

**OSCILLATION AND NONOSCILLATION
THEOREMS OF SOLUTIONS FOR SOME
NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

RAKJOONG KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study oscillation and nonoscillation criteria of solutions for the following nonlinear differential equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{p(t)} (x'(t))^\mu \right]' + q(t)x(t)^\mu = 0.$$

where μ with $\mu \geq 1$ is a quotient of odd integers.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study oscillatory or nonoscillatory properties of solutions of some differential equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{p(t)} (x'(t))^\mu \right]' + q(t)x(t)^\mu = 0 \tag{E}$$

where

- (C₁) the function $p \in C[t_0, \infty)$ is positive.
- (C₂) $q(t)$ is positive for all $t \in [t_0, \infty)$.
- (C₃) μ with $\mu \geq 1$ is a quotient of odd integers.

In this paper we always define a function $\rho(t)$ as

$$\rho(t) = \int_{t_0}^t p(s)^{1/\mu} ds, \quad t_0 \leq t,$$

Received July 19, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34C10, 34C15.

Key words and phrases: Oscillatory, nonoscillatory solution, eventually negative.

and assume that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(s)^{1/\mu} ds = \infty \quad (H_1)$$

and that

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s) ds = \infty \quad (H_2)$$

By a solution of (E) is meant a function $x(t) \in C^2[T, \infty)$, $T \geq t_0$, satisfying $x'(t)^\nu \in C^1[T, \infty)$ and satisfying (E) for all $t \geq T$. There are many papers devoted to either oscillation or nonoscillation of solutions (See [1],[2],[5]-[8]). It will be always assumed that nonconstant solutions of (E) exist on some ray $[T, \infty)$, $T \geq t_0$. A solution $x(t)$ is oscillatory if there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of zeros of $x(t)$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. Equation (E) is called oscillatory if all solutions are oscillatory.

2. Main Results

THEOREM 1. *Let a function $a(t)$ be positive, increasing and differentiable for $t \geq t_0$. Then under the assumption (H_1) the equation (E) is oscillatory if the inequality*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left[a(s)q(s) - \frac{a'(s)^{\mu+1}}{p(s)a(s)^\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \right] ds = \infty \quad (1)$$

is valid.

Proof. We assume that (E) is nonoscillatory. Then there exists a solution $x(t)$ eventually of one sign. We may assume that $x(t) > 0$, $t \geq T$ for some $T \geq t_0$. The similar argument is valid for the case when $x(t)$ is eventually negative. We define a function $w(t)$ by

$$w(t) = \frac{a(t)}{p(t)} \frac{[x'(t)]^\mu}{x(t)^\mu}. \quad (2)$$

Then

$$\frac{x'(t)}{x(t)} = \left[\frac{p(t)w(t)}{a(t)} \right]^{1/\mu}. \quad (3)$$

It follows that $\frac{1}{p(t)} [x'(t)]^\mu$ is decreasing.

We can easily show that

$$w(t) > 0 \quad (4)$$

for $t \geq T$. We have then from (2) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= -a(t)q(t) + \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}w(t) - \mu w(t) \left[\frac{p(t)w(t)}{a(t)} \right]^{1/\mu} \\ &= -a(t)q(t) + \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}w(t) - \mu \left[\frac{p(t)}{a(t)} \right]^{1/\mu} w(t)^{1+1/\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We seek the maximum of

$$F(z, t) = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)}z - \mu \left[\frac{p(t)}{a(t)} \right]^{1/\mu} z^{1+1/\mu}.$$

It is obvious that F has the maximum at

$$z_0 = \frac{a'(t)^\mu}{p(t)a(t)^{\mu-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^\mu.$$

for all t . Thus we have

$$F(z, t) \leq \frac{a'(t)^{\mu+1}}{p(t)a(t)^\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \quad (6)$$

for all t . Therefore we obtain

$$w'(t) \leq -a(t)q(t) + \frac{a'(t)^{\mu+1}}{p(t)a(t)^\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1}. \quad (7)$$

By means of (7) we have

$$w(t) \leq w(T) - \int_T^t \left[a(s)q(s) - \frac{a'(s)^{\mu+1}}{p(s)a(s)^\mu} \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \right] ds, \quad (8)$$

which contradicts (4). Therefore our theorem is proved. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Under the same assumptions as in theorem 1 the equation (E) is oscillatory if the inequality*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[p(s)q(s) \frac{a(s)^{\mu+1}}{a'(s)^{\mu+1}} - \left(\frac{1}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \right] > 0 \quad (9)$$

is valid.

