# AN EMBEDDING OF BIRGET-RHODES EXPANSION OF GROUPS INTO A SEMIDIRECT PRODUCT

#### KEUNBAE CHOI AND YONGDO LIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  of a group G is not a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group but it can be nicely embedded into such a semidirect product.

### 1. Introduction

An inverse semigroup S is a semigroup in which for every  $s \in S$  there exists a unique element  $s^{-1}$ , called the inverse of s, satisfying  $ss^{-1}s = s, s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}$ . The Wagner-Preston representation theorem states that every inverse monoid can be embedded in a symmetric inverse monoid I(X) on a set X, which consists of all partial bijections on the set X under the usual operation of composition of partial functions.

In [6], Exel constructed, in a canonical way, an inverse monoid  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  associated to a group G defined via generators and relations. One of main results of Exel is the one-to-one correspondence between actions of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  (An action of an inverse semigroup S on the set X is a homomorphism from S to the symmetric inverse monoid I(X)) and the partial actions of G, with its applications on graded  $C^*$ -algebras. Moreover in what sense  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  is a universial inverse monoid. But in [7], Kellendonk and Lawson realized that the inverse monoid  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  is nothing other than a semigroup known as the Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  of the group G, hence all algebraic information of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$  read off the Birget-Rhodes expansion of the group G. In [4], the authors proved that if the group G acts faithfully on a Hausdorff space X as homeomorphisms and acts freely at an non-isolated point  $x_0 \in X$  then  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is isomorphic to the inverse monoid of Möbius type of the form  $\langle \operatorname{Part}(G, X \setminus \{x_0\}) \rangle$ . Also in

Received October 17, 2003.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M18.

Key words and phrases: Birget-Rhodes expansion.

[3], the authors proved that an inverse monoid of Möbius type can be embedded into a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group.

It is natural to enquire that  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  has a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group or it can be embedded into such a semidirect product. In this paper, we construct such a semidirect product containing an isomorphic copy of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ .

## 2. The inverse monoid $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$

Let S be a semigroup. For any finite sequence  $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n)$  of elements  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$  in S. Put

$$P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) := \{1, s_1, s_1 s_2, \dots, s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n\},$$

where 1 is the identity of  $S^1$ . Define

$$\tilde{S}^{\mathcal{R}} := \{ (P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) : s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n \in S, n \ge 1 \}$$

with multiplication

$$(P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n)(P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m)$$

$$= (P(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \cup (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) \cdot P(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m), s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n t_1 t_2 \cdots t_m)$$

where  $s \cdot U = \{su : U \in U\}$  for every  $s \in S$  and  $U \subset S$ . Then  $\tilde{S}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is a semigroup, which is called the *Birget-Rhodes expansion* of the semigroup S (See [1], [2], and [10]).

For an arbitrary group G, denote by  $\mathcal{P}_1(G)$  the set of all finite subsets of G containing the identity 1. Let  $i: G \to \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  be defined by  $i(g) = (\{1, g\}, g)$ .

The following proposition is appeared in [2], [7], and [10]

PROPOSITION 2.1. For any group G, we have

- (i)  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} = \{ (A, g) \in \mathcal{P}_1(G) \times G : g \in G \},$
- (ii)  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is generated by  $\{i(g):g\in G\}$ ,
- (iii)  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is an F-inverse monoid whose maximum group image is isomorphic to the group G.

REMARK. In [6], Exel considered inverse monoid S(G) associated to the group G. S(G) is the universal semigroup defined via generators and relations as follows. To each element g in G we take a generator [g] (from any fixed set having as many as G). For every pair of elements g, h in G we consider the relations

(i) 
$$[g^{-1}][g][h] = [g^{-1}][gh],$$

(ii) 
$$[g][h][h^{-1}] = [gh][h^{-1}],$$

- (iii) [g][1] = [g],
- (iv) [1][q] = [q].

Theorem 2.2 ([7]). The Birget-Rhodes expansion  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is isomorphic to the inverse monoid S(G). The mapping  $G \ni g \mapsto [g] \in S(G)$  induces an isomorphism from  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  to  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ .

For each g in G, the element  $i(g) = (\{1, g\}, g)$  of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is corresponding to the element [g] of  $\mathcal{S}(G)$ . We set  $\epsilon_q := (\{1, g\}, 1)$ . Then  $\epsilon_q$  is an idempotent in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ .

Theorem 2.3. Every element  $\alpha$  in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  admits a decomposition

$$\alpha = \epsilon_{g_1} \cdots \epsilon_{g_n} \imath(h),$$

where  $n \geq 0$  and  $h, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ . In addition, one can assume that

- (i)  $g_i \neq g_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ,
- (ii)  $q_i \neq h$  and  $q_i \neq 1$  for all i.

