

SOME DUALITY OF WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES OF THE HALF-PLANE

SI HO KANG

ABSTRACT. In the setting of the half-plane of the complex plane, we introduce a modified reproducing kernel and we show that for $r > -1/2$, $B^{1,r}$ -cancellation property holds and the Bloch space is the dual space of $B^{1,r}$.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the duality plays an important role in mathematics. We will discuss the Bloch space and weighted Bergman spaces.

Let $H = \{x + iy : y > 0\}$ denote the half-plane of the complex plane \mathbb{C} and dA the area measure on H . Put $K(z, w) = -1/\pi(z - \bar{w})^2$. In fact, $K(z, \cdot)$ is the reproducing kernel for B^2 , that is, for any $f \in B^2$, $f(z) = \int_H f(w)K(z, w) dA(w)$ for all $z \in H$. For $r > -1/2$, the weighted Bergman Spaces $B^{1,r}$ of the half-plane is the space of analytic functions in $L^1(H, dA_r)$, where $dA_r(z) = (2r + 1)K(z, z)^{-r} dA$.

The main result of this paper is to show that for $r > -1/2$, the dual space of $B^{1,r}$ is the Bloch space.

In Section 2, using a modified reproducing kernel

$$M(\cdot, w)^{1+r} = K(\cdot, w)^{1+r} - K(\cdot, i)^{1+r},$$

we get bounded linear operators Q_r and we introduce a dense subspace of $B^{1,r}$. Moreover, we prove that $B^{1,r}$ -cancellation property holds. Section 3 is devoted to the duality of $B^{1,r}$. To do so, for each element g

Received April 10, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 31B05, 31B10; Secondary 47B35.

Key words and phrases: dual space, weighted Bergman space, modified Bergman kernels, half-plane, radial derivatives, Möbius transform.

This work was partially supported by Sookmyung Women's University Research Grants 2005.

of $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$, Λ_g is in $(B^{1,r})^*$, where for $r > -1/2$,

$$\mathcal{B}^r(i) = \{f \in A(H) : f(i) = 0 \text{ and } \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^r} = \sup_{z=x+iy \in H} y^{1+2r} |f'(z)| < \infty\}$$

and $\Lambda_g : B^{1,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by $\Lambda_g(f) = \int_H f(z) \overline{g(z)} dA_r(z)$ for all $f \in B^{1,r}$. Using the bounded linear operator Q_r of L^∞ into $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$, we show that $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$ can be identified with the dual space of $B^{1,r}$. Throughout this paper, we use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ ($A \approx B$, respectively) for nonnegative constants A and B to indicate that A is dominated by B times some positive constant ($A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, respectively).

2. Weighted Bergman spaces

In this section, we deal with bounded linear operators Q_r and dense subspaces of $B^{1,r}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose $r > -1/2$ and $f \in B^{1,r}$. Then for each $z = x + iy \in H$,*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{4}{(2r+1)(\pi y^2)^{1+r}} \|f\|_{1,r}.$$

Proof. Since $z = x + iy$ and f is analytic on H , the mean-value property implies that $|f(z)| \leq (1/|B(z, y/2)|) \int_{B(z, y/2)} |f(w)| dA(w)$. Since for any $w \in B(z, y/2)$, $\text{Im } w > y/2$, $K(w, w) = 1/4\pi(\text{Im } w)^2 \leq 1/\pi y^2$ and hence $|f(z)| \leq (1/(2r+1)(\pi y^2)^{1+r}) \|f\|_{1,r}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.2. *For $r > -1/2$, $B^{1,r}$ is a closed subspace of $L^1(H, dA_r)$.*

Proof. It can be easily derived from Proposition 2.1. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{B}^r(i) = \{f \in A(H) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^r} = \sup_{z=x+iy \in H} y^{1+2r} |f'(z)| < \infty \text{ and } f(i) = 0\}.$$

