $\varphi: rAnn_R(2^R) \to rAnn_S(2^S); A \to AS$ is bijective; (iii) $\psi: \ell Ann_R(2^R) \to \ell Ann_S(2^S); B \to SB$ is bijective.

Proof. (i) It follows from [10, Proposition 17]. (ii) It is clear that φ is a well defined map. Let J be an element of $rAnn_S(2^S)$. There exists a nonempty subset Y of S such that $r_S(Y) = J$. Suppose that X is the set of coefficients of elements of Y. We show that $r_S(Y) = r_R(X)S$. Since R is α -rigid, $r_R(X) \subseteq r_S(Y)$ and hence $r_R(X)S \subseteq r_S(Y)$. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots \in r_S(Y)$. Since R satisfies condition (SA1), $Xa_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots$. Hence $f(x) \in r_R(X)S$, thus $r_S(Y) = r_R(X)S$. Similarly we can prove (iii).

DEFINITION 2.4. (Z. Liu, [16]). Let $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$ be a countable family of idempotents of R. We say $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$ has a join in I(R) if there exists an idempotent $e \in I(R)$ such that

- 1. $e_i(1-e)=0$, and
- 2. If $f \in I(R)$ is such that $e_i(1-f) = 0$, then e(1-f) = 0.

Theorem 2.5. Let R be α -rigid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. $S = R[[x; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.
- 2. R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

Proof. $1\Longrightarrow 2$. Let $a\in R$. There exists an idempotent $e(x)=e_0+e_1x+\cdots\in S$ such that $r_S(a)=e(x)S$. By [9, Corollary 7], $e(x)=e_0$ and thus $r_S(a)=e_0S$. Therefore $r_R(a)=e_0R$. Suppose that $\{e_0,e_1,\cdots\}$ is a countable family of idempotents in R. Set $\phi(x)=e_0+e_1x+e_2x^2+\cdots\in S$. Since S is right p.p., there exists an idempotent $e(x)=f_0+f_1x+\cdots\in S$, such that $r_S(\phi(x))=e(x)S$. By a similar argument we have, $r_S(\phi(x))=f_0S$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $e_if_0=0$ for $i=0,1,\cdots$. Let $g=1-f_0$. Then $e_i(1-g)=0$ for each i. Suppose that i is an idempotent of i such that i is an idempotent of i such that i is an idempotent of i. Then by Lemma 2.2, i is a join of the set i is a join of the set i is a join of the set i is an idempotent.

 $2\Longrightarrow 1$. Let $f(x)=a_0+a_1x+\cdots\in S$. Then there exist idempotents e_i , with $i=0,1,\cdots$, such that $r_R(a_i)=e_iR$. Suppose that h is a join of the set $\{1-e_i|i=0,1,\cdots\}$. Thus $(1-e_i)(1-h)=0$ and hence $(1-h)=e_i(1-h)$. Thus, $a_i(1-h)=a_ie_i(1-h)=0$ for $i=0,1,\cdots$. Hence $(1-h)\in r_S(f(x))$, by Lemma 2.2, which implies that $(1-h)S\subseteq r_S(f(x))$. Suppose that $g(x)=b_0+b_1x+\cdots\in r_S(f(x))$. Since R satisfies condition (SA1), $a_ib_j=0$ for all i,j. Then $b_j=e_ib_j$ for all i,j. Now $b_j(1-e_i)=0$ because $e_i\in C(R)$ for all i,j. Since R is right p.p., $r_R(b_j)=f_jR$ for idempotents $f_j\in R$. Thus $(1-e_i)\in r_R(b_j)=f_jR$, so $(1-e_i)=f_j(1-e_i)$ for all i,j. Hence from $(1-e_i)\in C(R)$, we have $(1-e_i)(1-f_j)=0$. Since h is a join of $\{1-e_i|i=0,1,\cdots\}$, $h(1-f_j)=0$ for all j. Hence $b_j=b_j-b_jf_j=(1-f_j)b_j=(1-h)(1-f_j)b_j\in (1-h)R$ for all j. So $g(x)\in (1-h)S$. Therefore $r_S(f(x))=(1-h)S$, and hence S is right p.p.

COROLLARY 2.6. (Fraser and Nicholson [7, Theorem 3]). Let R be a reduced ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. R[[x]] is right p.p.
- 2. R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

3. Skew Laurent power series extensions of α -rigid p.p.-rings

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for some rings under which the ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.