THEOREM 2. *The equation (E) with $p(t) \equiv 1$ is oscillatory if the inequality*

$$\int^{\infty} \left[s^{\mu} q(s) - \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \right] ds = \infty \quad (10)$$

is valid.

Proof. In the proof of theorem 1 we choose functions $a(t) = t^{\mu}$ and $p(t) = 1$. Then it is obvious that

$$w'(t) \leq -t^{\mu} q(t) + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1}. \quad (11)$$

The rest of proof is the same as in the proof of theorem 1. □

As a consequence we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *The equation (E₁) is oscillatory if the inequality*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left[t^{\mu+1} q(t) - \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+1} \right)^{\mu+1} \right] > 0$$

is valid.

COROLLARY 3. *Assume that (H₁), (H₂) are valid. The equation (E) is oscillatory..*

Proof. In the proof of theorem 1 we choose a function $w(t)$ as follows

$$w(t) = \frac{x'(t)^\mu}{p(t)x(t)^\mu}. \quad (12)$$

Since then $w(t) > 0$ for large t , it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= -q(t) - \mu p(t)^{1/\mu} w(t)^{1+1/\mu} \\ &\leq -q(t). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Therefore our theorem follows. \square

THEOREM 3. Assume that (H_1) is valid and that $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s) ds < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent

- (a) the equation (E) is nonoscillatory.
- (b) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = 0$ where $w(t)$ is the same as given in (12).
- (c) There exist a $T \geq t_0$ and a continuous and positive function $w(t)$ such that for $T \leq t$

$$w(t) = \int_t^{\infty} p(s)^{1/\mu} w(s)^{1+1/\mu} ds + \int_t^{\infty} q(s) ds. \quad (14)$$

Proof. (a) \implies (b): Assume that (a) is valid. There exist a $T \geq t_0$ and a solution $x(t)$ of (E) such that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq T$. The similar argument is valid for the case when $x(t)$ is eventually negative. It follows that $x'(t) > 0$ and that $x'(t)^\mu/p(t)$ is decreasing. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x'(t)^\mu}{p(t)} \geq 0.$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x'(t)^\mu}{p(t)} = \alpha > 0. \quad (15)$$

Since then there exists a $T_1 > T$ such that

$$x(t) \geq x(T_1) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/\mu} \int_{T_1}^t p(s)^{1/\mu} ds \quad (16)$$

we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \infty. \quad (17)$$

Therefore It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x'(T)^\mu}{p(T)x(t)^\mu} = 0. \quad (18)$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x'(t)^\mu}{p(t)} = 0. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, since $x'(t) > 0$, there exist a $T_2 > T$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that $x(t) > c$ for $T_2 \leq t$. Therefore It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) \leq c^\mu \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x'(t)^\mu}{p(t)} = 0. \quad (20)$$

Consequently (b) follows from (18) and (20).

(b) \implies (c) : Integrating from t to ∞ after differentiating $w(t)$ we obtain (14).

(c) \implies (a) : Differentiating both sides of (14) we obtain (13). Then we have

$$x(t) = x(T) \exp \left[\int_T^t p(s)^{1/\mu} w(s)^{1/\mu} ds \right]$$

which is a nonoscillatory solution of (E). \square

We consider a differential equation of the type

$$\left[\frac{1}{P(t)} (y'(t))^\mu \right]' + Q(t)y(t)^\mu = 0 \quad (E_P)$$

where $P(t)$ is continuous for $t \geq t_0$. Then we obtain the following comparison theorem.

THEOREM 4. Assume that for $t \geq t_0$

$$0 \leq p(t) \leq P(t), \quad q(t) \leq Q(t) \quad (21)$$

and that the following are valid :

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} P(s)^{1/\mu} ds = \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} Q(s) ds < \infty. \quad (22)$$

Then if (E_P) has a positive solution, (E) has also a positive solution.