*Proof.* See Proposition 2.5 in [6].

If  $\alpha = \epsilon_{q_1} \cdots \epsilon_{q_n} i(h)$ , in such way that conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.3 are verified, we say that  $\alpha$  is in standard form. From Proposition 3.2 in [6], every element  $\alpha$  of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  admits a unique standard decomposition  $\alpha = \epsilon_{q_1} \cdots \epsilon_{q_n} i(h)$  up to order of the  $\epsilon_g$ 's.

LEMMA 2.4. Let h and  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  be elements of G. Then

- (i)  $i(g_1)i(g_2)\cdots i(g_n) = \epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_1g_2}\cdots \epsilon_{g_1g_2\cdots g_n}i(g_1g_2\cdots g_n).$
- (ii)  $i(h)\epsilon_{g_1}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n} = \epsilon_{hg_1}\cdots\epsilon_{hg_n}i(h)$ . (iii)  $\epsilon_{g_1}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n}i(h) = i(h)\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_1}\cdots\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_n}$ .
- (iv)  $i(g_1)i(g_1^{-1}g_2)i(g_2^{-1}) = \epsilon_{q_1}\epsilon_{q_2} = \epsilon_{q_2}\epsilon_{g_1}$ .

Proof. Straightforward.

We give an explicit way obtaining the decomposition of  $\alpha \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  $\langle \{i(q): q \in G\} \rangle$  in standard form.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Suppose that  $\alpha = i(g_1) \cdots i(g_n) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Let  $h_i =$  $g_1 \cdots g_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . If we write

$${h_i: 1 \leq i \leq n} \setminus {1, h_n} = {w_1, \cdots, w_k}$$

then the decomposition of  $\alpha$  in standard form is

$$\alpha = \epsilon_{w_1} \dots \epsilon_{w_k} \imath(h_n).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\alpha = \epsilon_{h_1} \dots \epsilon_{h_{n-1}} \imath(h_n).$$

The statement follows from the fact that the commutativity of the idempotents of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and the fact that  $\epsilon_q i(g) = i(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ .

THEOREM 2.6. If G is a non-trivial group, then  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is not a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group.

Proof. Suppose that  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  is a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group. Then for  $(A,g)\in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and  $(B,1)\in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}})$  with  $g\notin B$ , by Theorem 1 of [8], there exists  $(C,h)\in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  such that  $(C,h)(h^{-1}C,h^{-1})=(B,1)$  and  $(g^{-1}A,g^{-1})(C,h)$  is an idempotent. Thus g=h and C=B. But  $(B,g)\notin \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . This contradicts the fact that  $(B,g)=(C,h)\in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ .

We remark that although the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  is not a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group, we will show that it can be nicely embedded in such a semidirect product.

## 3. An embedding of $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ into a semidirect product

In this section we will devote to construct an inverse monoid, which is a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group, containing isomorphic copies of the inverse semigroup  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and the group G.

Let G be a non-trivial group and let  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$  be the free product of the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and the group G. For each word  $w = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$  in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$ , we define the formal inverse  $w^{-1}$  of w by

$$w^{-1} = a_n^{-1} a_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1}.$$

Then the congruence au on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$  generated by the subset

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T} &= \{(ww^{-1}w, w) : w \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(ww^{-1}zz^{-1}, zz^{-1}ww^{-1}) : w, z \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G\} \end{split}$$

defines the free inverse product of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and G,

$$\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} * G = (\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet G) / \tau.$$

Note that  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}*G$  is the coproduct of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and G in the category of inverse semigroups. It is known (Proposition VII.4.5 of [5]) that there are monomorphisms

$$i_{\tilde{G}\mathscr{R}}: \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \ni \alpha \mapsto \alpha \tau \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G$$

and

$$i_G: G \ni g \mapsto g\tau \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} * G$$

where  $\alpha \tau$  and  $g\tau$  are the  $\tau$ -classes containing the elements  $\alpha$  and g, respectively. Thus we may identify  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and G with the isomorphic copies of their in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G$ .

In the following we regard the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and the group G as the images of the maps  $i_{\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}}$  and  $i_{G}(G)$ , respectively.

