# SELECTION PRINCIPLES AND HYPERSPACE TOPOLOGIES IN CLOSURE SPACES

#### Mila Mršević\* and Milena Jelić

ABSTRACT. Relations between closure-type properties of hyperspaces over a Čech closure space (X, u) and covering properties of (X, u) are investigated.

#### Introduction

- 1. An operator  $u: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  defined on the power set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  of a set X satisfying the axioms:
- (C1)  $u(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ ,
- (C2)  $A \subset u(A)$  for every  $A \subset X$ ,
- (C3)  $u(A \cup B) = u(A) \cup u(B)$  for all  $A, B \subset X$ ,

is called a  $Cech\ closure\ operator$  and the pair (X,u) is a  $Cech\ closure\ space$ . For short, (X,u) will be noted by X as well, and called a  $closure\ space$  or a space.

A subset A is closed in (X, u) if u(A) = A holds. It is open if its complement is closed.

The interior operator  $\operatorname{int}_u : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is defined by means of the closure operator in the usual way:  $\operatorname{int}_u = c \circ u \circ c$ , where  $c : \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is the complement operator. A subset U is a neighborhood of a point x in X if  $x \in \operatorname{int}_u U$  holds.

A closure space (X, u) is  $T_1$  if for each two distinct points in X the following holds:  $(\{x\} \cap u(\{y\})) \cup (\{y\} \cap u(\{x\})) = \emptyset$  whenever  $x \neq y$ . It is equivalent to: every one-point subset of X is closed in (X, u).

Received May 27, 2005.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54B20, 54A25, 54D20.

Key words and phrases: Čech closure space, hyperspace,  $\Delta^+$ -topology,  $\mathbf{Z}^+$ -topology, upper Fell topology, upper Vietoris topology, selection principles,  $\Delta$ -cover,  $\omega$ -cover,  $\kappa$ -cover, Reznichenko property, Pytkeev property, Hurewicz property, groupability.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by the Ministry of Science, Techology and Development, Republic of Serbia.

A space (X, u) is  $T_2$  (Hausdorff) if each two distinct points in X have disjoint neighborhoods.

All considered spaces are  $T_1$ .

2. A collection  $\{G_{\alpha}\}$  is an *interior cover* of a set A in (X, u) if the collection  $\{\operatorname{int}_{u}G_{\alpha}\}$  covers A. We suppose that the interior of every element of an interior cover is non-empty and that each cover is non-trivial, i.e., that the set X does not belong to the cover.

A subset A in a space (X, u) is *compact* if every interior cover of A has a finite subcover.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of subsets of X. An interior cover  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -cover of X if for every  $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{X\}$  there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $C \subset \operatorname{int}_u U$  holds.

The collection of all interior covers  $\mathcal{U}$  of (X, u) will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$  and of all interior  $\mathcal{C}$ -covers by  $\mathcal{IC}$ .

The following notations are used:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ u(A) \mid A \subset X \}, \quad \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{H}^{c} = \{ \operatorname{int}_{u}(A) \mid A \subset X \},$$

$$\Phi_x = \{ A \subset X \mid x \in u(A) \setminus A \},\$$

 $\mathbf{F}(X)$  the family of all finite subsets of X,

 $\mathbf{K}(X)$  the family of all compact subsets of X,

 $\mathbf{Q}(X)$  the family of all closed subsets of X.

 $\mathbf{F}(X)$ -,  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ - and  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ -interior covers will be called  $\omega$ -,  $\kappa$ - and  $\zeta$ -covers of X, respectively.  $\mathcal{I}\Omega$  stands for the collection of all  $\omega$ -covers of X,  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{K}$  for the collection of all k-covers, and  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{Q}$  for the collection of all  $\zeta$ -covers.

For  $A \subset X$  the usual notation is  $A^+ = \{H \in \mathcal{H} \mid H \subset A\}$ .

To the end  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  are subcollections of  $\mathcal{H}$  closed for finite unions and containing all singletons. The *upper*  $\Delta$ -topology for  $\mathcal{H}$ , denoted by  $\Delta^+$ , has for a base the collection  $\{(D^c)^+ \mid D \in \Delta\} \cup \{\mathcal{H}\}$ .