Now consider D.A. Jordan's construction of the ring $A(R,\alpha)$ (See [12], for more details). Let $A(R,\alpha)$ or A be the subset $\{x^{-i}rx^i \mid r \in A(R,\alpha)\}$ R, $i \ge 0$ of the skew power series ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$. For each $j \ge 0$, $x^{-i}rx^i = x^{-(i+j)}\alpha^j(r)x^{(i+j)}$. It follows that the set of all such elements forms a subring of $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ with $x^{-i}rx^i + x^{-j}rx^j = x^{-(i+j)}(\alpha^j(r) + x^{-i}rx^j)$ $\alpha^{i}(s)x^{(i+j)}$ and $(x^{-i}rx^{i})(x^{-j}sx^{j}) = x^{-(i+j)}\alpha^{j}(r)\alpha^{i}(s)x^{(i+j)}$ for $r, s \in R$ and $i, j \geq 0$. Note that α is actually an automorphism of $A(R, \alpha)$. We have $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]] \simeq A[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$, by way of an isomorphism which maps $x^{-i}rx^{j}$ to $\alpha^{-i}(r)x^{j-i}$. Also for an automorphism α of R we have $R = A(R, \alpha).$

Definition 3.1. For a ring R and a monomorphism $\alpha: R \to R$, we say R satisfies the (SA2) condition if for each $f(x) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} u_i x^i$ and $g(x) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} v_j x^j \in T = A[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]], f(x)g(x) = 0$, implies that $u_i v_j = 0$ for all i, j.

Proposition 3.2. Let α be an automorphism of R. Let R be α -rigid and T the skew Laurent power series ring $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$. Then we have the following:

- (i) R satisfies condition (SA2);
- (ii) $\varphi: rAnn_R(2^R) \to rAnn_T(2^T); A \to AT$ is bijective; (iii) $\psi: \ell Ann_R(2^R) \to \ell Ann_T(2^T); B \to TB$ is bijective.

Proof. (i) Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} u_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} v_j x^j \in T = A[[x, x^{-1}; y]]$ $[\alpha]$ and [f(x)g(x) = 0] with $[m, n \in \mathbb{Z}]$. Put $[f_1(x) = x^{-m}f(x)]$ and $[g_1(x) = g(x)x^{-n}]$, hence $[f_1(x)g_1(x)] = (\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \alpha^m(u_i)x^{i-m})(\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} v_j x^{j-n}) = 0$. By [9, Proposition 17], $\alpha^m(u_i)v_j = 0$ for all i, j. Hence $u_iv_j = 0$ for all i, j, by Lemma 2.2.

In a similar way as in the proof of Propositions 2.2, we can prove (ii) and (iii).

LEMMA 3.3. A ring R is α -rigid if and only if $A(R, \alpha)$ is α -rigid.

Proof. It is clear that any subring of an α -rigid ring is also α -rigid. Suppose that R is α -rigid and $(x^{-i}rx^i)\alpha(x^{-i}rx^i)=0$, where $i\geq 0$ and $r \in R$. Hence $r\alpha(r) = 0$, and so r = 0.

LEMMA 3.4. Let R be α -rigid. Then each countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R) if and only if each countable family of idempotents in $A(R,\alpha)$ has a join in $I(A(R,\alpha))$.

Proof. Let $\{e_i' | i=0,1,\cdots\}$ be a countable family of idempotents in A. For each $e_i^{'}$ there exists an idempotent $e_i \in R$ and nonnegative integer j_i such that $e_i^{'}=x^{-j_i}e_ix^{j_i}$. Then $\{e_i\mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$ has a join e in I(R). We show that e is a join of $\{e_i' \mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$. Since $e_i(1-e)=0$, $e_i'(1-e)=0$ for all i, by Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $f'\in I(A)$ is such that $e_i'(1-f')=0$ for all i. There exist an idempotent $f\in R$ and nonnegative integer n such that $f'=x^{-n}fx^n$. Then $1-f'=x^{-n}(1-f)x^n$. Since $e_i'(1-f')=0$, $e_i(1-f)=0$ for all i by [9, Proposition 5], because $\alpha(e)=e$. Since e is a join of $\{e_i\mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$, e(1-f)=0. By Lemma 2.2, e(1-f')=0, and hence e is a join of $\{e_i'\mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$. Conversely, suppose that $\{e_i\mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$ has a join e' in I(A). There exist an idempotent $e\in R$ and nonnegative integer n such that $e'=x^{-n}ex^n$. By a similar argument one can show that e is a join of $\{e_i\mid i=0,1,\cdots\}$.

LEMMA 3.5. Let R be α -rigid. Then R is right p.p. if and only if $A(R,\alpha)$ is right p.p.