Let  $\rho$  be the congruence on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}*G$  generated by the following relation  $\mathbf{R}$ :

- (i)  $\left\{ \left( g \epsilon_{g^{-1}}, \imath(g) \right) : g \in G \right\},$ (ii)  $\left\{ \left( \epsilon_{g} g, \imath(g) \right) : g \in G \right\},$ (iii)  $\left\{ \left( \imath(1) g \imath(1), \imath(g) \right) : g \in G \right\},$ (iv)  $\left\{ \left( \imath(g) 1, \imath(g) \right) : g \in G \right\},$
- (iv)  $\{(i(g)1, i(g)) : g \in G\},\$ (v)  $\{(1i(g), i(g)) : g \in G\}.$

Define  $\tilde{G}_{\star}^{\mathscr{R}}$  by

$$\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}} = (\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G)/\rho.$$

Then  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is an inverse semigroup under multiplication defined by  $\alpha\rho$ .  $\beta\rho=(\alpha\beta)\rho$  for  $\alpha,\beta\in\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}*G$  because it is surmorphic image of the inverse semigroup  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}*G$ .

The following will be useful for our purpose.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\alpha = \epsilon_{g_1} \epsilon_{g_2} \cdots \epsilon_{g_n} \imath(h) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $h \in G$ . Then we have

- (i)  $(h\alpha^{-1}\alpha, \alpha) \in \rho$ .
- (ii)  $(\alpha \alpha^{-1}h, \alpha) \in \rho$ .
- (iii)  $(1 \alpha, \alpha) \in \rho$ .
- (iv)  $(\alpha 1, \alpha) \in \rho$ .

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have that

$$h\alpha^{-1}\alpha = h i(h^{-1})\epsilon_{g_n}\epsilon_{g_{n-1}}\cdots\epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n}i(h)$$

$$= h i(h^{-1})\epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n}i(h)$$

$$= h\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_1}\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_n}\epsilon_{h^{-1}}$$

$$= h\epsilon_{h^{-1}}\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_1}\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{h^{-1}g_n},$$

$$\alpha\alpha^{-1}h = \epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n}\epsilon_{h}\epsilon_{g_n}\epsilon_{g_{n-1}}\cdots\epsilon_{g_1}h$$

$$= \epsilon_{g_1}\epsilon_{g_2}\cdots\epsilon_{g_n}\epsilon_{h}h,$$

and

$$\alpha = \epsilon_{g_1} \epsilon_{g_2} \cdots \epsilon_{g_n} i(h)$$
  
=  $i(h) \epsilon_{h^{-1} g_1} \epsilon_{h^{-1} g_2} \cdots \epsilon_{h^{-1} g_n}$ .

Since  $(h\epsilon_{h^{-1}}, \iota(h)) \in \rho$  and  $(\epsilon_h h, \iota(h)) \in \rho$ , (i) and (ii) follow from the compatibility of  $\rho$ .

We note that  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is a monoid with the identity 1.

Now we want to show that the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  has all properties what we want to have.

We first prove that the group G and the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  are embedded into the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ .

Let S be a monoid and let R be a relation on S. If  $a, b \in S$  are such that

$$a = xpy, \quad b = xqy$$

for some  $x, y \in S$ , where either  $(p, q) \in R$  or  $(q, p) \in R$ , we say that a is connected to b by an *elementary R-transition*. The following appears at Proposition I.5.10 [5].

PROPOSITION 3.2. If  $a, b \in S$ , then (a, b) is in the congruence generated by the relation R if and only if either a = b or for some natural number n there is a sequence

$$a = z_1 \rightarrow z_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow z_n = b$$

of elementary R-transitions connecting a to b.

Now we return to our inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Define a map from  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \cup G$  to  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  by

$$\hat{r}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} \\ i(g) & \text{if } \alpha = g \in G. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\hat{r}$  induces a map  $r^{\circ}: \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G \to \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  by

$$r^{\circ}(\alpha) = \hat{r}(a_1) \cdots \hat{r}(a_n),$$

for a reduced word  $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_n \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$ . Note that  $r^{\circ}$  acts as the identity on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  and  $r^{\circ}(\alpha^{-1}) = r^{\circ}(\alpha)^{-1}$  for all  $\alpha \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$ . However,  $r^{\circ}$  is not a homomorphism since  $\iota(gh) \neq \iota(g)\iota(h)$  in general.

Proposition 3.3. There exists a function

$$r: \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \ast G \to \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$$

such that

- (i) r acts as the identity on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ ,
- (ii)  $r(g\tau) = i(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ ,
- (iii)  $r(xpy\tau) = r(x\tau)r(p\tau)r(y\tau) = r(xqy\tau)$

for all  $x, y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet G$  and all  $(p, q) \in \mathbf{R}$ .

