

## EVERY DEFINABLE $C^r$ MANIFOLD IS AFFINE

TOMOHIRO KAWAKAMI

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <, \dots)$  be an o-minimal expansion of the standard structure  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, >)$  of the field of real numbers. We prove that if  $2 \leq r < \infty$ , then every  $n$ -dimensional definable  $C^r$  manifold is definably  $C^r$  imbeddable into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Moreover we prove that if  $1 < s < r < \infty$ , then every definable  $C^s$  manifold admits a unique definable  $C^r$  manifold structure up to definable  $C^r$  diffeomorphism.

### 1. Introduction

M. Shiota proved that if  $0 < r < \infty$ , then every  $C^r$  Nash manifold is affine ([7]). Let  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, >, \dots)$  be an o-minimal expansion of the standard structure  $\mathcal{R} = (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, >)$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$ , then a definable  $C^r$  manifold is a  $C^r$  Nash manifold. Definable  $C^r$  categories based on  $\mathcal{M}$  are generalizations of the  $C^r$  Nash category.

General references on o-minimal structures are [1], [2], see also [8]. The term “definable” means “definable with parameters in  $\mathcal{M}$ ” and any manifold in this paper does not have boundary.

If  $r$  is a non-negative integer and  $\mathcal{M}$  is polynomially bounded (resp. exponentially bounded), then every definable  $C^r$  manifold is affine ([6]) (resp. [4]). We have the following theorem as a generalization of these results.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $2 \leq r < \infty$ , then every  $n$ -dimensional definable  $C^r$  manifold  $X$  is definably  $C^r$  imbeddable into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .*

The above theorem is the definable version of Whitney’s imbedding theorem (e.g. 2.14, [3]). Even in the Nash category (i.e.  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{R}$ ), we cannot take  $r = \infty$  in Theorem 1.1 ([7]).

By Theorem 1.1 and 1.3 in [4], we have the following theorem.

---

Received September 22, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03C64, 14P10, 14P20, 57R55, 58A05.

Key words and phrases: definable  $C^r$  manifolds, o-minimal, affine.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $1 < s < r < \infty$ , then every definable  $C^s$  manifold admits a unique definable  $C^r$  manifold structure up to definable  $C^r$  diffeomorphism.*

## 2. Proof of result

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine definable  $C^r$  manifold,  $V$  a definable subset closed in  $X$  and  $r$  a non-negative integer. Then there exists a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f^{-1}(0) = V$ .*

*Proof.* By 3.2 in [6],  $X$  is definably  $C^r$  diffeomorphic to a definable  $C^r$  submanifold of some  $\mathbb{R}^l$  which is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . We identify  $X$  with its image. Thus  $V$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^l$ . By [2], there exists a definable  $C^r$  function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(0) = V$ . Hence restricting  $\phi$ , we have a definable  $C^r$  function  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\psi^{-1}(0) = V$ . Therefore  $f := \psi^2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the required function.  $\square$

The following is a definable  $C^r$  partition of unity.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $r$  be a non-negative integer and  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^k$  a definable open covering of a definable  $C^r$  manifold  $X$ . Then there exist definable  $C^r$  functions  $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) such that  $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \lambda_i \subset U_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ .*

If  $X$  is affine, then Proposition 2.2 is known in 4.8 ([5]).

*Proof.* We now prove that there exists a definable open covering  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $X$  such that  $\overline{V_i} \subset U_i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), where  $\overline{V_i}$  denotes the closure of  $V_i$  in  $X$ .

We proceed by induction on  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then there is nothing to prove. Assume that there exists a definable open covering  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1} \cup \{U_k\}$  of  $X$  such that  $\overline{V_i} \subset U_i$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ).

Let  $X_{k-1} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$ . By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a definable open covering  $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$  of  $X_{k-1}$  such that  $cl W_i \subset V_i$ , where  $cl W_i$  means the closure of  $W_i$  in  $X_{k-1}$ .

We may assume that  $U_k$  is affine. Let  $Z_k := U_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} V_i$  and  $Cl Z_k$  denote the closure of  $Z_k$  in  $U_k$ . By Proposition 2.1, there exists a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $\phi_k : U_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi_k^{-1}(0) = Cl Z_k$ . Since  $cl W_1 \subset V_1$ ,  $\phi_k$  is extensible to a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $\phi_k^1 : U_k \cup W_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi_k^1(0) = Cl Z_k \cup W_1$ . Inductively, we have a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(0) = Cl Z_k \cup W_1 \cdots \cup W_{k-1}$ . Let  $V_k := \{x \in U_k \mid \phi(x) > 0\}$ . Then

$V_k = \{x \in X \mid \phi(x) > 0\}$ ,  $\overline{V_k} \subset U_k$  and  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is the required definable open covering of  $X$ .

By Proposition 2.1, we have a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $\mu_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu_i^{-1}(0) = U_i - V_i$ . Thus  $\mu_i$  is extensible to a non-negative definable  $C^r$  function  $\mu'_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mu'_i^{-1}(0) = X - V_i$ . Therefore  $\lambda_i := \mu'_i / \sum_{i=1}^k \mu'_i$  is the required definable  $C^r$  partition of unity.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\{\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\}_{i=1}^k$  be a definable  $C^r$  atlas of  $X$ . By Proposition 2.2, we have definable  $C^r$  functions  $\lambda_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) such that  $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \lambda_i \subset U$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$ . Thus the map  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{nk} \times \mathbb{R}^k$  defined by  $F(x) = (\lambda_1(x)\phi_1(x), \dots, \lambda_k(x)\phi_k(x), \lambda_1(x), \dots, \lambda_k(x))$  is a definable  $C^r$  imbedding. Hence  $X$  is affine. Thus it is compact or compactifiable by 1.2 [5]. Hence we may assume that  $X$  is affine and compact at the beginning. A similar argument of the proof of 1.4 ([9]), every definable  $C^r$  map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  can be approximated in the  $C^r$  topology by an injective definable  $C^r$  immersion  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Since  $X$  is compact,  $h$  is the required definable  $C^r$  imbedding.  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **248** (1998).
- [2] L. van den Dries and C. Miller, *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), 497–540.
- [3] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential manifolds*, Springer, 1976.
- [4] T. Kawakami, *Affineness of definable  $C^r$  manifolds and its applications*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40**, 149–157.
- [5] ———, *Equivariant differential topology in an o-minimal expansion of the field of real numbers*, Topology Appl. **123** (2002), 323–349.
- [6] ———, *Imbedding of manifolds defined on an o-minimal structures on  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, <)$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), 183–201.
- [7] M. Shiota, *Abstract Nash manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1986), 155–162.
- [8] ———, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*, Progr. Math. **150** (1997).
- [9] A. G. Wasserman, *Equivariant differential topology*, Topology **8** (1969), 127–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION, WAKAYAMA UNIVERSITY,  
 SAKAEDANI WAKAYAMA 640-8510, JAPAN  
*E-mail:* kawa@center.wakayama-u.ac.jp