Following [4], the  $\mathbf{F}(X)^+$ -topology will be denoted by  $\mathbf{Z}^+$  and the  $\mathbf{K}(X)^+$ -topology, the upper Fell topology (or the co-compact topology), by  $\mathbf{F}^+$ . Also,  $\mathbf{V}^+$  will stand for the  $\mathbf{Q}(X)^+$ -topology, the upper Vietoris topology.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subcollection of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is of the form A = u(B). We pick one such B = B(A). The collection  $\mathcal{U} = \{B(A)^c\}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^C$ .

The following is our key lemma and the constructions and notations introduced in it are used throughout the paper.

- LEMMA. (i) Let (X, u) be a space, a subset  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of Y. Set  $\mathcal{A} = \{(\operatorname{int}_u U)^c \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} = \{u(U^c) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$  holds and  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii) Conversely, having a collection  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and a set  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}$ , the collection  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}^C$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of  $H^c$ .
- *Proof.* (i) Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of Y and  $(D^c)^+$  be a basic  $\Delta^+$ -neighborhood of  $Y^c$ . Since  $D \subset Y$ , there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $D \subset \operatorname{int}_u U$  holds. Then  $A = (\operatorname{int}_u U)^c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \subset D^c$  imply  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii) Let  $H \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}$  and  $D \in \Delta$  such that  $D \subset H^c$ . The family  $(D^c)^+$  is a  $\Delta^+$ -neighborhood of H. There is an  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , such that  $A \subset D^c$ . For the corresponding  $U \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}^C$ ,  $\operatorname{int}_u U = A^c \supset D$  holds, so  $\mathcal{U}$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of  $Y = H^c$ .

In particular, when  $\Delta = \mathbf{F}(X)$ , (respectively,  $\Delta = \mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ ), then  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbf{Z}^+} \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbf{F}^+} \mathcal{A}$ ,  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\mathbf{V}^+} \mathcal{A}$ ) for the corresponding interior  $\omega$ -cover (resp. interior  $\kappa$ -cover, interior  $\zeta$ -cover) of  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be sets whose members are families of subsets of an infinite set X. Then ([8], [3]):

- $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection principle: For each sequence  $(A_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(b_n)$  such that  $b_n \in A_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{b_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  denotes the selection principle: For each sequence  $(A_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  there is a sequence  $(B_n)$  of finite sets such that  $B_n \subset A_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ .

Varying the collections  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  in the above defined selection principles, characterizations of the space (X, u) and its hyperspaces are defined. When (X, u) is a topological space, all definitions considered in this paper coincide with the corresponding topological ones. Interior covers are replaced with open covers denoted by  $\mathcal{O}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}$  coincides with  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ , the family of all closed subsets of X.

We assume that the space (X, u) is not compact.

All notions not explained here concerning selection principles can be found in [3], while those concerning Čech closure spaces in [1] and [6].

#### 1. The Rothberger-like selection principles

The property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$  for topological spaces was introduced by F. Rothberger in 1938 and it is called nowadays the Rothberger property.

A topological space X has countable strong fan tightness [7] if for each  $x \in X$  the selection principle  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Phi_x, \Phi_x)$  holds.

We generalize these notions to closure spaces in the following way:

DEFINITION 1. A space (X, u) has the *Rothberger property* if for every sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of interior covers of X there is a sequence  $(U_n)$  such that  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the collection  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior cover of X.

DEFINITION 2. A space (X, u) has countable strong fan tightness if for every  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $(A_n)$  of subsets of X such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u(A_n)$ , there is a sequence  $(x_n), x_n \in A_n$ , such that  $x \in u(\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ .

THEOREM 1. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the selection principle  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Phi_H^{\Delta^+}, \Phi_H^{\Sigma^+})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  be a sequence of interior  $\Delta$ -covers of Y. Then for the sequence  $(\mathcal{A}_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{(\operatorname{int}_u U)^c \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_n\} = \{u(U^c) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ ,  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by Lemma. By assumption, there is a sequence  $(A_n)$  such that  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Sigma^+} \{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . The corresponding collection  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $A_n = u(U_n^c)$ , is an interior  $\Sigma$ -cover of Y. Indeed, for every  $S \in \Sigma$  such that  $S \subset Y$ ,  $(S^c)^+$  is a neighborhood of  $Y^c$ . There is an  $A_m$  such that  $A_m \in (S^c)^+$ , i.e.,  $A_m \subset S^c$  implies  $S \subset A_m^c = (c \circ u)(U_m^c) = \operatorname{int}_u U_m$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $(\mathcal{A}_n)$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  belongs to  $\operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by Lemma, the corresponding sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^C$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a sequence of interior  $\Delta$ -covers of  $H^c = Y$ . Since  $H^c$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ , there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , such that  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\Sigma$ -cover of  $H^c$ . By applying Lemma again,  $H \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Sigma^+}\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which proves that (1) holds.

In particular, when  $\Sigma = \Delta$ , the next statement is true.

THEOREM 2. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has countable strong fan tightness.
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Delta)$ .

Setting  $\Delta = \mathbf{F}(X)$ , resp.  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ , in Theorem 2, we get generalizations of the results for topological spaces.

COROLLARY 1. (cf. [2]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has countable strong fan tightness.
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

COROLLARY 2. (cf. [2]) For a Hausdorff space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has countable strong fan tightness.
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{IK})$ .

*Proof.* Note that in a  $T_2$  space (X, u) a compact subset is closed. Thus the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact subsets is closed for finite unions, contains all singletons and is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

COROLLARY 3. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has countable strong fan tightness.
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IQ})$ .

Setting in Theorem 1:  $\Delta = \mathbf{K}(X)$  and  $\Sigma = \mathbf{F}(X)$ , (resp.  $\Delta = \mathbf{Q}(X)$  and  $\Sigma = \mathbf{K}(X)$ ) we get

COROLLARY 4. (cf. [2]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Phi_H^{\mathbf{F}^+}, \Phi_H^{\mathbf{Z}^+})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

COROLLARY 5. For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Phi_H^{\mathbf{V}^+}, \Phi_H^{\mathbf{F}^+})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IK})$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the family of dense subsets of a space. When necessary to distinguish between two topologies on the same set we use extra notations; for example:  $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta^+}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma^+}$ ) stands for the family of dense collections in the space  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  (resp.  $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma^+)$ ).

THEOREM 3. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta^+}, \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma^+})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  be a sequence of interior  $\Delta$ -covers of X. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the corresponding  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is dense in the space  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  since for every non-empty basic open set  $(D^c)^+$  there is an  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $D \subset \operatorname{int}_u(U_n)$ . Hence  $A_n = u(U_n^c) \subset D^c$  and  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n \cap (D^c)^+$  implies  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$ . By applying (1), there is a sequence  $(A_n)$ ,  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$ , such that  $\operatorname{cl}_{\Sigma^+}\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathcal{H}$ . For

each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  choose  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $u(U_n^c) = A_n$ . The collection  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\Sigma$ -covers of X. Indeed, for every  $S \in \Sigma$  there is an  $A_m \in (S^c)^+$ ; hence for the corresponding  $U_m$ ,  $S \subset \operatorname{int}_u(U_m)$  holds. Thus (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $(\mathcal{D}_n)$  be a sequence of dense subsets in  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the collection  $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{D}_n^C$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of X. It is true since for every  $G \in \Delta$  and  $D \in (G^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{D}_n$ ,  $D = u(A) \subset G^c$  implies  $G \subset \operatorname{int}_u(A^c) = \operatorname{int}_u U$  for the corresponding  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$ . By assumption, there is a sequence  $(U_n)$ ,  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$ , such that the collection  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\Sigma$ -cover of X. The collection  $\{D_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $D_n = u(U_n^c)$ , is dense in  $(\mathcal{H}, \Sigma^+)$ . For every  $S \in \Sigma$  there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S \subset \operatorname{int}_u U_m$  implies  $D_m \subset S^c$ , i.e.,  $\{D_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cap (S^c)^+ \neq \emptyset$ .