*Proof.* We define  $r: \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G \to \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  by

$$r(\alpha \tau) = r^{\circ}(a_1)r^{\circ}(a_2)\cdots r^{\circ}(a_n)$$

for a reduced word  $\alpha = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$ . Since the map  $r^{\circ}$  is not a homomorphism, it needs to check that r is well-defined. We first prove that  $r(ww^{-1}w\tau) = r(w\tau)$  and  $r(ww^{-1}zz^{-1}\tau) = r(zz^{-1}ww^{-1}\tau)$  for any words  $w, z \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$ . It is obvious for words with length one.

Let  $w = a_1 \cdots a_n, z = b_1 \cdots b_m$  be reduced words in  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \bullet G$  such that n, m > 1.

(i)  $r(ww^{-1}w\tau) = r(w\tau)$ : Suppose that  $a_1 = g$  and  $a_n = h$  in G. Then the reduced word of  $ww^{-1}w$  is

$$ww^{-1}w = guu^{-1}uh,$$

where  $u = a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}$ . Thus  $r(ww^{-1}w\tau) = i(g)r^{\circ}(u)r^{\circ}(u^{-1})r^{\circ}(u)i(h)$ . Since  $r^{\circ}(u^{-1}) = r^{\circ}(u)^{-1}$ , it follows that

$$r(ww^{-1}w\tau) = i(g)r^{\circ}(u)r^{\circ}(u)^{-1}r^{\circ}(u)i(h)$$
$$= i(g)r^{\circ}(u)i(h)$$
$$= r(w\tau).$$

By the same argument, the equation  $r(ww^{-1}w\tau) = r(w\tau)$  holds for the cases  $(a_1, a_n) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \times \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}, (a_1, a_n) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \times G$  and  $(a_1, a_n) \in G \times \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ .

(ii)  $r(ww^{-1}zz^{-1}\tau) = r(zz^{-1}ww^{-1}\tau)$ : To show this equality, we only prove the case when both  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are in G. The proof of remaining cases follows from the same argument. Let  $a_1 = g, b_1 = h$ . Then the reduced words of  $ww^{-1}zz^{-1}$  and  $zz^{-1}ww^{-1}$  are

$$ww^{-1}zz^{-1} = gu(a_na_n^{-1})u^{-1}(g^{-1}h)v(b_mb_m^{-1})v^{-1}h^{-1}$$
  

$$zz^{-1}ww^{-1} = hv(b_mb_m^{-1})v^{-1}(h^{-1}g)u(a_na_n^{-1})u^{-1}g^{-1},$$

where  $u = a_2 \cdots a_{n-1}, v = b_2 \cdots b_{m-1}$ . Note that

$$r(u(a_n a_n^{-1})u^{-1}\tau) = r^{\circ}(u)r^{\circ}(a_n a_n^{-1})r^{\circ}(u)^{-1}$$

and

$$r(v(b_m b_m^{-1})v^{-1}\tau) = r^{\circ}(v)r^{\circ}(b_m b_m^{-1})r^{\circ}(v)^{-1}$$

are idempotents of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , say e and f respectively. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} r(ww^{-1}zz^{-1}\tau) & = & \imath(g)e\imath(g^{-1}h)f\imath(h^{-1}), \\ r(zz^{-1}ww^{-1}\tau) & = & \imath(h)f\imath(h^{-1}g)e\imath(g^{-1}). \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we may write i(g)e = e'i(g) and  $fi(h^{-1}) = i(h^{-1})f'$  for some idempotents e' and f' of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ . By taking the inverse, we have that  $ei(g^{-1}) = i(g^{-1})e'$  and i(h)f = f'i(h). It then follows that

$$\begin{split} r(ww^{-1}zz^{-1}\tau) &= \imath(g)e\imath(g^{-1}h)f\imath(h^{-1}) \\ &= e'\imath(g)\imath(g^{-1}h)\imath(h^{-1})f' \\ &= e'\epsilon_h\epsilon_gf' & \text{by Lemma 2.4 (iv)} \\ &= f'\imath(h)\imath(h^{-1}g)\imath(g^{-1})e' & \text{by Lemma 2.4 (iv)} \\ &= \imath(h)f\imath(h^{-1}g)e\imath(g^{-1}) \\ &= r(zz^{-1}ww^{-1}\tau). \end{split}$$

To complete the proof that r is well-defined, it is enough to show that  $r^{\circ}(xpy) = r^{\circ}(xqy)$  for any  $(p,q) \in \mathbf{T}$  and any  $x,y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \cup G$  by Proposition 3.2. When  $(p,q) = (ww^{-1}w,w)$  there is no difficulty to prove the identity  $r^{\circ}(xpy) = r^{\circ}(xqy)$  for all  $x,y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \cup G$ . Suppose that  $(p,q) = (ww^{-1}zz^{-1}, zz^{-1}ww^{-1})$ . We consider the following two cases (other cases are followed by the same argument):

$$(a_1, b_1, x, y) = (g, h, k, l) (g, h, k, l \in G),$$
  

$$(a_1, b_1, x, y) = (g, h, x, l) (x \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}, g, h, l \in G).$$

