KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 45(2005), 171-175

On op-idempotents

Shuqin Wang

Department of Mathematics, Shandong Economic University, Jinan 250014, People's Republic of China e-mail: wsq482@sohu.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of op-idempotents. It is shown that every exchange ring can be characterized by op-idempotents

A ring R is an exchange ring if for every right R-module A and two decompositions $A = M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$, where $M_R \cong R$ and the index set I is finite, there exist submodules $A'_i \subseteq A_i$ such that $A = M \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i)$. Clearly, regular rings, π -regular rings, semi-perfect rings, left or right continuous rings, clean rings and unit C^* -algebras of real rank zero (cf. [2, Theorem 7.2]) are all exchange rings. We say that an element $e \in R$ is a op-idempotent provided that $e^2 = -e$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Then R is an exchange ring and $\overline{2} \in R$ is a op-idempotent, while it is not an idempotent. Also we know that every non-zero Boolean ring is an exchange ring without any non-trivial op-idempotent. Thus op-idempotents are different from idempotents in exchange rings. In this paper, we observe that every exchange ring can be characterized by its op-idempotents.

Throughout the paper, all rings are associative with identities. We always use J(R) to denote the Jacobson radical of R.

Lemma 1. Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any $a \in R$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \in Ra$ and $1 + e \in R(1 + a)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For any $a \in R$, we have $-a \in R$. By [6, Theorem 2.1], there exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $f \in R(-a)$ and $1 - f \in R(1 + a)$. Set e = -f. Then we see that $e \in R$ is a op-idempotent. Furthermore, we get $e \in Ra$ and $1 + e \in R(1 + a)$, as required.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ For any $a \in R$, we get $-a \in R$. So there exists an op-idempotent $e \in R(-a)$ such that $1 + e \in R(1-a)$. Let f = -e. Then $f = f^2 \in R$. In addition, we have $f \in Ra$ and $1 - f \in R(1-a)$. By [6, Theorem 2.1], R is an exchange ring. \Box

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16E50.

Received December 29, 2003.

Key words and phrases: exchange ring, op-idempotent.

Lemma 2. The following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any $a \in R$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e-a \in R(a+a^2)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) For any $a \in R$, it follows by Lemma 1 that there exists a opidempotent $e \in Ra$ such that $1 + e \in R(1 + a)$. Hence $e - a = e(1 + a) - (1 + e)a \in R(a + a^2)$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ For any $a \in R$, we have $-a \in R$; hence, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e - (-a) \in R(-a + (-a)^2)$. This infers that $e + a \in R(a - a^2)$. Assume that e + a = ra(1 - a) for some $r \in R$. Then $e = r(1 - a)a - a \in Ra$ and $1 + e = (1 + ra)(1 - a) \in R(1 - a)$. Let f = -e. Then $f = f^2 \in Ra$ and $1 - f \in R(1 - a)$. In view of [6, Theorem 2.1], we complete the proof. \Box

We say that every op-idempotent lifts modulo a left ideal I of R in case $x+x^2 \in I$ implies that $e - x \in I$ for some op-idempotent $e \in R$.

Theorem 3. Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) Every op-idempotent lifts modulo any left ideal of R.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let *I* be a left ideal of *R*. Suppose that $x + x^2 \in I$. By virtue of Lemma 2, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e - x \in R(x + x^2) \subseteq I$. That is, every op-idempotent lifts modulo *I*, as required.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let $a \in R$, and let $I = R(a + a^2)$. Clearly, $a + a^2 \in I$. So we have a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e - a \in I$. That is, $e - a \in R(a + a^2)$. Using Lemma 2, we conclude that R is an exchange ring.

Since a ring R is an exchange ring if and only if so is the opposite ring R^{op} , by Theorem 3, we deduce that a ring R is an exchange ring if and only if every op-idempotent lifts modulo any right ideal of R. Recall that an element $u \in R$ is full in case RuR = R. In [1], Ara and Goodearl studied stable rank of full corners of a ring. Now we investigate exchange rings by using full elements.

Lemma 4. Let R be an exchange ring. Given ax + b = 1 in R, there exists $y \in R$ such that $a + by \in R$ is a full element.

