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2-Hydroxy-7,8-dilydro-6 H -quinolin-5-one skelcton is a useful backbone for the synthesis of numerous biologically interesting compounds ${ }^{1-3}$ such as carbostyrils (2-hydroxyquinolines) ${ }^{15 \cdot 20}$ or huperzine $A$ analogues, ${ }^{\text {la }}$ which have shown biological activities including non-steroidal antiinflammatory activity, ${ }^{\text {Jc }}$ acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activity ${ }^{\text {la }}$ and antimalarial activity. ${ }^{\text {Ih } 2 \mathrm{at}}$
During the studies on the chemical transformation of the Baylis-I Iillman adducts toward synthetically useful heterocyclic compounds ${ }^{4}$ we envisioned that we could synthesize 3-benzyl-5-methoxycarbostyril derivatives 5 . Our synthetic rationale for $\mathbf{5 a}$ is depicted in Scheme 1 . Reaction of the Baylis-Hillman acetate 1 and cyclic enaminone $2^{5}$ would provide the tetrahydroquinoline-2,5-dione skeleton 3 via $S_{, ~ 2}$ ' type reaction of cyclic enaminones to the BaylisHillman acetates followed by amide bond formation. We thought that the following iodine-assisted oxidative aromatization of cyclohexenone moiety ${ }^{6}$ and base-catalyzed isomerization of lactam moiety would afford the desired 3-benzyl-5-methoxycarbostyril derivative 5.

The reaction of Baylis-t Iillman acetate 1a and 3-amino-2-
cyclohexcnone (2a) in refluxing ethanol in the presence of catalytic amount of acetic acid gave 3-benrylidene-4,6,7,8-tetralydro-1 $H, 3 H$-quinoline-2,5-dione (3a) as the major product ( $52 \%$ ) together with small amounts of $\mathbf{4 a}$ ( $<10 \%$ ). The reaction could also be conducted in $n$-butanol without acetic acid catalyst in a similar pattern. But, when we used $n$ butanol as the solvent, 4 a was observed as the major product on TLC presumably due to the effect of higher reaction temperature than in Et(O)l. But, 3a was not changed completely into 4 a even after heating for a long time. Thus we examined the conditions for the effective Iransformation of 3 a into 4 a and we found a suitable condition fortunately. Conversion of 3 a into 4 a could be carried out casily with catalytic amounts of DBU in THF at room temperalute (reflux for the conversion of $\mathbf{3 g}$ into $\mathbf{4 g}$, entry 7 in Table 1). Thus, we prepared 4a-c according to the following procedures: reaction of $\mathbf{1 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}$ in relluxing $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$, separation of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ as a mixtures, and finally DBU treatment to form 4a-c as the final products (entries I-3 in Table I).

It is interesting to note that the easiness for the conversion


Table 1. Synthesis of 4a-g
Entry
of the lactam derivatives $\mathbf{3}$ into $\mathbf{4}$ was dependent upon the structure of the enaminones $2 a-c$. When we used enaminone 2a (entrics 1-3), mixtures of $\mathbf{3}$ and 4 were produced as mentioned above. Without separation, treatment of the mixtures with catalytic amounts of DBC produced 4. However, we obscrved the exclusive formation of $\mathbf{4 d}-\mathbf{f}$ without DBU treatment in the reactions of enaminone $2 \mathbf{b}$, which was derived from dimedone (5,5-dimethyl-1,3cyclohexancdione, entrics 4-6). To the contrary, only the lactam form $\mathbf{3 g}$ was observed for the enaminone $\mathbf{2 c}$ (entry 7 ).

The reason for such different reactivity depending on the structure of enaminones $2 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{c}$ cannot be explained at this stage.
As a next trial, we examined the feasibility for the aromatization reaction of the remaining cyclohexenone moicty of $4 \mathbf{a}$ in order to synthesize 3,5-disubstituted carbostyril derivative eventually. However, unfortunately, all the efforts were found to be ineffective including iodine/
 $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, iodine $/ \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, or $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in


Scheme 2
decaline. It is interesting to note that the aromatization of cyclohexenone moiety of 3 a could be conducted with iodine $/ \mathrm{McOH}$ system $\left(40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ to afford 6a although in low yield ( $36 \%$ ). ${ }^{7}$ However, the same reaction conditions did not act in the same manner for the transfomation of 4 a toward 5a as noted above. Morcover, the isomerization of 6a into the desired carbostyril derivative 5 a failed with DBU treatment again, unfortunately (Scheme 2).
In summary, we prepared 3-benzyl-2-liydroxy-7,8-di-hydro-6 $/ /$-quinolin- 5 -one derivatives $4 a-f$ from the reaction of Baylis-Hillman acetates and cyclic enaminones in moderate yiclds. Suitable aromatization method of the cyclohexenone moiety in our compounds is currently investigating.