Case 1.  $(a_1,b_1,x,y)=(g,h,k,l)$   $(g,h,k,l\in G)$ . In this case, the reduced words of  $xww^{-1}zz^{-1}y$  and  $xzz^{-1}ww^{-1}y$  are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} xww^{-1}zz^{-1}y & = & (kg)u(a_na_n^{-1})u^{-1}(g^{-1}h)v(b_mb_m^{-1})v^{-1}(h^{-1}l), \\ xzz^{-1}ww^{-1}y & = & (kh)v(b_mb_m^{-1})v^{-1}(h^{-1}g)u(a_na_n^{-1})u^{-1}(g^{-1}l). \end{array}$$

Thus we have

$$r^{\circ}(xww^{-1}zz^{-1}y) = \imath(kg)e\imath(g^{-1}h)f\imath(h^{-1}l),$$
  
 $r^{\circ}(xzz^{-1}ww^{-1}y) = \imath(kh)f\imath(h^{-1}g)e\imath(g^{-1}l),$ 

where u, v, e and f are elements in previous proof. By Lemma 2.4 (iii), we may write  $ei(g^{-1}h) = i(g^{-1}h)e'$  and  $e'fi(h^{-1}l) = i(h^{-1}l)e''$  for some

idempotents e' and e'' of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} r^{\circ}(xww^{-1}zz^{-1}y) &= \imath(kg)e\imath(g^{-1}h)f\imath(h^{-1}l) \\ &= \imath(kg)\imath(g^{-1}h)e'f\imath(h^{-1}l) \\ &= \imath(kg)\imath(g^{-1}h)\imath(h^{-1}l)e'' \\ &= \epsilon_{kg}\epsilon_{kh}\imath(kl)e'' \qquad \text{by Lemma 2.4 (i)} \\ &= \epsilon_{kg}\imath(kh)\imath(h^{-1}l)e'' \qquad \text{by Lemma 2.4 (i)} \\ &= \imath(kh)\epsilon_{h^{-1}g}\imath(h^{-1}l)e'' \\ &= \imath(kh)\epsilon_{h^{-1}g}\imath(h^{-1}l) \\ &= \imath(kh)e'f\epsilon_{h^{-1}g}\imath(h^{-1}l) \\ &= \imath(kh)fe'\imath(h^{-1}g)\imath(g^{-1}l) \qquad \text{by Lemma 2.4 (i)} \\ &= \imath(kh)f\imath(h^{-1}g)e\imath(g^{-1}l) \\ &= r^{\circ}(xzz^{-1}ww^{-1}y). \end{split}$$

Case 2.  $(a_1, b_1, x, y) = (g, h, x, l)$   $(x \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}, g, h, l \in G)$ . In this case we have

$$r^{\circ}(xww^{-1}zz^{-1}y) = xi(g)ei(g^{-1}h)fi(h^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(g)i(g^{-1}h)e'fi(h^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(g)i(g^{-1})i(h)i(h^{-1}l)e''$$

$$= x\epsilon_h\epsilon_gi(l)e''(= x\epsilon_h\epsilon_g\epsilon_hi(l)e'')$$

$$= x\epsilon_h\epsilon_gi(h)i(h^{-1}l)e''$$

$$= x\epsilon_hi(g)i(g^{-1}h)i(h^{-1}l)e''$$

$$= xi(h)i(h^{-1}g)i(g^{-1}h)i(h^{-1}l)e''$$

$$= xi(h)i(h^{-1}g)i(g^{-1}h)e'fi(h^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(h)fe'i(h^{-1}g)i(g^{-1}h)i(h^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(h)fe'i(h^{-1}g)i(g^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(h)fi(h^{-1}g)ei(g^{-1}l)$$

$$= xi(h)fi(h^{-1}g)ei(g^{-1}l)$$

$$= r^{\circ}(xzz^{-1}ww^{-1}y).$$

Here e, f, e' and e'' are idempotents of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  in case 1. Therefore, we conclude that the map r is well-defined.

It is easy to see that the mapping r acts as the identity on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $r(g\tau) = i(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Finally, to prove the last statement of the Theorem, it suffices to restrict our attention to the case  $x, y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \cup G$ . We only prove the case  $(p,q) = (g\epsilon_{q^{-1}}, i(g))$ . The other cases are similar.