Again, when  $\Delta = \mathbf{F}(X)$ , (resp.  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ ), or  $\Delta = \mathbf{K}(X)$  and  $\Sigma = \mathbf{F}(X)$ , (resp.  $\Delta = \mathbf{Q}(X)$  and  $\Sigma = \mathbf{K}(X)$ ) we get

COROLLARY 6. (cf. [2]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

COROLLARY 7. (cf. [2]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{IK})$ .

COROLLARY 8. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IQ})$ .

COROLLARY 9. (cf. [2]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{F}^+}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{Z}^+})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

COROLLARY 10. For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{V}^+}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{F}^+})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IK})$ .

A family  $\mathcal{N}$  of subsets of X is a  $\pi$ -network for a topological space X if every open set in X contains some element of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

We introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 3. A family  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$  of subsets of a closure space (X,u) is a  $\pi$ -network for (X,u) if every non-empty interior of a subset of X contains some  $N_{\lambda}$ , i.e., for every  $A \subset X$  such that  $\operatorname{int}_u A \neq \emptyset$ , there is  $N_{\lambda} \subset \operatorname{int}_u A$ .

By  $\Pi_{\Delta}$  (respectively  $\Pi_{\omega}, \Pi_{\kappa}, \Pi_{\zeta}$ ) we denote the family of  $\pi$ -networks consisting of elements from  $\Delta \subset \mathcal{H}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{F}(X), \mathbf{K}(X), \mathbf{Q}(X)$ ).

THEOREM 4. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{O}\Delta^+, \mathcal{O}\Sigma^+)$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{\Delta}, \Pi_{\Sigma})$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $(\Delta_n)$  be a sequence from  $\Pi_{\Delta}$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the collection  $\{(D^c)^+ \mid D \in \Delta_n\}$  is a  $\Delta^+$ -open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $H^c = \operatorname{int}_u(A^c)$  for some  $A \subset X$ . There is a  $D \in \Delta_n$  such that  $D \subset H^c$ . Thus  $H \in (D^c)^+$ . By (1), there is a sequence  $(D_n)$ ,  $D_n \in \Delta_n$ , such that the collection  $\{(D_n^c)^+ \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\Sigma^+$ -open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the collection  $\{D_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\Sigma - \pi$ -network for (X, u). For, let  $A \subset X$  such that  $\operatorname{int}_u A \neq \emptyset$ . Then for  $u(A^c)$  there is a  $D_n$  such that  $u(A^c) \in (D_n^c)^+$  holds, that is  $u(A^c) \subset D_n^c$ , i.e.,  $D_n \subset \operatorname{int}_u A$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  be a sequence of  $\Delta^+$ -open covers of  $\mathcal{H}$ . We may assume that each cover consists of basic open sets, that is  $\mathcal{U}_n = \{(D_{n,\lambda}^c)^+ \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then for each n the collection  $\Delta_n = \{D_{n,\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\Delta - \pi$ -network for (X,u). Indeed, for  $A \subset X$  such that  $\inf_u A \neq \emptyset$ , there is a  $D_{n,\lambda}$  such that  $u(A^c) \in (D_{n,\lambda}^c)^+$ , that is  $u(A^c) \subset D_{n,\lambda}^c$ , i.e.,  $D_{n,\lambda} \subset \inf_u A$ . Applying (2), there is a sequence  $(D_n)$ ,  $D_n \in \Delta_n$ , such that the collection  $\{D_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\Sigma - \pi$ -network for (X,u). Then the collection  $\{(D_n^c)^+ \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\Sigma^+$ -open cover of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

By taking  $\Sigma = \Delta$  we get

THEOREM 5. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has the Rothberger property.
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{\Delta}, \Pi_{\Delta})$ .

Again, by specifying the family  $\Delta$  we get special cases.

COROLLARY 11. (cf. [2]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has the Rothberger property.
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{\omega}, \Pi_{\omega})$ .

COROLLARY 12. (cf. [2]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has the Rothberger property.
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{\kappa}, \Pi_{\kappa})$ .

COROLLARY 13. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has the Rothberger property.
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Pi_{\zeta}, \Pi_{\zeta})$ .