Proof. Assume that ax + b = 1 with $a, x, b \in R$. Since R is an exchange ring, by [6, Theorem 2.1], there exists $e = e^2 \in R$ such that e = bs and 1 - e = (1 - b)t for some $s, t \in R$. So 1 - e = axt, hence (1 - e)aR = (1 - e)R. Thus we can find $u, v \in R$ such that 1 = (1 - e)au + ev. It is easy to verify that (1 - e)((1 - e)a + e)u + e((1 - e)a + e)v = (1 - e)au + ev = 1, whence R((1 - e)a + e)R = R. Set y = s(1 - a). Therefore R(a + by)R = R(a + bs(1 - a))R = R(a + e(1 - a))R = R, as asserted. \Box

Lemma 5. Let R be an exchange ring, and let $e, f \in R$ be op-idempotents. If $eR \cong fR$, then there exists a full element $u \in R$ such that eu = uf.

On op-idempotents

Proof. Since $\psi : eR \cong fR$, we have $f = \psi(er) = (f\psi(e)e)(erf)$. Let a = erfand $b = f\psi(e)e$. Then f = ba. Clearly, $ab = (erf)(f\psi(e)e) = \psi^1(f)f(f\psi(e)e) = \psi^{-1}(f\psi(-e)) = \psi^{-1}(-\psi(-e)) = e$. So we can find $a \in eRf$ and $b \in fRe$ such that e = ab and f = ba. In addition, aba = ea = -a and bab = fb = -b. As a(-b) + (1+ab) = 1 with $a, b \in R$, it follows by Lemma 4 that there exists $y \in R$ such that $v := a + (1+ab)y \in R$ is a full element. Obviously, b = -bab = -bvb. Let u = (1+ab+vb)v(1+ba+bv). As $(1+ab+vb)^2 = 1 = (1+ba+bv)^2$, we have RuR = RvR = R. Furthermore, we get eu = ab(1+ab+vb)v(1+ba+bv) = -abv(1+ba+bv) = -a = -(1+ab+vb)vba = (1+ab+vb)v(1+ba+bv)ba = uf, as required.

Theorem 6. A ring R is an exchange ring if and only if for any $a \in R$, there exist op-idempotents $e, f \in R$ such that

- (1) $e \in aR, 1 + e \in (1 + a)R$.
- (2) $f \in Ra, 1 + f \in R(1 + a).$
- (3) euv = uvf with full elements $u, v \in R$.

Proof. One direction is obvious by Lemma 1. Conversely, assume now that R is an exchange ring. For any $a \in R$, we have an idempotent $e' \in R$ such that $e' \in aR, 1-e' \in (1-a)R$. Set g' = 1-e' and b = 1-a. Then $e' \in aR$ and $g' \in bR$. Suppose that e' = ar' and g' = bs'. Set r = r'e' and s = s'g'. Then we have rar = r, sbs = s, rbs = 0 and sar = 0. Let r'' = 1 - sb + rb and s'' = 1 - ra + sa. Similarly to [7, Proposition], we get r''ar'' = r'', s''bs'' = s'' and r''a + s''b = 1. Let f' = r''a. Then we have an idempotent $f' \in Ra$ such that $1 - f' = s''b \in R(1-a)$.

Clearly, $s'bR \cong bs'R = g'R$. By Lemma 5, we have g'u = us'b and RuR = R. Hence e'u = (1 - g')u = u(1 - s'b). On the other hand, 1 - s'b = ar''; hence, we get $(1 - s'b)R \cong r''aR = f'R$. By Lemma 5 again, we have (1 - s'b)v = vf' and RvR = R. Therefore e'uv = u(1 - s'b)v = uvf' and RuR = RvR = R. Let e = -e' and f = -f'. Then $e, f \in R$ are both op-idempotents. Applying the argument above to $-a \in R$, we complete the proof.

It is well known that every finitely generated projective right module over an exchange ring is generated by some idempotents. Now we extend this fact to op-idempotents as follows.

Proposition 7. Let P be a finitely generated projective right module over an exchange ring R. Then there exist op-idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_n \in R$ such that $P \cong e_1 R \oplus \dots \oplus e_n R$.

Proof. Clearly, P has the finitely exchange property. Set $M = P \oplus Q$. Then $M = P \oplus Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_i$ with all $R_i \cong R$. By the finite exchange property of P,

we have $Q_i(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that $M = P \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_i)$, where all Q_i are direct summands of R_i respectively. Assume that $Q_i \oplus P_i = R_i$ for all *i*. Then we have Shuqin Wang

 $P \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \right) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \right).$ Hence $P \cong P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n$, where P_i is isomorphic to a direct summand of R as a right R-module for all i.