Since each element of $\mathcal{B}^r(i)$ vanishes at i , $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}^r}$ is the norm on $\mathcal{B}^r(i)$, in fact, for $r > -1/2$, is also a Banach space (see [4]). We note that the weighted Bergman kernel $K(\cdot, w)^{1+r} \notin L^1(H, dA_r)$ (see [5]) and hence we need a modified reproducing kernel $M(\cdot, w)^{1+r} = K(\cdot, w)^{1+r} - K(\cdot, i)^{1+r}$. For $r > -1/2$, we define $Q_r : L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^0(i)$ by

$$Q_r(b)(w) = (2r+1) \int_H b(z) \overline{M(z, w)^{1+r}} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z).$$

Then we have the following:

THEOREM 2.3. (1) $M(\cdot, w)^{1+r}$ is in $L^1(H, dA_r)$ and hence in $B^{1,r}$.
 (2) For $r > -1/2$, Q_r is a bounded linear operator.

Proof. (1) Suppose $2 + 2r = q/p$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & |M(z, w)^{1+r}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1+r}} \left| \frac{(z+i)^{2+2r} - (z-\bar{w})^{2+2r}}{(z-\bar{w})^{2+2r} \times (z+i)^{2+2r}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1+r}} \left| \frac{(z+i)^{\frac{q}{p}} - (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{q}{p}}}{(z-\bar{w})^{\frac{q}{p}} \times (z+i)^{\frac{q}{p}}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1+r}} \frac{|(z+i)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} + (z+i)^{\frac{q-2}{p}}(z-\bar{w})^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{q-1}{p}}|}{|z-\bar{w}|^{\frac{q}{p}}|z+i|^{\frac{q}{p}}} \\ &\quad \times |(z+i)^{\frac{1}{p}} - (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{1}{p}}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{1+r}} \frac{|(z+i)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} + (z+i)^{\frac{q-2}{p}}(z-\bar{w})^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{q-1}{p}}|}{|(z+i)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + (z+i)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}(z-\bar{w})^{\frac{1}{p}} + \dots + (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|} \\ &\quad \times \frac{|z+i-z+\bar{w}|}{|z-\bar{w}|^{\frac{q}{p}}|z+i|^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_H |M(z, w)^{1+r}| K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ & \leq \frac{4^r}{\pi} (1 + |w|) \int_H \frac{|(z+i)^{\frac{q-1}{p}} + \dots + (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{q-1}{p}}| |\operatorname{Im} z|^{\frac{q}{p}-2} dA(z)}{|z-\bar{w}|^{\frac{q}{p}}|z+i|^{\frac{q}{p}} |(z+i)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + \dots + (z-\bar{w})^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{q}{p} + \frac{q}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{q-1}{p} - \frac{q}{p} + 2 = 3$, $M(\cdot, w)^{1+r} \in L^{1,r}$.

(2) Clearly, each Q_r is linear and $Q_r(b)(i) = 0$. Take any closed contour C in H . By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_C Q_r(b)(w) dA(w) \\ &= (2r+1) \int_H b(z) \int_C M(z, w) dA(w) K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $Q_r(b)$ is analytic on H . Let $z = x + iy$ and $w = s + it$ be in H . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{dz} \overline{M(w, z)^{1+r}} \\
 &= \left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{1+r} \frac{2+2r}{(\bar{w}-z)^{3+2r}} y \left| \frac{d}{dz} Q_r(b)(z) \right| \\
 &= (2r+1)y \left| \int_H b(w) \left(-\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{1+r} \frac{2+2r}{(\bar{w}-z)^{3+2r}} K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{(2r+1)(2r+2)\|b\|_\infty}{\pi^{1+r}} y \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{(4\pi)^r t^{2r} (y+t)}{\{(s-x)^2 + (y+t)^2\} (y+t)^{2+2r}} ds dt \\
 &\leq 4^r (2r+1)(2r+2)\|b\|_\infty y \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(y+t)^2} dt \\
 &\approx \|b\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|Q_r(b)\|_{B^0} \lesssim \|b\|_\infty$, that is, Q_r is bounded. □

LEMMA 2.4. $B^{1,r} \cap H^\infty$ is dense in $B^{1,r}$.

