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Objective: There is no acceptable indication and treatment of choice for infantile and child subdural hygroma and there are only a few reports about that in Korea. So the authors studied the clinical findings of infantile and child patients with subdural hygroma to improve the understanding and to suggest a standard treatment method.

Methods: The authors retrospectively evaluated the causes, preoperative symptoms, radiological thicknesses, and postoperative results of 25 patients with subdural hygroma who received surgical therapy.

Results: There were 16 boys and 9 girls whose median age was 6 months (range 2–12 months). The main clinical manifestations were seizures, increased intracranial pressure, macrocrania and alteration of consciousness. Radiological thicknesses of the subdural hygroma varied from 7 mm to 42 mm and postoperative changes of thickness (y) could be expressed with the factor of month (x): \[ y = -1.32 + 1.18 \times \text{subdural drainage}, \quad y = -1.52 + 1.49 \times \text{subduroperitoneal shunts}. \] Of the 25 patients, 2 (50%) were successfully treated by aspiration, 13 (59%) by subdural drainage, and 9 (69%) by subduroperitoneal shunt.

Conclusion: It is suggested that the diagnosis and treatment of subdural hygroma in infants and children should be carefully addressed because of its high prevalence in children, and especially in infants. It is also suggested that the subdural drainage could be a primary initial treatment method because it is simpler than a shunt, and since our data show that there is no statistical difference in postoperative recovery duration between the two operative methods.
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Introduction

Subdural hygroma in infants and children is called by various terms such as subdural hygroma, subdural fluid collection, and subdural effusion according to the pre-existing condition, but it is the opinion of the authors that these are in fact the same disease, as there is no definite diagnostic method to differentiate between them. If the initiation of the treatment for subdural hygroma is not prompt, it may present as hemorrhage and progress into a subdural hematoma in 3–4 weeks, commonly resulting in a confusing diagnosis between a subdural hygroma and subdural hematoma. Sohn et al. reported in a prospective study that in adults, incidence of traumatic subdural hygroma was 35.6% of patients who admitted for more than 7 days in hospital after brain trauma and 45.2% of patients who had traumatic intracranial lesion. In adults, reports on the progression rates from traumatic subdural hygroma to chronic subdural hematoma vary from 8–50%.[1-3, 10-12, 17-20]. In Korea, Lee et al.[15] reported that the progression rate from traumatic subdural hygroma to chronic subdural hematoma in adults and children was 33%, but there are no reports to date regarding the frequency of subdural hygroma or progression rate to subdural hemorrhage only in infants in the worldwide literature.

Since subdural hygromas in infants and children is a condition which compress the developing brain, relief of the pressure allows re-expansion of the brain with subsequent normal development and good outcome. Also, as this condition is markedly different from other disease entities (benign pericerebral fluid collection of infancy, benign subdural collections of infancy, benign extra-axial collections of infancy, benign subarachnoid fluid collection of infancy) which resolve...
spontaneously without any therapy, it is essential to arrive at an accurate diagnosis\textsuperscript{10,17}.

The authors of this study therefore prospectively observed the clinical course and outcome of 25 patients who received surgical therapy for subdural hygromas to ascertain the clinical characteristics of this disease.

**Materials and Methods**

For a period of 4 years from January 1st, 1998 to December 31st, 2002, the subjects enrolled in this study were 25 patients less than 10 years of age who received surgical therapy for subdural hygroma at the neurosurgical department of our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case NO.</th>
<th>Sex/Age</th>
<th>Sx &amp; Sign</th>
<th>Cause of SDFC</th>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Cx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F/5</td>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st burhole drainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(endocardial sinus tumor)</td>
<td>2nd S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/12m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/2.5</td>
<td>macrocephaly</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/6m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/2m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>ICH</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F/2</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M/11m</td>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>Bacterial meningitis</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F/2m</td>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/3m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F/3m</td>
<td>lethargy</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M/10</td>
<td>stupor</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F/4m</td>
<td>macrocephaly</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M/6</td>
<td>headache,</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F/3m</td>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>pilocytic astrocytoma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M/9</td>
<td>headache</td>
<td>PostOP state[SAH, SDH]</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F/5m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M/3m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M/4m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M/5m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F/12m</td>
<td>macrocephaly</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>F/5m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M/4m</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>Head trauma</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M/6</td>
<td>craniectomy</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>M/3</td>
<td>seizure</td>
<td>PostOP state</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M/7m</td>
<td>vomiting</td>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>1st S-P shunt</td>
<td>Sx improved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

hospital (Table 1).

