# A Sequential Cyclization Route to Spiroindanyl Heterocycles through Olefin Metathesis and Free Radical Reaction 
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Spiroindanylpiperidine and analogs (1) that serve as crucial parts of biologically active compounds, are members of "privileged structure"] as 1 can be found in ligands for growth hormone secretion, ${ }^{2}$ oxytocin, ${ }^{3}$ sigma receptor ${ }^{4}$ and other G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR)s. ${ }^{5}$ As a part of our program to construct various combinatorial libraties for ligands of GPCRs, ${ }^{6}$ a general synthetic route to 1 and its structural relatives was anticipated to develop for the expansion of the structural diversity of this privileged structure. Though several preparative roules to 1 were reported, structural or positional variation in the construction of spiro-heterocyclic compounds was limited since all the reported synthetic routes to 1 started from 4 -substituted piperidines ${ }^{7}$ or indanone. ${ }^{4}$ Therefore we envisioned a versatile synthetic route to the spiro-heterocyclic compounds from readily available linear compounds.
Our synthetic strategy utilized successive cyclization reactions of linear compounds using olefin metathesis ${ }^{*}$ and Free radical cyelization reaction (Scheme 1). Since the chain length of tethers in 2 can be easily varied the current synthetic route would provide diversity in the construction of spiro-heterocyclic system. The execution of the strategy started with the preparation of 2 as depicted in Scheme 2. Treatment of commercially available alcohol 6 with $n$-BuLi
generated the corresponding allylic anion. This anion added rapidly to 2 -bromobenzylbromide to produce $7 .{ }^{10}$ Then the tosylate of 7 was reacted with alkyl amine $(n-1,2)$ alter protection of the resulting amine to produce the Bocdialkylamine 2 for the cyclization reactions.

The linear compounds 2 were lirst treated with Grubbs' catalyst ${ }^{11}$ to form the helerocyclic compounds 3 and the result was summarized in Table 1. 2a and 2e underwent cyclization reaction as expected in good yield but the cyclization reaction of $2 b$ did not progress no further than $10 \%$ conversion even though the lincar compounds were structurally similar to each other. The low reactivity of $\mathbf{2 b}$ could not be overcome by using stoichiometric amount of the catalyst. Fortunately, the low reactivity of $\mathbf{2 b}$ was circumvented through replacing the Grubbs' catalyst with a more reactive one. ${ }^{17}$ When the $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst was used, 3b was produced in a good yield though the reactivity was still lower than other linear compounds. While there were many reported examples of RCM to form nitrogen containing heterocyclic compounds, ${ }^{13}$ the cause of reactivity diflerence is not clear yet.

Next, the RCM products were subjected to the $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ mediated free radical cyclization reaction under the standard reaction condition ${ }^{74}$ to produce the spirocyelic compound $4^{14}$


Scheme 1. Synthetic analysis.


6
( $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{a}: \mathbf{n}=1, \mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}: n=2$ )
(7a: $n=1,7 b: n=2$ )
(2a: $n=1, m=1$ )
(2b: $n=1, m=2$ )
(2c: $\mathrm{n}=2, \mathrm{~m}=1$ )

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) $n$-BuLi, TMED/hexane, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt., 12 h ; 2-bromobenzylbromide/THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to tt ., 12 h ( $7 \mathrm{a}: 25 \%$.


Table 1. Olefin Metathesis reaction of dienes

"Reaclion condition: calalyst ( $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) $\mathrm{CL} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$, rl. I2h. $\left(40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$. 24h for B) "isolated yield

Table 2. Free radical cyclization reaction

"Reaction condition: Bu 3 Stll (1.2 eq.), AIB.V (cat.)/benzene ( 0.01 M ), $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. "ratio was determined by I IPIC. "isolated y ield. $\left.{ }^{2 i}\right]$ : I mixture of isomers.
along with $\mathbf{5}$ as the byproduct (Table 2). Though formation of 5 as the byproduct was expected from the earlier report of hetero-atom substituted spiroindanylpiperidine synthesis through free radical cyclization reaction, ${ }^{7 / 4}$ the ratio or 5 to 4 was larger than a ratio expected from reported cases. While the pyrrolidine $\mathbf{3 a}$ and piperidine $\mathbf{3 b}$ produced $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ as the major product respectively $\mathbf{4 c}$ was the minor product of the cyclization reaction of $3 c$. This reactivity difference between $\mathbf{3 b}$ and 3 c was quite surprising since there was not much structural difference or electronic bias to alter the exoselectivity to the endo-selectivity. The structural identity of 5 was confirmed by comparison of spectral data with reported ones. ${ }^{15}$ Nevertheless, the synthetic route was so straightforward that we were able to prepare all three spiro compounds in one gram quantity.
Since 5 was another "privileged structure", 15u the current methodology could offer not only spiro-N-heterocyclic compounds but also nitrogen containing perhydrophenanthrenes for the construction of diverse combinatorial librarics. This methodology could easily be extended to the synthesis of hetero-atom replaced indanyl spiro compounds and their positional isomers, which will allow us to expand our diversity of $\mathbf{1}$ into similar but different scaffolds of spiro compounds.
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