## Experimental Section

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4 a (Method A): A stirred solution of the Baylis-Hillman acetate 1 a ( 700 mg , 3 mmol ) and enaminone 2 a ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $n$-butanol ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux for 18 h . After usual aqucous workup and column chromatographic separation (E1OAc) hexanes, 1:1) we obtained a mixture of 3 a and 4 a in $61 \%$ isolated yield ( 310 mg ). The mixlute of 3 a and 4 a ( 152 mg , 0.6 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) and DBL ( 28 mg, 0.18 mmol ) was added and stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After usual aqueous workup and column chromatographic separation (EtOAchexancs, 1:1) we obtained $4 \mathfrak{a}$ in $58 \%$ isolated yield ( 89 mg ). The spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{3 a}, \mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{4 a}-$ c. and 4 g are as follows.

3a: white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 229-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{MR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.09$ (quintet. $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42-2.51 (m, 4H), $3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{t}, j=2.7$ $\mathrm{H} z, \mathrm{IH}) ;{ }^{1.3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.32,24.81,27.48,36.55$, $110.78,125.35,128.68,129.30,130.64,134.86,139.35$, 149.95, 165.64. 195.83.

3 g : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.87 (br s, 1H).

4a: white solid: mp $238-240^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.11$ (quintet, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t} . J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.8 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH})$, $12.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}):{ }^{1.3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.44,26.75,35.98$, $37.15,114.64,126.33,128.38,129.03,130.41,135.73$, 139.10, 154.04, 165.32, 194.07.

4b: white solid: mp 237-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.12$ (quintet. $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.54(\mathrm{t} . J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=$
$6.3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.26(\mathrm{mn}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 12.85 (br s. 1 H ), ${ }^{1.3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( CDCl ) $\delta 21.38,26.73,35.49$. $37.11,114.64,128.44,129.78,130.34,132.12,135.89$. 1.37.58, 154.24, 165.24, 194.02.

4 c : white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 218-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.11$ (quintet, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, J-6.3 \mathrm{H} \subset, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J-7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH}), 12.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$ : ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N $\mathrm{MR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.00,21.43,26.73,35.48,37.16$. $114.63,128.90,129.07,130.62,135.55,135.81,135.95$, 153.99, 165.38, 194.11.

4g: white solid; mp 233-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $11.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} 1 \mathrm{H}$.$) ; { }^{1 .} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.46,29.92$. 35.71, 123.37, 126.54, 127.17, 128.56, 128.58, 138.11. $138.80,146.19,150.63,159.95,164.37$

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 4 d (Method B): A stirred solution of the Baylis-Hillman acetate $1 \mathrm{a}(700 \mathrm{mg}$. 3 mmol ) and cnaminone $2 \mathbf{b}$ ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $n$-butanol ( 5 mL ) was heated to reflux for 24 h . After usual aqueous workup and column chromatographic separation (EtOAc/ hexancs, I : I) we obtained $\mathbf{4 d}$ in $41 \%$ isolated yield (230 mg ). The spectroscopic data of 4d-f are as follows.

4d: white solid; mp $212-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{V} . \mathrm{MR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.12$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH}), 12.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{1 .} \mathrm{C}$.N.MR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $28.25,33.18,36.09,40.28,50.93,113.65,126.36,128.40$. 129.04, 130.17, 135.45, 139.09. 152.60, 165.68, 194.02.

4e: white solid; mp 207-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \wedge \mathrm{MR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.13$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .7 .23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{IH}) .13 .07(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{IH}) ;{ }^{1 .} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.16$, $33.10,35.53,40.16,50.81,113.60,128.39,129.46,130.30$. $132.03,135.57,137.52,152.81,165.61,193.94$.
4f. white solid; mp $188-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.12$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}$, IH). $12.66(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{IH}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}$. VMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.22,29.68$, $33.19,35.57,40.32,50.93,113.69,128.95,129.13,130.51$. $135.31,135.84,135.92,152.38,165.62,194.01$.
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