Proof. Take any h in $B^{1,r}$ and any w in H . For any $\delta > 0$, let $h_\delta(z) = h(z + i\delta)$ for all $z \in H$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h_\delta(w)| &= |h(w + i\delta)| \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{|B(w + i\delta, \delta/2)|} \int_{B(w+i\delta, \delta/2)} h dA(z) \right| \\
 &\lesssim \int_{B(w+i\delta, \delta/2)} |h(z)| K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \lesssim \|h\|_{1,r},
 \end{aligned}$$

h_δ is in H^∞ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|h_\delta\|_{1,r} &= (2+1) \int_H |h_\delta(z)| K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\
 &\lesssim \int_H |h(z)| K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \approx \|h\|_{1,r}
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $h_\delta \in B^{1,r} \cap H^\infty$. Since $C_C(H)$ is dense in $L^{1,r}$, for any f in $B^{1,r}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $g \in C_C(H)$ such that $\|g - f\|_{1,r} < \epsilon$. Since $\|f_\delta - f\|_{1,r} \leq \|f_\delta - g_\delta\|_{1,r} + \|g_\delta - g\|_{1,r} + \|g - f\|_{1,r}$, $B^{1,r} \cap H^\infty$ is dense in $B^{1,r}$. □

In order to prove that for any $f \in B^{1,r}$ and any $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$, $f \cdot g \in B^{1,r}$, we define the set

$\mathcal{D}_r = \{f \in B^{1,r} : \text{there is a constant } C_f \text{ such that}$

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{C_f}{(1 + |z|)^{3+2r}} \text{ for all } z \in H\}.$$

The set \mathcal{D}_r satisfies the following property.

PROPOSITION 2.5. \mathcal{D}_r is dense in $B^{1,r}$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_n(z) = (ni)^{3+2r}/(ni + z)^{3+2r}$ for all $z \in H$. Then for $z = x + iy$ in H ,

$$|\varphi_n(z)| = \frac{n^{3+2r}}{(x^2 + (y + n)^2)^{\frac{3+2r}{2}}} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}n}{1 + |z|}\right)^{3+2r}$$

and hence $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}_r$. Take any f in $B^{1,r}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2.4, there is $g \in B^{1,r} \cap H^\infty$ such that $\|g - f\|_{1,r} < \epsilon$. Since $g\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}_r$ and $|g(z)\varphi_n(z) - g(z)| \leq |g(z)|(1 + |\varphi_n(z)|) \leq 2|g(z)|$, Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H |g(z)\varphi_n - g(z)| dA_r(z) = 0$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g\varphi_n - g\|_{1,r} = 0$. Since $\|g\varphi_n - f\|_{1,r} \leq \|g\varphi_n - g\|_{1,r} + \|g - f\|_{1,r}$, \mathcal{D}_r is dense in $B^{1,r}$. \square

LEMMA 2.6. For any $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$ and any $z = x + iy \in H$,

$$|g(z)| \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}(1 + |\log y| + 2 \log(1 + |x|)).$$