The diagnosis was determined by either Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI), or both, and the patients were divided into unilateral or bilateral groups. It would have been ideal to determine the volume of the lesion for our study, but since this is very difficult in real practice employing conventional CT or MRI, the authors decided to measure the thickest portion of the lesion as demonstrated by CT or MRI.

Indications for surgical intervention was the presence of symptoms such as increased intracranial pressure, macrocrania, hemiparesis, seizure, mental deterioration, and when the thickest diameter of the lesion was more than 7 mm. For simple subdural hygromas, surgery consisted of subdural aspiration or subdural drainage by subdural catheter insertion or subduro-peritoneal shunt. However, in those patients with accompanying subdural hematoma, subdural drainage was performed. The authors of this study compared the preoperative and postoperative symptoms, and also the changes in the radiological findings after treatment.

**Results**

**Age and sex distribution**

Among the 25 patients, the age range was 2–120 months (median 6 months). Seventeen patients (68%) was less than 1 year old, the majority in the 3–5 months range. There were more males (16) compared to females (9) (Fig. 1).

**Distribution of etiology and symptoms**

The most common cause of subdural hygroma was idiopathic, occurring in 9 cases (36%). 7 cases (28%) occurred following various surgical procedures, 6 cases were post-traumatic, 2 cases occurred as complications of leptomeningeal infections, and 1 case after intracerebral hemorrhage. Among the 9 idiopathic etiology cases, 8 patients were under 1 year of age (Fig. 2). The main clinical manifestations were seizures in 13 cases, increased intracranial pressure (nausea and vomiting) in 6, macrocrania in 4, and alteration of consciousness in 2 cases (Fig. 3).

**Radiologic findings and clinical course**

Radiological findings showed that subdural hygroma was unilateral in 5 cases and bilateral in 20 cases. In terms of preceding disease status, it was bilateral in 5 cases and unilateral in 2 cases after surgery, and was bilateral in 7 cases and unilateral in 2 cases when the cause are idiopathic (Fig. 2).

The preoperative mean radiological thicknesses of the subdural hygroma was 14.3 mm measured by CT or MRI, with a range of 7 mm to 42 mm. The thickness of the subdural hygroma decreased postoperatively on an average of 1.32 mm per month from the mean of 11.8 mm after subdural drainage. After subduro-peritoneal shunt, the decrease was an average of 1.52 mm per month from the mean of 14.9 mm. The change of thickness per month could be expressed with the equation of y = ax + b (a = -1.32 ± 0.57, b = 11.87 ± 1.27 in subdural drainage, a = -1.52 ± 0.52, b = 14.95 ± 1.51 in subduro-peritoneal shunt) (Fig. 4). However, there was no statistical difference between the two groups (95% confidence interval, SPSS ver. 10).

![Fig. 2. Predisposing causes of 25 patients and laterality of subdural hygroma.](image)

![Fig. 3. Clinical presentation of 25 patients.](image)
Surgical technique

Among the total of 25 patients, 4 cases underwent subdural aspiration, 22 underwent subdural drainage, and 13 patients underwent subduroperitoneal shunt. The clinical outcome according to each type of surgical procedure demonstrated that subdural aspiration succeeded in 2 cases, while the other 2 did not clinically improve, resulting in a success rate of 50%. Subdural drainage succeeded in 13 cases, while it failed in 9 cases showing a 59% success rate. Subduroperitoneal shunt succeeded in 9 cases and failed in 4, marking down the success rate to 69% (Fig. 5).

Primary surgery was successful in 13 of 25 cases (success rate 52%), while 9 cases received further secondary surgery which succeeded among 12 patients (success rate 75%). Two patients received a third operation which turned out to be successful.

As for the side effects of each type of surgical method, reoperation was necessary after aspiration in 2 cases due to no improvement, and an infection that occurred in 1 case after subdural drainage. In those patients who received subduroperitoneal shunt, there were 2 complication that one was pseudocyst formation in the peritoneal cavity and the other was obstruction of the catheter.

