# A remark to a Constrained OWA Aggregation

Dug Hun Hong and Kyung Tae Kim

Department of Mathematics, Myongji University, Yongin, Kyonggi, Korea

Department of Electronics and Electrical Information

Engineering, Kyungwon University, Sungnam Kyunggido, South Korea

#### **Abstract**

The problem of maximizing an OWA aggregation of a group of variables that are interrelated and constrained by a collection of linear inequalities is considered by Yager[Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 81(1996) 89–101]. He obtained how this problem can be modelled as a mixed integer linear programming problem. Recently, Carlsson et al. [Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 139(2003) 543–546] obtained a simple algorithm for exact computation of optimal solutions to a constrained OWA aggregation problem with a single constraint on the sum of all decision variables. In this note, we introduce anew approach to the same problem as Carlsson et al. considered. Indeed, it is a direct consequence of a known result of the linear programming problem.

Key words: OWA operators, Constrained optimization, Linear programming.

### 1. Introduction

An Ordered Weighted Averaging(OWA) is a mapping  $F: R \xrightarrow{n} R$  that has an associated weighting vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^T$  of having the properties  $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1, \ 0 \le w_i \le 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n$  and such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{(i)},$$

where  $x_{(j)}$  is the j th largest element of the bag  $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\}$ .

The constrained OWA aggregation problem [3] can be expressed as the following mathematical programming problem:

max 
$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$
 subjects to  $\{Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$ .

where

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_{(1)} = w_1 x_{(1)} + \dots + w_n x_{(n)}$$

Recently, Carlsson et al. [1] obtained a simple algorithm for solving the following (nonlinear) constrained OWA aggregation problem

max 
$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_{(.)}$$
  
subjects to  $\{x_1 + \dots + x_n, x_i \ge 0\}$  (1)

We revisit this problem.

### 2. Result

We first see the following known result.

접수일자 : 2005년 1월 17일 완료일자 : 2005년 4월 15일 The Linear programming problem: Maximize the objective function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n$$

subject to the condition that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be in

$$F = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ satisfy a finite set of linear constraints } \}.$$

**Theorem [2, p241].** If the objective function is bounded above on  $F \neq \emptyset$  then there are optimal solutions to the linear programming problem, at least one of which is an extreme point of F. Using above theorem, we directly prove the OWA aggregation problem (1).

**Proof.** Let  $H = \{ h \{ 1, \dots, n \} \rightarrow \{ 1, \dots, n \}, \text{ a bijective function } \}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_{(.)} | \, x_1 + \cdots + x_n \leq 1, \quad x \geq 0 \right\} \\ = \max_{h \in H} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_h = w_1 x_{h(1)} + \cdots + w_n x_{h(n)} | \\ x_1 + \cdots + x_n \leq 1, \quad x_{h(1)} \geq \cdots \geq x_{h(n)} \geq 0 \right\}. \end{array}$$

We note that

the set of extreme point of

$$\{x_1 + \dots + x_n \le 1, \ x_{h(1)} \ge \dots \ge x_{h(n)} \ge 0\}$$

$$= \{x_{h(1)} = 1, \ x_{h(i)} = 0, \ i \ne 1\}$$

$$\cup \{x_{h(1)} = x_{h(2)} = \frac{1}{2}, \ x_{h(i)} = 0, \ i \ne 1, 2\} \cup \dots$$

$$\cup \{x_{h(1)} = \dots = x_{h(n)} = \frac{1}{n}\} \cup \{x_{h(1)} = \dots = x_{h(n)} = 0\}.$$

For example,

the set of extreme point of 
$$\{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \le 1, x_3 \ge x_1 \ge x_4 \ge x_2 = 0\}$$
 
$$= \left\{ (0, 0, 1, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0), (\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{$$

퍼지 및 지능시스템학회 논문지 2005, Vol. 15, No. 3

$$(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}), (0, 0, 0, 0)$$

And by above theorem, we have that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{h} | x_{1+\cdots+} x_{n} \leq 1, x_{h(1)} \geq \cdots \geq x_{h(n)} \geq 0 \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ w_{1}, \frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2}, \cdots, \frac{w_{1+} \cdots + w_{n}}{n} \right\}. \end{array}$$

Now, we define  $h^*: \{1, \cdots, n\} \to \{1, \cdots, n\}$  where  $w_{h^*(i)} = i$ th largest element of the bag  $\{w_1, \cdots, w_n\}$ . Then, clearly, we have that  $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_{(i)} \leq \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_h$ . Therefore, we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{(\cdot)} | x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} \leq 1, \ x \geq 0 \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{h} | x_{1} + \dots + x_{n} \leq 1, \ x_{h^{*(i)}} \geq \dots \geq x_{h^{*(n)}} \geq 0 \right\} \\ = \max \left\{ w_{1}, \frac{w_{1} + x_{2}}{2}, \dots, \ w_{1} + \dots + \frac{w_{n}}{n} \right\}. \end{array}$$

If the maximum value is  $(w_1 + \cdots + w_m)/m$ , an optimal solution to problem (1) will be  $x_h \cdot (1) = \cdots = x_h \cdot (m) = 1/m$  With  $F(\mathbf{x}_h^*) = (w_1 + \cdots + w_m)/m$ .

**Example.** We consider the following five-dimensional constrained OWA aggregation problem with  $w_3 \ge w_1 \ge w_4 \ge w_2 \ge w_5 \ge 0$ .

max F 
$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)$$
 subject to  $\{x_1 + \dots + x_5 \le 1, x \ge 0\}.$  (2)

The set of all possible optimal value is considered as

$$D = \left\{ w_1, \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + w_4 + w_5}{5} \right\}$$

and, the corresponding optimal solutions are, for example, if  $\max D = (w_1 + w_2 + w_3)/3$ , the optimal solution to problem (2) will be  $x_3 = x_1 = x_4 = 1/3$  with  $F(\mathbf{x}_b) = (w_1 + w_2 + w_3)/3$ .

#### References

[1] C. Carlsson, R. Full er, P. Majlender, "A Note on constrained OWA aggregation," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol.139, pp.543-546, 2003.

- [2] A. W. Robert, D. E. Varberg, "Convex functions", Academic Press, INC. London LTD, 1973.
- [3] R. R. Yager, "Constrained OWA aggregation", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol 81, pp. 89-101,1996.

## 저 자 소 개

Dug Hun Hong received the B.S., M.S. degrees in mathematics from Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea and Ph. D degree in mathematics from University of Minnesota, Twin City in 1981, 1983 and 1990, respectively. From 1991 to 2003, he worked with department of Statistics and School of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering, Catholic University of Daegu, Daegu, Korea, Since 2004, he has been a Professor in Department of Mathematics, Myongji University, Korea. His research interests include general fuzzy theory with application and probability theory.

Department of Mathematics, Myongji University, Kyunggido 449-728, South Korea

e-mail: dhhong@mju.ac.kr

**Kyung Tae Kim** received the B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering from Kyungpook National University, Taegu, Korea and M.E and Ph. D degrees in Electrical Engineering from Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea in 1978, 1980 and 1987, respectively. Since 1987, he has been a Professor in Department of Electronics and Electrical Information Engineering, Kyungwon University, Korea. His research interests include mobile communications and optical communications.

Department of Electronics and Electrical Information Engineering, Kyungwon University, Sungnam Kyunggido, South Korea

e-mail: ktkim@kyungwon.ac.kr