Proof. Suppose $z = x + iy \in H$. Since $g(i) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &\leq |g(x + iy) - g(x + i(1 + |x|))| \\ &\quad + |g(x + i(1 + |x|)) - g((1 + |x|)i)| + |g((1 + |x|)i) - g(i)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \frac{g'(x + ((y - |x| - 1)t + 1 + |x|)i)((y - 1 - |x|)t + 1 + |x|)}{(y - 1 - |x|)t + 1 + |x|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (y - 1 - |x|) dt \right| + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{g'(tx + (1 + |x|)i)(1 + |x|)}{1 + |x|} x dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_0^1 \frac{g'((t|x| + 1)i)(t|x| + 1)}{t|x| + 1} |x|i dt \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}(|\log y| + 1 + 2 \log(1 + |x|)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 2.7. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{D}_r$ and $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$. Then $f \cdot g \in B^{1,r}$.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{D}_r$, there is a constant C_f such that $|f(z)| \leq C_f/(1 + |z|)^{3+2r}$ for all $z \in H$. Put $z = x + iy$. By Lemma 2.6,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_H |f(z)g(z)| dA_r(z) \\ &= (2r + 1) \int_H |f(z)g(z)|K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ &\leq C_f \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \int_H \frac{1 + |\log y| + 2 \log(1 + |x|)}{(1 + |z|)^{3+2r}} (4\pi)^r (\text{Im } z)^{2r} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0} \int_H \frac{1 + |\log y| + 2 \log(1 + |x|)}{(1 + |z|)^3} dA(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since there is a compact subset K of H such that for $z \notin K$,

$$\log(1 + |z|) < (1 + |z|)^{\frac{1}{2}}, f \cdot g \in B^{1,r}. \quad \square$$

3. The dual space of $B^{1,r}$

The main goal of this section is to prove that the dual space of weighted Bergman spaces $B^{1,r}$ can be identified with $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$. To do so, we define the following linear functional Λ_g on \mathcal{D}_r as for g in $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$, $\Lambda_g(f) = (2r + 1) \int_H f(z)g(z)K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z)$. By Proposition 2.7, Λ_g is well-defined and linear. Let $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}i$. The h is a Riemann map from \mathbb{D} onto H . Let $A^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ denote the space of analytic functions in $L^p(\mathbb{D}, dA_r)$, where $dA_r(z) = (2r + 1)K_{\mathbb{D}}(z, z)^{-r} dA(z)$. Then $B^{1,r}$ can be identified with $A^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$ via $\Psi(f)(w) = 2^{2+4r} f(h(w))/(1 - w)^{4+4r}$ (see [3]). Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ be the Bloch space of \mathbb{D} . Then we have the following:

- LEMMA 3.1. (1) For any $f \in B^{1,r}$, $f(h(z))/(1 - z)^{4+4r}$ is in $A^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$.
 (2) For any $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$, $\|g \circ h\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})} = 2\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$.

Proof. (1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(h(z))|}{|1 - z|^{4+4r}} K_{\mathbb{D}}(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ &= \int_H \frac{|f(h(h^{-1}(z)))|}{|1 - h^{-1}(z)|^{4+4r}} K_{\mathbb{D}}(h^{-1}(z), h^{-1}(z))^{-r} |(h^{-1})'(z)|^2 dA(z) \\ &= \pi^r \int_H \frac{|f(z)|}{\left|1 - \frac{z-i}{z+i}\right|^{4+4r}} \left(1 - \left|\frac{z-i}{z+i}\right|^2\right)^{2r} \left|\frac{2i}{(z+i)^2}\right|^2 dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^r}{4^{1+r}} \int_H |f(z)|(\operatorname{Im} z)^{2r} dA(z) \approx \|f\|_{1,r} < \infty,$$

$f(h(z))/|1 - z|^{4+4r}$ is in $A^{1,r}(\mathbb{D})$.

(2) Suppose $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g \circ h\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})} &= \sup_{z=x+iy \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|(g \circ h)'(z)| + |g \circ h(0)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|g'(h(z))||h'(z)| \\ &= \sup_{w=s+it \in H} \frac{|w+i|^2 - |w-i|^2}{|w+i|^2} |g'(w)| \frac{|w+i|^2}{2} \\ &= \sup_{w=s+it \in H} 2t|g'(w)| = 2\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. For $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$, the linear functional $\Lambda_g : \mathcal{D}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\Lambda_g(f) = (2r + 1) \int_H f(z)\overline{g(z)}K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z)$ is bounded.