Case 1.  $x, y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . The reduced word of xpy is  $xg\epsilon_{g^{-1}}y$  and hence  $r(xpy\tau) = xi(g)\epsilon_{g^{-1}}y = xi(g)y = r(x\tau)r(p\tau)r(y\tau)$ .

Case 2.  $x \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}, y = h \in G$ . Then  $xpy = xg\epsilon_{g^{-1}}h$  and hence  $r(xpy\tau) = xi(g)\epsilon_{g^{-1}}i(h) = xi(g)i(h) = r(x\tau)r(p\tau)r(y\tau)$ .

Case 3.  $x = h \in G, y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . The reduced word of xpy is  $hg\epsilon_{g^{-1}}y$  and hence  $r(xpy\tau) = i(hg)\epsilon_{g^{-1}}y = i(h)i(g)y = r(x\tau)r(p\tau)r(y\tau)$ .

Case 4. 
$$x = h, y = k \in G$$
. In this case  $xpy = hg\epsilon_{g^{-1}}k$  and  $r(xpy\tau) = i(hg)\epsilon_{g^{-1}}i(k) = i(h)i(g)i(k) = r(x\tau)r(p\tau)r(y\tau)$ .

Using the (retractive) function r on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{A}} * G$ , we have

PROPOSITION 3.4. The inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  contains isomorphic copies of G and  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ .

Proof. Let  $\psi: \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G \to (\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G)/\rho = \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  be the natural map. We prove that  $\psi$  is injective on G and  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Suppose that  $g_1\rho = g_2\rho$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Then one may easily show that for any  $(p,q) \in \mathbf{R} \cup \mathbf{R}^{-1}$  and any  $x, y \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G$ ,  $xpy \notin G$  and  $xqy \notin G$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $g_1 = g_2$ .

Next, suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are elements of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $(\alpha \tau)\rho = (\beta \tau)\rho$ . Then there exist  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}} \bullet G$  and

$$(p_1,q_1),\ldots,(p_n,q_n)\in\mathbf{R}\cup\mathbf{R}^{-1}$$

giving a sequence

$$\alpha \tau = x_1 p_1 y_1 \tau \rightarrow x_1 q_1 x_2 \tau = x_2 p_2 y_2 \tau \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_n q_n y_n \tau = \beta \tau$$

of elementary **R**-transitions connecting  $\alpha \tau$  to  $\beta \tau$ . Since  $r(\alpha \tau) = \alpha$  and  $r(\beta \tau) = \beta$ , by Proposition 3.3, it follows that

$$\alpha = r(\alpha \tau) = r(x_1 p_1 y_1 \tau) = r(x_1 q_1 y_1 \tau)$$
  
=  $r(x_2 p_2 y_2 \tau) = \dots = r(x_n q_n y_n \tau) = r(\beta \tau) = \beta$ .

We note that the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and the group G are embedded into the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}_*$  via the maps

$$\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \to i_{\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}}(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}) \to \psi(i_{\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}}(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}})) \subset \tilde{G}_{*}^{\mathscr{R}},$$

$$G \to i_{G}(G) \to \psi(i_{G}(G)) \subset \tilde{G}_{*}^{\mathscr{R}}.$$

Next, we will show that the inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathcal{R}}$  admits a semidirect product of a semilattice by a group.

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $\leq_S$  and  $\leq_T$  be the natural partial orders in inverse semigroups S and T, and let f be a homomorphism from S to T. If  $a \leq_S b$  implies  $f(a) \leq_T f(b)$ . If f is injective and  $f(a) \leq_T f(b)$  then  $a \leq_S b$ .

*Proof.* Notice that f preserves idempotent elements. If  $a \leq_S b$  in S, then there exists an idempotent e in S such that a = eb. Now f(a) = f(e)f(b) and f(e) is an idempotent in T. This implies  $f(a) \leq_T f(b)$ .

Suppose that f is a monomorphism and suppose that  $f(a) \leq_T f(b)$ . Then f(a) = ef(b) for some idempotent e in T. This implies that  $f(a) = f(a)f(a^{-1})f(b) = f(aa^{-1}b)$ . Since f is injective,  $a = (aa^{-1})b$  and hence  $a \leq_S b$ .

PROPOSITION 3.6. The inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is E-unitary, and every element of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is beneath a unique element of G.