We end this section by proving a result concerning Rothberger-type selection principles.

In [7, Lemma] a relation between the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$  for each finite product of a topological space X and the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Omega, \Omega)$  for X was given. For closure spaces we prove the next statement.

THEOREM 6. If each finite product of (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ , then each finite product of (X, u) has the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

Proof. (i) First we prove that if each finite product of X satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})$ , then X has the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega,\mathcal{I}\Omega)$ . Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  be a sequence of interior  $\omega$ -covers of X. Let  $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} N_m$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  into infinite sets. For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $k \in N_m$  let  $\mathcal{V}_k = \{U^m \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_k\}$ . Since for the interior operator in the product space  $(X^m, v)$  the equality  $\mathrm{int}_v(U^m) = (\mathrm{int}_u U)^m$  holds,  $(\mathcal{V}_k)$  for  $k \in N_m$ , is a sequence of interior covers of  $X^m$  as for each  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in X^m$  and each  $k \in N_m$  there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$  such that  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \subset \mathrm{int}_u U$ , so that  $x \in (\mathrm{int}_u U)^m$ . Applying to the sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_k), k \in N_m$ , the assumption that each finite product of X satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I})$ , there is for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  a sequence  $(V_k), V_k \in \mathcal{V}_k$  for each  $k \in N_m$ , such that the collection  $\{V_k \mid k \in N_m\}$  is an interior cover of  $X^m$ . For each  $k \in N_m$  let  $U_k$  be an element in  $\mathcal{U}_k$  with  $V_k = U_k^m$ .

Then the collection  $\{U_k \mid k \in N_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of (X, u) which witnesses for  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  that  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$  holds. Indeed, for every finite set  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \subset X$  there is a  $V_k = U_k^m \in \mathcal{V}_k$  with  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \operatorname{int}_v U_k^m$ , hence  $F \subset \operatorname{int}_u U_k$ .

(ii) Now we show that if X has the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega,\mathcal{I}\Omega)$ , then all finite powers of X satisfy  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega,\mathcal{I}\Omega)$ . Fix m. Let  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  be a sequence of interior  $\omega$ -covers of  $X^m$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V \subset X \mid V^m \subset U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ . The collection  $\mathcal{V}_n$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of X for each n. Indeed, for every finite set  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subset X$  there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $F^m \subset \operatorname{int}_v U$ . We choose a  $V \subset X$  so that  $F^m \subset \operatorname{int}_v V^m = (\operatorname{int}_u V)^m \subset V^m \subset U$ . The set V satisfies the required condition, that is  $F \subset V \in \mathcal{V}_n$ . Applying the assumption to

the sequence  $\mathcal{V}_n$  there exist  $V_n \in \mathcal{V}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the collection  $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of X. For each n we pick  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  so that  $V_n^m \subset U_n$ . Since the collection  $\{V_n^m \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of  $X^m$ , so is  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

### 2. The Menger-like selection principles

In topological spaces the property  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O})$  is known as the Menger property. A topological space X has countable fan tightness if for each  $x \in X$  the selection principle  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Phi_x, \Phi_x)$  holds.

In closure spaces these definitions read as follows.

DEFINITION 4. A space (X, u) has the *Menger property* if for every sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of interior covers of X there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)$  of finite collections such that  $\mathcal{V}_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and the collection  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_n$  is an interior cover of X.

DEFINITION 5. A space (X, u) has countable fan tightness if for every  $x \in X$  and for each sequence  $(A_n)$  of subsets of X such that  $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} u(A_n)$ , there exist finite sets  $B_n \subset A_n$  such that  $x \in u(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n)$ .

The proofs of the next theorems are analogous to those in the previous section. The selection principle  $S_1$  is replaced with  $S_{fin}$ .

THEOREM 7. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies the selection principle  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Phi_H^{\Delta^+}, \Phi_H^{\Sigma^+})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ .

THEOREM 8. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has countable fan tightness.
- (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Delta)$ .

THEOREM 9. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{D}_{\Delta^+}, \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma^+})$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma)$ .

THEOREM 10. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{O}\Delta^+, \mathcal{O}\Sigma^+)$ .
- (2) (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Pi_{\Delta}, \Pi_{\Sigma})$ .