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_g(f) &= (2r + 1) \int_H f(z)\overline{g(z)}K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ &= (2r + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(h(z))\overline{g(h(z))}K(h(z), h(z))^{-r}|h'(z)|^2 dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

and $(A^{1,r})^* = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$, $|\Lambda_g(f)| \lesssim \|g \circ h\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})}\|f\|_{1,r} \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}\|f\|_{1,r}$ and hence $\|\Lambda_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^0}$, that is, Λ_g is bounded. \square

PROPOSITION 3.3. For $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$, we define $\Lambda_g : B^{1,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\Lambda_g(f) = (2r + 1) \int_H f(z)\overline{g(z)}K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z).$$

Then Λ_g is bounded.

Proof. Take any f in $B^{1,r}$. Since \mathcal{D}_r is dense in $B^{1,r}$, there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in \mathcal{D}_r such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_{1,r} = 0$. Since $\{\Lambda_g(f_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_g(f_n)$ exists. Suppose that $\{h_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{D}_r such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n - f\|_{1,r} = 0$. Since $|\Lambda_g(f_n - h_n)| \leq \|\Lambda_g\| \|f_n - h_n\|_{1,r} = \|\Lambda_g\| (\|f_n - f\|_{1,r} + \|f - h_n\|_{1,r})$, we define $\Lambda_g(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_g(f_n)$. Since

$$|\Lambda_g(f)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Lambda_g(f_n)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\Lambda_g\| \|f_n\|_{1,r} = \|\Lambda_g\| \|f\|_{1,r},$$

Λ_g is a bounded linear functional on $B^{1,r}$. \square

THEOREM 3.4. We define $\Phi : \mathcal{B}^0(i) \rightarrow (B^{1,r})^*$ by $\Phi(g) = \Lambda_g$ for all $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$. Then Φ is a bounded linear functional.

Proof. It is immediate from Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.3. \square

Main result of this paper is to show that $(B^{1,r})^*$ can be identified with $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$. To do so, we need the $B^{1,r}$ -cancellation property.

LEMMA 3.5. For any $f \in B^{1,r}$, $\int_H f(w)K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) = 0$.

Proof. Take any f in $B^{1,r}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_H f(w)K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(h(z))K(h(z), h(z))^{-r}|h'(z)|^2 dA(z) \\ &= 4^{1+r} \pi^r \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(g(z))}{|1-z|^{4+4r}}(1-|z|^2)^{2r} dA(z) \\ &= 4^{1+r} \pi^r \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(g(z))}{(1-z)^{4+4r}} \frac{(1-z)^{2+2r}}{(1-\bar{z})^{2+2r}}(1-|z|^2)^{2r} dA(z) \\ &= 4^{1+r} \pi^r \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(g(z))}{(1-z)^{4+4r}} \frac{(1-tz)^{2+2r}}{(1-t\bar{z})^{2+2r}}(1-|z|^2)^{2r} dA(z) \text{ (Lemma 3.1)} \\ &= \frac{4^{1+r} \pi^{1+r}}{2r+1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{f(g(z))(1-tz)^{2+2r}}{(1-z)^{4+4r}}(2r+1) \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi(1-t\bar{z})^2} \right\}^{1+r} \\ & \quad \times \pi^r(1-|z|^2)^{2r} dA(z) \\ &= \frac{4^{1+r} \pi^{1+r}}{2r+1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{f(g(t))}{(1-t)^{4+4r}}(1-t^2)^{2+2r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|f(g(t))|}{(1-t)^{4+4r}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \frac{1}{|B(t, (\frac{1-t^2}{2})^{1+r})|} \int_{B(t, (\frac{1-t^2}{2})^{1+r})} \frac{f(g(z))}{(1-z)^{4+4r}} dA(z) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{4^{1+r}}{\pi(1-t^2)^{2+2r}} \int_{B(t, (\frac{1-t^2}{2})^{1+r})} \left| \frac{f(g(z))}{(1-z)^{4+4r}} \right| dA(z), \\ & \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|f(g(t))|}{(1-t)^{4+4r}}(1-t^2)^{2+2r} \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{4^{1+r}}{\pi} \int_{B(t, (\frac{1-t^2}{2})^{1+r})} \left| \frac{f(g(z))}{(1-z)^{4+4r}} \right| dA = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\int_H f(w, w)K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) = 0$. \square