Among the 16 cases of subdural hygroma that were bilateral, unilateral subdural drainage was conducted in 6 cases, and bilateral subdural drainage was conducted in 10 cases. The duration of subdural drainage ranged from 3 to 19 days, with a mean of 6.7 days (Fig. 6). Among the 1 cases of bilateral subdural hygroma, unilateral subduroperitoneal shunt was performed in 7 cases, and bilateral subduroperitoneal shunt was performed in 4 cases. For the 2 case of unilateral subdural hygroma, unilateral subduroperitoneal shunt was performed. The shunt valves utilized were regular low pressure valves in 2 cases, small low pressure valves in 7 cases, and small low-low pressure valves in 4 cases.

Discussion

The progression of subdural hygroma in infants and children leads to hemorrhage and eventually to subdural hematoma formation. Consequently, previous literature pertaining
to the treatment of subdural hygroma has been addressed in the same concept as that of subdural hematoma, and which therefore makes the standardization of the diagnosis and treatment for the subdural hygroma difficult. There is as yet no accurate report to date on the incidence of subdural hygroma in infants, but it has been suggested that subdural hematomas occur every 21 per 100,000 infant population.

The causative mechanisms involved in the formation of subdural hygromas is known to be idiopathic, and as a secondary phenomenon after brain damage. In idiopathic cases, cells in the granulation tissue of the arachnoid membrane does not develop adequately, which then interferes the normal cerebrospinal fluid absorption process. Ultimately, subdural hygroma ensues when the outer arachnoid membrane breaks up or when the cap cells of arachnoid membrane proliferates. Nevertheless it is known that subdural hygroma improves when the cerebrospinal fluid is absorbed normally as it goes on.

On the other hand, if the subdural hygroma grows too large while waiting for spontaneous resolution, it has been reported that it may influence brain development and may also further develop into a subdural hematoma, which needs surgical treatment.

When the etiology is secondary, it has been demonstrated that when treatment consisted of subdural drainage or subduroperitoneal shunt, the success rate is high due to the brain expansion in the developmental age. Therefore, the authors of this study considers it acceptable that all subdural hygromas in infants and children should be treated taking into consideration of size of the lesion and the presence or absence of any symptoms.

It has been reported that idiopathic cases are the most frequent in 3–5 months old infants, and the results of this study are in agreement which also showed that the idiopathic cause is most common in patients under the age 1, and especially at 3–5 months. When and how often infants or children develop subdural hygromas is not yet accurately known, but it has been shown that subdural hematoma usually develops 3–4 weeks after the appearance of subdural hygroma. This study also showed 11 cases which were initially subdural hygroma in the early stage which developed into a subdural hematoma as time progressed, and who received surgical treatment, accounting for 44% of the 25 cases.

According to a report by Caldarelli et al., which studied 85 cases of infantile subdural hygroma, the main symptoms were increased intracranial pressure, macrogromia, mental retardation, hemiparesis, seizure, and decreased consciousness, in decreasing order of frequency. However, our study showed that seizure was the most common symptom, while the frequency of increased intracranial pressure, macrogromia, and decreased consciousness was similar to the Caldarelli’s study.

In aspect that seizure usually begins from a chronic cause, we presume that this may be the result of less attention to diagnosis and treatment of subdural hygroma within the our country.

Radiological characteristics demonstrated by CT and MRI showed that subdural hygroma can be distinguished from external hydrocephalus. Subdural hygromas shows expansion of the subdural space and not the subarachnoid space due to accumulation of CSF in the subdural space. Therefore, the enhanced vessels by contrast media are compressed to adhere to the brain, and the hygroma is placed outward. When a subdural hygroma appears on the inside of a cerebral cortical vessel, it should be differentiated from external hydrocephalus. If the patient is under age 1, it should also be differentiated from transitory diseases, such as benign petersylvian fluid collection and benign familial subdural fluid collection. Of course the subarachnoid membrane can be seen without enhancement on the MRI, and therefore it can be certain that the location of the hygroma is not in the subarachnoid space, but in the subdural space. The development of a chronic subdural hematoma after a traumatic injury is shown as a high density in the entire subdural space, but the subdural hematoma that originates from a subdural hygroma is shown as a partial density in a part of the subdural space.

There has been a previous reports that suggests that the operative indication for subdural hygromas is a lesion larger than 7mm with accompanying symptoms, or larger than 10mm without symptoms. But since exact measuring methods are still unavailable, further study involving larger number of cases is required to develop the precise measurement of the lesion size. It is expected that surgical indication can be easily made with the symptoms rather than size estimation because the three-dimensional size can not be measured accurately on CT or MRI. In the past, many medical institutions attempted subdural aspiration first in infantile patients with subdural hygroma, and then subdural drainage or subduroperitoneal shunt in those patients where the previous treatment was ineffective. This is still not an uncommon surgical procedure, but subdural aspiration is only a temporary measure, and is rarely effective. Also, because of the serious brain hemorrhage by subdural aspiration, it has been largely discontinued as a mode of treatment nowadays. In the authors’ study, subdural aspiration were performed in 4 cases in which one was an infant with a large anterior fontanelle. The other 3 cases were postoperative craniectomy state. Among the 4 cases, 2 cases improved and there was no incidence of the most serious complication, bleeding.