*Proof.* We first observe that every element  $\alpha \rho$  of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $\alpha \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  is beneath a unique element  $g\rho$  of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $g \in G$ . Suppose that  $\alpha = \epsilon_{g_1} \epsilon_{g_2} \cdots \epsilon_{g_n} i(g)$  is an element of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . By Lemma 3.1,

$$(\alpha \alpha^{-1}) \rho \cdot g \rho = (\alpha \alpha^{-1} g) \rho = \alpha \rho.$$

Since  $(\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho$  is an idempotent, we have  $\alpha\rho \leq g\rho$ . Now suppose that  $\alpha\rho$  is bounded above by an another element  $h\rho \in \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $h \in G$ . Then clearly  $\epsilon_h\alpha$  is bounded above by  $\iota(g)$  in the semigroup  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Since  $\alpha\rho \leq h\rho$ ,  $(\epsilon_h\alpha)\rho \leq (\epsilon_hh)\rho = \iota(h)\rho$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $\epsilon_h\alpha \leq \iota(h)$  in the semigroup  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Thus  $\iota(g) = \iota(h)$  from Proposition 2.1 (iii).

Let  $\alpha \rho = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n) \rho = \alpha_1 \rho \cdot \alpha_2 \rho \cdots \alpha_n \rho \in \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $\alpha_i \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} \cup G$ . Then by the remarks of the first paragraph, for each i there exists  $g_i \in G$  such that  $\alpha_i \rho \leq g_i \rho$ . By the compatibility of the order, we have  $\alpha \rho \leq (g_1 \cdots g_n) \rho$ . This shows that every element in  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is bounded above by an element of G.

Now suppose that  $\alpha\rho$  is an element of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  and is bounded above by the elements  $g\rho, h\rho$  where  $g, h \in G$ . Then  $r(\alpha)\rho \leq \alpha\rho \leq g\rho, h\rho$ , where r is the retractive function on  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}} * G$ . Since  $r(\alpha) \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$ , by the remarks of the first paragraph, we conclude that  $g\rho = h\rho$ . This implies that every element in  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is bounded above by a unique element of G.

Finally, we show that  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  is an *E*-unitary semigroup. Let  $e\rho$  be an idempotent in  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  and  $\alpha\rho\in\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$  such that  $e\rho\leq\alpha\rho$ . Then  $(e\alpha)\rho$  is an idempotent  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Pick an element g in G such that the element  $\alpha\rho$ 

is bounded above by  $g\rho$ . Then  $(e\alpha)\rho \leq \alpha\rho \leq g\rho$ . Since  $(e\alpha)\rho$  is an idempotent element,  $(e\alpha)\rho \leq 1\rho$ . Hence  $g\rho = 1\rho$ . This implies that  $\alpha\rho$  is an idempotent element of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathcal{R}}$ . Therefore  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathcal{R}}$  is E-unitary.

THEOREM 3.7. The inverse monoid  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathcal{R}}$  is isomorphic to a semidirect product of the semilattice of idempotents of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}$  by the group G.

*Proof.* Let  $E^*$  be the semilattice of idempotents of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Then the mapping defined by

$$G \times E^* \ni (g\rho, f\rho) \mapsto g\rho \cdot f\rho \cdot (g^{-1})\rho = (gfg^{-1})\rho \in E^*$$

is an action of G on  $E^*$ . Set  $S = E^* \times G$ . Then S becomes an inverse semigroup under the multiplication

$$(e\rho, g\rho)(f\rho, h\rho) = ((egfg^{-1})\rho, (gh)\rho).$$

Now, we establish that the mapping

$$\Phi: \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}} \ni \alpha \rho \mapsto ((\alpha \alpha^{-1})\rho, g\rho) \in S,$$

where  $g\rho$  is the (unique) element of G bounding  $\alpha\rho\in \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ , is an isomorphism between inverse semigroups. Suppose that

$$\Phi(\alpha\rho) = ((\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho, g\rho) = ((\beta\beta^{-1})\rho, h\rho) = \Phi(\beta\rho)$$

for  $\alpha \rho, \beta \rho \in \tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Since  $\alpha \rho \leq g \rho$  and  $\beta \rho \leq h \rho$ , we have

$$\alpha \rho = \alpha \rho \cdot \alpha^{-1} \rho \cdot g \rho = (\alpha \alpha^{-1}) \rho \cdot g \rho = (\beta \beta^{-1}) \rho \cdot h \rho = \beta \rho \cdot \beta^{-1} \rho \cdot h \rho = \beta \rho.$$

Thus  $\Phi$  is injective. Let  $(e\rho, g\rho) \in S$ . Consider the element  $(eg)\rho = e\rho \cdot g\rho$  in  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ . Since  $(eg)\rho = e\rho \cdot g\rho \leq 1\rho \cdot g\rho = g\rho$  and since  $((eg)\rho) \cdot ((eg)\rho)^{-1} = e\rho$ , the map  $\Phi$  maps  $(eg)\rho$  to  $(e\rho, g\rho)$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is surjective.