Suppose that M is a finitely generated projective right module over an exchange ring R. Assume that there is a right R-module Q such that $P \oplus Q \cong R$. Let $e: R \cong P \oplus Q \to P$ given by e(p,q) = -p for any $p \in P, q \in Q$. Then $P \cong eR$. So we have op-idempotents e_i such that $P_i \cong e_i R$. Therefore $P \cong e_1 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ with all op-idempotents $e_i \in R$.

Recall that an ideal I of a ring R is an exchange ideal provided that for any $x \in I$, there exists an idempotent $e \in I$ such that $e - x \in R(x - x^2)$. Clearly, every strongly π -regular ideal of a ring is an exchange ideal. Now we study exchange rings by virtue of exchange ideals.

Theorem 8. Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any $a \in R$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \in Ra + I$ such that $1 + e \in R(1 + a) + I$.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is trivial by Lemma 1.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ For any $x + I \in R/I$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \in Rx + I$ such that $1 + e \in R(1 + x) + I$. So there exists a op-idempotent $e + I \in (R/I)(x + I)$ such that $(1 + I) + (e + I) \in (R/I)((1 + I) + (x + I))$. In view of Lemma 1, R/I is an exchange ring.

Given $x - x^2 \in I$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \in R(-x) + I$ such that $1 + e \in R(1 - x) + I$. Let f = -e. Then $f = f^2$, $f \in Rx + I$ and $1 - f \in R(1 - x) + I$. This infers that $f - x = f(1 - x) - (1 - f)x \in R(x - x^2) + I \subseteq I$. That is, every idempotent lifts modulo I. According to [1, Theorem 2.2], R is an exchange ring.

Corollary 9. Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) R is an exchange ring.
- (2) For any $a \in R$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e \in Ra + J(R)$ such that $1 + e \in R(1 + a) + J(R)$.

Proof. Since J(R) is an exchange ideal of R, we obtain the result by Theorem 8.

Analogously [8, Theorem 3], we show that if for any $a \in R$ there exists a opidempotent $e \in R$ such that Ra + J(R) = Re + J(R), then R is an exchange ring.

Theorem 10. Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) R is an exchange ring.

(2) For any $a \in R$, there exists a op-idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e - a \in R(a + a^2) + I$.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear by Lemma 2.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ For any $x \in R$, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $e - x \in R(x+x^2) + I$. Assume now that $e - x = r(x+x^2) + s$ for $r \in R, s \in I$. Then we have $e = (1+r(1+x))x + s \in Rx + I$ such that $1 + e = (1+rx)(1+x) + s \in R(1+x) + I$. According to Theorem 8, R is an exchange ring.

Corollary 11. Let I be an exchange ideal of a ring R. If for any $a \in R$ there exist a op-idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $a \equiv e + u \pmod{I}$. Then R is an exchange ring.

Proof. Given any $x \in R$, we have a op-idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $x \equiv e+u \pmod{I}$. It is easy to verify that $u(x+u^{-1}(1+e)u) \equiv eu+ue+u^2+u \equiv x^2+x \pmod{I}$. Set $f = -u^{-1}(1+e)u$. Then $f^2 = -f \in R$. In addition, we have $x - f \in R(x+x^2) + I$. According to Theorem 8, R is an exchange ring. \Box

It follows by Corollary 11 that a ring R is an exchange ring if and only if for any $a \in R$ there exist a op-idempotent $e \in R$ and a unit $u \in R$ such that $a \equiv e + u \pmod{J(R)}$.

References

- [1] P. Ara and K. R. Goodearl, Stable rank of corner rings, Preprint, 2003.
- [2] P. Ara, K. R. Goodearl, K. C. O'Meara and E. Pardo, Separative cancellation for projective modules over exchange rings, Israel J. Math., 105(1998), 105-137.
- [3] V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu, Exchange rings, units and idempotents, Comm. Algebra, 22(1994), 4737-4749.
- [4] H. Chen, Exchange rings with artinian primitive factors, Algebra Represent. Theory, 2(1999), 201-207.
- [5] H. Chen, Units, idempotents and stable range conditions, Comm. Algebra, 29(2001), 703-717.
- [6] W. K. Nicholson, Lifting idempotents and exchange rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 229(1977), 269-278.
- [7] W. K. Nicholson, On exchange rings, Comm. Algebra, 25(1997), 1917-1918.
- [8] R. B. Warfield, Jr., Exchange rings and decompositions of modules, Math. Ann., 199(1972), 31-36.