Subdural drainage through subdural catheter insertion may be tried for subdural hygromas, but it is most effective when a subdural hematoma develops, and the success rate has been variably reported as 43%–100%, although a recent report sug-
suggested that it would be more appropriate to consider the success rate as being 60–70% [2, 4, 6, 8, 11, 23, 24].

In this study, the success rate of subdural drainage was 59%, and this is similar to that of recent reports (Fig. 7). With regard to the choice of bilateral or unilateral drainage in bilateral subdural hygroma, it has been reported that subdural drainage on the contralateral side was performed because the contralateral subdural hygroma did not improve after unilateral subdural drainage. Similarly in this study, among the 4 cases that underwent unilateral subdural drainage at first, there were 3 cases in which the contralateral side did not improve, which supports the principle of bilateral drainage when a subdural hygroma is large. The drainage period is usually accepted as 3–8 days, but Van Calenbergh et al. reported that they drained for as long as 29 days [2, 4, 6, 24].

According to a previous report, it is more effective when the catheter is removed slowly, controlling the amount of subdural fluid by adjusting the height of the subdural catheter to increase the success rate instead of removing the subdural catheter immediately after drainage [25, 26]. In terms of complications of subdural drainage, there was 1 case of infection (4.5%) in this study, which is similar to a previous report of 0–9%. As to the relationship between infection and the period of drainage, Ersahin et al. [27] reported 0% infection rate after drainage for a mean of 7 days, while Van Calenbergh et al. [28] reported it as 3% after drainage for a mean of 12 days. Caldarelli et al. [29] reported it as 9% after drainage for a mean of 5 days. The above results do not suggest that there is a relationship between the period of drainage and infection rate.

In spite of the fact that the success rate is higher after subduroperitoneal shunt compared to subdural drainage, it is not the more preferred mode of treatment for subdural hygroma. This is because of the complications of the abdomen due to the abdominal operation, though infection rate is similar to that of subdural drainage while obstruction is frequent, which does not occur with subdural drainage, and finally the shunt catheter needs to be removed after recovery [26]. In addition, subduroperitoneal shunt cannot be performed in the presence of subdural hemorrhage because of the high risk of obstruction. As a last resort, it has been suggested that if the subdural drainage is not effective, the patient should be observed until the appearance of the subdural hemorrhage, and then perform subdural drainage one more time [25].

Nevertheless, the reason why the use of subduroperitoneal shunt was being widely reported is that it may be performed when subdural drainage is ineffective, and its success rate is as high as 70–90% (Fig. 8). With regard to the choice of unilateral or bilateral subduroperitoneal shunt in bilateral subdural hygroma, Aoki [30] reported that the course of subdural hygromas was improved after unilateral subduroperitoneal shunt only, but Ersahin et al. [27] reported that the contralateral side shunt was necessary because there was no improvement of the unilateral shunt in 5 of 31 cases. But Vinchon et al. [28] reported that obstruction occurs more frequently than infection, so bilateral shunt would be more favorable because of a lower possibility of obstruction. Overall, the choice of unilateral or bilateral shunt is as yet controversial. But data

---

Fig. 7. Computed tomography (CT) image of 4-month-old boy with subdural hygroma who received subdural drainage operation. A: Preoperative CT image. B: Postoperative image of bilateral subdural drainage reveals decreased subdural hygroma. C: Follow-up CT image shows that the subdural hygroma has completely improved.