In particular, when specifying  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the statements corresponding to Corollaries 1-10 are obtained.

By replacing the principle  $S_1$  with  $S_{fin}$  in Theorem 6, we get by a similar proof

THEOREM 11. If each finite product of (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I})$ , then each finite product of (X, u) has the property  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega)$ .

### 3. Set-tightness

Recall that the set-tightness  $t_s$  of a space X is countable if for each  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a sequence  $(A_n)$  of subsets of A such that  $x \in \overline{\cup \{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$  but  $x \notin \overline{A_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

THEOREM 12. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has countable set-tightness.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\Delta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a countable collection  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that no  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of Y and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of Y.

Proof. Follows from definitions and Lemma.

In particular, setting  $\Delta = \mathbf{F}(X)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ ), we get

COROLLARY 14. (cf. [2]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has countable set-tightness.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\omega$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a countable collection  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that no  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of Y and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\omega$ -cover of Y.

COROLLARY 15. (cf. [2]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has countable set-tightness.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\kappa$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a countable collection  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that no  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\kappa$ -cover of Y and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\kappa$ -cover of Y.

COROLLARY 16. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has countable set-tightness.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\zeta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a countable collection  $\{\mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that no  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\zeta$ -cover of Y and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  is an interior  $\zeta$ -cover of Y.

## 4. The Pytkeev property

A topological space X has the Pytkeev property if for every  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in \overline{A \setminus \{x\}}$  there is a countable collection  $\{A_n \mid n \in \mathbb{I}\mathbb{N}\}$  of countable infinite subsets of A which is a  $\pi$ -network at x, i.e., each neighborhood of x contains some  $A_n$ .

Let  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  be two topologies on a set X. Then X has the  $(\tau, \sigma)-Pytkeev\ property$  if for every  $A\subset X$  and each  $x\in \operatorname{cl}_{\tau}(A\setminus\{x\})$  there is a countable collection  $\{A_n\mid n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  of countable infinite subsets of A which is a  $\pi$ -network at x with respect to the  $\sigma$  topology. In the next theorem we suppose that for every interior  $\Delta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y, Y\neq \operatorname{int}_u U$  for each  $U\in \mathcal{U}$  holds.

THEOREM 13. For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  has the  $(\Delta^+, \Sigma^+)$ -Pytkeev property.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\Delta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\cap \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a (not necessarily interior)  $\Sigma$ -cover of Y.  $[\cap \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n = \cap \{\operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U} \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}]$

*Proof.* As in [4, Theorem 8] by using Lemma. 
$$\Box$$

In particular, setting  $\Delta = \Sigma = \mathbf{F}(X)$  (resp.  $\Delta = \Sigma = \mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ ), we get

COROLLARY 17. (cf. [4]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has the Pytkeev property.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\omega$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of countable infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\cap \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a (not necessarily interior)  $\omega$ -cover of Y.

COROLLARY 18. (cf. [4]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has the Pytkeev property.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\kappa$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of countable infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\cap \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a (not necessarily interior)  $\kappa$ -cover of Y.

COROLLARY 19. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has the Pytkeev property.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\zeta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of countable infinite subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\{\cap \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a (not necessarily interior)  $\zeta$ -cover of Y.

### 5. The Hurewicz-like selection principles

The notion of groupability was introduced in [5]. A countable interior C-cover U of a space (X, u) is groupable if there is a partition  $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$  into finite sets such that for each  $C \in C$  and for all but finitely many n there is a  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $C \subset \operatorname{int}_u U$  holds. Let  $\mathcal{I}C^{gp}$  denote the family of groupable interior C-covers of the space.

A countable set  $A \in \Phi_x$  is *groupable* if there is a partition  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  into finite sets such that each neighborhood of x has non-empty intersection with all but finitely many  $A_n$ .

Recall that a space X has the Reznichenko property [= is weakly Fréchet-Urysohn] if for every  $A \subset X$  and each  $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A$  there is a countable infinite family A of finite pairwise disjoint subsets of A such that each neighborhood of x meets all but finitely many elements of A.