Finally, we show that  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $\alpha\rho$  and  $\beta\rho$  be elements of  $\tilde{G}_*^{\mathscr{R}}$ , and let  $g,h\in G$  such that  $\alpha\rho\leq g\rho$  and  $\beta\rho\leq h\rho$ . Then  $(\alpha\beta)\rho=\alpha\rho\cdot\beta\rho$  is bounded above by the (unique) element  $(gh)\rho=g\rho\cdot h\rho$ . Now we also have

$$(\alpha\beta\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1})\rho = \alpha\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot \alpha^{-1}\rho$$

$$= \alpha\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot (\alpha^{-1}\alpha)\rho \cdot g^{-1}\rho$$

$$= \alpha\rho \cdot (\alpha^{-1}\alpha)\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot g^{-1}\rho$$

$$= (\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho \cdot \alpha\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot g^{-1}\rho$$

$$= (\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho \cdot (\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho \cdot g\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot g^{-1}\rho$$

$$= (\alpha\alpha^{-1})\rho \cdot g\rho \cdot (\beta\beta^{-1})\rho \cdot g^{-1}\rho$$

$$= (\alpha\alpha^{-1})\beta\beta^{-1}g^{-1}\rho.$$

This shows that  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism.

Set

$$P := \{ (e\rho, g\rho) \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}) \times G : (g^{-1}eg)\rho \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}) \}.$$

COROLLARY 3.8. The map  $\Phi \circ \psi$  maps  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  onto P, where  $\Phi$  is the isomorphism in Theorem 3.7 and  $\psi$  is the natural embedding of  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  into  $\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}_*$  in Proposition 3.4. In particular, P is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S = E^* \times G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}$  with  $\alpha \leq i(g)$ . Then  $\alpha^{-1}\alpha \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}})$ . By Lemma 3.1, it follows that

$$\begin{split} (g^{-1}\alpha\alpha^{-1}g)\rho &= g^{-1}\rho \cdot (\alpha\alpha^{-1}g)\rho \\ &= g^{-1}\rho \cdot \alpha\rho \\ &= (g^{-1}\alpha)\rho \\ &= (\alpha^{-1}\alpha)\rho \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}}). \end{split}$$

Therefore  $\Phi \circ \psi(\tilde{G}^{\mathcal{R}}) \subset P$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(e\rho, g\rho) \in P$ . Then  $e \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}})$  and  $(g^{-1}eg)\rho = f\rho$  for some  $f \in E(\tilde{G}^{\mathscr{R}})$ . Since

$$(g^{-1}ei(1)gi(1))\rho = (g^{-1}egi(1))\rho = f\rho \cdot i(1)\rho = f\rho = (g^{-1}eg)\rho,$$

we have  $(ei(g))\rho = (ei(1)gi(1))\rho = (eg)\rho$ . This implies that

$$\Phi \circ \psi(e \imath(g)) = \Phi(e \imath(g) \rho) = \Phi((eg) \rho) = (e\rho, g\rho).$$

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] J.-C. Birget and J. Rhodes, Almost finite expansions of arbitrary semigroups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **32** (1984), 239–287.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Group Theory via Global Semigroup Theory, J. Algebra 120 (1989), 284-300.
- [3] K. Choi and Y. Lim, Inverse monoids of Möbius type, J. Algebra 223 (2000), 283-294.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, Birget-Rhodes Expansion of Groups and Inverse monoids of Möbius type, International J. of Algebra and Computation 12 (2002), no. 4, 525-533.
- [5] J. M. Howie, An introduction to semigroup theory, Academic Press, San Diego, 1976.
- [6] R. Exel, Partial actions of groups and actions of inverse semigroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 3481-3494.
- [7] J. Kellendonk and M. V. Lawson, Partial actions of groups, their globalizations, and E-unitary inverse semigroups, Preprint.

- [8] M. V. Lawson, Almost factorizsable inverse semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. 36 (1994), 97–111.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, The Möbius inverse monoid, J. Algebra 200 (1998), 428-438.
- [10] M. B. Szendrei, A note on Birget-Rhodes Expansion of Groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 58 (1989), 93–99.

KEUNBAE CHOI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, JEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JEJU 690-061, KOREA

E-mail: kbchoe@jejue.ac.kr

Yongdo Lim, Department of Mathematics, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea

E-mail: ylim@knu.ac.kr