Fig. 8. A 10-month-old boy with subdural hygroma improved by subdural drainage and subsequent subduroperitoneal shunt. A: Bilateral subdural hygroma is noticed on magnetic resonance image. B: Two tubes are noticed in the frontal subdural space for bilateral subdural drainage on computed tomography (CT) image. C: Subdural hygroma has not improved on CT image after bilateral subdural drainage. D: Follow-up CT image immediately after subduroperitoneal shunt shows unilateral subdural catheter in the right frontal area. E: Follow-up CT image 6 months after subduroperitoneal shunt shows that the subdural hygroma has improved completely.
from another series have indicated that obstruction occurs more frequently in unilateral shunts, as shown by Erasahin et al. This reports further proposed that if the cell and protein components of the CSF are high risk factors of obstruction, it seems that the choice of a bilateral shunt would be preferable. Following this concept, the authors performed bilateral shunt in 4 cases and unilateral shunt in 7 cases among the 11 cases of bilateral subdural hygroma. Although there were more cases in which unilateral shunt was conducted, there was only 1 case of obstruction, which is a lower rate compared to other reports.

The valves used in shunts were mostly small sized and low pressure valves of 3-5 cm, or low-low pressure valves under 3 cm, as the patients were infants and children. There were 2 infantile patients in whom small size low pressure type valves was employed but they did not improve. So the valve was exchanged for a regular size low pressure valve, and the reservoir was regularly made pump to force the fluid out, and this was successful.

The most common reported complications of subduroperitoneal shunt are infection and obstruction, and the incidence has been cited as 2.7-9%2,11,20,25 and 9-23%1,11,20,25, respectively. The reason why shunt for subdural hygromas show less complications compared to hydrocephalus is that subdural hygroma generally improves after 6 months, and needs no further treatment. In this study there were 2 complication cases among 13 cases, which comprised of an obstruction case and an abdominal pseudocyst formation case. Thus, our complication rate was similar to previous reports.

It is well known that subduroperitoneal shunt is the most effective treatment modality for subdural hygroma, but because in many patients subdural hematomas occur concomitantly, the shunt alone cannot be applied uniformly to all patients in the early stage of the disease. Therefore, it would be advisable to determine the choice of treatment according to the degree of hematoma formation accompanying the subdural hygroma. To further establish the optimal treatment modality for the various degrees of the disease, wide spread studies pertaining to the prognosis for each different result is warranted.

Conclusion

Children, especially infants under the age of one, acquire subdural hygromas of idiopathic etiology in many cases. It is therefore important to prevent damage of the brain in the developmental period through accurate diagnosis and appropriate treatment. In this study, there was no statistical difference in terms of the recovery period between subdural drainage and subduroperitoneal shunt for treatment. Thus, in early stage subdural hygroma patients, it is suggested that subdural drainage is preferred due to the relative simplicity of the procedure rather than shunt. Subduroperitoneal shunt should be considered when the subdural hygroma does not improve with subdural drainage.
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Commentary

Since the advent of computed tomography and magnetic resonance image into neurosurgical practice the diagnosis of subdural fluid collection (SDFC) or subdural hygroma (SDHG) became easy and accurate in differentiation with so called external hydrocephalus (subarachnoid fluid collection). The latter entity, which is common in developing infantile brain, is asymptomatic self-limiting benign lesion and usually resolve spontaneously until age of 2years.

Symptomatic SDFC has been managed with ① repeated subdural tap and aspiration, ② external subdural drainage (ESD), and ③ subduralperitoneal shunt(SPS). But selection of the treatment modalities is still controversial because of their differences in effectiveness(success rate), risk of complication(infection rate, obstruction rate, bleeding incidence etc), and cost of the treatment(duration of hospital stay, imaging studies etc). In case of failure with repeated subdural taps and/or ESD to resolve the SDFC, the last resort is to do SPS.

The authors studied 25 cases of infantile and childhood SDFC, and concluded that ESD is preferable procedure in early stage of SDHG just because of simpler procedure than SPS. But recent trend of the management of infantile SDFC is in favor of the primary SPS instead of ESD. The reasons are definite treatment modality with far superior success rate, less infection rate and shorter hospital stay of the SPS than those of ESD.

To achieve a good result with continuous ESD for SDFC, 51.5-87.1% success rates, the drain should be in place for 7-20 days with infection rates of 3-17% on the literatures. It is known that unilateral SPS for the bilateral SDFC is usually successful; the valve of SPS should be low pressure or unvalved and distal open-ended one may be used to accelerate the drainage of SDFC and to prevent shunt obstruction; shunt removal is not necessarily needed.

Some authors insist that bilateral SPS is better than unilateral SPS because of decreased chances of shunt obstruction. The authors pointed out this and favored the bilateral shunting for bilateral SDFC. The authors reviewed the literature appropriately and the lengthy discussion give detailed informations about SDFC.

Byung-Kyu Cho, M.D.
Seoul National University College of Medicine