THEOREM 14. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has the Reznichenko property.
- (2) For each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and each interior  $\Delta$ -cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of Y, there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of finite pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that each  $D \in \Delta$  belongs to  $\operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n$  for some  $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  for all but finitely many n.
- Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of Y. By Lemma,  $Y^c \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{A} = \{u(U^c) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . By assumption, there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{A}_n)$  of finite pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that each  $\Delta^+$ -neighborhood of  $Y^c$  intersects  $\mathcal{A}_n$  for all but finitely many n. The sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  defined by  $\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{A}_n^C$  satisfies the required conditions. Indeed,  $\mathcal{U}_n$  are finite, pairwise disjoint and for  $D \in \Delta$  such that  $D \subset Y$ , the collection  $(D^c)^+$  is a  $\Delta^+$ -neighborhood of  $Y^c$ . There is  $n_0$  such that  $(D^c)^+ \cap \mathcal{A}_n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n > n_0$ . Hence, for every  $n > n_0$  there is a set  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}_n$  such that  $A_n \subset D^c$ , that is  $D \subset A_n^c = \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n$  and  $\mathcal{U}_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  holds.
- $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{H}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  so that  $H \in \operatorname{cl}_{\Delta^+} \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{A}^C$  is an interior  $\Delta$ -cover of  $H^c = Y$ . Applying (2) to Y and  $\mathcal{U}$ , there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of finite pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that for each  $D \subset Y$ ,  $D \in \Delta$ , for all but finitely many n there is a  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  such that  $D \subset \operatorname{int}_u \mathcal{U}_n$ . For each n we set  $\mathcal{G}_n = \{u(\mathcal{U}^c) \mid \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ . The collection  $\{\mathcal{G}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  satisfies (1).

By combining Theorem 14 with Theorems 2 and 8 we get the following statements.

THEOREM 15. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable strong fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Delta^{gp})$ .

THEOREM 16. For a space (X, u) and a collection  $\Delta$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Delta^{gp})$ .

In particular, setting  $\Delta = \mathbf{F}(X)$  (resp.  $\Delta = \mathbf{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(X)$ ), we get

COROLLARY 20. (cf. [4]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable strong fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ .

COROLLARY 21. (cf. [4]) For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Z}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ .

COROLLARY 22. (cf. [4]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable strong fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{IK}^{gp})$ .

COROLLARY 23. (cf. [4]) For a  $T_2$  space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{F}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{IK}, \mathcal{IK}^{gp})$ .

COROLLARY 24. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable strong fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IQ}^{gp})$ .

COROLLARY 25. For a space (X, u) the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{V}^+)$  has the Reznichenko property and countable fan tightness.
  - (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{IQ}, \mathcal{IQ}^{gp})$ .

Using appropriate modifications in the proof of Theorem 6 we get the statement which includes groupability.

THEOREM 17. If each finite product of (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{gp})$ , then each finite product of (X, u) has the property  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ .

Proof. (i) First we prove that if each finite product of X satisfies  $S_1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{gp})$ , then X has the property  $S_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ . Following the proof of Theorem 6 and applying the assumption to the sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_k)$ ,  $k \in \mathcal{N}_m$ , there is for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  a sequence  $(V_k)$ ,  $V_k \in \mathcal{V}_k$  for each  $k \in \mathcal{N}_m$ , such that the collection  $\mathcal{W}_m = \{V_k \mid k \in \mathcal{N}_m\}$  is a groupable interior cover of  $X^m$ . There is a partition  $\mathcal{W}_m = \cup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{W}_{m\nu}$  into finite sets such that for each  $x \in X^m$  and for all but finitely many  $\nu$  there is a  $V \in \mathcal{W}_{m\nu}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_v V$  in the product space  $(X^m, v)$  holds. Each  $\mathcal{W}_{m\nu} = \{V_{k_1(\nu)}, \dots, V_{k_s(\nu)}\}$  for some  $k_1(\nu), \dots, k_s(\nu) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ . For each  $k \in \mathcal{N}_m$  let  $U_k$  be an element in  $\mathcal{U}_k$  with  $V_k = U_k^m$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{m\nu} = \{U_{k_1(\nu)}, \dots, U_{k_s(\nu)}\}$ . The collection  $\{\mathcal{Y}_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $\mathcal{Y}_\mu = \cup \{\mathcal{Y}_{m\nu} \mid \mu = m + \nu - 1; m, \nu \in \mathbb{N}\}$  witnesses the groupability of the interior ω-cover  $\mathcal{Y} = \cup_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Y}_\mu = \{U_k \mid k \in \mathcal{N}_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of (X, u).