Proof. Assume that (E_P) has a positive solution $y(t)$. If we put

$$W(t) = \frac{y'(t)^\mu}{P(t)y(t)^\mu},$$

then it follows that $W(t) > 0$ and

$$W(t) = \int_t^{\infty} Q(s) ds + \mu \int_t^{\infty} P(s)^{1/\mu} W(s)^{1+1/\mu} ds. \quad (23)$$

Consider a mapping K defined by

$$(Ku)(t) = \int_t^{\infty} q(s) ds + \mu \int_t^{\infty} p(s)^{1/\mu} u(s)^{1+1/\mu} ds$$

where

$$U = \{u(t) \in C^2[t_0, \infty) \mid 0 \leq u(t) \leq W(t)\}.$$

Then the mapping $K : U \rightarrow U$ is a compact mapping and K has a fixed point $u(t)$ (see [3]). By means of theorem 3 (E) is nonoscillatory. Then if we choose $T > t_0$ such that $x(T) > 0$, a positive solution of (E) is of the form:

$$x(t) = x(T) \exp \left[\int_T^t p(s)^{1/\mu} u(s)^{1/\mu} ds \right].$$

□

We consider the equation[4] :

$$\left[\frac{1}{p(t)} (x'(t))^\mu \right]' + \rho(t)^{-\mu-1} p(t)^{1/\mu} q(t) x(t)^\mu = 0. \quad (E_1)$$

Put $x = \rho(t)^\alpha$. Then since $\rho'(t) = p(t)^{1/\mu}$, we obtain

$$\alpha^\mu(\alpha - 1)\mu + q(t) = 0. \quad (24)$$

It is easy to show that

$$-\alpha^\mu(\alpha - 1)\mu \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \right)^{\mu+1}$$

where the equality is valid at $\alpha = \frac{\mu}{\mu + 1}$. Therefore we obtain :

EXAMPLE. Let (H_1) be valid. Assume that $q(t)$ is integrable on $[t_0, \infty)$.

(a) (E) is nonoscillatory if for large t

$$\rho(t)^{\mu+1} p(t)^{-1/\mu} q(t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \right)^{\mu+1}. \quad (25)$$

(b) (E) is oscillatory if for large t

$$\rho(t)^{\mu+1} p(t)^{-1/\mu} q(t) > \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \right)^{\mu+1}. \quad (26)$$

Proof. We note that equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{p(t)} (x'(t))^\mu \right]' + \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \right)^\mu \rho(t)^{-\mu-1} p(t)^{1/\mu} x(t)^\mu = 0. \quad (E_2)$$

has a positive solution $x = \rho(t)^{\mu/(\mu+1)}$. It is obvious that $\rho(t)^{-\mu-1} p(t)^{1/\mu}$ is integrable on $[t_0, \infty)$. If we put

$$Q(t) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu + 1} \right)^\mu \rho(t)^{-\mu-1} p(t)^{1/\mu},$$

(a) follows from theorem 4. If (26) is valid, there is no real value α satisfying (24) for all t . Thus (b) holds. \square

References

1. J. Dzurina, *Oscillation of a second order differential equation with mixed argument*, Archivum Mathematicum(BRNO). **Tomus 33** (1997), 309-314.
2. A. Elbert and T. Kusano, *Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for a class of second order quasilinear differential equations*, Acta Math. Hungar. **56** (1990), 325-336.
3. T. Kusano and N. Yosida, *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of quasilinear differential equations of second order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **189** (1995), 115-127.
4. H. J. Li and C. C. Yeh, *Nonoscillation Criteria for Second-Order Half-Linear Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **8. No 5** (1995), 63-70.
5. C. A. Swanson, *Comparison and Oscillation Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Academic Press. New York, 1968.
6. K. Takasi, *Nonoscillation theorems for a class of quasilinear differential equations of second order*, Jour. Math. Anal. and Appl. **189** (1995), 115-127.
7. J. S. W. Wong, *On Kamenev-Type Oscillation Theorems for Second-Order Differential Equations with Damping*, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. **258** (2001), 244-257.
8. P. S. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, *Oscillation theorems and existence of positive monotone solutions for second order nonlinear differential equations*, Math. Comput. Modelling. **21 No 3** (1995), 63-84.

Department of Mathematics
Hallym University
Chunchon, Kangwon 200-702, Korea.
E-mail: rjkim@hallym.ac.kr