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let Φ be a map defined in Theorem 3.4. Then Φ is 1-1 and onto.*

Proof. Take any g in $\ker\Phi$. Let $\varphi_n(z) = (ni)^{3+2r}/(ni+z)^{3+2r}$ for all $z \in H$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$ and $\varphi_n(z) \in \mathcal{D}_r$ and hence $g \cdot \varphi_n \in B^{1,r}$. Since φ_n is bounded, for any $f \in B^{1,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H f(z) \overline{\varphi_n(z)g(z)} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ &= \int_H f(z) \overline{g(z)} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M(\cdot, w)^{1+r} \in B^{1,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H \varphi_n(z)g(z) \overline{M(z, w)^{1+r}} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_H \varphi_n(z)g(z) \overline{K(z, w)^{1+r}} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_H \varphi_n(z)g(z) \overline{K(z, i)^{1+r}} K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2r+1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_n(w)g(w) - \varphi_n(i)g(i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2r+1} g(w) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $g = 0$, that is, $\ker\Phi = \{0\}$. This implies that Φ is one-to-one. Take any Ψ in $(B^{1,r})^*$. By Hahn-Banach Extension Theorem, there is a unique $\tilde{\Psi}$ in $(L^{1,r})^*$ such that $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi$ on $B^{1,r}$ and $\|\tilde{\Psi}\| = \|\Psi\|$. The Riesz Representation Theorem implies that there is a unique b in L^∞ such that $\tilde{\Psi}(f) = \int_H f(w) \overline{b(w)} K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w)$ for all $f \in B^{1,r}$. Put $g = Q_r(b)$. Then $g \in \mathcal{B}^0(i)$ and for any $f \in B^{1,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g)(f) &= \Lambda_g(f) \\ &= \int_H f(w) \overline{g(w)} K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \\ &= \int_H f(w) \overline{Q_r(b)(w)} K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (2r + 1) \int_H f(w) \int_H \overline{b(z)M(z, w)^{1+r}K(z, z)^{-r}} \\
&\quad \times K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \\
&= (2r + 1) \int_H f(w) \int_H \overline{b(z)}(K(z, w)^{1+r} - K(z, i)^{1+r})K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\
&\quad \times K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \\
&= \int_H \overline{b(z)}(2r + 1) \int_H f(w)(\overline{K(w, z)^{1+r}} - \overline{K(i, z)^{1+r}})K(w, w)^{-r} dA(w) \\
&\quad \times K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) \\
&= \int_H \overline{b(z)}f(z)K(z, z)^{-r} dA(z) = \Psi(f),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\Phi(g) = \Psi$. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 3.7. For $r > -1/2$, $\mathcal{B}^0(i)$ is the dual space of $B^{1,r}$.

Proof. The open mapping theorem implies that Φ is an isomorphism. \square

References

- [1] S. Axler, P. Bourdon, and W. Ramey, *Harmonic function theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [2] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] S. H. Kang, *Weighted Bloch spaces and some operators induced by radial derivatives*, to appear.
- [4] S. H. Kang and J. Y. Kim, *The radial derivatives on weighted Bergman spaces*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. **18** (2003), no. 2, 243–249.
- [5] J. Y. Kim, *Weighted analytic Bergman spaces of the half plane and their Toeplitz operators*, Ph. D. Thesis, Sookmyung Women's University, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOOKMYUNG WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 140-742, KOREA

E-mail: shkang@sookmyung.ac.kr