Indeed, for every finite subset  $F = \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \subset X$  there is a  $\nu_0$  such that for all  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu \geq \nu_0$  implies there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a  $V \in \mathcal{W}_{m\nu}$  such that  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \operatorname{int}_v V$  holds. Hence, there is a  $\mu_0 = m + \nu_0$  such that for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu \geq \mu_0$  implies there is a  $U \in \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}$  such that  $F \subset \operatorname{int}_u U$ .

(ii) Now we show that if X has the property  $S_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ , then all finite powers of X satisfy  $S_1(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ . As in Theorem 6, fix m. Given a sequence  $(\mathcal{U}_n)$  of interior  $\omega$ -covers of  $X^m$  consider a sequence  $(\mathcal{V}_n)$  of interior  $\omega$ -covers of X where  $\mathcal{V}_n = \{V \subset X \mid V^m \subset U \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_n\}$ . By the assumption there exist  $V_n \in \mathcal{V}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that the collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a groupable interior  $\omega$ -cover of X. For each n we pick  $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$  so that  $V_n^m \subset U_n$ . Since the collection  $\{V_n^m \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a groupable interior  $\omega$ -cover of  $X^m$ , so is  $\{U_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

In a similar way we prove

THEOREM 18. If each finite product of (X, u) satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{gp})$ , then each finite product of (X, u) has the property  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Omega, \mathcal{I}\Omega^{gp})$ .

We conclude this paper with the next statements.

THEOREM 19. (see [4]) For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\Phi_H^{\Delta^+}, (\Phi_H^{\Sigma^+})^{gp})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_1(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma^{gp})$ .

*Proof.* The constructions are analogous to those in the previous theorems.

By replacing the selection principle  $S_1$  with  $S_{fin}$  we get

THEOREM 20. (see [4]) For a space (X, u) and collections  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\Phi_H^{\Delta^+}, (\Phi_H^{\Sigma^+})^{gp})$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . (2) Each  $Y \in \mathcal{J}$  satisfies  $\mathbf{S}_{fin}(\mathcal{I}\Delta, \mathcal{I}\Sigma^{gp})$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors thank the referee for valuable suggestions and comments.

#### References

- [1] E. Čech, Topological Spaces, Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Science, Prague; Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, London-New York-Sydney, 1966.
- [2] G. Di Maio, Lj. D. R. Kočinac, and E. Meccariello, Selection principles and hyperspace topologies, Topology Appl. 153 (2005), no. 5-6, 912-923.
- [3] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, Selected results on selection principles, Proceedings of the 3rd Seminar on Geometry and Topology, July 15-17, 2004, Tabriz, Iran (Sh. Rezapour, ed.), 71-104.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, The Reznichenko property and the Pytkeev property of hyperspaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 107 (2005), no. 3, 225–233.
- [5] Lj. D. R. Kočinac and M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers (VII): Groupability, Fund. Math. 179 (2003), no. 2, 131–155.
- [6] M. Mršević, Proper and admissible topologies in closure spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (2005), no. 11, 613–627.
- [7] M. Sakai, Property C" and function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), no. 3, 917-919.
- [8] M. Scheepers, Combinatorics of open covers I: Ramsey theory, Topology Appl. 69 (1996), no. 1, 31–62.

Mila Mršević Faculty of Mathematics University of Belgrade Studentski trg 16, 11000 Beograd, SCG E-mail: mrsevic@matf.bg.ac.yu

Milena Jelić Poljoprivredni fakultet University of Belgrade Nemanjina 6, 11081 Beograd–Zemun, SCG *E-mail*: milena@agrifaculty.bg.ac.yu