Proof. Assume that R is right p.p. Let $a=x^{-i}tx^i$ be an element of A and $x^{-j}bx^j \in r_A(a)$. By Lemma 2.2, $b \in r_R(t)$. Since R is right p.p., $r_R(t) = eR$ for an idempotent $e \in R$. Thus eb = b, so by Lemma 2.2, $\alpha^n(e)b = b$ for each positive integer n. Hence $e(x^{-j}bx^j) = x^{-j}bx^j$, thus $r_A(a) \subseteq eA$. Since R is α -rigid, $eA \subseteq r_A(a)$. Hence $r_A(a) = eA$, thus A is right p.p. Conversely, suppose that A is right p.p. Let $t \in R$. Since R is α -rigid and A is p.p., $r_A(t) = (x^{-j}ex^j)A$, where e is an idempotent of R and g is a nonnegative integer. By Lemma 2.2, $eR \subseteq r_R(t)$. Now let e0 be e1. By Lemma 2.2, e2 converges e3. Therefore e3 and so e4 and so e5, which implies that e6 is right p.p.

THEOREM 3.6. Let R be α -rigid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.
- 2. R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

Proof. We have $R[[x,x^{-1};\alpha]] \simeq A[[x,x^{-1};\alpha]]$ where α is an automorphism of A. By Lemma 3.3, R is α -rigid if and only if A is α -rigid. By Lemma 3.4, any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R) if and only if any countable family of idempotents in A has a join in I(A). By Lemma 3.5, R is right p.p. if and only if A is right p.p. The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.5.

LEMMA 3.7. Every α -rigid ring satisfies condition (SA2).

Proof. We observe that α is an automorphism of $A(R, \alpha)$ and by Lemma 3.4, A is α -rigid. Now the proof follows from Proposition 3.2. \square

The following result is a generalization of Fraser and Nicholson [7]:

COROLLARY 3.8. For an α -rigid ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.
- 2. $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$ is right p.p.
- 3. R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.5 and 3.6. \Box

COROLLARY 3.9. For a reduced ring R, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. R[[x]] is right p.p.
- 2. $R[[x, x^{-1}]]$ is right p.p.
- 3. R is right p.p. and any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R).

The following example [6, Example 3.6] shows that condition "any countable family of idempotents in R has a join in I(R)" is not superfluous.

EXAMPLE 3.10. There is a reduced right p.p.-ring R such that $R[[x;\alpha]]$ is not a right p.p.-ring. For a given field F, let

$$R = \{(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \mid a_n \text{ is eventually constant } \}$$

which is a subring of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, where $F_n = F$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then the ring R is a commutative von Neumann regular ring and hence it is right p.p. Let α be the identity map on R. Then R is α -rigid, but $R[[x; \alpha]]$ is not right p.p.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors thank the referee for his helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- D. D. Anderson and V. Camillo, Armendariz rings and Gaussian rings, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), no. 7, 2265–2275.
- [2] E. P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 18 (1974), 470-473.
- [3] G. F. Birkenmeier, *Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals*, Comm. Algebra 11 (1983), 567–580.
- [4] G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, *Principally quasi-Baer rings*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 2, 639–660.
- [5] _____, Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 159 (2001), 24-42.

- [6] G. F. Birkenmeier, On polynomial extensions of principally quasi-Baer rings, Kyungpook Math. J. 40 (2000), 247-253.
- [7] J. A. Fraser and W. K. Nicholson, *Reduced PP-rings*, Math. Japonica 34 (1989), no. 5, 715–725.
- [8] Y. Hirano, On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002), 45-52.
- [9] C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 151 (2000), 215-226.
- [10] _____, On skew Armendariz rings, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), no. 1, 103-122.
- [11] C. Huh, Y. Lee and A. Smoktunowicz, Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings, Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 2, 751–761.
- [12] D. A. Jordan, Bijective extension of injective ring endomorphisms, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1982), no. 2, 435–488.
- [13] I. Kaplansky, Rings of Operators, Benjamin, New York, 1965.
- [14] N. H. Kim and Y. Lee, Armendariz rings and reduced rings, J. Algebra 223 (2000), 477-488.
- [15] J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, Algebra Colloq. 3 (1996), no. 4, 289–300.
- [16] Z. Liu, A note on principally quasi-Baer rings, Comm. Algebra 30 (2002), no. 8, 3885–3890.
- [17] A. Moussavi and E. Hashemi, Semiprime skew polynomial rings, submitted.
- [18] M. B. Rege and S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 73 (1997), 14–17.
- [19] C. E. Rickart, Banach algebras with an adjoint operation, Ann. of Math. 47 (1946), 528-550.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARBIAT MODARRES, P.O. BOX 14115-170, TEHRAN, IRAN

E-mail: eb_hashemi@yahoo.com moussava@modares.ac.ir